

In the main paper, we present only a single constraint language. However, conceptually, we work with this language in two distinct stages: first, the constraints directly emitted by lists of rules, then, for use in redundancy and exhaustiveness checking, the constraints which are in the image of the truify and falsify functions and their duals. While irrelevant to the overall theory, to simplify some proofs, it is useful to make this distinction explicit.

In Sec. 1, we present the first stage of constraints, called the *incomplete constraint language*. This consists of any constraint emitted by a pattern, and in particular, includes the $?$ constraint. In order to define the constraint emitted by a list of rules, we also include \perp and allow taking the \vee of incomplete constraints. At this stage, we often require two versions of each judgement: one describing a determinate result, and one describing a result which is indeterminate due to the presence of the $?$ constraint.

In turn, in Sec. 2, we discuss those constraints in the image of the truify and falsify functions, as well as their duals. We call this the *complete constraint language*, and it includes almost all of the incomplete language, but excludes the $?$ constraint. To support the dual operation, we also may take the \wedge of complete constraints, and we add a \neg constraint. Due to the absence of $?$, judgements related to the complete language do not have to consider indeterminacy, and thus are often simpler than their counterparts in the incomplete language. This is the primary motivation for distinguishing these languages at all.

1 Incomplete Constraint Language

$\dot{\xi} ::= \top \mid \perp \mid ? \mid \underline{n} \mid \text{inl}(\dot{\xi}) \mid \text{inr}(\dot{\xi}) \mid (\dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}) \mid \dot{\xi} \vee \dot{\xi}$

$\boxed{\dot{\xi} : \tau}$ $\dot{\xi}$ constrains final expressions of type τ

$$\frac{\text{CTTruth}}{\top : \tau} \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTFalsity}}{\perp : \tau} \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTUnknown}}{? : \tau} \quad (1c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTNum}}{\underline{n} : \text{num}} \quad (1d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTInl} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 : \tau_1}{\text{inl}(\dot{\xi}_1) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)} \quad (1e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTInr} \quad \dot{\xi}_2 : \tau_2}{\text{inr}(\dot{\xi}_2) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)} \quad (1f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTPair} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dot{\xi}_2 : \tau_2}{(\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)} \quad (1g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTOr} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 : \tau \quad \dot{\xi}_2 : \tau}{\dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2 : \tau} \quad (1h)$$

$\boxed{\dot{\xi} \text{ refutable?}}$ $\dot{\xi}$ is refutable

$$\frac{\text{RXFalsity}}{\perp \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXUnknown}}{? \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2b)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXNum}}{\underline{n} \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2c)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXInl}}{\text{inl}(\dot{\xi}) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2d)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXInr}}{\text{inr}(\dot{\xi}) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2e)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXPairL} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 \text{ refutable?}}{(\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2f)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXPairR} \quad \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ refutable?}}{(\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2g)$$

$$\frac{\text{RXOr} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 \text{ refutable?} \quad \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ refutable?}}{\dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ refutable?}} \quad (2h)$$

$\boxed{\dot{\xi} \text{ possible}}$ $\dot{\xi}$ is possible

$$\frac{\text{PTruth}}{\top \text{ possible}} \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{\text{PUnknown}}{? \text{ possible}} \quad (3b)$$

$$\frac{\text{PNum}}{\underline{n} \text{ possible}} \quad (3c)$$

$$\frac{\text{PInl} \quad \dot{\xi} \text{ possible}}{\text{inl}(\dot{\xi}) \text{ possible}} \quad (3d)$$

$$\frac{\text{PInr} \quad \dot{\xi} \text{ possible}}{\text{inr}(\dot{\xi}) \text{ possible}} \quad (3e)$$

$$\frac{\text{PPair} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 \text{ possible} \quad \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ possible}}{(\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2) \text{ possible}} \quad (3f)$$

$$\frac{\text{POrL} \quad \dot{\xi}_1 \text{ possible}}{\dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ possible}} \quad (3g)$$

$$\frac{\text{POrR} \quad \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ possible}}{\dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2 \text{ possible}} \quad (3h)$$

