

Contents

Distribution of Sample Mean	1
Weak Law of Large Numbers	2
Who Cares?	2
Confidence Intervals	3
Bootstrapping	3

Distribution of Sample Mean

Consider N random variables X_1, \dots, X_N

- Let's consider $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k$
- \bar{X} is called the “sample mean” or the “empirical mean”
- \bar{X} is a random variable

Suppose we observe values for X_1, \dots, X_N and calculate the empirical mean of the observed values. That gives us one value for \bar{X} . But the value of \bar{X} changes depending on the observed values.

- Suppose we toss a fair coin $N = 5$ times and get H, H, H, T, T . Let $X_k = 1$ when comes up heads. Then $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k = \frac{3}{5}$
- Suppose we toss the coin another $N = 5$ times and get T, T, H, T, H . Now $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k = \frac{2}{5}$

Random variable $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k$

- Suppose the X_k are **independent and identically distributed**
- Each X_k has mean $E[X_k] = \mu$ and variable $Var(X_k) = \sigma^2$

Then we can calculate the mean of \bar{X} as

$$E[\bar{X}] = E\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N E[X_k] = \mu$$

BK: recall linearity of expectation: $E[X+Y] = E[X]+E[Y]$ and $E[aX] = aE[X]$

- We say \bar{X} is an **unbiased estimator** or *amu* since $E[\bar{X}] = \mu$

We can calculate the variance of \bar{X} as

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k\right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^N X_k\right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Var}(X_k) = \frac{N\sigma^2}{N^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

NB: recall $\text{Var}(aX) = a^2\text{Var}(X)$ and $\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)$ when X, Y are independent.

- As N increases, the variance of \bar{X} falls
- $\text{Var}(NX) = N^2\text{Var}(X)$ for random variable X
- But when add together **independent** random variable $X_1 + X_2 + \dots$ the variance is only $N\text{Var}(X)$ rather than $N^2\text{Var}(X)$
- This is due to **statistical multiplexing**
 - Small and large values of X_i tend to cancel out for large N

Weak Law of Large Numbers

Consider N independent and identically distributed random variables X_1, \dots, X_N each with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Let $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k$. For any $\epsilon > 0$:

$$P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

That is, \bar{X} **concentrates** around the mean μ as N increases.

Who Cares?

- Suppose we have an event E
- Define indicator random variable X_i equal to 1 when event E is observed in trial i and 0 otherwise
- Recall $E[X_i] = P(E)$ is the probability that event E occurs
- $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k$ is then the relative frequency with which event E is observed over N experiments
- And $P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ tells us that this observed relative frequency \bar{X} converges to the probability $P(E)$ of event E as N grows large
- So the law of large numbers formalises the intuition of probability as frequency when an experiment can be repeated many times.
 - But probability still makes sense even if cannot repeat an experiment many times - all our analysis still holds

Confidence Intervals

- Recall that when a random variable lies in an interval $a \leq X \leq b$ with a specified probability we call this a confidence interval, e.g. $p - 0.05 \leq T \leq p + 0.05$ with probability at least 0.95
- Chebyshev inequality allows us to calculate confidence intervals given the mean and variance of a random variable
- For sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k$, Chebyshev inequality tells us $P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{N\epsilon^2}$ when μ is mean of X_k and σ^2 is its variance
- E.g. When $\epsilon = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{0.05N}}$ then $\frac{\sigma^2}{N\epsilon^2} = 0.05$ and Chebyshev tells us that $\mu - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{0.05N}} \leq \bar{X} \leq \mu + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{0.05N}}$ with probability at least 0.95

Bootstrapping

Mean μ , variance σ^2 and number of points N summarises our N data points using three numbers. But we have $N \gg 3$ data points. Can we use these to also empirically estimate the *distribution\$ of the sample mean? Yes!

- Make use of the fact that computing power is cheap
- The N data points are drawn independently from the same probability distribution F
- So the idea is to use these N data points as a surrogate for F
 - To generate new samples from F we draw uniformly at random from our N data points
 - This is **sampling with replacement**
- Suppose our data is $\{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$
 - Select one point uniformly at random, e.g. B
 - Select a second point uniformly at random, might be B again or might be something else
 - And so on until we desired number of samples
- Bootstrapping is an example of a **resampling method**

Bootstrapping:

1. Draw a sample of N data points uniformly at random from data, with replacement
 2. Using this sample estimate the mean $X_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1,i}$
 3. Repeat, to generate a set of estimates X_1, X_2, \dots
- The distribution of these estimates approximates the distribution of the sample mean (it is not exact)

Example: coin tossing!

- Toss $N = 100$ biased coins, lands heads with probability $p = 0.1$
 - $X_i = 1$ if i th toss is heads, 0 otherwise
- Sample with replacement from the $N = 100$ data points
- Calculate sample mean $X = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$
- Repeat 1000 times and plot observed distribution of X

Note: bootstrap estimate of the distribution is only approximate

- Different data leads to different estimates of the distribution
- But very handy all the same
- Using our empirical estimate of the distribution of the sample mean X we can estimate confidence intervals, etc.