

## Guia Examen Baidya

### 1

1. Se asume que los datos bivariados  $(4, 10)$ ,  $(-1, 3)$ ,  $(0, 2)$  surgen del modelo  $y_i = b|x_i - 3| + e_i$ , donde  $b$  es una constante y  $e_i$  son variables aleatorias independientes.
  - (a) ¿Qué suposiciones son necesarias en  $e_i$  para que tenga sentido hacer un ajuste por mínimos cuadrados de una curva  $y = b|x - 3|$  a los datos?
  - (b) Dados los datos anteriores, determinar la estimación de mínimos cuadrados para  $b$ .

## Respuesta

**Problem 11.** (15) (a) We assume the random error terms  $e_i$  are independent, have mean 0 and all have the same variance (homoscedastic).

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} E(b) &= \text{sum of the squared errors} \\ &= \sum (y_i - b|x_i - 3|)^2 \\ &= (10 - b)^2 + (3 - 4b)^2 + (2 - 3b)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The least squares fit is found by setting the derivative (with respect to  $b$ ) to 0,

$$\frac{dE(b)}{db} = -2(10 - b) - 8(3 - 4b) - 6(2 - 3b) = 52b - 56 = 0.$$

Therefore the least squares estimate of  $b$  is  $\hat{b} = \frac{56}{52} = \frac{14}{13}$ .

### 2

2. Una moneda se lanza 100 veces y resulta en cara 62 veces. ¿Cuál es la estimación de máxima verosimilitud para la probabilidad de resultar cara?

## Respuesta

6. (a) The likelihood function is

$$p(\text{data}|\theta) = \binom{100}{62} \theta^{62} (1 - \theta)^{38} = c \theta^{62} (1 - \theta)^{38}.$$

To find the MLE we find the derivative of the log-likelihood and set it to 0.

$$\ln(p(\text{data}|\theta)) = \ln(c) + 62 \ln(\theta) + 38 \ln(1 - \theta).$$

$$\frac{d \ln(p(\text{data}|\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{62}{\theta} - \frac{38}{1 - \theta} = 0.$$

The algebra leads to the MLE  $\boxed{\theta = 62/100}$ .

(b) The computation is identical to part (a). The likelihood function is

$$p(\text{data}|\theta) = \binom{n}{k} \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k} = c \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k}.$$

To find the MLE we set the derivative of the log-likelihood and set it to 0.

$$\ln(p(\text{data}|\theta)) = \ln(c) + k \ln(\theta) + (n - k) \ln(1 - \theta).$$

$$\frac{d \ln(p(\text{data}|\theta))}{d\theta} = \frac{k}{\theta} - \frac{n - k}{1 - \theta} = 0.$$

The algebra leads to the MLE  $\boxed{\theta = k/n}$ .

### 3

3. Digamos que en un curso, la probabilidad de que cada estudiante obtenga una nota determinada es:

$$P(A) = 1/2, \quad P(B) = \mu, \quad P(C) = 2\mu, \quad P(D) = 1/2 - 3\mu$$

- (a) A continuación, observamos algunos datos y sean  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  y  $d$  el número de estudiantes que obtuvieron  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  y  $D$ , respectivamente. ¿Cuál es el estimador de máxima verosimilitud para  $\mu$ , dado  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  y  $d$ ?
- (b) Ahora supongamos que observamos algunos datos nuevos, pero no observamos  $a$  ó  $b$ . En cambio, observamos  $h$ , que es el número de estudiantes que obtuvieron entre  $A$  ó  $B$ . Así que no conocemos  $a$  ó  $b$ , pero sabemos que  $h = a + b$  y todavía observamos  $c$  y  $d$  como antes. Ahora queremos usar el algoritmo EM para obtener una estimación de  $\mu$ .
  - i. Paso de la esperanza: Dado  $\hat{\mu}$ , una estimación actual de  $\mu$ , ¿cuáles son los valores esperados de  $a$  y  $b$ ?
  - ii. Paso de la maximización: Dado  $\hat{a}$  y  $\hat{b}$ , los valores esperados de  $a$  y  $b$ , ¿cual es estimador de máxima verosimilitud de  $\mu$ ?

## Respuesta

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{2} \quad P(B) = \mu \quad P(C) = 2\mu \quad P(D) = \frac{1}{2} - 3\mu$$

$$P(a, b, c, d | \mu) = K \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^a (\mu)^b (2\mu)^c \left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\mu\right)^d$$

$$\log P(a, b, c, d | \mu) = \log K + a \log \frac{1}{2} + b \log \mu + c \log 2\mu + d \log (\frac{1}{2} - 3\mu)$$

FOR MAX LIKE  $\mu$ , SET  $\frac{\partial \text{Log} P}{\partial \mu} = 0$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Log} P}{\partial \mu} = \frac{b}{\mu} + \frac{2c}{2\mu} - \frac{3d}{1/2 - 3\mu} = 0$$

Gives max like  $\mu = \frac{b + c}{6(b + c + d)}$

So if class got

A	B	C	D
14	6	9	10

Max like  $\mu = \frac{1}{10}$



b)

