Ecosystem project

Computational Model

by

Inbar koursh

This project is submitted under the scope of 1 unit and as partial fulfillment of the requirements for obtaining a grade in computational science

This work was performed under the guidance of Shlomo Rozenfeld

October 2020

Table of contents

| Acknowledgments | 3 |
|--|----|
| Abstract | 3 |
| Background | 3 |
| Methods | 4 |
| Algorithm | 4 |
| Dependencies | 5 |
| Global Simulation Parameters | 6 |
| Simulation Specific Variables | 7 |
| Agent Traits and Properties | 7 |
| Model Architecture Outline | 8 |
| Reproduction Mechanism | 11 |
| Complexity and Optimizations: | 11 |
| Code Snippets Explanations | 13 |
| Statistics | 14 |
| Results | 14 |
| Sim 1 | 15 |
| Sim 2 | 17 |
| Sim 3 | 19 |
| Discussion | 21 |
| Assumptions and Limitations | 25 |
| Conclusion | 28 |
| Appendix 1 – Using the simulation | 28 |
| Initial installation (for all use cases) | 28 |
| GUI (Windows only officially supported) | 29 |
| CLI | 29 |
| Python | 29 |
| Appendix 2 - Code Documentation | 30 |
| Appendix 3 - Code | 30 |
| Appendix 4 - License | 54 |

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my appreciation to Shlomo Rozenfeld for sharing valuable insights and ideas whenever we conversed. I would also like to thank my mother Dr. Daphna Mezad Koursh who helped me with technical pointers on how to write a paper.

Finally, I would like to thank Daniel Schiffman and Justin Helps for the inspiration for this project. Daniel Schiffman's nature of code's ecosystem project as well as Justin Helps Primer YouTube videos were invaluable resources for this project.

Abstract

Studying natural biological systems using a simulated ecosystem and reinforcement learning.

This project attempts to mimic similar simulations of ecosystems to further understand the factors that lead to successful species. This is done by studying the correlation between the recorded variables at any given time.

The model was successfully established. It demonstrated a significant correlation between all the 13 variables that were examined. This demonstrates the long thought idea that all an ecosystem is a highly complex system in which every change impacts the entire system.

Background

It is expected that the reader would hold a fair knowledge of python and understand the concept behind evolutionary-based neural networks.

Neuroevolution is a machine learning technique that applies evolutionary algorithms to construct artificial neural networks, taking inspiration from the evolution of biological nervous systems in nature. Compared to other neural network learning methods, neuroevolution is highly general; it allows learning without explicit targets, with only sparse feedback, and with arbitrary neural models and network structures. Neuroevolution is an effective approach to solving reinforcement learning problems and is most commonly applied in evolutionary robotics and artificial life. ¹

-

¹ Joel Lehman and Risto Miikkulainen (2013) Neuroevolution. Scholarpedia, 8(6):30977., revision #137053

For example, it is next to impossible to say if any one chess move at the start of the game is a good move. However, in neuroevolution, you can train the network by giving it a score on the whole game and not just on 1 move (unlike traditional approaches).

Python is an interpreted, object-oriented, high-level programming language with dynamic semantics. It's high-level built-in data structures, combined with dynamic typing and dynamic binding, make it very attractive for Rapid Application Development, as well as for use as a scripting or glue language to connect existing components. Python is open-sourced and is available for free on all major platforms. ²

The goal of this work was to create a model based on Neuroevolution that would be used to mimic an ecosystem. The model would then be analyzed to gain insight into the factors that contribute to the success of the ecosystem.

Methods

Algorithm

Note: the identifier - agent/creature is used interchangeably.

The idea of this algorithm is to give every creature different traits and different neural networks as a brain with each network tackling a specific type of behavior. Unlike other algorithms, I do not seek to find the optimal solution to the simulation, but rather observe a simulated version of evolution and draw conclusions based on the effects of certain factors on the behavior of the creatures. Because of this, they can do as they wish. They are not forced to breed, nor is the size of their pregnancy hardcoded. They are essentially given "free will". All factors that can be reasonably expected to be subject to evolution, are.

They can move freely around the simulation based on their speed and their movement neural network. They interact with the other creatures in the simulation. They eat, they reproduce, they age and they die. No master system breeds them to get the perfect creature, instead, they choose if and when to reproduce. Furthermore, they choose how much of their mass they are willing to give to their children. Importantly mass is not created or destroyed in reproduction, simply transferred.

Page | 4

² What is Python? Executive Summary. (n.d.). Retrieved August 30, 2020, from https://www.python.org/doc/essays/blurb/

Note that the coordinate system ranges from -1 to +1 but the world is round. This means that agents can move from -0.99 to 0.99 (assuming 0.2 movement speed). This also carries with it the challenges of distance calculations for collision detection and others. This was done as a response to the agents sometimes getting stuck in +1/-1.

Dependencies

Pip dependencies.

- 1. configparser
- 2. copy
- 3. gc
- 4. os
- 5. random
- 6. time
- 7. typing
- 8. NumPy
- 9. matplotlib
- 10. Pillow
- 11. openpyxl
- 12. savReaderWriter
- 13. pickle
- 14. json
- 15. argparse
- 16. sys

Apt dependencies.

1. FFmpeg

Custom dependencies.

1. Modular neural network library (nn.py)

For quick setup, you can use the included requierments.txt file.

Global Simulation Parameters

These are the global constants that define the simulation and their default values:

- 1. INT_CONST = 1 Energy cost for intelligence (IQ, EQ)
- 2. MOV_CONST = 5 Energy cost for moving
- 3. ENLB_CONST = 0.6 energy to mass ratio used to determine if an agent is sick, if so, the agent starts to lose health
- 4. ENGB_CONST = 0.4 energy to mass ratio used to determine if an agent is healthy, used to gain health and to gain mass if the agent is still growing
- 5. ENL_CONST = 1 the amount of health lost if an agent's energy is under the threshold.
- 6. ENG_CONST = 4 the amount of energy gained if an agent is above the energy threshold
- 7. MAX_LIFE_SPAN = 200 the maximum number of steps an agent with mass 100 can survive after reaching maturity
- 8. AGE_CONST = ENG_CONST (100 / MAX_LIFE_SPAN) Age suffered every step, derived from the maximum life span and the amount of health an agent can gain per turn.
- 9. POP_DENCITY = 1 controls how concentrated is the populous (scales the map size)
- 10. AGING_TIME = 0.99 the mass percentage required before maturity (age starts)
- 11. G_SPEED_FACTOR = 10 controls universal speed, provides a way to control gravity and friction
- 12. FOOD_CONST = 50 how much energy a food item contains
- 13. $START_MASS_P = 0.95$ The mass to final mass ratio for the initial population
- 14. G _COL_CONST = 0.1 the global accuracy for collisions (actual is scaled)
- 15. MIN_IQ = 1 the minimum number of hidden neurons (per layer).
- 16. $MAX_IQ = 10$ the maximum number of hidden neurons (per layer)
- 17. $MIN_EQ = 1$ the minimum number of hidden neurons (per layer)
- 18. MAX_EQ = 10 the maximum number of hidden neurons (per layer)
- 19. FOOD_FLUCT = 1 0.3 the percentage the food count is allowed to dip before food is reproduced.

20. GROUP_FACTOR = 100 - A factor applied to the collision constant to define the minimum distance between 2 agents that are in the same group

Simulation Specific Variables

- size_factor = 1 / (agents / POP_DENCITY)
- col_const = G _COL_CONST * self.size_factor

The rest of the simulation specific variables are either used to keep track of statistics or are necessary for internal calculations (e.g.: agents).

Agent Traits and Properties


These are the agent traits tracked by the algorithm:


- Movement brain the neural network in charge of moving the agent. Structure [3, IQ, IQ, 1], inputs[distance to the nearest food item, distance to the nearest agent, is the nearest agent stronger], output a value between 0 and one, that is mapped between ±max speed, applied to the agents x coordinate. Activation function: sigmoid
- 2. IQ this determines the number of hidden neurons per hidden layer in the neural network in charge of moving the agent.
- 3. Social brain the neural network in charge of agent interactions. Structure [4, EQ, EQ, 2], inputs[if they are close family, the agent's energy to mass ratio, the other agent's food to mass ratio, what agent is stronger], output [probability of the agent attacking, probability of the agent assisting (donating 1 food item)]. Activation function: sigmoid
- 4. EQ this determines the number of hidden neurons per hidden layer in the neural network in charge of agent interactions
- 5. Mass the mass of the agent. Note that the agent's mass will grow over time as it gets older.
- 6. Final mass indicates when the creature reaches maturity. It is the maximum value a creature mass can take. However, it is also a requirement for reproduction, a creature must reach this a factor of this final mass before it can reproduce.

- 7. Energy the amount of remaining energy the agent has. It starts equal to the agent's mass. Every time it moves or thinks, energy is deducted. It can gain or lose health each step depending on if his energy is above or below a certain level.
- 8. Speed the maximum distance an agent can travel each turn. See Movement Brain (1). Speed has an inverse relation to mass (1/mass). Additionally, speed is scaled by the environment's size factor and global speed factor. See constants.
- 9. Health the creature's "life". If it is below 0, the creature is removed from the simulation. This is effectively the creature's fitness function.
- 10. Breed Mass Divider the initial mass of an agent's child relative to its actual mass.
- 11. Breed Chance the chance of the agent to breed every step, note that breeding requires energy.
- 12. Position (X) the creature's X coordinate on the simulation. This dictates his interaction with other agents, as well as if he is close enough to pick up a food item.
- 13. ID helper variable used by the simulation to keep track of agents as well as to monitor close family.
- 14. Parent ID used to assert if 2 agents are close family (parent/child or siblings)
- 15. Size factor helper variable used by the sim's movement and collision engines to control the size of the simulation.

