

Political OS Suite

Four competing political philosophies expressed as formal constraint specifications

Worked examples of Logical Encapsulation from the [Constraint-Emergence Ontology](#). Each document defines axioms, invariants, and an evaluation algorithm that programs an LLM to reason within a specific political framework. The political domain demonstrates the method; the contribution is the logical architecture.

Start Here

[The Political Operating System](#) is the main paper. It introduces the Governance Stack model, compares all four specifications structurally, and delivers the key findings. Read it first.

After reading it, load any individual OS specification into a fresh LLM session and ask it to evaluate a political phenomenon — you will see the constraint system in action.

Documents

Main Paper

Document	Description
The Political Operating System	Entry point. Five-layer Governance Stack, structural comparison of all four OS, key findings (CJ-Theocratic isomorphism, evolved systems vs diagnostic fragments, provenance analysis).

OS Specifications

Each is a self-contained constraint system. Load one into a fresh LLM session — never two in the same session.

Document	OS	Primary Unit	Nature
Classical Liberal	Classical Liberal	Individual	Full governance system — iterative

Document	OS	Primary Unit	Nature
			optimization loop protecting four invariants (Agency, Information, Alternatives, Revocability)
<u>Marxist</u>	Marxist	Class	Diagnostic with governance gap — strong diagnosis of exploitation, vanguard gap at implementation
<u>Critical Justice</u>	Critical Justice	Intersectional identity group	Diagnostic program — analytical invariants for identifying structural power, no governance model
<u>Theocratic</u>	Theocratic	Divine order	Full governance system — divine authority with interpretation gap

Supporting Documents

Document	Purpose
<u>US Democratic Political OS</u>	The US Constitutional system mapped as an implementation of the Classical Liberal OS — where invariants are constitutionally hardened and where they depend on corruptible programs.
<u>Political OS Test Suite</u>	15 test cases with predicted results across all four OS. Six evaluation methods including hosted execution (fragment testing).

Reports

Real-world analyses applying the framework to current political events.

Report	Date	Subject
<u>Australia Invariant Analysis</u>	2026-02-16	Australian Labor government legislative programme (2022-2026) analysed through Liberal OS invariants. Tests the prediction that tradition-carried invariants are more fragile than constitution-carried invariants.

Quick Start

1. Load **Classical Liberal Political OS** into a fresh LLM session (paste the entire document as context)
2. Ask it to evaluate a political phenomenon: *“Evaluate mandatory digital identity systems using the framework’s evaluation algorithm”*
3. The LLM will reason within the constraints — triage first, then invariant test, then system state classification
4. Load a different OS (e.g., Critical Justice) in a **new session** with the same question
5. Compare the mechanically divergent results

For structured experiments, see the Test Suite.

Key Concepts

- **Invariants:** Hard constraints that must never be violated. Each OS defines four.
- **Programs:** Policies, laws, institutions — they run on the OS and can be changed. Programs can corrupt the OS.
- **Pre-Evaluation Triage** (Liberal OS): 4-step filter before invariant testing — formal encoding → enforcement asymmetry → runtime distortion → distributional artifact. Prevents both denialism and presumptive encoding.
- **Completeness-as-discovery:** The framework doesn’t assume whether a candidate is a full OS or a fragment — the analysis discovers it.
- **Iterative design:** The Liberal OS invariants protect an optimization loop, not single-step aggregation. Arrow’s Theorem explains the design rather than wounding it.

Parent Framework

This suite is a worked example of: - Constraint-Emergence Ontology — the philosophical framework - Ontology Templates — the Logical Encapsulation method used to build each OS - Emergent Reasoning — the formal model of how LLMs process constraint specifications (separate repo)