# Type Reconstruction and Polymorphism

Week 9 based on slides by Martin Odersky

1

# Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

**Type checking:** Given  $\Gamma$ , t and T, check whether  $\Gamma \vdash t:T$  **Type reconstruction:** Given  $\Gamma$  and t, find a type T such that

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

Idea: : We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

2

## From Judgements to Equations

```
\begin{split} TP: Judgement &\rightarrow Equations \\ TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) = \\ & \textbf{case } t \text{ of} \\ & x & : & \{\Gamma(x) \triangleq T\} \\ & \lambda x.t' & : & \textbf{let } a, b \text{ fresh in} \\ & & \{(a \rightarrow b) \triangleq T\} \quad \cup \\ & & TP(\Gamma, x: a \vdash t':b) \\ & t \ t' & : & \textbf{let } a \text{ fresh in} \\ & & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t: a \rightarrow T) \quad \cup \\ & & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t':a) \end{split}
```

## Example

Let twice  $=\lambda f.\lambda x.f(f(x)).$ 

Then twice gives rise to the following equations (see blackboard).

#### Soundness and Completeness I

**Definition:** In general, a type reconstruction algorithm  $\mathcal A$  assigns to an environment  $\Gamma$  and a term t a set of types  $\mathcal A(\Gamma,t)$ .

The algorithm is sound if for every type  $T\in\mathcal{A}(\Gamma,t)$  we can prove the judgement  $\Gamma\vdash t:T.$ 

The algorithm is complete if for every provable judgement  $\Gamma \vdash t:T$  we have that  $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma,t).$ 

Ę

 ${\bf Theorem:} \quad {\bf \it TP} \ {\rm is \ sound \ and \ complete}. \ {\rm Specifically:}$ 

```
\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T & \mbox{iff} & \exists \overline{b}.[T/a]EQNS \\ & \mbox{ \ensuremath{\textbf{where}}} \\ & a \mbox{ is a new type variable} \\ & EQNS = TP(\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:a) \\ & \overline{b} = tv(EQNS)\backslash tv(\Gamma) \end{array}
```

Here, tv denotes the set of free type varibales (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

6

## Type Reconstruction and Unification

**Problem:** : Transform set of equations

$$\{T_i \stackrel{.}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,\,...,\,m}$$

 $into\ equivalent\ substitution$ 

$$\{a_j \mapsto T'_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n}$$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$$a_j\not\in tv(T_k')\quad\text{for }j=1,\,\ldots,\,n,k=j,\,\ldots,\,n$$

7

#### Substitutions

A substitution s is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

We often represent a substitution s as a set of equations  $a \mathbin{\hat{=}} T$  with a not in tv(T).

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by definining

$$s(T \to U) = sT \to sU$$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e.  $s(s(T)) = s(T). \ \mbox{(why?)}$ 

The  $\circ$  operator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions):  $(f\circ g)\ x=f(gx)$ .

#### A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

```
\begin{array}{llll} mgu & : & (Type \mathbin{\hat{=}} Type) \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst \\ mgu(T\mathbin{\hat{=}} U) \ s & = & mgu'(sT\mathbin{\hat{=}} sU) \ s \\ mgu'(a\mathbin{\hat{=}} a) \ s & = & s \\ mgu'(a\mathbin{\hat{=}} T) \ s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} & \text{ if } a \not\in tv(T) \\ mgu'(T\mathbin{\hat{=}} a) \ s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} & \text{ if } a \not\in tv(T) \\ mgu'(T \rightarrow T'\mathbin{\hat{=}} U \rightarrow U') \ s & = & (mgu(T'\mathbin{\hat{=}} U') \circ mgu(T\mathbin{\hat{=}} U)) \ s \\ mgu'(T\mathbin{\hat{=}} U) \ s & = & error & \text{ in all other cases} \\ \end{array}
```

9

#### Soundness and Completeness of Unification

**Definition:** A substitution u is a unifier of a set of equations  $\{T_i \stackrel{.}{=} U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$  if  $uT_i = uU_i$ , for all i. It is a most general unifier if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that  $u' = s \circ u$ .

**Theorem:** Given a set of equations EQNS. If EQNS has a unifier then  $mgu\ EQNS\ \{\}$  computes the most general unifier of EQNS. If EQNS has no unifier then  $mgu\ EQNS\ \{\}$  fails.

10

## From Judgements to Substitutions

## Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

**Theorem:** TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

```
\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T & \mbox{iff} & T=r(s(a)) \\ & & \mbox{where} \\ & a \mbox{ is a new type variable} \\ & s=TP \ (\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:a) \ \{\} \\ & r \mbox{ is a substitution on } tv(s \ a) \backslash tv(s \ \Gamma) \end{array}
```

#### Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type.

Example:

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain  $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$ 

$$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y):a\to a$$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called polymorphic.