We can answer this question circularly:

### EXPECTATION

If we know the value of  $\mu$  we could compute the expected value of  $a$  and  $b$

Since the ratio  $a:b$  should be the same as the ratio  $\frac{1}{2} : \mu$

$$a = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \mu} h \quad b = \frac{\mu}{\frac{1}{2} + \mu} h$$

### MAXIMIZATION

If we know the expected values of  $a$  and  $b$  we could compute the maximum likelihood value of  $\mu$

$$\mu = \frac{b + c}{6(b + c + d)}$$

We begin with a guess for  $\mu$

We iterate between EXPECTATION and MAXIMALIZATION to improve our estimates of  $\mu$  and  $a$  and  $b$ .

Define  $\mu^{(t)}$  the estimate of  $\mu$  on the  $t$ 'th iteration

$b^{(t)}$  the estimate of  $b$  on  $t$ 'th iteration

$\mu^{(0)}$  = initial guess

$$b^{(t)} = \frac{\mu^{(t)}h}{1/2 + \mu^{(t)}} = E[b | \mu^{(t)}]$$

$$\mu^{(t+1)} = \frac{b^{(t)} + c}{6(b^{(t)} + c + d)}$$

= max like est. of  $\mu$  given  $b^{(t)}$



**E-step**



**M-step**

**Continue iterating until converged.**

**Good news: Converging to local optimum is assured.**

**Bad news: I said "local" optimum.**

©2005-2007 Carlos Guestrin

## 4

4. Supongamos que nos gustaría tomar una muestra de la siguiente distribución conjunta,  $\pi$  de  $X$  y  $Y$  usando muestreo de Gibbs:

$$P(X = 0, Y = 0) = 1/6, \quad P(X = 0, Y = 1) = 1/8, \quad P(X = 1, Y = 0) = 1/4$$

$$P(X = 1, Y = 1) = 1/4, \quad P(X = 2, Y = 0) = 1/12, \quad P(X = 2, Y = 1) = 1/8$$

Calcular la distribuciones condicional

$$\pi_1(x|y) = P(X = x|Y = y) \text{ y } \pi_2(y|x) = P(Y = y|X = x) \text{ para } x \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \text{ } y \in \{0, 1\}$$

## Respuesta

3. Suppose we would like to sample the following joint distribution  $\pi$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  using Gibbs Sampling.

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$	$X = 2$
$Y = 0$	$1/6$	$1/4$	$1/12$
$Y = 1$	$1/8$	$1/4$	$1/8$

a) Compute the full conditional distributions

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(x|y) &= \mathbb{P}(X = x|Y = y) \quad \text{and} \\ \pi_2(y|x) &= \mathbb{P}(Y = y|X = x) \quad \text{for } x \in \{0, 1, 2\} \text{ and } y \in \{0, 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

*The first conditional distribution is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(0|0) &= \frac{1/6}{1/6 + 1/4 + 1/12} = 1/3 & \pi_1(1|0) &= \frac{1/4}{1/6 + 1/4 + 1/12} = 1/2 \\ \pi_1(2|0) &= \frac{1/12}{1/6 + 1/4 + 1/12} = 1/6 & \pi_1(0|1) &= \frac{1/8}{1/8 + 1/4 + 1/8} = 1/4 \\ \pi_1(1|1) &= \frac{1/4}{1/8 + 1/4 + 1/8} = 1/2 & \pi_1(2|1) &= \frac{1/8}{1/8 + 1/4 + 1/8} = 1/4\end{aligned}$$

*The second conditional distribution is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2(0|0) &= \frac{1/6}{1/6 + 1/8} = 4/7 & \pi_2(1|0) &= \frac{1/8}{1/6 + 1/8} = 3/7 \\ \pi_2(0|1) &= \frac{1/4}{1/4 + 1/4} = 1/2 & \pi_2(1|1) &= \frac{1/4}{1/4 + 1/4} = 1/2 \\ \pi_2(0|2) &= \frac{1/12}{1/12 + 1/8} = 2/5 & \pi_2(1|2) &= \frac{1/8}{1/12 + 1/8} = 3/5\end{aligned}$$

b) The full conditional distributions from a) can be used to define a Gibbs sampler chain  $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  whose stationary distribution is  $\pi$ . Suppose the states of the Markov chain  $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  are labelled

$$1 = (0, 0), 2 = (1, 0), 3 = (2, 0), 4 = (0, 1), 5 = (1, 1), 6 = (2, 1).$$

Compute the transition matrix for the update of the first component and the transition matrix for the update of the second component.

*The update of the first component is according to the transition matrix*

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 1/2 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/2 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/2 & 1/6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 1/2 & 1/4 \end{pmatrix}$$

*and the update of the second component according to*

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4/7 & 0 & 0 & 3/7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/5 & 0 & 0 & 3/5 \\ 4/7 & 0 & 0 & 3/7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2/5 & 0 & 0 & 3/5 \end{pmatrix}$$