

Tutorial 04, Lectures 4 and 5

The first exercises are partly repetitions.

1 Evaluation with Variables

Suppose that now also variables are allowed in arithmetic expressions, see Tutorial 3:

- A variable is described by a tuple `var(A)` where `A` is an atom giving the *variable name*.

An *environment* is a record that has label `env` and has for each variable name a feature that has an integer value.

How can you evaluate these expressions with respect to an environment? Give a specification and an implementation.

Which property must an environment fulfill such that evaluation actually works?

2 Evaluation with Declaration

An obvious way to extend our arithmetical expressions is by also allowing the declaration of variables with immediately assigning a value computed by an expression. This type of expression is commonly referred to as *let-expression*.

So we have additionally:

- A let-expression is a tuple `let(X Y Z)` where `X` is an atom giving the variable name and `Y` and `Z` are expressions.

The first expression inside a let expression defines the value to be assigned to the variable introduced in the let-expression. The second expression can refer to the variable introduced.

For example,

```
{Eval let(x mult(int(2) int(4)) add(var(x) int(3))) env}
```

should return 11.

One needs environment adjunction as well here which is implemented by record adjunction: `{AdjoinAt R F X}` takes a record `R`, a feature `F`, and a new field `X` and returns a record which has a feature `F` with the value `X` in addition to all features and fields from `R` but `F`.

For example, `{AdjoinAt a(x:1 y:2) z 7}` returns `a(x:1 y:2 z:7)`, whereas `{AdjoinAt a(x:1 y:2) x 7}` returns `a(x:7 y:2)`.

Note

The following exercises are for rehearsing material presented in the fourth lecture. You can start at the tutorial session and continue at home.

3 Abstract Machine Concepts

Please go through the definitions of the following concepts:

- Statement
- Value expression
- Environment
- Semantic statement
- Semantic stack
- Single-assignment store
- Execution state
- Computation

4 Execution Example

Execute

```
local X in
  X=1
  local X in X=2 end
  X=1
end
```

5 Execution Example

Execute

```
local B in
  if B then skip else skip end
end
```

6 Execution Example

Execute

```
local B in
  B = false
  if B then skip else skip end
end
```

7 Environment Adjunction and Projection

In the following we will use environments

$$E_1 = \{X \mapsto x_1, Y \mapsto x_2\}$$

$$E_2 = \{Y \mapsto x_3, Z \mapsto x_4\}$$

$$E_3 = \{X \mapsto x_5, Z \mapsto x_6\}$$

1. Find all possible i and j with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that for the environment $E = E_i + E_j$:

$$E(X) = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad E(Z) = x_6$$

2. Find all possible i and j with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that for the environment $E = E_i + E_j$:

$$E(X) = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad E(Y) = x_2$$

3. Give the environment $E_3|_{\{X\}}$.

8 Free and Bound Identifiers

List for each of the following statements the free and bound variable identifiers.

1. `local X in {P X Y} end`
2. `{P X Y} local X in {X P Y} end`
3. `local X in local Y in {X Y Z} end end`
4. `proc {P X} local Y in {Q Z Y} end end`
5. `case X of f(Y) then {P Y} else {Q Y} end`

9 External References

List for each of the following procedure definitions the external references.

1. `proc {P X Y} {Q X Y} end`
2. `proc {P X Y} {P X Y} end`
3. `proc {P X Y} {Q Z U} end`
4. `proc {P X Y} local Z in {Q Z U} end end`
5. `proc {P X Y} local Z in {Q Z Y} end end`

Multiple Variables

In the following examples we will allow ourselves to declare multiple variable identifiers by a single local statement (as in the lecture). If you prefer to be exact, see Assignment [11](#).

10 Execution Example

Execute

```
local A B C P in
  proc {P X Y Z}
    local B in
      B = (X>Y)
      if B then Z=X else Z=Y end
    end
  end
  A=3
  B=4
  {P A B C}
end
```

What does the procedure P compute?

11 Declaring Multiple Variables

This assignment extends the kernel language by a declaration statement that introduces multiple variables simultaneously. That is, `local X Y in skip end` is okay and should have the same effect as `local X in local Y in skip end end`.

The statement under consideration is thus

```
local  $\langle x \rangle_1 \dots \langle x \rangle_n$  in  $\langle s \rangle$  end
```

Give a rule where the statement to be pushed is $\langle s \rangle$.

sectionSlow and Fast Addition

Take the two procedures SADD and FADD from Lecture 06. Execute in some more detail the following statements, where you can start from an environment and store that already contain the appropriate identifiers and values for SADD and FADD.

```
local X Y Z in X=2 Y=3 {SADD X Y Z} end
local X Y Z in X=2 Y=3 {FADD X Y Z} end
```

12 Is Append Tail-Recursive?

Rewrite the following definition of Append into kernel language:

```

fun {Append Xs Ys}
  case Xs
  of nil then Ys
  [] X|Xr then X|{Append Xr Ys}
  end
end

```

Remember that nested value construction is always moved before nested procedure application.

Can you give a reason why nested value construction is given preference over procedure call?

Execute with the abstract machine

```

local Xs Ys Zs in Xs=[1 2] Ys=[3] {Append Xs Ys Zs}

```

where you can again assume that environment and store contain the necessary identifiers and values for `Append`.

Is `Append` tail-recursive? If yes, why? Which role do single-assignment variables play here?