13

#### Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

```
Example: map

def map f xs =
   if (isEmpty (xs)) nil
   else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f, tail xs))
   ...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
   ...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

1.

## Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms" .

Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called generic types: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- Inclusion polymorphism, sometimes also called subtyping: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called overloading: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: explicit or implicit.

15

## **Explicit Polymorphism**

We introduce a polymorphic type  $\forall a.T$ , which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of  $\forall$ 's explicit.

$$(\forall \mathbf{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall a.T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t[U] : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma, a \ \vdash \ t : T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \Lambda a.t : \forall a.T}$$

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

#### Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a => b) (xs: List[a]) =
   if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) nil [b]
   else cons [b] (f (head [a] xs)) (map [a][b] (f) (tail [a] xs))
   ...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
   ...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

#### Translating to System F

The translation of map into a System-F term is as follows: (See blackboard)  $\,$ 

18

## Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

**Idea:** In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of type schemes. Syntax:

$$\label{eq:continuous} \text{Type Scheme} \quad S \quad ::= \quad T \ \mid \ \forall a.S$$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a  ${\tt val}$  construct.

The resulting type system is called the Hindley/Milner system, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses let  $\dots$  in  $\dots$  rather than val  $\dots$ ;  $\dots$ ).

19

# Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$(\mathrm{VAR}) \ \Gamma, x: {\color{red} S}, \Gamma' \ \vdash \ x: {\color{red} S} \qquad (x \not\in dom(\Gamma'))$$

$$(\forall \mathbf{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall \mathbf{a}.T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : [U/a]T} \qquad (\forall \mathbf{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma, a \ \vdash \ t : T \qquad a \not\in tv(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t : \forall \mathbf{a}.T}$$

$$\text{(Let)} \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t: \textit{\textbf{S}} \qquad \Gamma, x: \textit{\textbf{S}} \ \vdash \ t': T}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \textit{\textbf{let}} \ x = t \ \textit{\textbf{in}} \ t': T}$$

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$(\rightarrow \hspace{-0.1cm} \text{I}) \ \frac{\Gamma, x: T \vdash t: U}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. t: T \rightarrow U} (\rightarrow \hspace{-0.1cm} \text{E}) \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: T \rightarrow U \quad \Gamma \vdash N: T}{\Gamma \vdash M N: U}$$

## Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for  ${\it let}$  expressions and refine the rules for variables.

21

```
TP: Judgement \rightarrow Subst \rightarrow Subst TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) \ s = \textbf{case } t \ \textbf{of} ... \textbf{let } x = t_1 \ \textbf{in } t_2 \ : \ \textbf{let } a, b \ \textbf{fresh in} \textbf{let } s_1 = TP \ (\Gamma \vdash t_1:a) \ \textbf{in} TP \ (\Gamma, x: \textbf{gen}(s_1 \ \Gamma, s_1 \ a) \ \vdash t_2:b) \ s_1 where \textbf{gen}(\Gamma, T) \ = \ \forall tv(T) \backslash tv(\Gamma).T.
```

#### Variables in Environments

When comparing with the type of a variable in an environment, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

```
\begin{split} newInstance(\forall a_1, \, \dots, \, a_n.S) &= \\ & \textbf{let} \ b_1, \, \dots, \, b_n \ \text{fresh} \ \textbf{in} \\ & [b_1/a_1, \, \dots, \, b_n/a_n]S \\ & TP(\Gamma \vdash t : T) &= \\ & \textbf{case} \ t \ \textbf{of} \\ & x & : & \{newInstance(\Gamma(x)) \triangleq T\} \\ & \dots \end{split}
```

23

## Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
let map = \lambda f. \lambda xs in if (isEmpty xs) nil else cons (f (head xs)) (map f (tail xs)) ...

// names: List[String]

// nums: List[Int]

// map : \forall a. \forall b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow List[a] \rightarrow List[b]

...

map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

## Limitations of Hindley/Milner

Hindley/Milner still does not allow parameter types to be polymorphic. Le

$$(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda y.y)$$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

$$\mathbf{let}\ id = \lambda y.y\ \mathbf{in}\ id\ id$$

With explicit polymorphism the expression could be completed to a well-typed term:

$$(\Lambda a.\lambda x: (\forall b: b \to b). x[a \to a](x[a]))(\Lambda c.\lambda y: c. y)$$

25

#### The Essence of let

We regard

 $\mathbf{let}\; x = t\; \mathbf{in}\; t'$ 

as a shorthand for

[t/x]t'

We use this equivalence to get a revised  $Hindley/Milner\ system.$ 

**Definition:** Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule (LET) from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

$$\text{(Let')} \ \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ t:T \qquad \Gamma \ \vdash \ [t/x]t':U}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ \textbf{let} \ x = t \ \ \textbf{in} \ t':U}$$

26

# **Theorem:** $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : S \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{HM'} t : S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus  $F_1$ :

Corollary: Let  $t^{st}$  be the result of expanding all  ${\it let}$ 's in t according to the rule

$$\mathbf{let} \; x = t \; \mathbf{in} \; t' \quad \rightarrow \quad [t/x]t'$$

Then

$$\Gamma \; \vdash_{HM} \; t:T \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Gamma \; \vdash_{F_1} \; t^*:T$$

Furthermore, if every  ${\it let}$ -bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \; \vdash_{F_1} \; t^* : T \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \Gamma \; \vdash_{HM} \; t : T$$

27

## Principal Types

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Definition:} & \textbf{A type } T \text{ is a generic instance of a type scheme} \\ S = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \forall \alpha_n.T' \text{ if there is a substitution } s \text{ on } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \text{ such that } T = sT'. \text{ We write in this case } S \leq T. \end{array}$ 

$$S' \leq T \ \Rightarrow \ S \leq T$$

We write in this case  $S \leq S'$ .

- $\bullet \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ t : S$
- $\bullet \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ t:S' \ \text{implies} \ S \leq S'$

20

**Definition:** A type system TS has the principal typing property iff, whenever  $\Gamma \vdash_{TS} t: S$  then there exists a principal type scheme for  $\Gamma$  and t.

#### Theorem:

- 1. HM' without let has the p.t.p.
- 2. HM' with let has the p.t.p.
- 3. *HM* has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch: (1.): Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus. (2.): Expand all  ${\it let}$ 's and apply (1.). (3.): Use equivalence between  ${\it HM}$  and  ${\it HM}'$ .

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for  $\overline{HM}$ . But in practice one takes a more direct approach.