1. Build a classifier, compare its performance with an ensemble technique like random forest.

```
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.datasets import load_iris
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
# Load dataset
iris = load iris()
X = iris.data
y = iris.target
# Split dataset into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
# Decision Tree Classifier
decision_tree_clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=42)
decision_tree_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred_tree = decision_tree_clf.predict(X_test)
accuracy_tree = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_tree)
print("Decision Tree accuracy:", accuracy_tree)
# Random Forest Classifier
random_forest_clf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
random_forest_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred_forest = random_forest_clf.predict(X_test)
accuracy_forest = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_forest)
print("Random Forest accuracy:", accuracy_forest)
```

Decision Tree accuracy: 1.0 Random Forest accuracy: 1.0

2. Evaluate various classification algorithms performance on a dataset using various measures like True Positive rate, False Positive rate, precision, recall etc.

```
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.datasets import load_iris
from sklearn.preprocessing import label_binarize
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score, <mark>f1_score</mark>, roc_auc_score
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.svm import SVC
# Load dataset
iris = load iris()
X = iris.data
y = iris.target
# Split dataset into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
# Binarize the output (required for some metrics like ROC)
y_bin = label_binarize(y_test, classes=[0, 1, 2])
# Initialize classifiers
classifiers = {
    "Decision Tree": DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=42),
    "Random Forest": RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42),
    "Logistic Regression": LogisticRegression(max_iter=200, random_state=42),
    "SVM": SVC(probability=True, random_state=42)
# Train classifiers
for name, clf in classifiers.items():
    clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
def calculate_tpr_fpr(conf_matrix):
    TP = conf_matrix[1, 1]
    FN = conf_matrix[1, 0]
    FP = conf_matrix[0, 1]
    TN = conf_matrix[0, 0]
    TPR = TP / (TP + FN)
    FPR = FP / (FP + TN)
    return TPR, FPR
# Evaluate classifiers
results = []
for name, clf in classifiers.items():
    y_pred = clf.predict(X_test)
    y_proba = clf.predict_proba(X_test) if hasattr(clf, "predict_proba") else None
    accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
    precision = precision_score(y_test, y_pred, average='macro')
    recal<u>l = reca</u>ll_score(y_test, y_pred, average='macro')
    f1 = f1_score(y_test, y_pred, average='macro')
```

```
# Only calculate ROC AUC if y_proba is available
    if y_proba is not None:
    # Use "ovr" (one-vs-rest) strategy for multi-class ROC AUC
    roc_auc = roc_auc_score(y_bin, y_proba, multi_class="ovo", average="macro")
        roc_auc = "N/A"
    conf_matrix = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)
    tpr, fpr = calculate_tpr_fpr(conf_matrix)
    results.append({
"classifier": name,
         "Classifier": name,
"Accuracy": accuracy,
         "Precision": precision,
         "Recall": recall,
         "F1-Score": f1,
         "ROC AUC": roc_auc,
         "TPR": tpr,
         "FPR": fpr })
# Convert results to a DataFrame for better visualization
results_df = pd.DataFrame(results)
pd.set_option('display.max_columns', None)
print(results_df)
```

```
Classifier Accuracy Precision Recall F1-Score
                                                             ROC AUC TPR
                                                                 1.0 1.0
1.0 1.0
        Decision Tree
                           1.0
                                      1.0
                                              1.0
                                                        1.0
                                                        1.0
                            1.0
                                              1.0
        Random Forest
                                      1.0
                                                                 1.0 1.0
  Logistic Regression
                            1.0
                                      1.0
                                              1.0
                                                        1.0
                            1.0
                                      1.0
                                              1.0
                                                        1.0
                                                                 1.0 1.0
   FPR
  0.0
1 0.0
2
  0.0
  0.0
```