Model Architecture Outline

This is a rough overview of a model run





Reproduction Mechanism

Because of the unique makeup of the agents, a slightly abnormal reproduction system is used.

First, an agent must "decide" that it wants to reproduce. This is decided according to that agent's breed chance (which conveys the probability that it will reproduce).

Then both of the agent's "brains" are copied and then mutated. Mutation to the neural networks is done by adding to each neuron a gausian distribution scaled by 0.1.

The final mass of the new creature is mutated by +-10. Its initial mass is its final mass multiplied by its parents "breed mass divider". Its position is relatively close to its parent. And it's breed mass and breed mass divider are mutated by a uniform random distribution spanning 0.01.

Complexity and Optimizations:

Because a collision detection system needs to know the closest agent and food item to every agent, the original algorithm had an $O(n^2)$ complexity. It used the following structure:

For every agent:

For every agent:

. . .

For every food item:

. . .

Because of the immense amount of time this algorithm required, I optimized it to have an O(n) complexity. This is achieved via the use of sorting functions. A full explanation can be found in the code snippets explanation which provides a detailed explanation for the unintuitive parts of the algorithm.

To test the time improvement, I modeled the time needed for each step of a non-optimized sim (each step is done on a newly generated sim).

Note that these results portray a worst-case scenario where the agents are randomly ordered instead of slightly unordered.

Fig. 1 represents an overview of the newer and older models and the time needed to calculate a step for any number of agents.

Fig. 2 represents a close up of the faster model and shows the average compute time of 5 tests with the top and bottom bars representing the maximum and minimum values in those tests.

Fig 1.





Fig. 2



Even so, it can be seen, that the newer version drastically outperforms its predecessor.

Code Snippets Explanations

These are parts of the code that might require additional explanation to understand

• Collision detection

As you can see in the complexity section of the algorithm, it is O(n) instead of O(n²). I was able to do this by sorting the agents and the food items by position. Finding the closest agent is easy, simply calculate the distance to the next and previous agent, from there you can check if either of them is smaller than the minimum collision distance. With food items, it's a bit trickier, you keep track of the current food index (starting at 0) then, for every agent, loop from the food index until you find a food item with an x coordinate larger than the agents. Then move the food index 1 back. Now, similar to the agent scenario, you compare the distance to the 2 nearest food items. This is, of course, a general overview, in practice, you need to take into consideration what happens if the food is eaten and the circular map. I contemplated whether to allow multiple interactions at once, this would be achieved by searching left and right until no match is detected as opposed to simply searching the closest 2. I decided against this course of action for now, but I leave this as a potential improvement for further versions.

• *Group detection*

This is relatively straightforward compared to the others. It is severely limited and cannot act intelligently or keep track of groups as they evolve and clash. Instead, it simply defines a maximum distance to the previous agent where you are still considered part of the group.

• Neural Network optimization

Because the neural networks of the agents sometimes have concurrent 1 neuron long layers, they are removed. This improves the overall runtime performance while having no impact on the cognitive performance of the neural networks.

Statistics

SPSS was used to run statistical correlations and general analysis on the data generated by 3 successive simulations.

The bivariate correlation was done using Person's r.

Results

The following results are the processed outputs of 3 simulations. The initial agent count was set at 500. Each simulation ran for 1,000,000 steps.

Tables 1, 3, and 5 present the bivariate correlation between the average of the following variables (the average is calculated per step): number of steps, number of agents, average agent mass, amount of food consumed, average agent IQ, average agent EQ, average agent breeding mass divider, average agent breed chance, fight count relative to population size, help count relative to population size, ignore count relative to population size, number of groups, and close family ratio in groups for sims 1,2 and 3 respectively.

Tables 2, 4, and 6 present basic statistical analysis for each of the averages of the variables described in tables 1, 3, and 5. Specifically, they track: mean, median, Std. Deviation, range, minimum, and maximum.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 present the values of the previously mentioned variables, over the span of the simulation. While they are insightful and help instill a general idea as to the simulation's properties, they have not been studied in detail. Instead, more general statistics are used, such as the total mean and median of each variable for each simulation, and the correlation between simulation variables. If you wish to see a higher resolution version of the graphs, please see the PNG files here.

Figure 6 represents an animation of a separate simulation spanning 1,000 steps. It is only presented as a proof of concept to allow for intuitive visual understanding and is not meant for meaningful analysis.

The raw SPSS files along with the plt charts will be available in the GitHub repository accompanying this project. See appendix 2.

Sim 1

Elapsed time (spell free CPU server) - 18D 11H 9M 37S

Table. 1


| | | Steps | Number Of Agents | Average Agent Mass | Amount of Food Consumed | Average Agent IQ | Average Agent EQ | Average breeding mass divider | Average Agent Breed Chance | Fight count relative to population size | Help count relative to population size | Ignore count relative to population size | Number of groups | Close family ration in group |
|--|---|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| Steps | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .749 | .730 | .523 | 025 | 025 | .241 | 220 | 055 | 075 | .245 | .096 | 269 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 2.0676E-134 | 2.0676E-134 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Number Of Agents | Pearson Correlation | .749 | 1 | .973 | .921 | 070 | 070 | 168 | 058 | 157 | 164 | .451 | .254 | 506 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Average Agent Mass | Pearson Correlation | .730 | .973 | 1 | .909 | 096 | 096 | 208 | 065 | 196 | 195 | .490 | .227 | 516 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Amount of Food Consumed | Pearson Correlation | .523 | .921** | .909 | 1 | 104 | 104 | 297 | 113 | 231 | 245 | .527 | .304 | 589 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Average Agent IQ | Pearson Correlation | 025 | 070 | 096 | 104 | 1 | 1.000 | .357 | .151 | .290 | .047 | 382 | .057 | .146 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 2.0676E-134 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Average Agent EQ | Pearson Correlation | 025 | 070 | 096 | 104 | 1.000 | 1 | .357 | .151 | .290 | .047 | 382 | .057 | .146 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 2.0676E-134 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Average breeding mass | Pearson Correlation | .241 | 168 | 208 | 297 | .357** | .357 | 1 | 373 | 254** | 186** | .099 | 085 | .192** |
| divider | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 22" 0.00000E+0 1000000 3.04" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.55" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.55" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.55" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.0000E+0 1000000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 | 1000000 |
| Average Agent Breed | Pearson Correlation | 220 | 058 | 065 | 113 | .151 | .151 | 373 | 1 | .593 | .443 | 607 | 020 | .306 |
| Average Agent Breed Chance | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 4.43363E-87 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | groups 0.066** 0.00000E+0 1000000 254** 0.00000E+0 1000000 254** 0.00000E+0 1000000 304** 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.0000 0.00000E+0 11.1397E-45 11.1397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 11.397E-45 | 1000000 |
| Fight count relative to | Pearson Correlation | 055 | 157 | 196 | 231 | .290 | .290** | 254 | .593 | 1 | .554 | 789 | 014 | .339** |
| Average Agent EQ Average breeding mass divider Average Agent Breed Chance Fight count relative to population size Help count relative to population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.13972E-45 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | Groups G | 1000000 |
| Help count relative to | Pearson Correlation | 075 | 164** | 195 | 245 | .047 | .047 | 186 | .443** | .554** | 1 | 722** | 033 | .503** |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 1.3573E-237 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | Groups G | 1000000 |
| Ignore count relative to | Pearson Correlation | .245 | .451 | .490 | .527 | 382 | 382 | .099 | 607 | 789 | 722 | 1 | .085 | 569 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) 0.00000E+0 0. | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of groups | Pearson Correlation | .096** | .254** | .227 | .304** | .057 | .057 | 085 | 020 | 014** | 033** | .085 | 1 | 573 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 4.43363E-87 | 1.13972E-45 | 1.3573E-237 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |
| Close family ration in group | Pearson Correlation | 269** | 506** | 516 | 589 | .146 | .146 | .192** | .306** | .339** | .503 | 569** | 573 | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 |

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

 $Table.\ 2$

| | | Number Of Agents | Average Agent Mass | Amount of Food Consumed | Average Agent IQ | Average Agent EQ | Average breeding mass divider | Average Agent Breed Chance | Fight count relative to population size | Help count relative to population size | Ignore count relative to population size | Number of groups | Close family ratio in group |
|--------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|-----------------------------|
| N | Valid | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 0 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000000 |
| Mean | | 32645.80 | 707.3483662 | 610.0481370 | 1.000523417 | 1.000523417 | .1975626697 | .4992347051 | .0000476249 | .0231473024 | .9749230900 | 16.10122700 | |
| Media | n | 34788.00 | 745.9694299 | 631.0000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .1962600826 | .4995908947 | .0000000000 | .0226543177 | .9794606486 | 16.00000000 | |
| Std. D | eviation | 6595.006 | 95.17685043 | 82.65808613 | .0367404014 | .0367404014 | .0059629664 | .0084273072 | .0014921066 | .0138740283 | .0363477334 | 3.432059713 | |
| Range | • | 39104 | 727.2776330 | 716.0000000 | 3.915625000 | 3.915625000 | .4161827403 | .2501035345 | .1290322581 | .7763157895 | .9865538366 | 42.00000000 | |
| Minim | um | 44 | 51.47084233 | .0000000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .0563782873 | .4817307825 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | 1.000000000 | |
| Maxim | num | 39148 | 778.7484754 | 716.0000000 | 4.915625000 | 4.915625000 | .4725610277 | .7318343171 | .1290322581 | .7763157895 | .9865538366 | 43.00000000 | |

