

빅 데이터 혁신 공유 대학

파이썬으로 배우는 데이터 구조

한동대학교 전산전자공학부

김영섭 교수



교육부



한국연구재단



Data Structures in Python

Chapter 5 - 2

- Merge sort
- Quick sort Algorithm
- **Quick sort Analysis**
- Empirical Analysis

Agenda & Readings

- Agenda
 - Quicksort Analysis
 - Time Complexity
- Reference:
 - Problem Solving with Algorithms and Data Structures
 - Chapter 5 Search, Sorting and Hashing: [Quicksort](#)
 - Wikipedia [Quick sort](#)
 - [\[알고리즘\] 퀵정렬](#)

Partition Code

```
# This function takes the last element as pivot, places the pivot element at its  
# correct position in sorted array, and places all smaller (less than pivot) to  
# left of pivot and all greater elements to right of pivot.
```

```
def partition(a, lo, hi):  
    pivot = a[hi]                                # pivot  
    i = lo - 1;                                  # last index of smaller element on the left  
    j = lo  
    while j <= hi - 1:                            # traverse the array  
        if a[j] < pivot:                          # look for element less than pivot  
            i += 1                                # increment only when a[j] is less than pivot  
            if i != j:                            # swap: smaller to left and greater to right  
                a[j], a[i] = a[i], a[j]  
        j += 1  
  
    a[hi], a[i+1] = a[i+1], a[hi]                # move the pivot at the position sorted  
    return i + 1                                # return index where pivot moved and sorted
```

Partition Code

qsort helper function for recursive operation

```
def qsort(a, lo, hi):
```

```
    if lo >= hi: return
```

done, we have an empty array

```
    pi = partition(a, lo, hi)
```

partition, get index of the pivot sorted

```
    qsort(a, lo, pi - 1)
```

sort left of the pivot

```
    qsort(a, pi + 1, hi)
```

sort right of the pivot

```
def quicksort(a):
```

```
    qsort(a, 0, len(a) - 1)
```

```
if __name__ == "__main__":
```

```
    a = [32, 23, 81, 43, 92, 39, 57, 16, 75, 65]
```

```
    print('          input:', a)
```

```
    quicksort(a)
```

```
    print('          output:', a)
```

while j traverses from low to hi-1
i increments only when a[j] < pivot

```
def partition(a, lo, hi):
```

```
    pivot = a[hi]
```

```
    i = lo - 1;
```

```
    j = lo
```

```
    while j <= hi - 1:
```

scan

```
        if a[j] < pivot:
```

```
            i += 1
```

```
            if i != j:
```

swap

```
                a[j], a[i] = a[i], a[j]
```

```
            j += 1
```

```
    a[hi], a[i+1] = a[i+1], a[hi]
```

sorted

```
    return i + 1
```

Partition Code

qsort helper function for recursive operation

```
def qsort(a, lo, hi):
```

```
    if lo >= hi: return
```

```
    pi = partition(a, lo, hi)
```

```
    qsort(a, lo, pi - 1)
```

```
    qsort(a, pi + 1, hi)
```

```
def quicksort(a):
```

```
    qsort(a, 0, len(a) - 1)
```

```
if __name__ == "__main__":
```

```
    a = [32, 23, 81, 43, 92, 39, 57, 16, 75, 65]
```

```
    print('        input:', a)
```

```
    quicksort(a)
```

```
    print('        output:', a)
```

swap(6,9)

swap(i+1,hi)

32	23	43	39	57	16	65	81	75	92
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

$a[] \leq \text{pivot}$

pivot
sorted

$a[] \geq \text{pivot}$

recursively

sort left

sort right

i+1

partition()

pi

returns (i+1)

while j traverses from low to hi-1
i increments only when $a[j] < \text{pivot}$

```
def partition(a, lo, hi):
```

```
    pivot = a[hi]
```

```
    i = lo - 1;
```

```
    j = lo
```

```
    while j <= hi - 1:
```

scan

```
        if a[j] < pivot:
```

```
            i += 1
```

```
            if i != j:
```

swap

```
                a[j], a[i] = a[i], a[j]
```

```
            j += 1
```

```
    a[hi], a[i+1] = a[i+1], a[hi]
```

sorted

```
    return i + 1
```

Quick sort Code

```
input: [32, 23, 81, 43, 92, 39, 57, 16, 75, 65]
partition: 0 ~ 9
swap (2 3):(43 81) [32, 23, 43, 81, 92, 39, 57, 16, 75, 65]
swap (3 5):(39 81) [32, 23, 43, 39, 92, 81, 57, 16, 75, 65]
swap (4 6):(57 92) [32, 23, 43, 39, 57, 81, 92, 16, 75, 65]
swap (5 7):(16 81) [32, 23, 43, 39, 57, 16, 92, 81, 75, 65]
move pivot: 9->6
partitioned(65) [32, 23, 43, 39, 57, 16, 65, 81, 75, 92] returns 6
sort(le): 0 ~ 5
partition: 0 ~ 5
move pivot: 5->0
partitioned(16) [16, 23, 43, 39, 57, 32] returns 0
sort(le): 0 ~ -1
sort(ri): 1 ~ 5
partition: 1 ~ 5
move pivot: 5->2
partitioned(32) [23, 32, 39, 57, 43] returns 2
sort(le): 1 ~ 1
sort(ri): 3 ~ 5
partition: 3 ~ 5
move pivot: 5->4
...
```