$\boxed{e \models \dot{\xi}} \quad e \text{ satisfies } \dot{\xi}$

$$\frac{\text{CSTruth}}{e \models \top} \quad (4a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSNum}}{\underline{n} \models n} \quad (4b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSInl} \quad e_1 \models \dot{\xi}_1}{\text{inl}_{\tau_2}(e_1) \models \text{inl}(\dot{\xi}_1)} \quad (4c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSInr} \quad e_2 \models \dot{\xi}_2}{\text{inr}_{\tau_1}(e_2) \models \text{inr}(\dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (4d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSPair} \quad e_1 \models \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e_2 \models \dot{\xi}_2}{(e_1, e_2) \models (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (4e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSNotIntroPair} \quad e \text{ notintro} \quad \text{fst}(e) \models \dot{\xi}_1 \quad \text{snd}(e) \models \dot{\xi}_2}{e \models (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (4f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSOrL} \quad e \models \dot{\xi}_1}{e \models \dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2} \quad (4g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSOrR} \quad e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2} \quad (4h)$$

$\boxed{e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}}$ e may satisfy $\dot{\xi}$

$$\frac{\text{CMSUnknown}}{e \models_{\tau} ?} \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSInl} \quad e_1 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1}{\text{inl}_{\tau_2}(e_1) \models_{\tau} \text{inl}(\dot{\xi}_1)} \quad (5b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSInr} \quad e_2 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{\text{inr}_{\tau_1}(e_2) \models_{\tau} \text{inr}(\dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (5c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSPairL} \quad e_1 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e_2 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{(e_1, e_2) \models_{\tau} (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (5d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSPairR} \quad e_1 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e_2 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{(e_1, e_2) \models_{\tau} (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (5e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSPair} \quad e_1 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e_2 \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{(e_1, e_2) \models_{\tau} (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2)} \quad (5f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSOrL} \quad e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e \not\models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2} \quad (5g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSOrR} \quad e \not\models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \quad e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_2}{e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}_1 \vee \dot{\xi}_2} \quad (5h)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSNotIntro} \quad e \text{ notintro} \quad \dot{\xi} \text{ refutable?} \quad \dot{\xi} \text{ possible}}{e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}} \quad (5i)$$

$\boxed{e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}}$ e satisfies or may satisfy $\dot{\xi}$

$$\frac{\text{CSMSMay} \quad e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}}{e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}} \quad (6a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CMSMSat} \quad e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}}{e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}} \quad (6b)$$

Lemma 1.0.1. Assume e **notintro**. If $e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}$ or $e \not\models \dot{\xi}$ then $\dot{\xi}$ **refutable** _{τ} .

Lemma 1.0.2. If e **notintro** and $e \models \dot{\xi}$ then $\dot{\xi}$ ~~**refutable**~~ _{τ} .

Theorem 1.1 (Exclusiveness of Satisfaction Judgment). If $\dot{\xi} : \tau$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and e **final** then exactly one of the following holds

1. $e \models \dot{\xi}$
2. $e \models_{\tau} \dot{\xi}$
3. $e \not\models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$

Definition 1.1.1 (Entailment of Constraints). Suppose that $\dot{\xi}_1 : \tau$ and $\dot{\xi}_2 : \tau$. Then $\dot{\xi}_1 \models \dot{\xi}_2$ iff for all e such that $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and e **val** we have $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}_1$ implies $e \models \dot{\xi}_2$

Definition 1.1.2 (Potential Entailment of Constraints). Suppose that $\dot{\xi}_1 : \tau$ and $\dot{\xi}_2 : \tau$. Then $\dot{\xi}_1 \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}_2$ iff for all e such that $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and e **final** we have $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}_1$ implies $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}_2$

Corollary 1.1.1. Suppose that $\dot{\xi} : \tau$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and e **final**. Then $\top \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ implies $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$

2 Complete Constraint Language

$\xi ::= \top \mid \perp \mid \underline{n} \mid \underline{\neg} \mid \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \mid \xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \mid \text{inl}(\xi) \mid \text{inr}(\xi) \mid (\xi_1, \xi_2)$