Fig. 3



Sim 2Elapsed time (spell free CPU server) - 3H 12M 9S *Table 3*.


| | | | | | | | | Average | | Fight count | Help count | Ignore count | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|----------------|
| | | | Number of | Average Agent | Amount of Food | Average Agent | Average Agent | breeding mass | Average Agent | relative to | relative to | relative to | Number of | Close family |
| | | Steps | Agents | Mass | Consumed | IQ | EQ | divider | Breed Chance | population size | population size | population size | groups | ratio in group |
| Steps | Pearson Correlation | 1 | 089 | .519 | 047 | 027 | 027 | .937 | 300** | 087** | 066** | .140** | 498 | .280 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 2.8897E-165 | 2.8897E-165 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Number of Agents | Pearson Correlation | 089 | 1 | 630 | .934 | 015 | 015 | .088 | .020 | 017 | 023 | .482 | 070 | .505 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.95804E-52 | 1.95804E-52 | 0.000000E+0 | 3.78940E-85 | 2.37500E-67 | 6.7438E-119 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Average Agent Mass | Pearson Correlation | .519 | 630 | 1 | 575 | 017 | 017 | .318 | .004 | 052 | 040 | 323 | 335 | 157 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 5.66859E-62 | 5.66859E-62 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.070452E-4 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Amount of Food Consumed | Pearson Correlation | 047 | .934 | 575 | 1 | 013 | 013 | .120 | .008 | 015 | 020 | .476 | 111" | .491 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 2.87516E-40 | 2.87516E-40 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.23063E-15 | 9.40253E-53 | 8.69632E-87 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Average Agent IQ | Pearson Correlation | 027 | 015 | 017 | 013 | 1 | 1.000 | .061 | 322** | .350 | .396 | 047 | .056** | 017 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 2.8897E-165 | 1.95804E-52 | 5.66859E-62 | 2.87516E-40 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 6.53072E-66 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Average Agent EQ | Pearson Correlation | 027 | 015 | 017 | 013 | 1.000 | 1 | .061 | 322** | .350 | .396 | 047 | .056 | 017 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 2.8897E-165 | 1.95804E-52 | 5.66859E-62 | 2.87516E-40 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 6.53072E-66 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Average breeding mass | Pearson Correlation | .937 | .088 | .318 | .120 | .061 | .061 | 1 | 243 | 054 | 027 | .261 | 469 | .358 |
| divider | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 4.7170E-165 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | .261469 0000E+0 | 999550 |
| Average Agent Breed | Pearson Correlation | 300 | .020 | .004 | .008 | 322 | 322 | 243 | 1 | 354 | 364 | 009 | .022 | 048 |
| Chance | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 3.78940E-85 | 1.070452E-4 | 1.23063E-15 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 7.52374E-20 | 2.4885E-103 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 0000 1000000 1661 -469 1610 -469 1610 0.000000E+0 0000 100000 0000 0.022 16-20 2.4885E-103 0000 1000000 | 999550 |
| Fight count relative to | Pearson Correlation | 087 | 017 | 052 | 015 | .350 | .350 | 054 | 354 | 1 | .962 | 046 | .093 | 061 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 2.37500E-67 | 0.000000E+0 | 9.40253E-53 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Help count relative to | Pearson Correlation | 066 | 023 | 040 | 020 | .396 | .396 | 027 | 364 | .962 | 1 | 048 | .079 | 049 |
| werage Agent Breed hance light count relative to opulation size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 6.7438E-119 | 0.000000E+0 | 8.69632E-87 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 4.7170E-165 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 0 0.00000E+0 100000 0.056" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.022" 0.24885E-103 1000000 0.93" 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.079" 0.000000E+0 1000000 0.00000E+0 1000000 0.00000E+0 1000000 | 999550 |
| Ignore count relative to | Pearson Correlation | .140 | .482 | 323 | .476 | 047 | 047 | .261 | 009 | 046 | 048 | 1 | 222 | .347 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 7.52374E-20 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Number of groups | Pearson Correlation | 498 | 070 | 335 | 111 | .056 | .056 | 469 | .022** | .093** | .079 | 222** | 1 | 403 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 2.4885E-103 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| Close family ratio in group | Pearson Correlation | .280 | .505 | 157 | .491 | 017 | 017 | .358 | 048 | 061 | 049 | .347 | 403 | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 6.53072E-66 | 6.53072E-66 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | |
| | N | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 | 999550 |

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4.

| | | Number of Agents | Average Agent Mass | Amount of Food Consumed | Average Agent | Average Agent EQ | Average breeding mass divider | Average Agent Breed Chance | Fight count relative to population size | Help count relative to population size | Ignore count relative to population size | Number of groups | Close family ratio in group |
|--------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|-----------------------------|
| N | Valid | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999550 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| Mean | | 424.5197310 | 13972.28757 | 27.52425000 | 1.000549055 | 1.000549055 | .0988433827 | .7403312553 | .0000739787 | .0000897025 | .1303282436 | 7.463551000 | .5356712952 |
| Media | n | 421.0000000 | 9502.153108 | 25.00000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .1076129657 | .7440492087 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .1343638526 | 5.000000000 | .5256024096 |
| Std. D | eviation | 274.3366793 | 13233.26920 | 19.60046632 | .0347066764 | .0347066764 | .0474813391 | .0129776352 | .0014084915 | .0023309005 | .0402967390 | 5.703148779 | .1840700737 |
| Range |) | 1073.000000 | 72632.91304 | 101.0000000 | 4.084507042 | 4.084507042 | .4629336375 | .3951758832 | .1294117647 | .2052401747 | .3797468354 | 42.00000000 | .9113190731 |
| Minim | um | 23.00000000 | 52.22489083 | .0000000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .0081897683 | .3665264462 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 |
| Maxim | num | 1096.000000 | 72685.13793 | 101.0000000 | 5.084507042 | 5.084507042 | .4711234058 | .7617023294 | .1294117647 | .2052401747 | .3797468354 | 42.00000000 | .9113190731 |

Fig 4.



Sim 3

Elapsed time (spell free CPU server) - 4D 9H 36S

Table. 5

| | | | Number of | Average Agent | Amount of Food | Average Agent | Average Agent | Average | Average Agent | Fight count relative to | Help count relative to | Ignore count relative to | Number of | Close family |
|--------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------|
| | | Steps | Agents | Mass | Consumed | IQ | EQ. | divider | Breed Chance | population size | population size | population size | groups | ration in group |
| Steps | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .962 | .888 | .884 | 022 | 022 | .776 | .095 | 084 | .752 | .866 | 092 | 271 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.5228E-111 | 1.5228E-111 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Number of Agents | Pearson Correlation | .962 | 1 | .872 | .952 | 032 | 032 | .732 | .120 | 106 | .759 | .855 | 153 | 359 |
| verage Agent Mass | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.9828E-230 | 1.9828E-230 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | -092 - 1000000E-0 - 1000000 - 0.05 - 1000000E-0 - 100000E-0 - 10000E-0 - 1000E-0 - 1000E-0 - 1000E-0 - 1000E-0 - 1000E-0 - | 999998 |
| Average Agent Mass | Pearson Correlation | .888 | .872 | 1 | .849 | 058 | 058 | .841 | 125 | 176 | .767 | .930 | 175 | 124 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Amount of Food | Pearson Correlation | .884 | .952** | .849 | 1 | 043 | 043 | .696 | .058 | 129** | .716 | .816 | 204 | 375 |
| Consumed | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Average Agent IQ | Pearson Correlation | 022 | 032 | 058 | 043 | 1 | 1.000 | .404 | 470 | .182 | 034 | 111 | .062 | .009 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 1.5228E-111 | 1.9828E-230 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.3298E-257 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 3.67404E-18 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Average Agent EQ | Pearson Correlation | 022 | 032 | 058 | 043 | 1.000 | 1 | .404 | 470 | .182** | 034 | 111 | .062 | .009 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 1.5228E-111 | 1.9828E-230 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.3298E-257 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 3.67404E-18 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Average breeding mass | Pearson Correlation | .776 | .732 | .841 | .696 | .404 | .404 | 1 | 427 | .018 | .707 | .775 | 078 | 047 |
| divider | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 8.00933E-69 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Average Agent Breed | Pearson Correlation | .095 | .120 | 125 | .058 | 470 | 470 | 427 | 1 | 113 | 115 | 090 | .083 | 227 |
| Chance | Pearson Correlation 962" 1 8.72" 962" 032" 032" 7.32" 7.12" 1.12" 1.16" | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Fight count relative to | Pearson Correlation | 084 | 106 | 176 | 129 | .182 | .182 | .018 | 113 | 1 | .073 | 277 | .055 | .004 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 8.00933E-69 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 8.626659E-5 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Help count relative to | Pearson Correlation | .752 | .759 | .767 | .716 | 034 | 034 | .707 | 115 | .073 | 1 | .812 | 007 | 169 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.3298E-257 | 1.3298E-257 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 1.10530E-12 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Ignore count relative to | Pearson Correlation | .866 | .855 | .930 | .816 | 111 | 111 | .775 | 090 | 277 | .812 | 1 | 061 | 133 |
| population size | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Number of groups | Pearson Correlation | 092 | 153 | 175 | 204 | .062 | .062 | 078 | .083 | .055 | 007 | 061 | 1 | 135 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 1.10530E-12 | 0.000000E+0 | | 0.000000E+0 |
| | N | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| Close family ration in | Pearson Correlation | 271 | 359 | 124 | 375 | .009 | .009 | 047 | 227 | .004 | 169 | 133 | 135 | 1 |
| group | Sig. (2-tailed) | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 3.67404E-18 | 3.67404E-18 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 8.626659E-5 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | 0.000000E+0 | |
| | N | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 | 999998 |

