# Foundations of Software Fall 2023

Week 9 based on slides by Martin Odersky

1

## Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

► Type checking:

Given  $\Gamma$ , t and T, check whether  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ 

► Type reconstruction:

Given  $\Gamma$  and t, find a type T such that  $\Gamma \vdash t \,:\, T$ 

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

**Idea**: We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

2

## From Judgements to Equations

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{TP}: \textit{Judgement} \longrightarrow \textit{Equations} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T}) = \\ \mathsf{case} \ \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ \mathsf{x} \qquad : \ \{\Gamma(\mathsf{x}) \triangleq \mathsf{T}\} \\ \lambda \mathsf{x} . \, \mathsf{t}_1 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ \mathit{a}, \mathit{b} \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \quad \{(\mathit{a} \rightarrow \mathit{b}) \triangleq \mathsf{T}\} \quad \cup \\ \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : \mathit{a} \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \mathit{b}) \\ \mathsf{t}_1 \ \ \mathsf{t}_2 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ \mathit{a} \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \mathit{a} \rightarrow \mathsf{T}) \quad \cup \\ \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : \mathit{a}) \end{array}
```

Example

Let twice =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.f$  (f x). Then twice gives rise to the following equations (see blackboard).

## Soundness and Completeness I

0.1 Definition: In general, a type reconstruction algorithm  $\mathcal A$  assigns to an environment  $\Gamma$  and a term  $\mathbf t$  a set of types  $\mathcal A(\Gamma,\mathbf t)$ . The algorithm is sound if for every type  $T\in\mathcal A(\Gamma,\mathbf t)$  we can prove the judgement  $\Gamma\vdash\mathbf t$ : T.

The algorithm is *complete* if for every provable judgement  $\Gamma \vdash t : T$  we have that  $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$ .

0.2 Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{T} & \text{iff} & \exists \overline{D}. [a \mapsto \mathtt{T}] EQNS \\ & \text{where} \\ & a \text{ is a new type variable} \\ & EQNS = \mathtt{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : a) \\ & \overline{b} = FV(EQNS) \setminus FV(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

Here, FV denotes the set of free type variables (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

5

#### Type Reconstruction and Unification

Problem: Transform set of equations

$$\{\mathtt{T}_i \hat{=} \mathtt{U}_i\}_{i=1,\,\ldots,\,m}$$

into an equivalent substitution

$$\{a_j \mapsto \mathbf{T}'_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n}$$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$$a_j \notin FV(T'_k)$$
 for  $j = 1, \ldots, n, k = j, \ldots, n$ 

#### Substitutions

A  $substitution\ s$  is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

We often represent a substitution s as a set of equations a = T with a not in FV(T).

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by definining

$$s(T \rightarrow U) = sT \rightarrow sU$$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e. s(s(T)) = s(T). (why?)

The  $\circ$  operator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions):  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$ .

8

### A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

```
\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{mgu} & : & (\operatorname{\textit{Type}} = \operatorname{\textit{Type}}) \to \operatorname{\textit{Subst}} \to \operatorname{\textit{Subst}} \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu}}(T = \mathbb{U})s & = & \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(sT = s\mathbb{U})s \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(a = a)s & = & s \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(T = a)s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} & \text{if } a \not \in FV(T) \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(T = a)s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto T\} & \text{if } a \not \in FV(T) \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(T_1 \to T_2 = \mathbb{U}_1 \to \mathbb{U}_2)s & = & (\operatorname{\textit{mgu}}(T_2 = \mathbb{U}_2) \circ \operatorname{\textit{mgu}}(T_1 = \mathbb{U}_1))s \\ \operatorname{\textit{mgu'}}(T = \mathbb{U})s & = & error & \text{in all other cases} \\ \end{array}
```

## Soundness and Completeness of Unification

0.3 Definition: A substitution u is a unifier of a set of equations  $\{T_i = U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$  if  $uT_i = uU_i$ , for all i. It is a most general unifier if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that  $u' = s \circ u$ .

0.4 Theorem: Given a set of equations EQNS. If EQNS has a unifier then  $mgu(EQNS)(\emptyset)$  computes the most general unifier of EQNS. If EQNS has no unifier then  $mgu(EQNS)(\emptyset)$  fails.

10

#### From Judgements to Substitutions