$\boxed{\xi : \tau}$ ξ constrains final expressions of type τ

$$\frac{\text{CTTruth}}{\top : \tau} \quad (7a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTFalsity}}{\perp : \tau} \quad (7b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTNum}}{\underline{n} : \text{num}} \quad (7c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTNotNum}}{\underline{\neg} : \text{num}} \quad (7d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTAnd} \quad \xi_1 : \tau \quad \xi_2 : \tau}{\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 : \tau} \quad (7e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTOr} \quad \xi_1 : \tau \quad \xi_2 : \tau}{\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 : \tau} \quad (7f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTInl} \quad \xi_1 : \tau_1}{\text{inl}(\xi_1) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)} \quad (7g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTInr} \quad \xi_2 : \tau_2}{\text{inr}(\xi_2) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)} \quad (7h)$$

$$\frac{\text{CTPair} \quad \xi_1 : \tau_1 \quad \xi_2 : \tau_2}{(\xi_1, \xi_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)} \quad (7i)$$

$$\boxed{\overline{\xi_1} = \xi_2} \quad \text{dual of } \xi_1 \text{ is } \xi_2$$

$$\overline{\top} = \perp$$

$$\overline{\perp} = \top$$

$$\overline{\overline{n}} = \not n$$

$$\overline{\not n} = n$$

$$\overline{\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2} = \overline{\xi_1} \vee \overline{\xi_2}$$

$$\overline{\xi_1 \vee \xi_2} = \overline{\xi_1} \wedge \overline{\xi_2}$$

$$\overline{\text{inl}(\xi_1)} = \text{inl}(\overline{\xi_1}) \vee \text{inr}(\top)$$

$$\overline{\text{inr}(\xi_2)} = \text{inr}(\overline{\xi_2}) \vee \text{inl}(\top)$$

$$\overline{(\xi_1, \xi_2)} = (\xi_1, \overline{\xi_2}) \vee (\overline{\xi_1}, \xi_2) \vee (\overline{\xi_1}, \overline{\xi_2})$$

$$\boxed{e \models \xi} \quad e \text{ satisfies } \xi$$

$$\frac{\text{CSTruth}}{e \models \top} \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSNum}}{\underline{n} \models n} \quad (9b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSNotNum} \quad n_1 \neq n_2}{\underline{n_1} \models \not n_2} \quad (9c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSAnd} \quad e \models \xi_1 \quad e \models \xi_2}{e \models \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2} \quad (9d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSOrL} \quad e \models \xi_1}{e \models \xi_1 \vee \xi_2} \quad (9e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSOrR} \quad e \models \xi_2}{e \models \xi_1 \vee \xi_2} \quad (9f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSInl} \quad e_1 \models \xi_1}{\text{inl}_{\tau_2}(e_1) \models \text{inl}(\xi_1)} \quad (9g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSInr} \quad e_2 \models \xi_2}{\text{inr}_{\tau_1}(e_2) \models \text{inr}(\xi_2)} \quad (9h)$$

$$\frac{\text{CSPair} \quad e_1 \models \xi_1 \quad e_2 \models \xi_2}{(e_1, e_2) \models (\xi_1, \xi_2)} \quad (9i)$$

Lemma 2.0.1. *Assume $e \text{ val}$. Then $e \not\models \xi$ iff $e \models \bar{\xi}$.*

Theorem 2.1 (Exclusiveness of Satisfaction Judgment). *If $\xi : \tau$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \text{ val}$ then exactly one of the following holds*

1. $e \models \xi$
2. $e \models \bar{\xi}$

Definition 2.1.1 (Entailment of Constraints). *Suppose that $\xi_1 : \tau$ and $\xi_2 : \tau$. Then $\xi_1 \models \xi_2$ iff for all e such that $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \text{ val}$ we have $e \models \xi_1$ implies $e \models \xi_2$*

Lemma 2.1.1 (Material Entailment of Complete Constraints). $\xi_1 \models \xi_2$ iff $\top \models \bar{\xi_1} \vee \xi_2$.

2.1 Relationship with Incomplete Constraint Language

Lemma 2.1.2. *Assume that $e \text{ val}$. Then $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ iff $e \models \dot{\top}(\dot{\xi})$.*

Lemma 2.1.3. $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ iff $e \models \dot{\perp}(\dot{\xi})$

Lemma 2.1.4. *Suppose $\dot{\xi} : \tau$. Then $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ for all e such that $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \text{ final}$ iff $e \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ for all e such that $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \text{ val}$.*

Theorem 2.2. $\top \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$ iff $\top \models \dot{\top}(\dot{\xi})$.