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table. 6

| | | Number of Agents | Average Agent Mass | Amount of Food Consumed | Average Agent | Average Agent EQ | Average breeding mass divider | Average Agent Breed Chance | Fight count relative to population size | Help count relative to population size | Ignore count relative to population size | Number of groups | Close family ration in group |
|--------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|------------------------------|
| N | Valid | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 1000000 | 999998 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mean | | 6814.29 | 1582.573095 | 261.7613720 | 1.000458672 | 1.000458672 | .0556022766 | .9228355159 | .0001227537 | .0802587796 | .7728563072 | 12.13154100 | .2611748256 |
| Media | n | 6833.00 | 1649.639629 | 263.0000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .0594981904 | .9210438871 | .0000000000 | .0827962938 | .7974492364 | 12.00000000 | .2563353998 |
| Std. D | eviation | 2663.685 | 335.2913388 | 76.58496167 | .0353978979 | .0353978979 | .0111601734 | .0101707889 | .0017326552 | .0118894032 | .0898872933 | 3.532779988 | .1105391846 |
| Range | | 12846 | 1982.265633 | 472.0000000 | 3.674518201 | 3.674518201 | .4766288511 | .4725134421 | .1789473684 | .3578947368 | .8775796599 | 44.00000000 | .9211668104 |
| Minim | um | 41 | 50.43897216 | .0000000000 | 1.000000000 | 1.000000000 | .0157544666 | .4812349089 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0000000000 | .0307851415 |
| Maxim | um | 12887 | 2032.704605 | 472.0000000 | 4.674518201 | 4.674518201 | .4923833176 | .9537483510 | .1789473684 | .3578947368 | .8775796599 | 44.00000000 | .9519519520 |

Fig. 5





Fig. 6



Discussion

My objective was to create a computational model that can be used to describe the evolution of an ecosystem. As such, a large part of my results is the algorithm itself, that can be used to obtain much greater insights into the behavior of populations than I was able to.

Getting a stable populous is more challenging than it seems. At first, the population skyrocketed into the tens of thousands and quickly overwhelmed my computer's capabilities. Other times, they quickly died, leaving no obvious reason for their sudden demise. As it turns out I had broken one of the most basic laws of physics, and as such created a "hack". I had forgotten to regulate the reproduction mechanic and allowed for blatant violations of the conservation of mass and energy. Yet even with those fixed, A lot of time was spent fine-tuning the parameters to allow for stable growth. This included implementing a mechanism that allowed for scaling the simulation. Because the simulation's spatial coordinates range from -1 to +1, I had to adjust the necessary distance for collision, as well as the maximum speed of the agents as to virtually scale the coordinate system without actually doing so. Another such alteration was the addition of many variables to the creature's traits, most prominently "breed chance" and "breed mass divider". This had the added benefit of making the simulation much more realistic and indicative of the real world, but its primary objective was to remove the need to manually tune these parameters.

To analyze the results from the simulations I performed a bivariate correlation between all the variables using Person's r. It appears that they are all at least loosely correlated to one another (correlation is significant at the 0.01 level - 2-tailed). This is fascinating. Even though the correlation itself might be weak, this demonstrates that all factors in an ecosystem drastically affect the others.

I would argue that simulations a and c are more successful than simulation b. This is because the total number of agents, as well as food consumed, is higher. Because they use more of their available resources, I consider them to be better. A factor that I found works well for measuring the success of the simulation is the time it takes to complete. Sim b measured at ~3H, simulation c was ~4D while simulation a took a staggering ~18D to complete.

The differences between simulations a and c to simulation b will become more apparent once we start examining the correlations.

Some of these correlations are expected. For example:

• The correlation between the number of agents and the food consumed

$$\rho_a$$
=0.921 ρ_b =0.934 ρ_c =0.952

This is mostly self-explanatory. The more food consumed the higher the agent's health, the more children it can have.

Average agent mass and close family ratio in group

$$\rho_a$$
=-0.516 ρ_b =-0.124 ρ_c =-0.157

This is a loose correlation between the average agent mass and the close family ratio in the group. While they may seem unconnected at first, the more massive an agent is the more offspring it has. This effect is however counteracted by the dilution of family members by the increased number of agents in groups. To get a better understanding of the strength of this correlation we can look to the STD. deviation of the mass in each simulation. Unfortunately, STD over time was not tracked and is a potential improvement for the future.

These correlations indicate that the simulation is at least partially successful as it produces results that are expected using common intuition.

I will now cover some more interesting correlations.

• Agent breed mass divider with the progress of the simulation (Steps)

$$\rho_a$$
=0.241 ρ_b =0.937 ρ_c =0.776

This makes a lot of sense to me. I propose that at the beginning of the simulation, before agents were able to overcome the constant food search, having children was a big risk and so the new children were given limited mass. But as time went on the risk decreased and agents could now afford to gamble a lot of their health. It is important to note that although I talk about the agent taking risks, I am referring to the concept of the selfish gene. The continuation of the gene is dependent on its current carrier but also on its offspring. Although genes are not used directly in this model, the general concept still applies.

The reason that the first simulation does not fall under the same pattern would be because of its lower breed chance compared to the other two. This allowed it to mostly start on 0.2 instead of reaching it after a prolonged period.

• Close family and number of agents

$$\rho_a$$
=-0.506 ρ_b =0.505 ρ_c =-0.359

This is interesting because the correlations appear to be reversed. ρ_b is expected as having more close family seems to increase the chances of survival as family members are less likely to attack other family members. However, I propose that the reason for the correlation we observe in sims a and c is because of the increased number of agents diluting the close family ratio. The more agents, the less close family.

I believe that the reason close family affects the number of agents and not the other way around in sim b is because of the fewer number of agents that increase the effect of each agent and its family. This is contrary to sims a and c where a large number of agents appear to dilute most of the advantages.

Amount of food consumed and average agent mass

$$\rho_a$$
=0.908 ρ_b =-0.575 ρ_c =0.849

Here the correlations between sim a and sim c to sim b are also reversed. While ρ_c and ρ_a seem expected, the more food consumed the higher the average mass, ρ_b is the exact opposite.

The number of agents does not seem to be the cause because they both have similar ratios regarding the number of agents and food consumed: ρ_a =0.921 ρ_b =0.934 ρ_c =0.952. In fact, simulation c seems to have more agents when there is more food, yet still, its agents have a higher agent mass.

I propose that the reason for this is that the second simulation was too erratic for such a correlation. Because food only affects an agent's mass directly if he is still growing and agent mass itself is constantly changing due to childbirth. The number of agents in sim2 hover around 424 on average, while the number in sim3 is on average 6,833.

This is in addition to factors like the high agent mass in sim $2 \sim 9,502$ compared to sim $3 \sim 1,582$ which would reduce the effects of factors like food consumed on the average mass.

Another interesting observation is that agents chose to mostly ignore one another instead of fighting. I have been unable to determine the reason for this behavior although I suspect it arises from a mistake in the fight mechanic where fighting might have more drawbacks than rewards. It is however possible that the agents with a higher tendency to fight simply killed themselves. This is in line with the idea that animals refrain from cannibalism.

I would suggest that in the future, more analysis should be done on the differences between the simulations, as well as ground the global factors in reality.

Assumptions and Limitations

Throughout the project, I have made several key assumptions.

- Agents cannot learn. At first glance, this may seem like a glaring flaw, as I'm treating
 agents as individuals yet without the ability to learn. However, I would argue that the
 learning process of an individual is largely irrelevant because opposed to natural
 agents, these agents can pass much more information via their mutated cloned brains
 to their children.
- Agents do not need a partner to reproduce. They reproduce via parthenogenesis, that is to say, that they reproduce alone. This has distinct drawbacks as the resulting children are only modified versions of their parent instead of blending 2 different genes. Unfortunately, this system is set up in a way that cannot be easily transferable to two parents. This is mostly because the neural networks that each creature possesses are slightly different and are not guaranteed to have the same number of neurons per hidden layer.
- I make several assumptions concerning the way that mass governess the ability of the creatures. I make no allowances for muscle mass to body mass ratio and seem to be giving a large mass a great advantage. I would address this by saying that one can look at the variable I call mass, as a number that aggregates mass, as well as muscle mass to body mass ratio. This would become a concern however if mass data were to be used to corroborate real-world data or to test the validity of this model and run experiments. As such future simulation should make use of 2 different variables instead of relying solely on one.

$$a = \frac{F}{m}$$

$$F = m k^*$$

$$a = \frac{mk}{m} = k$$

As you can see, an agent's acceleration is not related to its mass but rather to its muscle mass to body mass ratio. In future versions, this can be resolved by using 2 variables for mass.

