

# KeystrokeAuth

Forrest Pieper  
Carlo Biedenharn  
Kenneth Seibert  
Ameesh Goyal

December 10th, 2013

## Abstract

## 1 Introduction

KeystrokeAuth is an example website implementation that uses keystroke timing to provide stronger user authentication. Measuring keystroke timing is a method for passive biometric authentication. Traditional biometric authentication such as fingerprint or retinal scanners require external hardware and is not suited for web service authentication where users may login from a variety of machines. Keystroke timing can be gathered using javascript embedded in the login and registration pages. Thus this method requires no additional hardware. The user must enter her password several times during registration instead of just once or twice, but other than that this method is completely unobtrusive. Authenticating passwords with keystroke timing makes it more difficult for an attacker who possesses a user's plaintext password to compromise the account. Additionally, it discourages account sharing which may be useful for highly secure systems and premium accounts. In this paper we describe past work on the topic, introduce our example implementation called KeystrokeAuth, analyze the added security of our system, and examine a small set of test data.

## 2 Background and Related Work

TODO: forrest / carlo / kenny / ameesh  
describe various features  
[-]flight: dwell: down-down: up-up:  
describe various detectors

## 3 KeystrokeAuth Implementation

KeystrokeAuth uses javascript to capture the timestamps on each keydown and keyup event while typing the password. During registration, the user enters her password 10 times. The data is sent to the server and KeystrokeAuth computes a model specific to that user and password. When logging in, the user enters the password once and KeystrokeAuth compares the new timing data to the registered model. If the timing data differs by too much, the user will not be logged in.

### 3.1 Gathering Timing Data

TODO: forrest

Gather code, uptime, downtime – compute various features

### 3.2 Generating User Timing Model

TODO: ameesh / kenny \*\*\*make this part feature-agnostic

### 3.3 Login Timing Authentication

TODO: ameesh / kenny \*\*\*make this part feature-agnostic

## 4 Security Analysis

TODO: forrest

proof that security is not worse

strategy: make it no less convinient/difficult for users, and at least slightly more secure

## 5 Data Collection and Analysis

TODO: carlo

### 5.1 Data Overview

### 5.2 Feature Comparison

### 5.3 Error Rates

Goal: Find ideal thresholds and weights for each feature that give 1% false negative rate ideal would be .001% false positive, but anything less than 100% is an improvement over state of the art Compromise: increase false positive rate to accomodate 1% false negative

## 6 Conclusion

TODO: forrest / carlo / kenny / ameesh

## References

- [3] Killourhy, Kevin S., and Roy A. Maxion. “Comparing anomaly-detection algorithms for keystroke dynamics.” *Dependable Systems & Networks, 2009. DSN’09. IEEE/IFIP International Conference on*. IEEE, 2009.
- [2] Cho, Sungzoon, et al. “Web-based keystroke dynamics identity verification using neural network.” *Journal of organizational computing and electronic commerce* 10.4 (2000): 295-307.