

Type Reconstruction and Polymorphism

Week 9

based on slides by Martin Odersky

1

Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

Type checking: Given Γ , t and T , check whether $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

Type reconstruction: Given Γ and t , find a type T such that $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

Idea: : We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

2

From Judgements to Equations

$TP : \text{Judgement} \rightarrow \text{Equations}$

$TP(\Gamma \vdash t : T) =$

case t of

$x \quad : \quad \{\Gamma(x) \doteq T\}$

$\lambda x.t' \quad : \quad \text{let } a, b \text{ fresh in}$

$\{(a \rightarrow b) \doteq T\} \cup$

$TP(\Gamma, x : a \vdash t' : b)$

$t \ t' \quad : \quad \text{let } a \text{ fresh in}$

$TP(\Gamma \vdash t : a \rightarrow T) \cup$

$TP(\Gamma \vdash t' : a)$

3

Example

Let $\text{twice} = \lambda f.\lambda x.f(f(x))$.

Then twice gives rise to the following equations (see blackboard).

4

Soundness and Completeness I

Definition: In general, a type reconstruction algorithm \mathcal{A} assigns to an environment Γ and a term t a set of types $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$.

The algorithm is **sound** if for every type $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$ we can prove the judgement $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

The algorithm is **complete** if for every provable judgement $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ we have that $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$.

5

Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \vdash t : T \quad \text{iff} \quad & \exists \bar{b}. [T/a]EQNS \\ \text{where} \quad & \\ & a \text{ is a new type variable} \\ & EQNS = TP(\Gamma \vdash t : a) \\ & \bar{b} = tv(EQNS) \setminus tv(\Gamma) \end{aligned}$$

Here, tv denotes the set of free type variables (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

6

Type Reconstruction and Unification

Problem: : Transform set of equations

$$\{T_i \hat{=} U_i\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$$

into equivalent substitution

$$\{a_j \mapsto T_j'\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$$a_j \notin tv(T_k') \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, k = j, \dots, n$$

7

Substitutions

A **substitution** s is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

We often represent a substitution s as a set of equations $a \hat{=} T$ with a not in $tv(T)$.

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by defining

$$\begin{aligned} s(T \rightarrow U) &= sT \rightarrow sU \\ s(K[T_1, \dots, T_n]) &= K[sT_1, \dots, sT_n] \end{aligned}$$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e. $s(s(T)) = s(T)$. (why?)

The \circ operator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions): $(f \circ g) x = f(gx)$.

8

A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

$$\begin{aligned}
 mgu & : (Type \doteq Type) \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst \\
 mgu(T \doteq U) s & = mgu'(sT \doteq sU) s \\
 mgu'(a \doteq a) s & = s \\
 mgu'(a \doteq T) s & = s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} \quad \text{if } a \notin tv(T) \\
 mgu'(T \doteq a) s & = s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} \quad \text{if } a \notin tv(T) \\
 mgu'(T \rightarrow T' \doteq U \rightarrow U') s & = (mgu(T' \doteq U') \circ mgu(T \doteq U)) s \\
 mgu'(K[T_1, \dots, T_n] \doteq K[U_1, \dots, U_n]) s & = (mgu(T_n \doteq U_n) \circ \dots \circ mgu(T_1 \doteq U_1)) s \\
 mgu'(T \doteq U) s & = \text{error} \quad \text{in all other cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

9

Soundness and Completeness of Unification

Definition: A substitution u is a **unifier** of a set of equations $\{T_i \doteq U_i\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$ if $uT_i = uU_i$, for all i . It is a **most general unifier** if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that $u' = s \circ u$.

Theorem: Given a set of equations $EQNS$. If $EQNS$ has a unifier then $mgu\ EQNS\ \{\}$ computes the most general unifier of $EQNS$. If $EQNS$ has no unifier then $mgu\ EQNS\ \{\}$ fails.

10

From Judgements to Substitutions

$$\begin{aligned}
 TP & : Judgement \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst \\
 TP(\Gamma \vdash t : T) & = \\
 \quad \text{case } t \text{ of} & \\
 \quad x & : mgu(newInstance(\Gamma(x)) \doteq T) \\
 \quad \lambda x. t' & : \text{let } a, b \text{ fresh in} \\
 & \quad mgu((a \rightarrow b) \doteq T) \circ \\
 & \quad TP(\Gamma, x : a \vdash t' : b) \\
 \quad t \ t' & : \text{let } a \text{ fresh in} \\
 & \quad TP(\Gamma \vdash t : a \rightarrow T) \circ \\
 & \quad TP(\Gamma \vdash t' : a)
 \end{aligned}$$

11

Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma \vdash t : T \quad \text{iff} \quad T = r(s(a)) \\
 \text{where} \\
 a \text{ is a new type variable} \\
 s = TP(\Gamma \vdash t : a)\ \{\} \\
 r \text{ is a substitution on } tv(s\ a) \setminus tv(s\ \Gamma)
 \end{aligned}$$

12

Strong Normalization

Question: Can Ω be given a type?

$$\Omega = (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) : ?$$

What about Y ?

Self-application is not typable!

In fact, we have more:

Theorem: (Strong Normalization) If $\vdash t : T$, then there is a value V such that $t \rightarrow^* V$.