- ** distance is measured for every step, as such the time for each step is defined to be 1 arbitrary time unit
- Agents age in a constant fashion, regardless of their mass. This, in turn, diminishes
 the effect of aging on larger creatures. However, life expectancy does seem to be
 linearly correlated to mass.³
- The sim only uses 1 axis. While a 2 or even 3 axis system might represent reality better, I believe that the computational advantages outweigh the drawbacks. Even though 2 dimensions would allow for more advanced hunting strategies and better evasion. To partially compensate for this, agents can "jump" over other agents, in other words the simulation doesn't check to see if there is an agent in the way before a creature moves. This would, however, be an avenue for further improvement should anyone express interest in improving the model
- Because the simulation attempts to work via the macro world and not to simulate physics, it necessarily is less accurate. The assumptions I made are closely connected to this fact. And while I have done my best to minimize them, as well justify them to a certain degree, they still present limitations to the accuracy of the simulation. For example, all creatures are equally efficient. While this does not accurately describe the natural world, because all creatures strive for maximum efficiency, I believe that this is acceptable. This assumption allows me to ignore the physics side of things as creating a physics simulation and allowing agents to develop efficient solutions for aerodynamics as well as cell level reactions would be a near-complete waste of computational resources for modeling macro events.

Page | 26

^{*} k is the muscle mass to body mass ratio

³ Szekely, P., Korem, Y., Moran, U., Mayo, A., & Alon, U. (2015). The Mass-Longevity Triangle: Pareto Optimality and the Geometry of Life-History Trait Space. PLOS Computational Biology, 11(10), e1004524. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004524

• IQ and IQ are immutable. Because of the nature of neural networks, IQ and EQ are completely static. They do not change from parent to child, unlike other traits. I believe that this is the reason that all the agents in every simulation I ran, were reduced to 1 EQ and IQ after the first couple of steps. IQ and EQ produce unwanted strain on the agent's energy repository. In the early development stages, IQ and EQ produce no meaningful advantage, yet I believe that in later stages this may change.

This poses an interesting challenge, one that is beyond my ability to solve. However, a possible partial solution would be to allow for a steady supply of new random agents throughout the simulation's life cycle. This would not fully resolve the issue because these agents would need to start from scratch, however, it would allow for the introduction of agents with high EQ and IQ.

- The constants of the simulation, while not arbitrary, are not necessarily indicative of the natural world and as such they misrepresent reality. This could probably be overcome by dedicating time to each constant and attempting to approximate it.
- Additionally, while the simulation does keep track of numerous variables and statistics, it does not present the full picture. To partially compensate for this, I created the animate function that creates a rendering of the simulation. However, it is difficult to ascertain any meaningful amount of information beyond the events that occur on the macro scale
- The food class in the simulation always boats the same food reward. This is an
 interesting avenue for further discussion. Should the food amounts be generated
 randomly the agent might be provided with multiple food items and it will have to
 pick intelligently between distance and food amount. Furthermore, the introduction of
 seasons would also be an interesting factor.
- Agents are not able to maintain a memory of past interactions and communicate
 information concerning them, nor are they able to identify other agents with whom
 they interact. This might be fixed by using LSTM or RNN instead of a simple neural
 network, in addition to ids being passed while interacting.
- Agents can only interact with 1 agent and 1 food item per step. I detail in my code
 snippet explanation a possible solution that should mostly maintain the efficiency

improvements from the sorting solution. Even so, the step function will become an $O(n^2)$ algorithm.

Certain creatures might have an unfair advantage because of the ordering of the
agents. While this is not addressed directly, the order of the agents is not fixed and is
related to their position values. To see why, please see Code Snippets Explanations:
collision detection. Because of this, and because the analysis is done on the level of
the population and not individual agents, I do not see this as a significant problem.

There are of course other limitations and assumptions that I did not mention here or realize.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a simulated ecosystem can grant us new insights into the inner workings of complex interactions between innumerable values. As I have demonstrated there exist loose correlations between all the variables I have studied, most of whom are verified at beyond the 5-sigma level. I was able to gain interesting insights into the mechanics of these interactions and causes and implications

Appendix 1 – Using the simulation

Initial installation (for all use cases)

1. Clone the code from https://github.com/ikoursh/EcoSystemProject by running:

git clone https://github.com/ikoursh/EcoSystemProject

2. Then enter the model directory:

cd ecosystemproject/proj

3. Finally, install the dependencies:

pip install -r requierments.txt

If you want to add support for animation you will need an installation of FFmpeg. To do so you can go here (don't forget to add it to PATH, this is a great explanation for windows)

Note that pip install ffmpeg does not seem to work, however, Linux users may use apt.

GUI (Windows only officially supported)

This option might be a bit buggy and has not been tested on Linux or Mac. It is however the preferred option for beginners.

Simply install the program using the included installer (ecosystemproject/GUI/dist/EcoSystem-Project-GUI Setup 1.0.0.exe)

Then open the program, and in the settings tab enter the path to auto.py.

You can now create simulations using the new simulation menu. Simulations will automatically display in your "my simulations" menu. Once a simulation has finished you can click on it to see the output files.

Note that EnvSim will attempt to run in the background and can be terminated using the task manager or the slightly buggy tray bar. Once the program is quit the simulation will too and they cannot be resumed.

Note, if you chose to enable spell integration you must commit all local changes before trying to use Spell.

CLI

This option is easy and flexible. Run "python auto.py —help" for more information about the parameters. It is very easy to use and user friendly.

Python

This is the least recommended option. For casual users, the GUI or CLI would be easier, and for advanced users, a custom implementation would probably be better suit their needs.

Nevertheless, for those who want fine control yet simplicity you can import sim2 directly. Please check the API documentation for more information.

* if you attempt to process close family ratio via the generated SAV(SPSS) file you might encounter multiple errors. This can be fixed by rounding the values to fewer decimal points. To do this click transform -> compute variable, enter the name of the new variable and enter RND(Close_family_ratio_in_group *10**x) / 10**x (where x is the precision, 16 is the recommended value).

Appendix 2 - Code Documentation

All of the code in this project is available in this project's GitHub repository along with all previous versions. To see the latest codebase go here:

https://github.com/ikoursh/EcosystemProject/

The core code used in the project has been documented via Google's API doc guidelines.

The documentation has been compiled using SPHINX and is available here: https://inbarkoursh.com/ecosystemproject/ as well as on this project's GitHub repository

here: https://github.com/ikoursh/EcosystemProject/tree/master/docs/

Appendix 3 - Code

It is recommended that all code be viewed using this project's <u>GitHub repository</u> and not here.

The code for the GUI as well as the documentation is only available in the GitHub repository.

model2.py:

#!/usr/bin/env python3

Ecosystem project - studying natural biological systems using a simulated ecosystem and reinforcement learning.

Copyright (C) 2020 Inbar Koursh

```
# This program is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under
# ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS
# FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.
# You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with
# this program. If not, see <a href="http://www.gnu.org/licenses/">http://www.gnu.org/licenses/</a>.
import configparser
import copy
import gc
import random
from typing import Tuple
import matplotlib
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
from matplotlib import animation
from nn import NeuralNetwork
from PIL import Image # work with metadata via pillow
from PIL import PngImagePlugin
import openpyxl # work with excel
import savReaderWriter # work with spss
import pickle # support serialization
import json # work with GUI
matplotlib.get_backend()
if sys.version_info[0] < 3 or sys.version_info[1] < 4:</pre>
  raise Exception(
if sys.version_info[0] > 3:
 print("WARNING this program was write to support python 3. Use any future versions at your
dir_path = os.path.dirname(os.path.realpath(_file__))
config = configparser.ConfigParser(inline_comment_prefixes="#")
config.read(os.path.join(dir_path, 'config.ini'))
INT_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["INT_CONST"])
MOV_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MOV_CONST"])
```

```
ENLB_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["ENLB_CONST"])
ENGB_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["ENGB_CONST"])
ENL_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["ENL_CONST"])
ENG_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["ENG_CONST"])
MAX_LIFE_SPAN = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MAX_LIFE_SPAN"])
AGE_CONST = config["VARIABLES"]["AGE_CONST"]
if AGE CONST == "auto":
 AGE_CONST = ENG_CONST - (100 / MAX_LIFE_SPAN)
 AGE_CONST = float(AGE_CONST)
POP_DENCITY = float(config["VARIABLES"]["POP_DENCITY"])
AGING_TIME = float(config["VARIABLES"]["AGING_TIME"])
G_SPEED_FACTOR = float(config["VARIABLES"]["G_SPEED_FACTOR"])
FOOD_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["FOOD_CONST"])
START_MASS_P = float(config["VARIABLES"]["START_MASS_P"])
G_COL_CONST = float(config["VARIABLES"]["G_COL_CONST"])
MIN_IQ = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MIN_IQ"])
MAX_IQ = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MAX_IQ"])
MIN_EQ = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MIN_EQ"])
MAX_EQ = float(config["VARIABLES"]["MAX_EQ"])
FOOD_FLUCT = float(config["VARIABLES"]["FOOD_FLUCT"])
GROUP_FACTOR = float(config["VARIABLES"]["GROUP_FACTOR"])
def map_from_to(x: float, a: float, b: float, c: float, d: float) -> float:
  Used in :meth: `model2.Agent.think` to map inputs and outputs to and from ranges that the neural network
   d(float): Final range end
  return (x - a) / (b - a) * (d - c) + c
GRAPHS_FOLDER = "/graphs-0.3"
ANIMATION_FOLDER = '/animations-0.1'
if not os.path.isdir('.' + GRAPHS_FOLDER):
 os.mkdir('.' + GRAPHS_FOLDER)
if not os.path.isdir('.' + ANIMATION_FOLDER):
  os.mkdir('.' + ANIMATION_FOLDER)
if not os.path.isdir("./saved"):
  os.mkdir("./saved")
```

class Agent:

.....

