

Figure 1: Nitwit Language vs. Blubber Language

Two languages, Nitwit and Blubber, differ in how they describe swatches A–D (Figure 1, above).
Nitwit uses the same word (oddm) to describe the two lighter swatches, and a different word (shuh) to describe the two darker swatches. Blubber, on the other hand, labels according to gradient, and calls A and C blargh, but B and D glab.

Based on our discussion and the 2004 study by Hespos and Spelke, which of the following would you expect?

- A. 6-month-old infants raised in Nitwit and Blubber households would have divergent behavior on any test of word-learning or swatch perception
- B. Nitwit adults would lose the ability to tell A and B apart, just as Blubber adults would lose the ability to distinguish between C and D
- C. Blubber infants would initially only be able to distinguish A/C from B/D, but would become able to discriminate all swatches with age
- D. Nitwit infants would initially pay attention to differences among all swatches, before narrowing their attention to the difference in lightness between A/B and C/D
- 2. Where should we expect to see the greatest differences between Nitwit speakers and Blubber speakers?
 - A. visual search tasks where the swatches are presented in the left visual field (LVF)
 - B. categorization tasks while the participant is asked to continuously repeat a string of syllables
 - C. memory tasks where the participant is told they will have to describe the swatches they saw to another person
 - D. similarity judgment tasks while the participant is asked to continuously repeat a string of syllables