

Contents

The Cut	1
What cut does	1
Using Cut	2
Green Cuts	2
Red Cuts	3
Failure	3
Negation as Failure	3

The Cut

- Backtracking is a characteristic feature of Prolog
- But backtracking can lead to inefficiency:
 - Prolog can waste time exploring possibilities that lead nowhere
 - It would be nice to have some control
- The cut predicate `!/0` offers a way to control backtracking

`p(X) :- b(X), c(X), !, d(X), e(X).`

- Cut is a goal that *always* succeeds*
- Commits Prolog to the choices that were made since the parent goal was called

What cut does

- The cut only commits us to choices made since the parent goal was unified with the left-hand side of the clause containing the cut
- For example, in a rule of the form

`q :- p1, ..., pn. !, r1, ... rn.`

- When we reach the cut it commits us:
 - To this particular clause of `q`
 - To the choices made by `p1, ..., pn`
 - NOT to choices made by `r1, ..., rn`

Using Cut

- Consider the following predicate `max/3` that succeeds if the third argument is the maximum of the first two

```
max(X, Y, Y) :- X <= Y.  
max(X, Y, X) :- X > Y.
```

```
?- max(2, 3, 3).  
true  
?- max(7, 3, 7).  
true  
?- max(2, 3, 2).  
false  
?- max(2, 3, 5).  
false
```

- What's the problem?
- There is a potential inefficiency
 - Suppose it is called with `?- max(3, 4, Y)`.
 - It will correctly unify `Y` with 4
 - But when asked for more solutions, it will try to satisfy the second clause
 - This is completely pointless

```
max(X, Y, Y) :- X <= Y, !.  
max(X, Y, X).
```

- If the `X <= Y` succeeds, the cut commits us to this choice, and the second clause of `max/3` is not considered
- If the `X <= Y` fails, Prolog goes on to the second clause

Green Cuts

- Cuts that do not change the meaning of a predicate are called *green cuts*
- The cut in `max/3` is an example of a green cut
 - The new code gives exactly the same answers as the old version
 - But it's more efficient

Red Cuts

```
max(X, Y, Z) :- x <= Y, !, Y = Z.  
max(X, Y, X).
```

- Cuts that change the meaning of a predicate are called *red cuts*
- The cut in the revised max/3 is an example of a red cut
 - If we take out the cut, we don't get an equivalent program
- Programs containing red cuts
 - Are not fully declarative
 - Can be hard to read
 - Can lead to subtle programming mistakes

Failure

- Another predicate in prolog is the fail/0
- This is a goal that will immediately fail when Prolog tries to prove it
- When Prolog fails, it tries to back track

Negation as Failure

- The cut-fail combination offers us some form of negation
- It is called *negation as failure(and defined as follows

```
neg(Goal) :- Goal, !, fail.  
neg(Goal).
```

- Because negation as failure is so often used, there is no need to define it
- In standard Prolog the prefix operator \+ means negation as failure
- It is not logical negation, so changing the order of the goals gives different behaviour