Theorem 2.3. $\dot{\xi}_1 \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}_2$ iff $\dot{\top}(\dot{\xi}_1) \models \dot{\perp}(\dot{\xi}_2)$.

3 Dynamic Semantics

$\boxed{e \text{ val}}$ e is a value

$$\frac{\text{VNum}}{\underline{n \text{ val}}} \quad (10a)$$

$$\frac{\text{VLam}}{\underline{\lambda x : \tau. e \text{ val}}} \quad (10b)$$

$$\frac{\text{VPair} \quad e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \text{ val}}{(e_1, e_2) \text{ val}} \quad (10c)$$

$$\frac{\text{VInl} \quad e \text{ val}}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) \text{ val}} \quad (10d)$$

$$\frac{\text{VInr} \quad e \text{ val}}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) \text{ val}} \quad (10e)$$

$\boxed{e \text{ indet}}$ e is indeterminate

$$\frac{\text{IEHole}}{\text{⌈⌋}^u \text{ indet}} \quad (11a)$$

$$\frac{\text{IHole} \quad e \text{ final}}{\text{⌈}e\text{⌋}^u \text{ indet}} \quad (11b)$$

$$\frac{\text{IAp} \quad e_1 \text{ indet} \quad e_2 \text{ final}}{e_1(e_2) \text{ indet}} \quad (11c)$$

$$\frac{\text{IPairL} \quad e_1 \text{ indet} \quad e_2 \text{ val}}{(e_1, e_2) \text{ indet}} \quad (11d)$$

$$\frac{\text{IPairR} \quad e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \text{ indet}}{(e_1, e_2) \text{ indet}} \quad (11e)$$

$$\frac{\text{IPair} \quad e_1 \text{ indet} \quad e_2 \text{ indet}}{(e_1, e_2) \text{ indet}} \quad (11f)$$

$$\frac{\text{IFst} \quad e \text{ final}}{\text{fst}(e) \text{ indet}} \quad (11g)$$

$$\frac{\text{ISnd} \quad e \text{ final}}{\text{snd}(e) \text{ indet}} \quad (11h)$$

$$\frac{\text{IInL} \quad e \text{ indet}}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) \text{ indet}} \quad (11i)$$

$$\frac{\text{IInR} \quad e \text{ indet}}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) \text{ indet}} \quad (11j)$$

$$\frac{\text{IMatch} \quad e \text{ final} \quad e ? p_r}{\text{match}(e)\{rs_{pre} \mid (p_r \Rightarrow e_r) \mid rs_{post}\} \text{ indet}} \quad (11k)$$

$e \text{ final}$ e is final

$$\frac{\text{FVal} \quad e \text{ val}}{e \text{ final}} \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{\text{FIndet} \quad e \text{ indet}}{e \text{ final}} \quad (12b)$$

$e \text{ notintro}$ e cannot be a value syntactically

$$\frac{\text{NVEHole}}{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^u \text{ notintro}} \quad (13a)$$

$$\frac{\text{NVHole}}{\llbracket e \rrbracket^u \text{ notintro}} \quad (13b)$$

$$\frac{\text{NVAp}}{e_1(e_2) \text{ notintro}} \quad (13c)$$

$$\frac{\text{NVMatch}}{\text{match}(e)\{\hat{r}s\} \text{ notintro}} \quad (13d)$$

$$\frac{\text{NVFst}}{\text{fst}(e) \text{ notintro}} \quad (13e)$$

$$\frac{\text{NVSnd}}{\text{snd}(e) \text{ notintro}} \quad (13f)$$

$e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$ e' is one of the possible values of e

$$\frac{\text{IVVal} \quad e \text{ val} \quad \cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau}{e \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)} \quad (14a)$$

$$\frac{\text{IVIndet} \quad e \text{ notintro} \quad \cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau \quad e' \text{ val} \quad \cdot; \Delta \vdash e' : \tau}{e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)} \quad (14b)$$

$$\frac{\text{IVInl} \quad e'_1 \in \text{values}[\Delta](e_1)}{\text{inl}_{\tau_2}(e'_1) \in \text{values}[\Delta](\text{inl}_{\tau_2}(e_1))} \quad (14c)$$

$$\frac{\text{IVInr} \quad e'_2 \in \text{values}[\Delta](e_2)}{\text{inr}_{\tau_1}(e'_2) \in \text{values}[\Delta](\text{inr}_{\tau_1}(e_2))} \quad (14d)$$

$$\frac{\text{IVPair} \quad e'_1 \in \text{values}[\Delta](e_1) \quad e'_2 \in \text{values}[\Delta](e_2)}{(e'_1, e'_2) \in \text{values}[\Delta]((e_1, e_2))} \quad (14e)$$