3. Demonstrate GA for optimization(minimization or maximization problem).

```
import numpy as np
# Define the Rastrigin function
def rastrigin(X):
    A = 10
    return A * len(X) + sum([(x**2 - A * np.cos(2 * np.pi * x)) for x in X])
# Initialize population
def initialize_population(pop size, dimensions, bounds):
    population = []
    for _ in range(pop_size):
        individual = np.random.uniform(bounds[0], bounds[1], dimensions)
        population.append(individual)
    return population
# Evaluate fitness
def evaluate_population(population):
    return [rastrigin(individual) for individual in population]
# Select parents (roulette wheel selection)
def select_parents(population, fitness, num_parents):
 fitness = np.array(fitness)
    probs = fitness / fitness.sum()
    selected_indices = np.random.choice(len(population), size=num_parents, p=probs)
    return [population[i] for i in selected indices]
# Crossover (single-point crossover)
def crossover(parents):
    offspring = []
    for i in range(0, len(parents), 2):
        if i+1 < len(parents):</pre>
            crossover_point = np.random.randint(1, len(parents[i]))
            child1 = np.concatenate((parents[i][:crossover_point], parents[i+1][crossover_point:]))
            child2 = np.concatenate((parents[i+1][:crossover_point], parents[i][crossover_point:]))
            offspring.append(child1)
            offspring.append(child2)
    return offspring
```

```
def genetic_algorithm(pop_size, dimensions, bounds, generations, mutation_rate):
    population = initialize_population(pop_size, dimensions, bounds)
    for generation in range(generations):
        fitness = evaluate_population(population)
        parents = select_parents(population, fitness, pop_size // 2)
        offspring = crossover(parents)
        offspring = mutate(offspring, mutation_rate, bounds)
        population = parents + offspring
        print(f"Generation {generation}: Best fitness = {min(fitness)}")
    best_individual = population[np.argmin(evaluate_population(population))]
    return best_individual
# Parameters
pop_size = 50
dimensions = 5
bounds = [-5.12, 5.12]
generations = 100
mutation_rate = 0.1
# Run GA
best_solution = genetic_algorithm(pop_size, dimensions, bounds, generations, mutation_rate)
print(f"Best solution: {best_solution}, Best fitness: {rastrigin(best_solution)}")
```

```
Generation 0: Best fitness = 36.68820117821527
Generation 1: Best fitness = 36.68820117821527
Generation 2: Best fitness = 35.6659126977277
Generation 3: Best fitness = 55.75931085423464
Generation 3: Best fitness = 55.75931085423464
Generation 4: Best fitness = 55.75931085423464
Generation 6: Best fitness = 55.75931085423464
Generation 6: Best fitness = 55.75931085423464
Generation 7: Best fitness = 69.230837674469
Generation 7: Best fitness = 69.230837674469
Generation 9: Best fitness = 69.230837674469
Generation 19: Best fitness = 69.53354774469
Generation 19: Best fitness = 99.230837674469
Generation 19: Best fitness = 99.230837674469
Generation 19: Best fitness = 99.230837674469
Generation 19: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 10: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 11: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 12: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 13: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 14: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 15: Best fitness = 99.88081593970793
Generation 16: Best fitness = 10.88074046364
Generation 17: Best fitness = 10.8807470464655
Generation 19: Best fitness = 10.8807470464655
Generation 19: Best fitness = 10.8907479177363
Generation 19: Best fitness = 10.75882797127363
Generation 21: Best fitness = 101.75882797127363
Generation 22: Best fitness = 101.75882797127363
Generation 23: Best fitness = 101.75882799127363
Generation 34: Best fitness = 110.89078979127363
Generation 35: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 36: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 37: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 38: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 39: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 39: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 30: Best fitness = 110.8907897127363
Generation 60: Best fitness = 120.500747991337906
Generation 60: Best fitness = 120.500747991337906
Generation 60: B
```

```
Generation 72: Best fitness = 132.99642/3396452
Generation 73: Best fitness = 119.92728410998734
Generation 74: Best fitness = 120.46399283380627
Generation 75: Best fitness = 120.46399283380627
Generation 76: Best fitness = 120.28722699666513
Generation 77: Best fitness = 122.14374075181475
Generation 78: Best fitness = 129.35425198623267
Generation 78: Best fitness = 129.35425198623267
Generation 88: Best fitness = 119.84242121514842
Generation 88: Best fitness = 119.84242121514842
Generation 81: Best fitness = 119.5462765169887
Generation 82: Best fitness = 114.54605156870298
Generation 83: Best fitness = 114.54605156870298
Generation 84: Best fitness = 127.34786054732868
Generation 85: Best fitness = 127.34786054732868
Generation 86: Best fitness = 127.34786054732868
Generation 87: Best fitness = 127.98811423808823
Generation 98: Best fitness = 127.98811423808823
Generation 99: Best fitness = 125.3784964337156
Generation 91: Best fitness = 125.3784964337156
Generation 92: Best fitness = 115.56738715061395
Generation 93: Best fitness = 115.36738715061395
Generation 94: Best fitness = 115.4673708026886
Generation 95: Best fitness = 115.4673708723688
Generation 97: Best fitness = 125.37937406246773
Generation 97: Best fitness = 125.4792706246773
Generation 97: Best fitness = 123.59507435346053
Generation 98: Best fitness = 123.59507435346053
Generation 99: Best fitness = 123.6467746624672
```

