1 κ -Minkowski Weyl Ordered \star -Product

Our definition of the κ -Minkowski algebra is of the real Lie algebra

$$[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = \lambda \hat{x}_i$$

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0$$

whereas your paper, and a lot of others use

$$[\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_i] = i\lambda \hat{x}_i$$
$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0$$

This significantly changes the Weyl ordered *-product. In our case we obtain

$$f \star g = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \iint e^{ir_n(k,k')x^i} dk dk'$$

$$r_0 = k_0 + k'_0$$

$$r_l = \frac{k_l \phi(ik_0) + k'_l \phi(ik'_0) e^{i\lambda k_0}}{\phi(ik_0 + ik'_0)}$$

where l runs over $1 \dots n-1$. Your \star -product should then be

$$f \star g = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \iint e^{ir_n(k,k')x^i} dk dk'$$

$$r_0 = k_0 + k'_0$$

$$r_l = \frac{k_l \phi(k_0) + k'_l \phi(k'_0) e^{-\lambda k_0}}{\phi(k_0 + k'_0)}$$

in each case

$$\phi(a) = \frac{1 - e^{-a\lambda}}{a\lambda}$$

Essentially, in the paper on Generalised Weyl Systems, equation (4.1) requires only a minus sign in the exponent, and equation (3.10) needs to agree (which involves moving the exponent from the term on the left, to the term on the right).