A class that models the behavior of wild creatures.

Args:

x (float): The agents initial position. See :attr:`model2.Agent.x`
id(int): An id used by the simulation class to keep track of agents. See :attr:`model2.Agent.id`

iq (int): The number of neurons in every hidden layer of the agent's neural network that is responsible for movement. See :attr:`model2.Agent.ig`

eq (int): The number of neurons in every hidden layer of the agent's neural network that is responsible for agent to agent interactions. See :attr:`model2.Agent.eq`

mass(int): The mass of the agent represents its size. See :attr:`model2.Agent.mass` final_mass(int): The final mass of the agent. See :attr:`model2.Agent.final_mass`

breed_mass_div(float): The initial mass to final mass ratio of the agents children. See :attr:`model2.Agent.breed_mass_div`

breed_chance(float): The chance for the agent to breed at every step. See :attr:`model2.Agent.breed_chance`

size_factor(float): A variable used by the sim's movement and collision engines to control the size of the simulation. See :attr:`model2.Sim.size_factor`

move_brain(NeuralNetwork): The neural network the agent will use to decide where to move. This is either provided by the creature's parent (via a mutated brain) or is generated from scratch (for the initial population) See :attr:`model2.Agent.move_brain`.

social_brain(NeuralNetwork): The neural network the agent will use to interact with other agents. This is either provided by the creature's parent (via a mutated brain) or is generated from scratch (for the initial population) See :attr:`model2.Agent.social_brain`.

parent_id(int): This variable is used to detect close family by the simulation. It is then used as a variable in agent interactions. See :attr:`model2.Agent.parent_id`

Attributes.

energy(float): Tracks the amount of energy an agent has. energy is acquired by eating and lost by moving or thinking. Energy allows agents to grow and regenerate health. If an agent has low energy, he wil take damage. See :meth:`model2.Agent.think`, :meth:`model2.Agent.move`, :meth:`model2.interact`

speed(float): The maximum velocity at which the creature can move. Inversely related to mass (1/mass) health(float): How much damage can a creature sustain. When it reaches 0, the creature dies.

x (float): The agents position. See :meth: `model2. Agent. move`

id(int): An id used by the simulation class to keep track of agents. See :attr:`model2.Agent.parent_id` for use case.

iq (int): The number of neurons in every hidden layer of the agent's neural network that is responsible for movement. See :attr:`model2.Agent.move brain` for use case.

eq (int): The number of neurons in every hidden layer of the agent's neural network that is responsible for agent to agent interactions. See :attr:`model2.Agent.social_brain` for use case

mass(int): The mass of the agent, represents its size. final_mass(int): The final mass of the agent.