4. Case study on supervised/unsupervised learning algorithm: Hand written digits classification using CNN.

```
import tensorflow as tf
from tensorflow.keras import layers, models
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
(x_train, y_train), (x_test, y_test) = tf.keras.datasets.mnist.load_data()
x_train, x_test = x_train / 255.0, x_test / 255.0
x_{train} = x_{train.reshape}((x_{train.shape}[0], 28, 28, 1))
x_test = x_test.reshape((x_test.shape[0], 28, 28, 1))
#Build the CNN Model:
model = models.Sequential()
model = models.sequential()
model.add(layers.Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu', input_shape=(28, 28, 1)))
model.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model.add(layers.Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'))
model.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model.add(layers.Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'))
# Add Dense layers on top
model.add(layers.Flatten())
model.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model.add(layers.Dense(10, activation='softmax'))
#Compile the Model
model.compile(optimizer='adam',loss='sparse_categorical_crossentropy',metrics=['accuracy'])
history = model.fit(x_train, y_train, epochs=5, validation_data=(x_test, y_test))
#Evaluate the Model
test_loss, test_acc = model.evaluate(x_test, y_test)
print(f'Test accuracy: {test_acc}')
#Plot Training History
plt.plot(history.history['accuracy'], label='accuracy')
plt.plot(history.history['val_accuracy'], label = 'val_accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.ylim([0.5, 1])
plt.legend(loc='lower right')
plt.show()
```



5. Case study on supervised/unsupervised learning algorithm: Text classification using

a) Scikit-learn

```
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
# Load the dataset
df = pd.read csv('Corona NLP test.csv')
X = df['OriginalTweet'].values # Extracting the 'text' column as input features
y = df['Sentiment'].values # Extracting the 'label' column as target labels
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(max_features=1000) # Adjust max_features as needed
X_train_tfidf = vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test_tfidf = vectorizer.transform(X_test)
#Model Training and Evaluation:**
#Choose a classifier (e.g., SVM) and train it on the extracted features.
clf = SVC()
clf.fit(X_train_tfidf, y_train)
y_pred = clf.predict(X_test_tfidf)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
```

| | precision | recall | f1-score | support |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| Extremely Negative | 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 184 |
| Extremely Positive | 0.74 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 192 |
| Negative | 0.34 | 0.63 | 0.44 | 299 |
| Neutral | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 193 |
| Positive | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 272 |
| | | | | |
| accuracy | | | 0.38 | 1140 |
| macro avg | 0.54 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 1140 |
| weighted avg | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 1140 |

b) Tensorflow/Keras

```
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.text import Tokenizer
from tensorflow.keras.preprocessing.sequence import pad_sequences
from tensorflow.keras.models import Sequential
from tensorflow.keras.layers import Embedding, LSTM, Dense
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
#Load and Preprocess the Data
df = pd.read csv('IMDB.csv')
X = df['review'].values
y = df['sentiment'].values
# Convert string labels to numeric labels using LabelEncoder
label encoder = LabelEncoder()
y = label_encoder.fit_transform(y)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
#Tokenization and Padding
tokenizer = Tokenizer(num_words=1000)
tokenizer.fit_on_texts(X_train)
X_train_seq = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_train)
X_test_seq = tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(X_test)
X_train_pad = pad_sequences(X_train_seq, maxlen=100)
X_test_pad = pad_sequences(X_test_seq, maxlen=100)
#Model Building:
model = Sequential([
       Embedding(10000, 32),
                                 #removed input length for warning
       LSTM(64),
       Dense(1, activation='sigmoid')
model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='binary_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
#Model Training:
model.fit(X_train_pad, y_train, epochs=5, validation_data=(X_test_pad, y_test))
#Model Evaluation
loss, accuracy = model.evaluate(X_test_pad, y_test)
print(f'Test Accuracy: {accuracy}')
```

```
1094/1094
                               58s 51ms/step - accuracy: 0.7451 - loss: 0.5001 - val_accuracy:
0.8393 - val_loss: 0.3603
Epoch 2/5
                               34s 31ms/step - accuracy: 0.8447 - loss: 0.3553 - val_accuracy:
1094/1094
0.8424 - val_loss: 0.3529
Epoch 3/5
1094/1094
                               34s 31ms/step - accuracy: 0.8533 - loss: 0.3327 - val_accuracy:
0.8424 - val_loss: 0.3508
Epoch 4/5
1094/1094
                               34s 31ms/step - accuracy: 0.8697 - loss: 0.3052 - val_accuracy:
0.8559 - val_loss: 0.3262
Epoch 5/5
                               35s 32ms/step - accuracy: 0.8769 - loss: 0.2845 - val_accuracy:
1094/1094
0.8597 - val_loss: 0.3289
469/469
                             5s 10ms/step - accuracy: 0.8588 - loss: 0.3266
Test Accuracy: 0.8597333431243896
```