Foundations of Software Fall 2023

Week 9 based on slides by Martin Odersky

1

Type Checking and Type Reconstruction

We now come to the question of type checking and type reconstruction.

Type checking:

```
Given \Gamma, t and T, check whether \Gamma \vdash t : T
```

Type reconstruction:

```
Given \Gamma and t, find a type T such that \Gamma \vdash t : T
```

Type checking and reconstruction seem difficult since parameters in lambda calculus do not carry their types with them.

Type reconstruction also suffers from the problem that a term can have many types.

Idea: We construct all type derivations in parallel, reducing type reconstruction to a unification problem.

From Judgements to Equations

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{TP} : \textit{Judgement} & \longrightarrow \textit{Equations} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash t : T) = & \\ \mathsf{case} \ t \ \mathsf{of} & \\ & \mathsf{x} & : \ \{\Gamma(x) \hat{=} T\} \\ & \lambda \mathsf{x.t}_1 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ a, b \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ & \quad \{(a \to b) \hat{=} T\} \quad \cup \\ & \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : a \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : b) \\ & \mathsf{t}_1 \ \mathsf{t}_2 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ a \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ & \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : a \to T) \quad \cup \\ & \quad \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : a) \end{array}
```

3

Example

```
Let twice = \lambda f.\lambda x.f (f x).
Then twice gives rise to the following equations (see blackboard).
```

4

Soundness and Completeness I

0.1 Definition: In general, a type reconstruction algorithm \mathcal{A} assigns to an environment Γ and a term \mathbf{t} a set of types $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma,\mathbf{t})$. The algorithm is sound if for every type $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma,\mathbf{t})$ we can prove the judgement $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{t} : T$.

The algorithm is *complete* if for every provable judgement $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ we have that $T \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma, t)$.

5

0.2 Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

```
\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{T} \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists \overline{b}. [a \mapsto \mathtt{T}] EQNS where a \text{ is a new type variable} EQNS = \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : a) \overline{b} = FV(EQNS) \setminus FV(\Gamma)
```

Here, FV denotes the set of free type variables (of a term, and environment, an equation set).

Type Reconstruction and Unification

Problem: Transform set of equations

$$\{T_i = U_i\}_{i=1,...,m}$$

into an equivalent substitution

$$\{a_j \mapsto \mathtt{T}'_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n}$$

where type variables do not appear recursively on their right hand sides (directly or indirectly). That is:

$$a_i \notin FV(T'_k)$$
 for $j = 1, \ldots, n, k = j, \ldots, n$

7

Substitutions

A *substitution s* is an idempotent mapping from type variables to types which maps all but a finite number of type variables to themselves.

We often represent a substitution s as a set of equations a = T with a not in FV(T).

Substitutions can be generalized to mappings from types to types by definining

$$s(T \rightarrow U) = sT \rightarrow sU$$

Substitutions are idempotent mappings from types to types, i.e. s(s(T)) = s(T). (why?)

The \circ operator denotes composition of substitutions (or other functions): $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$.

A Unification Algorithm

We present an incremental version of Robinson's algorithm (1965).

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{mgu} & : & (\mathit{Type} \triangleq \mathit{Type}) \to \mathit{Subst} \to \mathit{Subst} \\ \operatorname{mgu}(\mathtt{T} \triangleq \mathtt{U})s & = & \operatorname{mgu}'(s\mathtt{T} \triangleq s\mathtt{U})s \\ \operatorname{mgu}'(a \triangleq a)s & = & s \\ \operatorname{mgu}'(a \triangleq \mathtt{T})s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto \mathtt{T}\} & \text{if } a \not\in \mathit{FV}(\mathtt{T}) \\ \operatorname{mgu}'(\mathtt{T} \triangleq a)s & = & s \cup \{a \mapsto \mathtt{T}\} & \text{if } a \not\in \mathit{FV}(\mathtt{T}) \\ \operatorname{mgu}'(\mathtt{T}_1 \to \mathtt{T}_2 \triangleq \mathtt{U}_1 \to \mathtt{U}_2)s & = & (\operatorname{mgu}(\mathtt{T}_2 \triangleq \mathtt{U}_2) \circ \operatorname{mgu}(\mathtt{T}_1 \triangleq \mathtt{U}_1))s \\ \operatorname{mgu}'(\mathtt{T} \triangleq \mathtt{U})s & = & \mathit{error} & \mathsf{in all other cases} \\ \end{array}
```

9

Soundness and Completeness of Unification

0.3 Definition: A substitution u is a unifier of a set of equations $\{T_i = U_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$ if $uT_i = uU_i$, for all i. It is a most general unifier if for every other unifier u' of the same equations there exists a substitution s such that $u' = s \circ u$.

0.4 Theorem: Given a set of equations EQNS. If EQNS has a unifier then $mgu(EQNS)(\emptyset)$ computes the most general unifier of EQNS. If EQNS has no unifier then $mgu(EQNS)(\emptyset)$ fails.