breed_mass_div(float): The initial mass to final mass ratio of the agent's children. See

```
social_brain(NeuralNetwork): The neural network the agent will use to interact with other agents.
parent_id(int): This variable is used to detect close family by the simulation. It is then used as a variable
     id.
     final mass.
     breed_mass_div,
     breed chance.
     size factor.
     move_brain=None,
     social_brain=None,
     parent_id=None):
self.iq = iq
self.eq = eq
if move_brain is not None:
  self.move_brain = move_brain
  if iq == 1: # if the size of the hidden layers is 1, the amount of hidden layers doesn't matter
    self.move_brain = NeuralNetwork([3, 1])
    self.move_brain = NeuralNetwork([3, iq, iq, 1])
if social brain is not None:
  self.social_brain = social_brain
  if eq == 1: # if the size of the hidden layers is 1, the amount of hidden layers doesn't matter
    self.social_brain = NeuralNetwork([4, eq, 2])
    self.social_brain = NeuralNetwork([4, eq, eq, 2])
self.parent_id = parent_id
self.mass = mass
self.energy = mass
self.speed = (1 / mass) * size_factor * G_SPEED_FACTOR
self.health = mass
self.final_mass = final_mass
self.breed_mass_div = breed_mass_div
self.breed_chance = breed_chance
```

```
self.id = id
  self.size_factor = size_factor
def age(self) -> None:
  if self.mass > self.final_mass * AGING_TIME:
    self.health -= AGE_CONST
def think(self, d_food: float, d_agent: float, s_agent: 'Agent') -> float:
    d_food(float): The distance to the nearest food item
  out = self.move_brain.feed_forward(
    [map_from_to(d_food, -1, 1, 0, 1), map_from_to(d_agent, -1, 1, 0, 1),
    int(s_agent.mass > self.mass)])
  self.energy -= self.iq * INT_CONST
  return map_from_to(float(out[0]), 0, 1, -self.speed, self.speed)
def move(self, dx: float) -> None:
 self.x += dx
  if self.x > 1: # make the world round
    self.x = 1 - self.x
  if self.x < -1:
  self.energy -= MOV_CONST * dx
def breed(self, nid: int) -> 'Agent':
```

```
Make the agent have a child.
    nb = copy.deepcopy(self.move_brain)
    nb.mutate()
   nsb = copy.deepcopy(self.social_brain)
   nsb.mutate()
   nm = np.ceil((self.mass + random.randrange(-10, 10)) * self.breed_mass_div)
   self.health -= nm
   self.mass -= nm
   if self.health <= 0 or self.mass < 1 or nm < 1:</pre>
      self.health = -1
   return Agent(self.iq, self.eq, nm, self.x + random.uniform(0.001, -0.001), nid,
           np.ceil(nm / self.breed_mass_div),
           self.breed_mass_div + random.uniform(0.01, -0.01), self.breed_chance + random.uniform(0.01,
-0.01),
          self.size_factor, nb, nsb, self.id)
 def eat(self, food: int) -> None:
   if self.mass < self.final_mass and self.energy / self.mass > ENGB_CONST:
      self.mass += food # update mass and speed
      self.speed = (1 / self.mass) * self.size_factor * G_SPEED_FACTOR
      self.energy += food
   return str(self.id)
def fight(a1: Agent, a2: Agent) -> None:
   :attr:`model2.Agent.energy`
```

```
a1.health -= a2.mass
 a2.health -= a1.mass
 a1.energy += a2.mass
 a2.energy += a1.mass
def interact(a1: Agent, a2: Agent, s) -> None:
 a1.energy -= a1.eq * INT_CONST # subtract energy used for interaction thought
 a2.energy -= a2.eq * INT_CONST
 close_family = a1.parent_id == a2.id or a2.parent_id == a1.id
 s1 = a1.social_brain.feed_forward(
   [1 if close_family else 0, a1.energy / a1.mass, a2.energy / a2.mass, 1 if a1.mass > a2.mass else 0])
 s2 = a2.social_brain.feed_forward(
   [1 if close_family else 0, a2.energy / a2.mass, a1.energy / a1.mass, 1 if a1.mass < a2.mass else 0])
 if s1[0] > 0.5 or s2[0] > 0.5: # if either agent wants to fight
   fight(a1, a2)
   s.fight += 1
 if s1[1] > 0.5: # if an agent wants to help
   a1.energy -= FOOD_CONST
   a2.energy += FOOD_CONST
   s.help += 1
 if s2[1] > 0.5:
   a1.energy += FOOD_CONST
   a2.energy -= FOOD_CONST
   s.help += 1
   s.nothing += 1
class Food:
 """ Food class
   self.x = x
```

```
def mk_round(d: float) -> float:
    d(float): The distance to process
 if d > 1: # if the distance between them is greater than 1, then the other distance must be smaller Ex.
1.2 -> -0.8
1.2 -> 0.8
    return -d - 1
    return d
class Sim:
  """A class used to model a simulated population
    agents: The number of agents the simulation should start with. See :class:`model2.Agent`
    size factor(float): A constant that scales the simulation. calculated via 1 / (agents / POP DENCITY)
    fight(int): The number of times agents fight one another in total
    help(int): The number of times agents help one another in total
    id(int): A variable used to keep track of the issued id's to agents. See :attr:`model2.Agent.id`
   food(list[Food]): A list of all the food items
    dataPoints(int): The number of datapoints recorded
    eat_OT(list[int]): A list of the rate of eating over time. See :meth: `model2.Agent.eat`
    ig OT(list[float]): A list of the average ig over time. See :attr:`model2.Agent.ig`
```

```
fight_OT(list[int]): A list of the amount of fighting over time. See :meth: `model2.fight`,
help_OT(list[int]): A list of the number of creatures helping one another over time. See
nothing_OT(list[int]): A list of the number of creatures ignoring one another over time. See
  agents: int = 500,
  food_count: int = None,
if food_count is None:
  food_count = 5 * agents
if (not isinstance(food_count, int)) or (not isinstance(agents, int)):
  raise TypeError
self.size_factor = 1 / (agents / POP_DENCITY)
self.col_const = G_COL_CONST * self.size_factor
self.food_count = food_count
self.breed = 0
self.kill = 0
self.fight = 0
self.help = 0
self.nothing = 0
self.id = 0
self.eat = 0
self.agents = []
self.food = []
self.dataPoints = 0
self.interactions = 0
for i in range(agents): # create initial population
  mass = np.ceil(random.randrange(1, 100))
  self.agents.append(
    Agent(int(random.randrange(MIN_IQ,
                  MAX_IQ)), int(random.randrange(MIN_EQ, MAX_EQ)),
       np.ceil(mass * START_MASS_P),
       random.uniform(-1, 1), self.id, mass, random.random(), random.random(), self.size_factor))
  self.id += 1
self.group()
self.i_OT = []
```

```
self.number_of_agents_OT = []
  self.mass_OT = []
  self.eat_OT = []
 self.iq_OT = []
  self.eq_OT = []
  self.breed_mass_div_OT = []
  self.breed_chance_OT = []
  self.interactions_OT = []
  self.fight_OT = []
  self.help_OT = []
  self.nothing_OT = []
  self.relative_groups_OT = []
  self.close_family_in_group_OT = []
  self.cfood()
def get_fn(self) -> str:
  return '{}-{}-{}'.format(
    len(self.agents), self.gcsteps,
    time.strftime("%d%M%Y%H%M%S", time.localtime()))
def save(self, file: str = None) -> None:
  if file is not None and file.endswith(".envs"):
    raise ValueError("File must end with .envs")
  pickle.dump(self, open(file if file is not None else "save/" + self.get_fn() + ".envs", "w"), 4)
@classmethod
def load(cls, filename: str) -> "Sim":
```

```
with open(filename, 'rb') as f:
    return pickle.load(f)
def run(self, steps: int = 1000, print_freq: int = None, max_attempts: int = 1, data_point_freq: int = 10,
  gui: bool = False) -> Tuple[bool, int]:
    max_attempts: The maximum amount of attempts the simulation should try before quitting, -1 is
  See also:
  if max_attempts == -1: # if maximum attempts is -1, make it effectively infinite
    max_attempts = 2 ** 32
  sim_copy = copy.deepcopy(self) # create a copy of the sim to use as a restore point
 if print_freq is None: # if print frequency is not specified, set it so that the model prints every 1% of
    print_freq = steps / 100
  for a in range(max_attempts):
    failed = False
    for i in range(steps):
      if not self.step(): # call step, if it failed, stop this attempt
        self._dict_.update(copy.deepcopy(sim_copy)._dict_) # resetting the sim to its original state
        failed = True
      if i % print_freq == 0:
        self.progress(steps, i, gui)
      if i % data_point_freq == 0:
        self.update_stats() # update statistics
    if not failed:
      self.progress(steps, steps, gui)
  return False, max_attempts
def group(self) -> int:
  prev_a = self.agents[0]
  prev_a.group = 0
```

```
for a in self.agents:
    a.group = prev_a.group + (0 if abs(a.x - prev_a.x) < self.col_const * GROUP_FACTOR else 1)
    prev_a = a
  return prev_a.group
def progress(self, steps: int, csteps: int, gui: bool) -> None:
  Print model progress
  if gui:
    print(json.dumps({
      "steps": csteps,
      "food": len(self.food),
      "agents": len(self.agents)
    sys.stdout.flush()
        .format(round((csteps / steps) * 100, 2),
            csteps, steps,
            len(self.food))) # print status
def update_stats(self) -> None:
  agent_count = len(self.agents) # save time
  self.dataPoints += 1
  # append statistics:
  self.i_OT.append(self.gcsteps)
  self.number_of_agents_OT.append(agent_count)
  self.interactions_OT.append(self.interactions)
  self.interactions = 0
  # group based statistics:
  self.relative_groups_OT.append(self.group())
  helper_mass = np.ndarray([agent_count])
  helper_iq = np.ndarray([agent_count])
  helper_eq = np.ndarray([agent_count])
  helper_bmd = np.ndarray([agent_count])
  helper_bch = np.ndarray([agent_count])
  helper_group_i = -1
  helper_group_size = []
  helper_close_family_group = []
  for i in range(agent_count):
    a = self.agents[i]
    helper_mass[i] = a.mass
    helper_iq[i] = a.iq
```

```
helper_eq[i] = a.eq
    helper_bmd[i] = a.breed_mass_div
    helper_bch[i] = a.breed_chance
    if a.group != helper_group_i:
     a.group = helper_group_i
      helper_close_family_group.append([])
      helper_group_size.append(0)
      helper_group_i += 1
    helper_close_family_group[helper_group_i].extend([a.id, a.parent_id])
    helper_group_size[helper_group_i] += 1
 self.close_family_in_group_OT.append(np.mean(
    [(len(helper_close_family_group[i]) - len(set(helper_close_family_group[i]))) / helper_group_size[i]
    range(helper_group_i)])) # the average amount of duplicates is the amount of close family
 self.mass_OT.append(np.mean(helper_mass))
 self.eat_OT.append(self.eat)
 self.eat = 0
 self.iq_OT.append(np.mean(helper_iq))
 self.eq_OT.append(np.mean(helper_eq))
 self.breed_mass_div_OT.append(np.mean(helper_bmd))
 self.breed_chance_OT.append(np.mean(helper_bch))
 self.fight_OT.append(self.fight / agent_count)
 self.help_OT.append(self.help / agent_count)
 self.nothing_OT.append(self.nothing / agent_count)
 self.help = 0
 self.fight = 0
 self.nothing = 0
def cfood(self) -> None:
 self.food = []
 for i in range(int((1 - FOOD_FLUCT) * self.food_count)):
    self.food.append(Food(random.uniform(-1, 1)))
def step(self) -> bool:
 self.gcsteps += 1
 if len(self.food) < FOOD_FLUCT * self.food_count:</pre>
    self.cfood()
 agent_count = len(self.agents)
 if agent_count <= 1:</pre>
    print("ALERT: the model has died")
 self.agents.sort(
    key=lambda ag: ag.x
```

```
) # sort agents by position, allows to quickly determine the closest agent with low complexity
    self.food.sort(key=lambda ag: ag.x)
    food_index = 0 # used to find closest food item with low complexity
    for a in range(agent_count):
      agent_count = len(self.agents)
      if a >= agent_count: # agents can be removed but the range isn't updated
        return True
      ax = self.agents[a].x
      tf = None
      lf = None
      for i in range(food_index, len(self.food)):
        if self.food[i].x > ax:
          food_index = i - 1
          tf = self.food[i]
          lf = self.food[i]
      if tf is None:
        tf = self.food[0]
      if lf is None:
        lf = self.food[-1]
      dtf = mk_round(tf.x - ax)
      dlf = mk_round(lf.x - ax)
      dfood = dtf if dtf < dlf else dlf
          dfood
      ) < self.col const: # if the abs distance is smaller than the required collision const
        self.food.remove(tf if dtf < dlf else lf) # remove food</pre>
        self.agents[a].eat(FOOD_CONST) # eat food
        food_index -= 1
      # because agents have been sorted by x values, it is easy to find the closest agent by comparing the
agent before and the one after
      ta = self.agents[a + 1 if a != agent_count - 1 else 0]
      la = self.agents[(a - 1) if a != 1 else agent_count - 1]
      dta = mk_round(ta.x - ax)
      dla = mk_round(la.x - ax)
      if dta < dla:
        dagent = dta
        a_s = ta
        dagent = dla
        a_s = la
      if abs(dagent) < self.col_const:</pre>
        self.interactions += 1
        interact(self.agents[a], a_s, self)
```

```
self.agents[a].move(
      self.agents[a].think(
        dfood, dagent, a_s
    self.agents[a].age() # applying age effect
    if self.agents[a].energy < ENLB_CONST * self.agents[a].mass: # if the agent is sick, lose health</pre>
      self.agents[a].health -= ENL_CONST
    if self.agents[a].energy > ENGB_CONST * self.agents[a].mass: # if the agent is healthy, gain health
      self.agents[a].health += ENG_CONST
    if (random.random() < self.agents[a].breed_chance</pre>
        and self.agents[a].health > 0
        and self.agents[a].mass >= self.agents[a].final_mass): # determine if an agent will breed
      nk = self.agents[a].breed(self.id)
        self.breed += 1
        self.id += 1
        self.agents.append(nk)
    if self.agents[a].health < -1e-5: # if the agent's health is <=0, kill it
      self.kill += 1
      self.agents.remove(self.agents[a])
  return True
def stats(self) -> str:
  return "breed:{} kill:{} eat:{}\n".format(
    self.breed, self.kill, sum(self.eat_OT)
  ) + "avg mass: {}\n".format(np.mean([
    a.mass for a in self.agents
  ])) + "avg speed: {}\n".format(np.mean([
    a.speed for a in self.agents
  1)) + "avg breed chance: {}\n".format(
    np.mean([a.breed_chance for a in self.agents
         ])) + "avg breed mass divider: {}\n".format(
    np.mean([a.breed_mass_div for a in self.agents]))
def graph(self, info: str = None, output=("plt", "excel")) -> str:
    str: folder name for output
```

```
compatible_out = ["plt", "excel", "spss"]
e = False
for ro in output:
  if ro not in compatible_out:
    print("WARNING, output format {} is not supported, it will be skipped".format(ro))
  print("We currently support " + str(compatible_out))
if info is None:
  info = input("Enter additional information about the sim: ")
titles = [
values = [
  self.number_of_agents_OT, self.mass_OT, self.eat_OT, self.iq_OT, self.iq_OT,
  self.breed_mass_div_OT, self.breed_chance_OT, self.fight_OT, self.help_OT, self.nothing_OT,
  self.relative_groups_OT, self.close_family_in_group_OT
fn = "graphs-0.3/" + self.get_fn()
os.mkdir(fn)
  if "plt" in output:
    if len(titles) != len(values):
      raise Exception("Error len of titles must match len of vars")
    fig, axs = plt.subplots(len(values), sharex='all', figsize=(20, 60))
    metadata = dict()
    for i in range(len(values)):
      axs[i].plot(self.i_OT, values[i], linewidth=0.25)
      axs[i].axes.set_ylim([0, max(values[i])])
      axs[i].set_ylabel(titles[i])
      metadata["Final" + titles[i]] = values[i][-1]
    axs[0].axes.set_xlim([0, self.dataPoints])
    axs[0].set_title(
        .format(len(self.agents), self.gcsteps, time.strftime("%D"), info,
             self.stats()), )
    axs[-1].set_xlabel("Number Of Data Points")
    plt.tight_layout()
    plt.autoscale()
    pltfn = fn + "/plt." + extention
    fig.savefig(pltfn, bbox_inches='tight') # save graph
    # add metadata:
```

```
im = Image.open(pltfn)
      meta = PngImagePlugin.PngInfo()
      for x in metadata:
        meta.add_text(x, str(metadata[x]))
      im.save(pltfn, extention, pnginfo=meta)
    print("error in generating plt file")
  transposed_data = []
  for i in range(self.dataPoints):
    transposed_data.append([j[i] for j in values])
    if "excel" in output:
      if len(values[0]) > 1048576:
        print("to manny data points, skipping excel")
        wb = openpyxl.Workbook(write_only=True)
        sheet = wb.create_sheet()
        sheet.append(titles)
        for i in transposed_data:
          sheet.append(i)
        wb.save(fn + "/excel.xlsx")
    print("error in generating excel file")
  if "spss" in output:
    savFileName = fn + '/spss.sav'
    varNames = [i.replace(" ", "_") for i in titles]
    varTypes = dict()
    for t in varNames:
      varTypes[t] = 0
    with savReaderWriter.SavWriter(savFileName, varNames, varTypes) as writer:
      for i in range(self.dataPoints):
        writer.writerow(transposed_data[i])
  return os.getcwd() + "\\" + fn.replace("/", "\\");
def animate(self, steps, res_mult=5, fps=10, bitrate=20000, print_freq=10, data_point_freq: int = 10,
  Creates an animated model of the simulation
    str: The filename of the animation
  # TODO: fix memory leak
  ims = \Pi
```

```
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(res_mult, res_mult))
for i in range(steps):
  if not self.step():
  if i % data_point_freq == 0:
    self.update_stats()
  if i % print_freq == 0:
    self.progress(steps, i, gui)
  acu = self.size_factor / 25
  row = [0 for i in np.arange(0, 1, acu)]
  for f in self.food:
      row[round(f.x / acu)] = 100
      if round(f.x / acu) > 1 / acu:
        row[-1] = 100
        row[0] = 100
  for a in self.agents:
      row[round(a.x / acu)] = 255
      if round(a.x / acu) > 1 / acu:
        row[-1] = 255
        row[0] = 255
  r = len(row) / (2 * np.pi)
  p = np.zeros((np.ceil(2 * r) + 10, np.ceil(2 * r) + 10))
  dist_proj = 2 * np.pi / len(row)
  angle = 0
  for v in row:
    x = round(r * np.cos(angle) + r)
    y = round(r * np.sin(angle) + r)
    p[y][x] = v
    p[y+1][x] = v
    p[y-1][x] = v
    p[y][x+1] = v
    p[y][x - 1] = v
    angle += dist_proj
  ims.append([
    plt.imshow(p,
  gc.collect()
self.progress(steps, steps, gui)
ani = animation.ArtistAnimation(fig,
```

```
interval=100,
    blit=False,
    repeat_delay=1000)

# Set up formatting for the movie files
Writer = animation.writers['ffmpeg']
writer = Writer(fps=fps, metadata=dict(artist='Me'), bitrate=bitrate)

fn = "animations-0.1/" + self.get_fn() + '.mp4'
ani.save(fn, writer=writer)

# optimize:
# de-initialize variables:
fig = None
ims = None
ani = None
writer = None
Writer = None
# call garbage collector:
gc.collect()
# return animation file name
return fn
```

