Social Emotional Learning Benchmarks Workgroup MEETING MINUTES
December 16, 2015

Members in Attendance

Annemarie Hutson, Washington Association of School Psychologist

Rose Spidell, Office of Education Ombuds

Dr. Todd Herrenkohl, Higher Education Faculty Member

Julie Sullenszino, School Social Workers

Mick Miller, Association of Educational Service Districts

Ron Hertel, Student Support, OSPI

Sarah Butcher, Stakeholder Group

Senator John McCoy, the Educational Opportunity Gap Oversight and Accountability Committee

Sherry Krainick, School Parent Organization

Veronica Santangelo, Department of Early Learning

Staff and Public in Attendance

Maria Flores, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction

Jenny Plaja, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction

Nickolaus Cox, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction

Wendy Powell, Bellevue School District

Randi Peterson, Bellevue School District

Mona Johnson, OSPI, Student Support

Tonje Molynex, Committee for Children

Jennifer Karls, SEL for Washington

Ailey Katu, Senate Committee Services

Members not in Attendance

Bethany Rivard, Washington Education Association

Brandon Koenes, Washington Workforce

Dr. John Glenewinkel, Republic School District

Juanita Hill, Washington School Counselor Association

Marissa Rathbone, Teaching and Learning, OSPI

Susanne Beauchaine, Washington Association of School Administrators

Ashley Leneway, Washington Education Association

Mike Hickman, Association of Educational Service Districts

Shauna Schmerer, Almira School District

Carries Basas, Office of Education Ombuds

Welcome and Introductions

The meeting was called into order at 9:15 a.m. Members proceeded with approval of Novembers meeting minutes, introductions, and agenda overview.

Social Emotional Learning Program Data and Evaluation

Dr. Todd Herrenkohl, *Professor, School of Social Work and Co-Director of 3DL Partnership, University of Washington*

Wendy Powell and Randi Peterson, Social Emotional Learning Curriculum Developers, Bellevue School District

Social Emotional Learning Benchmarks Workgroup MEETING MINUTES

December 16, 2015

- SEL is a fundamental aspect to teaching and being present in the classroom. In an ideal world there would be no discussion of SEL it would just be happening.
- Feelings and emotions are very much a part of the learning process
- For programs to work well there needs to be engaged leadership form the building level and district level and knowledge of what SEL is.
- There has to be some intentionality and attention to ongoing refinement once it's implemented.
- Important to partner with local groups on SEL as well since students attend them before and after school.
- Important to teach parents SEL curriculum so that they can teach it at home. Has been more effective teaching parents at a building level rather than a district level.
- The workgroup recommended that there be something in the report about SEL screening for new teachers.
- There is not always immediate results when trying to teach SEL to a student. There needs to be some follow up.

Debrief of Data and Evaluation

- The entire building of staff needs to be trained on SEL.
- There needs to be a process for local decision making to make sure curriculum and standards are not biased, but rather culturally responsive.
- Assessing behavior whether it is positive or negative is a lot easier since you are the one observing it.

State and National Examples targeted to Initial Benchmark Categories

- Map out and look for consistencies between all the states that are using SEL
 - Have a summary of these states.
- Looking at these different states can help the Workgroup to focus on what to make recommendations from.

Small Group Share Out: SEL Benchmarks Brainstorm

- Looking throughout the lenses of school climate: environment and sustainable practices.
- Important to call out states have resiliency. How they structure benchmarks and what are the colleges of education teaching in SEL.
- Districts need to acknowledge that each school is going to be different.
- It can be easier for schools to deal with a student who are acting out externally with physical violence rather than a student who have a mental issue and deals with it internally.
- There needs to be some more time spent on internal behavior. What is the definition and how is it measured?

Group Discussion: Questions to Drive the Research

- The brain development of children. We need to know the developmental stages so there can be a grade and level recommendations.
- With trauma, poverty, homelessness, mental health, and toxic stress what are the different impact levels of trauma?

Social Emotional Learning Benchmarks Workgroup MEETING MINUTES December 16, 2015

- What other states are doing for SEL programs so that the Workgroup knows where to start with to avoid repeating work that is already completed.
 - Along with that, what were road blocks other states have faced?
- In other SEL programs what components are included in the model plan for intervening for troubled students?
- How di teachers address their perceptions of students and how does that effect SEL?
- There needs to be a way to involve communities and families, how have other states done this?

Public Comment

- Tonje Molyneaux, thanked the Workgroup for the important work they are doing. Was curious about what other states were doing and supplied the group with four districts to look into: Kent, Highline, Toppenish, and North Thurston
- Mona Johnson, very excited and supportive of this work. Also suggested that the Workgroup look into Battleground School District.

Future Meeting Planning

- For January it was recommended to focus on what exactly other Washington state school districts are doing for SEL.
- In February the Workgroup was more interested in focusing on trauma, mental health, and toxic stress. How do you find a student who has been impacted by trauma and help them.

With no other business to discuss the meeting was adjourned at 3:40 p.m.