From Judgements to Substitutions

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{TP}: \textit{Judgement} \to \textit{Subst} \to \textit{Subst} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T}) = \\ \mathsf{case} \ \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ \mathsf{x} \quad : \quad \mathsf{mgu}(\Gamma(x) \hat{=} \mathsf{T}) \\ \lambda \mathsf{x}. \, \mathsf{t}_1 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ a, b \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \mathsf{mgu}((a \to b) \hat{=} \mathsf{T}) \quad \circ \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : a \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : b) \\ \mathsf{t}_1 \ \mathsf{t}_2 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ a \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : a \to \mathsf{T}) \quad \circ \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : a) \end{array}
```

11

Soundness and Completeness II

One can show by comparison with the previous algorithm:

0.5 Theorem: TP is sound and complete. Specifically:

```
\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{T} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathtt{T} = r(s(a)) where a \text{ is a new type variable} s = \mathtt{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : a)(\emptyset) r \text{ is a substitution on } FV(s(a)) \setminus FV(s(\Gamma))
```

Polymorphism

In the simply typed lambda calculus, a term can have many types. But a variable or parameter has only one type. Example:

$$(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda y.y)$$

is untypable. But if we substitute actual parameter for formal, we obtain

$$(\lambda y.y)(\lambda y.y): a \rightarrow a$$

Functions which can be applied to arguments of many types are called *polymorphic*.

13

Polymorphism in Programming

```
Polymorphism is essential for many program patterns.
```

```
Example: map

def map f xs =
   if (isEmpty xs) then nil
   else cons (f (head xs)) (map (f (tail xs)))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

Without a polymorphic type for map one of the last two lines is always illegal!

Forms of Polymorphism

Polymorphism means "having many forms". Polymorphism also comes in several forms.

- Universal polymorphism, sometimes also called generic types: The ability to instantiate type variables.
- Inclusion polymorphism, sometimes also called *subtyping*: The ability to treat a value of a subtype as a value of one of its supertypes.
- Ad-hoc polymorphism, sometimes also called overloading: The ability to define several versions of the same function name, with different types.

We first concentrate on universal polymorphism.

Two basic approaches: explicit or implicit.

15

Explicit Polymorphism

We introduce a polymorphic type $\forall a.T$, which can be used just as any other type.

We then need to make introduction and elimination of \forall 's explicit. Typing rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X. t_2 : \forall X. T_2}$$
 (T-TABS)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \forall \mathsf{X}.\mathsf{T}_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 \ [\mathsf{T}_2] : [\mathsf{X} \mapsto \mathsf{T}_2]\mathsf{T}_{12}} \tag{T-TAPP}$$

We also need to give all parameter types, so programs become verbose.

Example:

```
def map [a][b] (f: a => b) (xs: List[a]) =
  if (isEmpty [a] (xs)) then nil [b]
  else
    cons [b]
       (f (head [a] xs))
       (map [a][b] (f) (tail [a] xs))
...
names: List[String]
nums : List[Int]
...
map [String] [String] toUpperCase names
map [Int] [Int] increment nums
```

17

Translating to System F

The translation of map into a System-F term is as follows: (See blackboard)

Implicit Polymorphism

Implicit polymorphism does not require annotations for parameter types or type instantations.

Idea: In addition to types (as in simply typed lambda calculus), we have a new syntactic category of *type schemes*. Syntax:

Type Scheme S ::= T |
$$\forall X.S$$

Type schemes are not fully general types; they are used only to type named values, introduced by a val construct.

The resulting type system is called the *Hindley/Milner system*, after its inventors. (The original treatment uses let ... in ... rather than val ...; ...).

19

Hindley/Milner Typing rules

$$\frac{x \notin dom(\Gamma')}{\Gamma, x : S, \Gamma' \vdash x : S} \tag{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, X \vdash t : T_1 \qquad X \notin FV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X.T_1} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \forall X.T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t : [X \mapsto T_2]T_1} (\text{T-TAPP})$$

$$(T-TABS)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : S \qquad \Gamma, x : S \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T} \tag{T-LET}$$

The other two rules are as in simply typed lambda calculus:

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbf{x} : T_1 \vdash \mathbf{t}_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \mathbf{x} . \mathbf{t}_2 : T_1 \to T_2} \tag{T-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 \, : \, \mathtt{T}_2 \to \mathtt{T} \qquad \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_2 \, : \, \mathtt{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t}_1 \ \mathtt{t}_2 \, : \, \mathtt{T}} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{(T-APP)}$$

Type Reconstruction for Hindley/Milner

Type reconstruction for the Hindley/Milner system works as for simply typed lambda calculus. We only have to add a clause for let expressions and refine the rules for variables.

