### Lists [*H2010* 3.7,3.10]

- ► Fundamentally lists are built from "nil" ([]) and "cons" (:)
- We use square brackets to provide syntactical sugar in a variety of ways
  - Enumeration:
    [a,b,c,d] for a:b:c:d:[]
  - Ranges:
    [4..9] for [4,5,6,7,8,9]
    also [4,7..20] for [4,7,10,13,16,19]
  - ► Comprehension:

    [ x\*x | x <- [1..10], even x] for [4,16,36,64,100]

    Comprehensions are more complex than this (see later, or [H2010 3.11])
- ► Strings are a special notation of lists of characters "Hello" for ['H','e','l','l','o']

#### Function: tail

tail xs, for non-empty xs returns it with first element removed Type Signature

```
tail :: [a] -> [a]
```

Non-Empty List

```
tail (\_:xs) = xs
```

**Empty List** 

```
tail [] = error "Prelude.tail: empty list"
```

# Function: head

head xs returns the first element of xs, if non-empty

Type Signature

```
head :: [a] -> a
```

Non-Empty List

```
head (x:_) = x
```

**Empty List** 

```
head [] = error "Prelude.head: empty list"
```

```
tail [] /= [] — Why Not?
```

Why don't we define tail [] = []? The typing allows it.

If we have a version of head specialised for lists of Int, i.e.,
headInt :: [Int] -> Int, why might we not choose to define
headInt [] = 0 ?

A key design principle behind Haskell libraries and programs is to have programs (functions!) that obey nice obvious laws:

```
xs = head xs ++ tail xs
sum (xs ++ ys) = sum xs + sum ys
product (xs ++ ys) = product xs * product ys
```

Imagine if we defined:

```
product xs = headInt xs * product (tail xs)
```

Would this satisfy the law above for product?

# Function: last

last xs returns the last element of xs, if non-empty

Type Signature

last :: [a] -> a

Singleton List

last[x] = x

Non-Empty List

last (\_:xs) = last xs

**Empty List** 

last [] = error "Prelude.last: empty list"

#### Function: null

null xs returns True if the list is empty

Type Signature

null :: [a] -> Bool

**Empty List** 

null [] = True

Non-Empty List

 $null (_:_) = False$ 

#### Function: init

init xs, for non-empty xs returns it with last element removed

Type Signature

```
init :: [a] -> [a]
```

Singleton List

```
init[x] = []
```

Non-Empty List

```
init (x:xs) = x : init xs
```

**Empty List** 

```
init [] = error "Prelude.init: empty list"
```

```
Function: (!!)
```

(!!) xs n, or xs !! n selects the nth element of list xs, provided it is long enough. Indices start at 0.

Fixity and Type Signature

```
infixl 9 !!
(!!) :: [a] -> Int -> a
```

Negative Index

```
xs !! n | n < 0
  = error "Prelude.!!: negative index"
```

**Empty List** 

```
[] !! _ = error "Prelude.!!: index too large"
```

Zero Index  $(x:_) !! 0 = x$ 

```
Non-Zero Index (\_:xs) !! n = xs !! (n-1)
```

```
Function: ++

xs ++ ys joins lists xs and ys together.

Type Signature

(++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]

Empty List

[] ++ ys = ys

Non-Empty List

(x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)
```

```
Function: reverse (slow)

reverse xs, reverses the list xs
Type Signature

reverse :: [a] -> [a]

Empty List

reverse [] = []

Non-Empty List

reverse (x:xs) = reverse xs ++ [x]
```

### Evaluating: ++

```
(1:2:3:[]) ++ (4:5:[])

= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 1, xs -> 2:3:[]
    1 : ( (2:3:[]) ++ (4:5:[]) )

= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 2, xs -> 3:[]
    1 : ( 2: ( (3:[]) ++ (4:5:[]) ) )

= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 3, xs -> []
    1 : ( 2: (3: ([] ++ (4:5:[]) ) ) )

= -- Empty List, ys -> 4:5:[]
    1 : ( 2: (3: (4 : 5 :[])))
```

Note that the time taken is proportional to the length of the first list, and independent of the size of the second.

#### Evaluating: reverse

```
reverse (1:2:3:[])
= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 1, xs -> 2:3:[]
    reverse (2:3:[]) ++ [1]
= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 2, xs -> 3:[]
    (reverse (3:[]) ++ [2]) ++ [1]
= -- Non-Empty List, x -> 3, xs -> []
    ((reverse [] ++ [3]) ++ [2]) ++ [1]
= -- Empty List,
    (([] ++ [3]) ++ [2]) ++ [1]
= -- after many concatenations
    3:2:1:[]
```

This is a bad way to do reverse (why?)

## 

```
Function: reverse (Prelude Version)

reverse xs, reverses the list xs

Type Signature

reverse :: [a] -> [a]

!!!! ????

reverse = foldl (flip (:)) []

The Prelude doesn't always give the most obvious definition of a function's behaviour!
```

```
reverse (1:2:3:[])
= -- ???
    rev [] (1:2:3:[])
= -- Non-Empty List, sx -> [], x -> 1, xs -> 2:3:[]
    rev (1:[]) (2:3:[])
= -- Non-Empty List, sx -> 1:[], x -> 2, xs -> 3:[]
    rev (2:1:[]) (3:[])
= -- Non-Empty List, sx -> 2:1:[], x -> 3, xs -> []
    rev (3:2:1:[]) []
= -- Empty List, sx -> 3:2:1:[]
    3:2:1:[]

Much faster (why?)
```

```
List Defs
   infixl 9 !!
   infixr 5 ++
   infix 4 elem, notElem
   map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
   map f [] = []
   map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
   (++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
   [] ++ ys = ys
   (x:xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)
   filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
   filter p [] = []
   filter p (x:xs) | p x = x : filter p xs
         | otherwise = filter p xs
   concat :: [[a]] -> [a]
   concat xss = foldr (++) [] xss
```

