Table 1: Summary of review questions, corresponding expectations based on previous studies (when applicable), and results. Statistically significant support for/ rejection of hypotheses is indicated by checkmarks/ X's, whereas '-' indicates no significant relationship. Parentheses indicate partial overall support or rejection of hypotheses across all fluxes considered. Flux variables are defined in Table 2.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Forest autotrophic carbon fluxes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Review questions and hypothesized relationships | | Overall | *GPP* | *NPP* | *ANPP* | *ANPPstem* | *ANPPfoliage* | *BNPP* | *BNPPfine.root* | *Rauto* | *Rroot* | Support |
| **Q1. How do C fluxes vary with latitude?** | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | continuous increase with decreasing latitude1, 2, 3 | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Fig. 2 |
|  | significantly decelerating increase with decreasing latitude1, 4 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | Fig. 2 |
| **Q2. How do C fluxes vary with mean annual temperature (MAT) and precipitation (MAP)?** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | continuous increase with MAT1, 5, 6, 7 | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 3, 4, S4, S5 |
|  | increase with MAP up to ≥ 2000 mm1, 4, 7 | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 4, S4, S5 |
|  | increase with MAT x MAP8, 9 | - | - | 🗙 | - | ✔ | - | - | - | - | - | Fig. 3, Table S3 |
| **Q3. How are C fluxes related to other climate variables?** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | decelerating increase or unimodal relationship with PET | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 4, S4, S5 |
|  | decelerating increase or unimodal relationship with VPD10 | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 4, S4, S5 |
|  | increase with solar radiation11, 12 | (✔) | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | - | Figs. S4, S5 |
| **Q4. How does seasonality influence annual C fluxes?** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | decrease with temperature seasonality | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 4, S6, S7 |
|  | decrease with precipitation seasonality13, 14 | - | - | - | - | 🗙 | - | - | - | - | - | Figs. S6, S7 |
|  | increase with growing season length15, 16, 17, 18 | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | Figs. 4, S6, S7 |
|  | stronger relationship to growing season length than MAT16, 17 | (🗙) | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | - | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | 🗙 | Table S4 |
| **Q5. When standardised by growing season length, how do annual C fluxes vary with climate?** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | increase with growing season temperature17 | (✔) | - | - | ✔ | - | ✔ | - | - | - | - | Figs. S8, S9 |
|  | increase with growing season PET | (✔) | ✔ | ✔ | - | ✔ | - | ✔ | ✔ | - | - | Figs. S8, S9 |
|  | increase with growing season precipitation18 | (✔) | - | - | ✔ | - | ✔ | - | - | - | - | Figs. S8, S9 |
|  | increase with growing season solar radiation | (✔) | - | - | - | - | - | ✔ | ✔ | - | - | Figs. S8, S9 |
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