nn.py:

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3

# Ecosystem project - studying natural biological systems using a simulated ecosystem and reinforcement learning.

# Copyright (C) 2020 Inbar Koursh

# This program is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under

# the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software

# Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later

# version.

# This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT

# ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS

# FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

# You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with

# this program. If not, see < http://www.gnu.org/licenses/>.

import configgarser
import numpy
import os

dir_path = os.path.dirname(os.path.realpath(_file__))

config = configgarser.ConfigParser(inline_comment_prefixes="#")

config = configgarser.ConfigParser(inline_comment_prefixes="#")

try:

print("use GPU"]["USE_GPU"].lower() == "true":

print("use GPU is on")

try:

import cupy as xp

except:

raise Exception(

"Error importing CuPy. To ensure that your installation is setup properly go to "
```

```
import numpy as xp
var = numpy.ndarray
def sigmoid(a: numpy.ndarray) -> numpy.ndarray:
 return 1/(1 + xp.exp(-a))
def mutate(a: numpy.ndarray) -> numpy.ndarray:
 Mutate Function
 return a + xp.random.normal(0, 0.1)
class NNLayer:
 def __init__(self, nodes: int, prev_nodes: int) -> None:
   self.nodes = nodes
   self.prev_nodes = prev_nodes
   self.weights = xp.random.random((prev_nodes, nodes))
   self.bias = xp.random.random(nodes)
 def feed_forward(self, xs: numpy.ndarray) -> numpy.ndarray:
```

```
numpy.ndarray: Layer outputs for the inputs
   if len(xs) != self.prev_nodes:
        "error, wrong input size, expected {} got {}".format(
         self.prev_nodes, len(xs)))
   return sigmoid(xp.add(xp.matmul(xp.array(xs), self.weights), self.bias))
 def mutate(self) -> None:
   self.weights = mutate(self.weights)
   self.bias = mutate(self.bias)
   return "weights: {} bias: {}".format(self.weights, self.bias)
class NeuralNetwork:
   layers(np.ndarray[NNLayer]): A list of all the layers composing the neural network
 def __init__(self, nodes: list) -> None:
   if len(nodes) < 2:</pre>
   self.layers = xp.ndarray([len(nodes) - 1], dtype=NeuralNetwork)
   for i in range(len(nodes) - 1):
     self.layers[i] = NNLayer(nodes[i + 1], nodes[i])
 def feed_forward(self, xs: numpy.ndarray) -> numpy.ndarray:
```

auto.py:

```
parser.add_argument("-a", help="enable animation", dest="animate", action='store_true')
parser.add_argument("-v", help="enable verbose", dest="v", action='store_true')
max_e = 1048576
parser.add_argument("-dp", type=int, dest="dp",
            max_e) + ') if excel is used. Else, defaults to the number of steps', default=max_e)
parser.add_argument("--spss", help="output data in SPSS data format. Note that this will NOT force data
parser.add_argument("--no-excel",
          dest="no_excel", action='store_true')
parser.add_argument("--no-plt", help="Don't generate plt preview",
          dest="no_plt", action='store_true')
parser.add_argument("--gui", help="Used to output progress in json format for GUI (in beta)",
          dest="gui", action='store_true')
args = parser.parse_args()
ms = Sim(args.pop, args.food)
# because this model will only run once, we can maximise the amount of data points without exceeding
the maximum of 18277
args.dp = min(args.dp, args.steps) # make sure that data points is smaller than steps
if args.dp is None:
  args.dp = args.steps if args.no_excel else min(args.steps, max_e)
data_point_freq = math.floor(args.steps / args.dp)
data_point_freq += 1 if data_point_freq == 0 else 0
  print("data point frequency selected {}".format(data_point_freq))
  print("expected data points: {}".format(args.steps / data_point_freq))
if args.animate:
  ms.animate(args.steps, data_point_freq=data_point_freq, gui=args.gui)
 ms.run(args.steps, data_point_freq=data_point_freq, gui=args.gui)
req_formats = ()
if not args.no_plt:
 req_formats += ("plt",)
if not args.no_excel:
 reg_formats += ("excel",)
f args.spss:
 req_formats += ("spss",)
print("Simulation complete. Requested files are stored at: " + ms.graph(info="generated via auto.py",
output=reg_formats))
```

Appendix 4 - License

This document is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Note that this license does not cover the code included which is license under GPL V3. See the GitHub repository for more information concerning licensing. If you have any questions open a GitHub issue requesting clarification.