Corollary: Simply typed lambda calculus is not Turing complete.

13

Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types.

But a variable or parameter has only one type.

Example:

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

$$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y) : a \rightarrow a$$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called **polymorphic**.

14

Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

Example: map

```
def map f xs =  
  if (isEmpty (xs)) nil  
  else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))  
...  
names: List[String]  
nums : List[Int]  
...  
map toUpperCase names  
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

15

Explicit Polymorphism

We introduce a polymorphic type $\forall a.T$, which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of \forall 's explicit.

Typing rules:

$$(\forall E) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \vdash t[U] : [U/a]T} \quad (\forall I) \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda a.t : \forall a.T}$$

16

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a -> b) (xs: List[a]) =
  if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) nil [a]
  else cons [b] (f (head [a] xs)) (map [a][b] (f, tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

17

Translating to System F

The translation of map into a System-F term is as follows: (See blackboard)

18

Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantiations.

Idea: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of **type schemes**. Syntax:

Type Scheme $S ::= T \mid \forall a. S$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a `val` construct.

The resulting type system is called the **Hindley/Milner system**, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses `let ... in ...` rather than `val ... ; ...`).

19

Hindley/Milner Typing rules

(VAR) $\Gamma, x : S, \Gamma' \vdash x : S \quad (x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma'))$

(\forall E) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a. T}{\Gamma \vdash t : [U/a]T} \quad (\forall$ I) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \quad a \notin \text{tv}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall a. T}$

(LET) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : S \quad \Gamma, x : S \vdash t' : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : T}$

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

(\rightarrow I) $\frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash t : U}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t : T \rightarrow U} \quad (\rightarrow$ E) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash N : T}{\Gamma \vdash M N : U}$

20

Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for **let** expressions and refine the rules for variables.

21

$TP : \text{Judgement} \rightarrow \text{Subst} \rightarrow \text{Subst}$

$TP(\Gamma \vdash t : T) s =$

case t of

...

let $x = t_1$ in t_2 : let a, b fresh in

let $s_1 = TP(\Gamma \vdash t_1 : a)$ in

$TP(\Gamma, x : \text{gen}(s_1 \Gamma, s_1 a) \vdash t_2 : b) s_1$

where $\text{gen}(\Gamma, T) = \forall tv(T) \setminus tv(\Gamma). T$.

22

Variables in Environments

When comparing with the type of a variable in an environment, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

$\text{newInstance}(\forall a_1, \dots, a_n. S) =$

let b_1, \dots, b_n fresh in

$[b_1/a_1, \dots, b_n/a_n]S$

$TP(\Gamma \vdash t : T) =$

case t of

$x : \{ \text{newInstance}(\Gamma(x)) \doteq T \}$

...

23

Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
let map = λf.λxs in
  if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
  else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
...
// names: List[String]
// nums : List[Int]
// map : ∀a.∀b.(a → b) → List[a] → List[b]
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

24

Limitations of Hindley/Milner

Hindley/Milner still does not allow parameter types to be polymorphic.
I.e.

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

$$\text{let } id = \lambda y.y \text{ in } id \ id$$

With explicit polymorphism the expression could be completed to a well-typed term:

$$(\Lambda a.\lambda x : (\forall a : a \rightarrow a).x[a \rightarrow a](x[a]))(\Lambda b.\lambda y.y)$$

25

The Essence of let

We regard

$$\text{let } x = t \text{ in } t'$$

as a shorthand for

$$[t/x]t'$$

We use this equivalence to get a revised Hindley/Milner system.

Definition: Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule (LET) from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

$$(LET') \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T \quad \Gamma \vdash [t/x]t' : U}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' : U}$$

26

Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : S$ iff $\Gamma \vdash_{HM'} t : S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus F_1 :

Corollary: Let t^* be the result of expanding all **let**'s in t according to the rule

$$\text{let } x = t \text{ in } t' \rightarrow [t/x]t'$$

Then

$$\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : T \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^* : T$$

Furthermore, if every **let**-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^* : T \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : T$$

27

Principal Types

Definition: A type T is a **generic instance** of a type scheme $S = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \forall \alpha_n. T'$ if there is a substitution s on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $T = sT'$. We write in this case $S \leq T$.

Definition: A type scheme S' is a generic instance of a type scheme S iff for all types T

$$S' \leq T \Rightarrow S \leq T$$

We write in this case $S \leq S'$.

28

Definition: A type scheme S is *principal* (or: *most general*) for Γ and t iff

- $\Gamma \vdash t : S$
- $\Gamma \vdash t : S'$ implies $S \leq S'$

29

Definition: A type system TS has the *principal typing property* iff, whenever $\Gamma \vdash_{TS} t : S$ then there exists a principal type scheme for Γ and t .

Theorem:

1. HM' without *let* has the p.t.p.
2. HM' with *let* has the p.t.p.
3. HM has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch: (1.): Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus. (2.): Expand all *let*'s and apply (1.). (3.): Use equivalence between HM and HM' .

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for HM . But in practice one takes a more direct approach.

30

Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means “having many forms”.

Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- *Universal polymorphism*, sometimes also called *generic types*: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- *Inclusion polymorphism*, sometimes also called *subtyping*: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- *Ad-hoc polymorphism*, sometimes also called *overloading*: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: *explicit* or *implicit*.

31