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{TP} : \textit{Judgement} &\to \textit{Subst} \to \textit{Subst} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T})(s) &= \\ \mathsf{case} \ \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ & \dots \\ \mathsf{let} \ \mathsf{x} = \mathsf{t}_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{t}_2 \ : \ \mathsf{let} \ \textit{a, b} \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ & \mathsf{let} \ \textit{s}_1 = \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \textit{a}) \ \mathsf{in} \\ & \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma, \mathsf{x} : \mathsf{gen}(s_1(\Gamma), s_1(\textit{a})) \vdash \mathsf{t}_2 : \textit{b})(s_1) \end{split} \mathsf{where} \ \mathsf{gen}(\Gamma, \mathsf{T}) = \forall \mathsf{X}_1 \ldots \forall \mathsf{X}_n . \mathsf{T} \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{X}_i \in \mathit{FV}(\mathsf{T}) \setminus \mathit{FV}(\Gamma). \end{split}
```

21

Variables in Environments

When comparing with the type of a variable in an environment, we have to make sure we create a new instance of their type as follows:

```
\label{eq:local_state} \begin{split} \mathsf{newInstance}(\forall \mathtt{X}_1. \cdots \mathtt{X}_n. \mathtt{S}) &= \\ \mathsf{let} \ b_1, \dots, b_n \ \mathsf{fresh} \ \mathsf{in} \\ [\mathtt{X}_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, \mathtt{X}_n \mapsto b_n] \mathtt{S} \\ \mathsf{TP}(\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{t} : \mathtt{T}) &= \\ \mathsf{case} \ \mathtt{t} \ \mathsf{of} \\ \mathtt{x} &: \{ \ \mathsf{newInstance}(\Gamma(\mathtt{x})) \triangleq \mathtt{T} \} \\ \dots \end{split}
```

Hindley/Milner in Programming Languages

Here is a formulation of the map example in the Hindley/Milner system.

```
let map = λf.λxs in
  if (isEmpty xs) then nil
  else cons (f (head xs)) (map f (tail xs))
...
// names: List[String]
// nums : List[Int]
// map : ∀X.∀Y.(X → Y) → List[X] → List[Y]
...
map toUpperCase names
map increment nums
```

23

Limitations of Hindley/Milner

Hindley/Milner still does not allow parameter types to be polymorphic. For example,

$$(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda y.y)$$

is still ill-typed, even though the following is well-typed:

```
let id = \lambda y.y in (id id)
```

With explicit polymorphism the expression could be completed to a well-typed term:

```
(\texttt{\LambdaA.}\lambda\texttt{x}\!:\!(\forall \texttt{B}\!:\;\texttt{B}\to\texttt{B}).\;\;\texttt{x}\;\;[\texttt{A}\to\texttt{A}]\;\;(\texttt{x}\;\;[\texttt{A}]))(\texttt{\LambdaC.}\lambda\texttt{y}\!:\!\texttt{C.}\texttt{y})
```

The Essence of let

We regard

let
$$x = t_1$$
 in t_2

as a shorthand for

$$[x \mapsto t_1]t_2$$

We use this equivalence to get a revised Hindley/Milner system.

0.6 Definition: Let HM' be the type system that results if we replace rule Let from the Hindley/Milner system HM by:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto t_1]t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash let \ x = t_1 \ in \ t_2 : T} \qquad \text{(T-Let')}$$

25

Equivalence of the two systems

0.7 Theorem: $\Gamma \vdash_{HM} t : S$ iff $\Gamma \vdash_{HM'} t : S$

The theorem establishes the following connection between the Hindley/Milner system and the simply typed lambda calculus F_1 :

0.8 Corollary: Let t^* be the result of expanding all let's in t according to the rule

let
$$x=t_1$$
 in t_2 \rightarrow $[x\mapsto t_1]t_2$

Then

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}} \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{T} \implies \Gamma \vdash_{F_1} \mathsf{t}^* : \mathsf{T}$$

Furthermore, if every let-bound name is used at least once, we also have the reverse:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{F_1} t^* : T \implies \Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{HM}} t : T$$

Principal Types

0.9 Definition: A type T is a generic instance of a type scheme $S = \forall \alpha_1 \dots \forall \alpha_n.T'$ if there is a substitution s on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that T = sT'. We write in this case $S \leq T$.

0.10 Definition: A type scheme S' is a generic instance of a type scheme S iff for all types T

$$\mathtt{S}' < \mathtt{T} \Longrightarrow \mathtt{S} < \mathtt{T}$$

We write in this case $S \leq S'$.

0.11 Definition: A type scheme S is principal (or: most general) for Γ and t iff

- ▶ Γ ⊢ t : S
- $ightharpoonup \Gamma \vdash t : S' \text{ implies } S \leq S'$

27

0.12 Definition: A type system TS has the principal typing property iff, whenever $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathsf{TS}} \mathsf{t} : \mathsf{S}$ then there exists a principal type scheme for Γ and t .

0.13 Theorem: 1. HM' without let has the p.t.p.

- 2. HM' with let has the p.t.p.
- 3. HM has the p.t.p.

Proof sketch:

- 1. Use type reconstruction result for the simply typed lambda calculus.
- 2. Expand all let's and apply (1.).
- 3. Use equivalence between HM and HM'.

These observations could be used to come up with a type reconstruction algorithm for HM. But in practice one takes a more direct approach.