



### Rubrics for the Documentation

Criteria	Excellent (4 pts)	Good (3 pts)	Satisfactory (2 pts)	Needs Improvement (1 pt)
<b>Completeness</b>	All required sections included and fully developed	Most required sections included and clear	Some key sections missing or incomplete	Many sections missing or poorly developed
<b>Clarity and Organization</b>	Document is well-organized, easy to follow, with logical flow	Generally well-organized with minor issues	Lacks clear organization in some parts	Disorganized and hard to follow
<b>Technical Accuracy</b>	Concepts and terminology used correctly throughout	Few minor errors in terminology or explanations	Some technical inaccuracies or misconceptions	Major technical errors or misunderstandings
<b>Formatting and Presentation</b>	Consistently follows required format and standards	Minor formatting inconsistencies	Several formatting issues	Poor formatting, hard to read
<b>Language and Grammar</b>	Free from grammar/spelling errors, professional tone	Few minor grammar/spelling issues	Noticeable grammar/spelling errors that distract reader	Frequent grammar issues, hard to understand

**Rubric for Runnable Program (Code + Functionality)**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Excellent (4 pts)</b>	<b>Good (3 pts)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2 pts)</b>	<b>Needs Improvement (1 pt)</b>
<b>Functionality / Requirements Met</b>	Program meets all functional requirements and performs as expected	Meets most requirements, minor bugs	Meets some requirements, bugs impact usability	Major features missing or not functional
<b>Code Quality / Readability</b>	Clean, well-structured, readable code with proper naming and comments	Mostly clean code, with some inconsistencies	Code is hard to follow in places, limited commenting	Poorly written, hard to read code
<b>Modularity and Design</b>	Good use of functions/classes, code is modular and maintainable	Some modularity, minor issues in structure	Minimal modularity, code is mostly monolithic	No clear structure, everything in one place
<b>Error Handling / Robustness</b>	Handles errors gracefully and validates input properly	Some error handling in place	Limited error checking	No error handling, crashes easily
<b>Documentation (Code Comments)</b>	Code is well-documented with helpful comments explaining logic	Some useful comments	Few comments or unclear ones	No or unhelpful comments

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

CECILIA E. GENER  
Faculty  
Chairman

CECILIA E. GENER  
BSCS, Program

Approved by:  
BENEDICT A. RABUT, DIT  
Dean, College of Computer