```
\begin{array}{lll} TP: \textit{Judgement} \rightarrow \textit{Subst} \rightarrow \textit{Subst} \\ TP(\Gamma \vdash t:T) = & \\ \text{case } t \text{ of} \\ & x & : & \text{mgu}(\Gamma(x) \hat{=} T) \\ & \lambda x. t_1 : & \text{let } a, b \text{ fresh in} \\ & & \text{mgu}((a \rightarrow b) \hat{=} T) & \circ \\ & & & TP(\Gamma, x: a \vdash t_1:b) \\ & t_1 \ t_2 : & \text{let } a \text{ fresh in} \\ & & & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t_1: a \rightarrow T) & \circ \\ & & & & TP(\Gamma \vdash t_2:a) \end{array}
```

#### Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

0.5 Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{T} & \text{iff} & \mathtt{T} = r(s(a)) \\ & \text{where} \\ & a \text{ is a new type variable} \\ & s = \mathtt{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : a)(\emptyset) \\ & r \text{ is a substitution on } FV(s(a)) \setminus FV(s(\Gamma)) \end{array}
```

11

9

## Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type. Example:

$$(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda y.y)$$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

$$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y): a \rightarrow a$$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called *polymorphic*.

13

#### Polymorphism in Programming

Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.

Example: map

```
def map f xs =
  if (isEmpty xs) then nil
  else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f (tail xs)))
```

names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]

map toUpperCase names
map increment nums

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

14

#### Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms". Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called generic types: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- Inclusion polymorphism, sometimes also called subtyping: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called overloading: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: explicit or implicit.

#### **Explicit Polymorphism**

We introduce a polymorphic type  $\forall a.T$ , which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of  $\forall \mbox{'s}$  explicit. Typing rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X. t_2 : \forall X. T_2}$$
 (T-TABS)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 \, : \, \forall \mathtt{X} \, . \, \mathtt{T}_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 \, \left[\mathtt{T}_2\right] \, : \, \left[\mathtt{X} \mapsto \mathtt{T}_2\right] \mathtt{T}_{12}} \tag{T-TAPP}$$

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

#### Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a => b) (xs: List[a]) =
   if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) then nil [b]
   else
   cons [b]
      (f (head [a] xs))
      (map [a][b] (f) (tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

## Translating to System F

The translation of  $\underline{\mathtt{map}}$  into a System-F term is as follows: (See blackboard)

#### Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

**Idea**: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of *type schemes*. Syntax:

$$T$$
ype Scheme S ::= T |  $\forall$ X.S

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a val construct.
The resulting type system is called the Hindley/Milner system,
after its inventors. (The original treatment uses let ... in ...
rather than val ...; ...).

## Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$\frac{\underset{\Gamma, \mathsf{X} \vdash \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T}_1}{x \notin \mathsf{dom}(\Gamma')}}{\underset{\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{S}, \Gamma' \vdash \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{S}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \forall \mathsf{X}.\mathsf{T}_1}} (\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{VAR})$$

$$\frac{\underset{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \forall \mathsf{X} . \mathsf{T}_1}{\mathsf{T} \vdash \mathsf{t} : \forall \mathsf{X}.\mathsf{T}_1}}{(\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{TABS})} (\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{TAPP})$$

$$\frac{(\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{TABS})}{(\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{Let} x = \mathsf{t}_1 \text{ in } \mathsf{t}_2 : \mathsf{T}} (\mathsf{T}\text{-}\mathsf{Let})$$

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \textbf{x}: \textbf{T}_1 \vdash \textbf{t}_2: \textbf{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \textbf{x}. \textbf{t}_2: \textbf{T}_1 \rightarrow \textbf{T}_2} \tag{T-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 : \mathtt{T}_2 \to \mathtt{T} \qquad \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_2 : \mathtt{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 \ \mathtt{t}_2 : \mathtt{T}} \tag{T-APP}$$

20

18

19

## Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for let expressions and refine the rules for variables.

