AIND-Isolation - Heuristic Analysis

Key components

There are a few components in composing the three custom heuristic functions:

own_moves:

```
own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))

Python >
```

own_moves is the number of legal moves that the agent has at its current position.

opp_moves:

```
opp_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))

Python >
```

opp_moves is the number of legal moves that opponent has at its current position.

own_dist:

own_dist is the distance of the agent from the center of the game board.

game_stage:

```
blank_spaces = len(game.get_blank_spaces())
game_stage = (game.width * game.height - blank_spaces) / (game.width * game.height * 0.59)
Python >
```

game_stage is a number from 0 to 1, where 0 means the game has just started, while 1 means the game has ended. To compute game_stage, we need the number of blank spaces on the board, and also the typical length of a game.

0.59 is a parameter I got after adding logs of the number of average moves in a game to tournament.py. The average number of moves per game is 29, hence 29/49 = 0.59.

Heuristic 1

```
if game_stage <= 0.2:
    return float(-own_dist)
elif game_stage <= 0.5:
    return float(own_moves - opp_moves - own_dist * 0.1)

else:
    return float(own_moves - opp_moves)</pre>
```

For Heuristic 1, it starts off the game by moving as close as possible to the center of the board. (i.e. minimum of own dist)

Till the middle of the game stage, it will act by increasing own moves (mobility) while reducing the mobility of the opponent. When there is a tie, the effect of current position (own_dist * 0.1) will kick in, and the move which is closer to the center of the board will be picked.

In the later game stage, it will ignore its current position altogether and just maximize the difference between own moves and opponent moves.

Heuristic 2

```
if game_stage <= 0.2:
    return float(-own_dist)
else:
    return float(own_moves - opp_moves - own_dist * 0.1)

Python >
```

Heuristic 2 is similar to Heuristic 1 except that current position will always be decisive when there is a tie in heuristic score, i.e. the closer one to the center of the board will get picked.

Heuristic 3

```
if game_stage <= 0.2:
    return float(-own_dist)
else:
    return float(own_moves - opp_moves)</pre>
```

Heuristic 3 is similar to Heuristic 1 except that current position will not be considered after the early game stage (20% of the game).

Performance comparison of the three heuristics

```
******
                  Playing Matches
               ********
Match # Opponent AB_Improved AB_Custom AB_Custom_2 AB_Custom_3
             Won | Lost Won | Lost Won | Lost Avg Moves
      Random
             46 | 4 48 | 2 45 | 5 49 | 1
      MM_Open 30 | 20 35 | 15 39 | 11 39 | 11
      MM_Center 46 | 4 42 | 8 40 | 10 38 | 12
    MM_Improved 31 | 19 35 | 15 37 | 13 40 | 10
      AB_Open 26 | 24 27 | 23 23 | 27 26 | 24
                                                  30
 5
      AB_Center 27 | 23 30 | 20 27 | 23 24 | 26
 6
                                                  28
     AB_Improved 22 | 28  24 | 26  24 | 26  24 | 26
                                                  29
      Win Rate:
               65.1%
                       68.9%
                                67.1%
                                        68.6%
```

Raw data

After having 50 matches against 7 different opponents each, AB_Custom with Heuristic 1 has the highest winning rate of 68.9%, which is also better than the reference agent AB_Improved (65.1%).

All three custom heuristics performs better than the reference agent AB_Improved, which shows that it is beneficial to move to the center of the game board in the early game stage, so the player can prevent getting stuck in a corner of the board.

AB_Custom_2 performs the worst among the three agents, which shows that taking into account the current position all the time and try to get close to the middle of the board can be harmful at the later game stage.

Therefore, I will pick Heuristic 1 as it has taken the game stage into account and adopt different strategies to play the game.

Future improvement

1. Determine the average length of the game dynamically instead of hardcoding.

From the data above, it is significant that the games played with the Random player are shorter (~25 moves) than with other players (~29 moves). It is because novice players tend to play in a worse way and lose earlier than stronger players, and vice versa.

In order for the heuristic function to have an accurate <code>game_stage</code>, the average length of the game should be updated dynamically after facing the opponents for a few times; otherwise, it may not perform consistently when the strength of the opponent fluctuates.

2. Train the weight of each component with machine learning.

Right now, the weight of component (own_moves: 1; opp_moves: -1; own_dist: -0.1) is decided after a few rounds of trial and error. It could be optimized by training on a neural network to obtain the optimized weights to achieve a higher chance of winning.