# Perception in Robotics Term 3, 2024. PS1

Gonzalo Ferrer Skoltech

29 January 2024

This problem set has three tasks, comprising 15% of your course grade, which is individual work. You are encouraged to talk at the conceptual level with other students, discuss on the equations and even on results, but you may not show/share/copy any non-trivial code.

#### **Submission Instructions**

Your assignment must be submitted by 11:59pm on **February 8, Thursday**. You are to upload your assignment directly to Canvas as **two** attachments:

1. A .tar.gz or .zip file containing a directory named after your uniquename with the structure shown below. Alternatively, submit a single .ipybn file named after your uniquename that includes solutions for all tasks.

```
alincoln_ps1.zip:
alincoln_ps1/
alincoln_ps1/task1.py
alincoln_ps1/task2.py
alincoln_ps1/plot2dcov.py
alincoln_ps1/task3.py
```

2. A PDF with the written portion of your write-up. Scanned versions of hand-written documents, converted to PDFs, are perfectly acceptable (reduced size). No other formats (e.g., .doc) are acceptable. Your PDF file should adhere to the following naming convention: alincoln\_psl.pdf.

Homework received after 11:59pm is considered late and will be penalized as per the course policy. The ultimate timestamp authority is the one assigned to your upload by Canvas. No exceptions to this policy will be made.

Important: For all (x,y) plots you will want to use the <code>Axes.set\_aspect('equal')</code> command in the <code>matplotlib</code> library to set the aspect ratio so that equal tick mark increments on the x-,y- and z-axis are equal in size. This makes spheres look like spheres, instead of an ellipsoid.

### Task 1: Probability (25 points)

For this task, write a code in Python that generates all the different figures, as requested for each problem.

- A. (5 pts) Plot the *probability density function* p(x) of a one dimensional Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(x;1,1)$ . *Hint*: you might want to look at the library scipy stats and use the function norm.pdf().
- B. (5 pts) Calculate the probability mass that the random variable X is less than 0, that is,  $Pr\{X \leq 0\} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} p(x)dx$ .

Hint: you might want to use the function norm.cdf().

C. (15 pts) Consider the new observation variable z, it gives information about the variable x by the *likelihood* function  $p(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(z; x, \sigma^2)$ , with variance  $\sigma^2 = 0.2$ . Apply the Bayes' theorem to derive the posterior distribution, p(x|z), given an observation z = 0.75 and plot it. For a better comparison, plot the prior distribution, p(x), too.

*Hint*: There are different ways in which the normalization factor for the posterior can be calculated (e.g. or direct derivation, numerical normalization, etc.). Choose one method.

### Task 2: Multivariate Gaussian (35 points)

A. (15 pts) Write the function plot2dcov which plots the 2d contour given three core parameters: mean, covariance, and the iso-contour value k. You may add any other parameter such as color, number of points, etc.

*Hint*: Make use of the Cholesky decomposition scipy.linalg.cholesky or SVD and project a circumference with radius k, as explained in class. Use, for instance, 30 points.

*Hint:* the Cholesky decomposition routine, could solve for the upper triangular matrix,  $A^{\top} \cdot A = \Sigma$  which is not what you may want. Read the function help and make sure the decomposition maps back to the original covariance in the form  $A \cdot A^{\top} = \Sigma$ .

Then, use plot2dcov to draw the iso-contours corresponding to 1,2,3-sigma of the following Gaussian distributions:  $\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&2\end{bmatrix}\right)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}5\\0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}3&-0.4\\-0.4&2\end{bmatrix}\right)$  and  $\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\2\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}9.1&6\\6&4\end{bmatrix}\right)$ . Use the set\_aspect ('equal') command and comment on them.

- B. (5 pts) Write the equation of sample mean and sample covariance of a set of points  $\{x_i\}$ , in vector form as was shown during the lecture. You can provide your solution by using Markdown, latex, by hand, etc.
- C. (15 pts) Draw random samples from a multivariate normal distribution. You can use the python function that draws samples from the univariate normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ . In particular, draw and plot 200 samples from  $\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\\2\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1&1.3\\1.3&3\end{bmatrix}\right)$ ; also plot their corresponding 1-sigma iso-contour. Then calculate the sample mean and covariance in vector form and plot again the 1-sigma iso-contour for the estimated Gaussian parameters. Run the experiment multiple times and try different number of samples. Comment on the results.

## **Task 3: Covariance Propagation (40 points)**

For this task, we will model an omni-directional robotic platform, i.e., a holonomic platform moving as a free point without restrictions.

Let's consider the discrete-time propagation model as the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_x \\ \eta_y \end{bmatrix}_t, \text{ where the controls } u = [v_x, v_y]^\top \text{ are the velocities which } v_y = [v_x, v_y]^\top$$

are commanded to the robot. Unfortunately, there exists some uncertainty on command execution  $\begin{bmatrix} \eta_x \\ \eta_y \end{bmatrix}_{\star} \sim$ 

 $\mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}0.1&0\\0&0.1\end{bmatrix}\right)$ . Time indexes are denoted by t, following the same convention we use in class and the time step between them is denoted as  $\Delta t=0.5$ .

- A. (5 pts) Write the equations corresponding to the mean and covariance after a single propagation of the holonomic platform.
- B. (5 pts) How can we use this result iteratively?
- C. (7 pts) Draw the propagation state PDF (1-sigma iso-contour) for times indexes  $t = 0, \dots, 5$  and the control sequence  $u_t = [3,0]^\top$  for all times t. The PDF for the initial state is  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ .
- D. (8 pts) Somehow, the platform is malfunctioning; thus, it is moving strangely and its propagation model has changed:  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_x \\ v_y \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_x \\ \eta_y \end{bmatrix}_t.$  All the other parameters and controls are the same as defined earlier.

Draw the propagation state PDF (1-sigma iso-contour and 500 particles) for times indexes  $t=0,\ldots,5$ ,

E. (7 pts) Now, suppose that the robotic platform is non-holonomic, and the corresponding propagation model

$$\text{is:} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta)\Delta t & 0 \\ \sin(\theta)\Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} \eta_x \\ \eta_y \\ \eta_\theta \end{bmatrix}_t, \text{ being } \begin{bmatrix} \eta_x \\ \eta_y \\ \eta_\theta \end{bmatrix}_t \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

and the PDF for the initial state  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_0 \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ .

Propagate, as explained in class (linearize plus covariance propagation), for five time intervals, using the control  $u_t = [3, 1.5]^{\mathsf{T}}$  showing the propagated Gaussian by plotting the 1-sigma iso-contour. Angles are in radians. *Hint*: you can marginalize out  $\theta$  and plot the corresponding  $\Sigma_{(xy)}$  as explained in class.

F. (8 pts) Repeat the same experiment as above, using the same control input  $u_t$  and initial state estimate, now

considering that noise is expressed in the action space instead of state space:  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t} +$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta)\Delta t & 0 \\ \sin(\theta)\Delta t & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v + \eta_v \\ w + \eta_w \end{bmatrix}_t, \text{ being } \begin{bmatrix} \eta_v \\ \eta_w \end{bmatrix}_t \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}\right). \text{ Comment on the results.}$$