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{TP} : \textit{Judgement} &\to \textit{Subst} \to \textit{Subst} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T})(s) &= \\ &\quad \mathsf{case} \ \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\quad \mathsf{let} \ \mathsf{x} = \mathsf{t}_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{t}_2 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ \textit{a, b} \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ &\quad \mathsf{let} \ s_1 &= \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \textit{a}) \ \mathsf{in} \\ &\quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{gen}(s_1(\Gamma), s_1(\textit{a})) \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : \textit{b})(s_1) \end{split} where \mathsf{gen}(\Gamma, \mathsf{T}) = \forall \mathsf{X}_1 \ldots \forall \mathsf{X}_n . \mathsf{T} \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{X}_i \in \mathit{FV}(\mathsf{T}) \setminus \mathit{FV}(\Gamma). \end{split}
```

21

23

#### Variables in Environments

When comparing with the type of a variable in an environment, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

```
\label{eq:local_continuity} \begin{split} & \mathsf{newInstance}(\forall X_1 \ldots X_n \cdot S) = \\ & \mathsf{let} \ b_1, \ldots, b_n \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ & [X_1 \mapsto b_1, \ldots, X_n \mapsto b_n] S \\ & \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} \ : \ \mathsf{T}) = \\ & \mathsf{case} \ \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ & \mathsf{x} \quad : \ \big\{ \mathsf{newInstance}(\Gamma(\mathsf{x})) \hat{=} T \big\} \\ & \cdots \end{split}
```

22

#### Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the  $\mbox{Hindley}/\mbox{Milner}$  system.

```
let map = \lambda f. \lambda xs in if (isEmpty xs) then nil else cons (f (head xs)) (map f (tail xs)) ... // names: List[String] // nums : List[Int] // map : \forall X. \forall Y. (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow List[X] \rightarrow List[Y] ... map toUpperCase names map increment nums
```

#### Limitations of Hindley/Milner

Hindley/Milner still does not allow parameter types to be polymorphic. For example,

$$(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda y.y)$$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

```
let id = \lambda y.y in (id id)
```

```
(\texttt{\Lambda} \texttt{A}. \lambda \texttt{x} \colon (\forall \texttt{B} \colon \texttt{B} \to \texttt{B}). \ \texttt{x} \ [\texttt{A} \to \texttt{A}] \ (\texttt{x} \ [\texttt{A}])) (\texttt{\Lambda} \texttt{C}. \lambda \texttt{y} \colon \texttt{C}. \texttt{y})
```

#### The Essence of let

We regard

$$\mathtt{let}\ \mathtt{x}=\mathtt{t}_1\ \mathtt{in}\ \mathtt{t}_2$$

as a shorthand for

$$[\mathtt{x} \mapsto \mathtt{t}_1]\mathtt{t}_2$$

We use this equivalence to get a revised Hindley/Milner system.

0.6 Definition: Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule LET from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \, : \, T_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto t_1]t_2 \, : \, T}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = t_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ t_2 \, : \, T} \qquad \text{(T-Let')}$$

25

#### Equivalence of the two systems

0.7 Theorem:  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}} \mathsf{t} : S \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}'} \mathsf{t} : S$ 

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus  $F_1$ :

0.8 Corollary: Let  $\mathbf{t}^*$  be the result of expanding all let's in t according to the rule

let 
$$x=t_1$$
 in  $t_2$   $\rightarrow$   $[x\mapsto t_1]t_2$ 

Then

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}} \mathsf{t} : T \implies \Gamma \vdash_{\mathit{F}_{1}} \mathsf{t}^{*} : T$$

Furthermore, if every let-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^* : T \implies \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}} t : T$$

26

#### Principal Types

0.9 Definition: A type T is a generic instance of a type scheme  $S = \forall \alpha_1 \ldots \forall \alpha_n.T'$  if there is a substitution s on  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  such that T = sT'. We write in this case  $S \leq T$ .

0.10 Definition: A type scheme  $S^\prime$  is a generic instance of a type scheme S iff for all types T

$$\mathtt{S}' \leq \mathtt{T} \Longrightarrow \mathtt{S} \leq \mathtt{T}$$

We write in this case  $S \leq S'$ .

0.11 Definition: A type scheme S is principal (or: most general) for  $\Gamma$  and  ${\tt t}$  iff

- ▶ Γ⊢t:S
- $ightharpoonup \Gamma \vdash t : S' \text{ implies } S \leq S'$

0.12 Definition: A type system TS has the principal typing property iff, whenever  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{TS}} \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{S}$  then there exists a principal type scheme for  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathtt{t}.$ 

0.13 Theorem: 1. HM' without let has the p.t.p.

- 2. HM' with let has the p.t.p.
- 3. HM has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch:

- Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus.
- 2. Expand all let's and apply (1.).
- 3. Use equivalence between HM and HM'.

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for  ${\sf HM}$ . But in practice one takes a more direct approach.

27