

Real Analysis 1

Forrest Kennedy

February 17, 2025

Contents

Lecture 17: 02-14-25 Lecture

Friday 14 February 2025

Example. $a_n = \frac{1}{n^2+10}$ and $\lim a_n = 0$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n^2 \left(1 + \frac{10}{n^2}\right)} \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{10}{n^2}\right)} \quad (2)$$

We know that $\lim \frac{1}{n} = 0$ so

$$(\text{By ALT}) \lim \frac{1}{n^2} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\lim \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\lim \frac{1}{1 + \frac{10}{n^2}} = 1 \quad (5)$$

$$\lim \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{10}{n^2}\right)} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Hence proved.

Theorem 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim a_n = a$ and $\lim b_n = b$.

1. If $a_n \geq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a \geq 0$
2. If $a_n \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a \leq b$
3. If $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c \leq b_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $c \leq b$. Similarly, if $a_n \leq c \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $a \leq c$.

Proof. By contradiction, assume that $a < 0$, therefore $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have

$$|a_n - a| < \frac{|a|}{2} \Rightarrow a_n - a < \frac{|a|}{2} \quad (7)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n < a + \frac{|a|}{2} < 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n < 0 \quad \forall n \geq N. \quad (9)$$

A contradiction! □

Monday 17 February 2025

Lecture 18: 02-17-25 Lecture

- DeLong Lecture today 3:30 - 4:30pm in Kitt Multipurpose room. Speaker: Prof. Laura DeMarco (Harvard)

Definition 1. A sequence (a_n) is called **increasing** if $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is called **decreasing** if $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence is called monotone if it is either increasing or decreasing.

Example. $1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, \dots$ is increasing.

Example. $1, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1, \dots$ is decreasing

Example. $1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$ is constant and monotone.

Example. $1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots$ is *not* monotone.

Theorem 2 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). If a sequence is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

Note. There are two enemies of convergence:

1. Oscillations (killed by monotone)
2. Growth (killed by boundedness)

Proof. Let (a_n) be monotone and bounded. Let us assume that (a_n) is increasing (the case for decreasing is proved similarly). Define the set

$$S = \{a_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (10)$$

As (a_n) is bounded, this means that the set S is bounded above. Let $x = \sup S$. Now we just need to show that $\lim a_n = x$ to prove the statement. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. As x is the least upper bound, $x - \varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for S . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x - \varepsilon < a_n$. Therefore, for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$x - \varepsilon < a_n \leq a_n \leq x \quad (11)$$

$$x - \varepsilon < a_n < x + \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

$$|a_n - x| < \varepsilon \quad (13)$$

Hence proved. \square

Definition 2. Let (b_n) be a sequence. An **infinite series** is a formal expression of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + \dots \quad (14)$$

We define the corresponding **sequence of partial sums**, (S_m) by

$$S_m = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_m \quad (15)$$

we say that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ **converges to B** if the sequence (S_m) converges to B . In this case, we write $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = B$.

Note. When we write the first sum, we are literally just writing symbols. If we want to assign meaning to this, we need to construct a sequence of partial sums $b_1, b_1 + b_2, b_1 + b_2 + b_3, \dots$

Example. Recall from day 1:

$$b_n = (-1)^n \quad (16)$$

$$S_1 = b_1 = -1 \quad (17)$$

$$S_2 = b_1 + b_2 = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$S_2 = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = -1 \quad (19)$$

$$\dots \quad (20)$$

Then construct the sequence:

$$(S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots) = (-1, 0, -1, 0, -1, \dots) \quad (21)$$

The sequence does not converge, therefore the series doesn't converge.

Example. Consider

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots \quad (22)$$

As all the terms in the series are positive, we observe that the sequence (S_m) is an increasing sequence. Now we will apply a trick

$$S_m = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^2} \quad (23)$$

$$< 1 + \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{(m-1)m} \quad (24)$$

$$= 1 + \left(\frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m}\right) \quad (25)$$

$$= 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{m} \quad (26)$$

$$< 2 \quad (27)$$

Therefore $S_m < 2$ for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the sequence (S_m) is bounded. As (S_m) is an increasing bounded sequence, by the monotone convergence theorem, it converges.

Note. The above is the Basel Problem. The value that it converges to was found by Euler in 1734 and surprisingly is $\frac{\pi^2}{6}$. This is connected to the Riemann Zeta function.