## 0 Instructions

This problem set is **due on Tuesday, April 23 by midnight**. Solutions can be submitted on Canvas or by email (to cmwa@umich.edu). Include the names of everyone you worked with on this problem set. Include any code you used to solve the problems as part of your submission.

## 1 The 1D heat equation

Partial differential equations are differential equations involving functions of multiple variables, and we will focus on the 1D heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t)$$
  $0 \le x \le L$   $t > 0$ 

where the function u(x,t) is a function depending on one spatial coordinate  $0 \le x \le L$  and one temporal coordinate t > 0. In order to have a well-defined problem, we further require

$$u(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$$
  $t > 0$ 

$$u(x,0) = f(x) \qquad 0 < x < L$$

for some function f(x) specified as part of the data. The first condition says the value of the solution is clamped to 0 at the ends x = 0 and x = L and the second condition says that the initial value along the entire length is fixed at the starting time t = 0.

## 1.1 Finite difference methods

One approach to partial differential equations is to use finite difference methods. The basic idea is to use forward, backward, and centered differences (and even more complicated ideas) to approximate the differential equation and compute updates for each timestep. In our problem, we'll use the mesh points  $(x_i = ih, t_j = jk)$  where h is the step size for x and k is the step size for t. Let  $m = \frac{L}{h}$  denote the total number of spatial steps.

**Problem 1.1.** Derive the forward difference formula

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_i, t_j) = \frac{u(x_i, t_{j+1}) - u(x_i, t_j)}{k} - \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x_i, \mu_j)$$

where  $\mu_j \in (t_j, t_{j+1})$  is some unknown point, using Taylor expansion with error term of  $u(x_i, t)$  around  $t = t_j$  and evaluating at  $t = t_{j+1}$ .

Problem 1.2. Derive the backward difference formula

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x_i, t_j) = \frac{u(x_i, t_j) - u(x_i, t_{j-1})}{k} + \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x_i, \mu_j)$$

where  $\mu_j \in (t_{j-1}, t_j)$  is some unknown point, using Taylor expansion with error term of  $u(x_i, t)$  around  $t = t_j$  and evaluating at  $t = t_{j-1}$ .

**Problem 1.3.** Derive the centered difference formula

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x_i, t_j) = \frac{u(x_{i+1}, t_j) - 2u(x_i, t_j) + u(x_{i-1}, t_j)}{h^2} - \frac{h^2}{12} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4}(\xi_i, t_j)$$

where  $\xi_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$  is some unknown point, using the Taylor expansion with error term of  $u(x, t_j)$  around  $x = x_i$  with one evaluation at  $x_{i+1}$  and another evaluation at  $x_{i-1}$ .

## 1.2 FTCS

FTCS stands for "forward time, centered space" meaning we will use the forward difference formula for the time variable t and the centered difference formula for the space variable x. To simplify notation, let  $w_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, t_j)$  denote our approximations. Then we approximate

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,t)$$

with the finite difference equation

$$\frac{w_{i,j+1} - w_{ij}}{k} = \alpha^2 \frac{w_{i+1,j} - 2w_{ij} + w_{i-1,j}}{h^2}.$$

**Problem 1.4.** Solve the finite difference equation

$$\frac{w_{i,j+1} - w_{i,j}}{k} = \alpha^2 \frac{w_{i+1,j} - 2w_{i,j} + w_{i-1,j}}{h^2}.$$

for  $w_{i,j+1}$  in terms of  $w_{i,j}$  and  $w_{i-1,j}$ .

**Problem 1.5.** Use the previous problem (along with the conditions  $w_{0,j} = w_{m,j} = 0$ ) to express each update step of the FTCS scheme as a matrix multiplication operation for some matrix A and some starting vector  $(w_{1,0}, w_{2,0}, \ldots, w_{m-1,0})$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_{1,j+1} \\ w_{2,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ w_{m-1,j+1} \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} w_{1,j} \\ w_{2,j} \\ \vdots \\ w_{m-1,j} \end{pmatrix}$$

Because you can compute updates directly once you know A, the FTCS scheme is called explicit. One consideration in solving these equations is whether the method is *stable* with respect to roundoff errors in the initial data. If we consider  $u_0$  as the exact initial conditions and  $w_0$  as the approximations with  $e_0 = w_0 - u_0$  the roundoff errors, then our iterations will produce

$$w_i = A^i w_0 = A^i (u_0 + e_0).$$

Therefore, our method will be stable with respect to errors in the initial data if and only if  $A^i e_0 \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0$ . Recall that this will only happen when the spectral radius  $\rho(A) < 1$ . In general, it can be fairly difficult to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix. However, the A you should have found above is both tridiagonal and Toeplitz. This means that the eigenvalues of your matrix are given by the formulas

$$1 - 4\frac{\alpha^2 k}{h^2} \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{2m}\right)^2.$$

**Problem 1.6.** Find the condition(s) on h and k such that the eigenvalues above have absolute value bounded above by 1.