Quick sort Code

```
partitioned(43) [39, 43, 57] returns 4
sort(le): 3 ~ 3
sort(ri): 5 ~ 5
sort(ri): 7 ~ 9
partition: 7 ~ 9
move pivot: 9->9
partitioned(92) [81, 75, 92] returns 9
sort(le): 7 ~ 8
partition: 7 ~ 8
move pivot: 8->7
partitioned(75) [75, 81] returns 7
sort(le): 7 ~ 6
sort(ri): 8 ~ 8
sort(ri): 10 ~ 9
output: [16, 23, 32, 39, 43, 57, 65, 75, 81, 92]
```


Choosing a better pivot

- Choosing the first or last element as the pivot leads to very poor performance on certain inputs (ascending, descending) does **not** the array into roughly-equal size chunks.
- Alternative methods of picking a pivot:
 - random: Pick a random index from `[min .. max]`
 - median-of-3: look at left/middle/right elements and pick the one with the medium value of the three:
 - `a[min]`, `a[(max+min)/2]`, and `a[max]`
 - better performance than picking random numbers every time
 - provides near-optimal runtime for almost all input orderings

index	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
value	8	18	91	-4	27	30	86	50	65	78	5	56	2	25	42	98	31

Stable sorting

- Stable sort: Sorting that maintains relative order of "equal" elements.
 - It is important for secondary sorting, e.g.
 - E.g., in Excel, we may sort by name, then sort again by age, then by salary, ..., then we want to maintain relative orders of others.
- Many sorting algorithms are **stable** such as bubble sort, insertion sort, shell sort and merge sort.
- Quick sort is **not** stable.
 - The partitioning algorithm can reverse the order of "equal" elements.
 - For this reason, Java's Arrays/Collections.sort() use merge sort.

Unstable sort example

- Suppose you want to sort these points (x, y) **by y first, then by x** :
 - $[(4, 2), (5, 7), (3, 7), (3, 1)]$
- A stable sort like merge sort would do it this way:
 - $[(3, 1), (4, 2), (5, 7), (3, 7)]$ sort by y
 - $[(3, 1), (3, 7), (4, 2), (5, 7)]$ sort by x
 - Note that the relative order of $(3, 1)$ and $(3, 7)$ is maintained.
- Quick sort might leave them in the following state:
 - $[(3, 1), (4, 2), (5, 7), (3, 7)]$ sort by y
 - $[(3, 7), (3, 1), (4, 2), (5, 7)]$ sort by x
 - Note that the relative order of $(3, 1)$ and $(3, 7)$ has reversed.

Time Complexity - the worst case

- The most unbalanced (worst) partition occurs when one of the sublists returned by the partitioning routine is of size $n - 1$.
 - This may occur if the pivot happens to be the smallest or largest element in the list.
 - If this happens repeatedly in every partition, then each recursive call processes a list of size one less than the previous list. Consequently, we can make $n - 1$ nested calls before we reach a list of size 1.
 - A single quicksort call involves $O(n)$ work plus two recursive calls on lists of size 0 and $n-1$, so the recurrence relation is

$$T(n) = n + T(0) + T(n - 1) \quad (1)$$

$$= n + T(n - 1) \quad (2)$$

$$= n + (n - 1) + T(n - 2) \quad (3)$$

$$\dots \quad (4)$$

$$= n + (n - 1) + (n - 2) + \dots + T(0) \quad (5)$$

- Therefore, it solves to the worst case $T(n) = O(n^2)$.

Time Complexity - the most balanced case

- In this case, a single quicksort call involves $O(n)$ work plus two recursive calls on lists of size $n/2$, so the recurrence relation is. The timing for a list of size 1 is constant, i.e., $T(1) = 1$.

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \quad (1)$$

$$= 2(2T\left(\frac{n}{2^2}\right)) + n + n \quad (2)$$

$$= 2(2(2T\left(\frac{n}{2^3}\right))) + n + n + n \quad (3)$$

$$= 2^4 T\left(\frac{n}{2^4}\right) + 4n \quad (4)$$

$$= \dots \quad (5)$$

$$= 2^k T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + kn \quad (6)$$

- Since the base case, $T(1) = T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right)$, occurs when $n = 2^k$. That is, $k = \log_2 n$.

$$T(n) = n \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{n}\right) + n \cdot \log_2 n = n + n \cdot \log_2 n \quad (1)$$

- Therefore, it solves to the balanced (or average) case is $O(n \log_2 n)$.

Quick sort - Exercise

- Suppose we have an array of size 7 that consists of values from 11 to 17. For example, $a = [12, 11, 13, 17, 15, 14, 16]$.
- Find two sequences that may show the worst case and the best case of the quick sort.
 - Count the number of partition function calls.
 - For each partition, show the result, number of comparisons and swaps.

Summary

Algorithm	Best	Worst	Average	Extra Memory	
Bubble	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	slow
Selection	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	
Insertion	$O(n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$	Good if often almost sorted
Shell	$O(n)$	$O(n (\log n)^2)$	$O(n (\log n)^2)$	$O(1)$	
Merge	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n)$	Good for very large datasets
Quick	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n^2)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n)$	Faster than merge sort in general
Heap	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(1)$	Best if $O(n \log n)$ required
Tim	$O(n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n)$	used in Python, hybrid of merge sort and insertion sort

- Note: A comparison-based sorting algorithm cannot be better than $O(n \log n)$ in the average and worst case.

Data Structures in Python

Chapter 5 - 2

- Merge sort
- Quick sort Algorithm
- **Quick sort Analysis**
- Empirical Analysis