Lemma 3.0.1. *If $e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ then $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e' : \tau$.*

Lemma 3.0.2. *If $e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$ then $e' \text{ val}$.*

Lemma 3.0.3. *If $e \text{ indet}$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ then there exists e' such that $e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$.*

Lemma 3.0.4. *Assume $e \text{ final}$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $\dot{\xi} : \tau$. If $e \not\vdash_{\tau}^{\dot{\xi}} \dot{\xi}$ then and $e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$ then $e' \not\vdash_{\tau}^{\dot{\xi}} \dot{\xi}$.*

Lemma 3.0.5. *If $e \text{ indet}$ and $\cdot; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $\dot{\xi} : \tau$ and there exists e' such that $e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e)$ and $e' \vdash_{\tau}^{\dot{\xi}} \dot{\xi}$ then $e \vdash_{\tau}^{\dot{\xi}} \dot{\xi}$.*

$$\boxed{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \theta : \Gamma\theta} \quad \theta \text{ is of type } \Gamma\theta \quad \frac{\text{STEmpty}}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \emptyset : \cdot} \quad (15a)$$

$$\frac{\text{STExtend} \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \theta : \Gamma\theta \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \theta, x/e : \Gamma\theta, x : \tau} \quad (15b)$$

$$\boxed{p \text{ refutable?}} \quad p \text{ is refutable} \quad \frac{\text{RNum}}{\underline{n \text{ refutable?}}} \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{\text{REHole}}{\underline{(\emptyset)^w \text{ refutable?}}} \quad (16b)$$

$$\frac{\text{RHole}}{\underline{(\underline{p})_{\tau}^w \text{ refutable?}}} \quad (16c)$$

$$\frac{\text{RInl}}{\underline{\text{inl}(p) \text{ refutable?}}} \quad (16d)$$

$$\frac{\text{RInr}}{\text{inr}(p) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (16e)$$

$$\frac{\text{RPairL} \quad p_1 \text{ refutable?}}{(p_1, p_2) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (16f)$$

$$\frac{\text{RPairR} \quad p_2 \text{ refutable?}}{(p_1, p_2) \text{ refutable?}} \quad (16g)$$

$$\boxed{e \triangleright p \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta} \quad e \text{ matches } p, \text{ emitting } \theta$$

$$\frac{\text{MVar}}{e \triangleright x \dashv\!\!\parallel e/x} \quad (17a)$$

$$\frac{\text{MWild}}{e \triangleright _ \dashv\!\!\parallel \cdot} \quad (17b)$$

$$\frac{\text{MNum}}{\underline{n} \triangleright \underline{n} \dashv\!\!\parallel \cdot} \quad (17c)$$

$$\frac{\text{MPair} \quad e_1 \triangleright p_1 \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_1 \quad e_2 \triangleright p_2 \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_2}{(e_1, e_2) \triangleright (p_1, p_2) \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2} \quad (17d)$$

$$\frac{\text{MInl} \quad e \triangleright p \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) \triangleright \text{inl}(p) \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta} \quad (17e)$$

$$\frac{\text{MInr} \quad e \triangleright p \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) \triangleright \text{inr}(p) \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta} \quad (17f)$$

$$\frac{\text{MNotIntroPair} \quad e \text{ notintro} \quad \text{fst}(e) \triangleright p_1 \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_1 \quad \text{snd}(e) \triangleright p_2 \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_2}{e \triangleright (p_1, p_2) \dashv\!\!\parallel \theta_1 \uplus \theta_2} \quad (17g)$$

$$\boxed{e ? p} \quad e \text{ may match } p$$

$$\frac{\text{MMEHole}}{e ? \langle \rangle^w} \quad (18a)$$

$$\frac{\text{MMHole}}{e ? \langle p \rangle_\tau^w} \quad (18b)$$

$$\frac{\text{MMNotIntro} \quad e \text{ notintro} \quad p \text{ refutable?}}{e ? p} \quad (18c)$$

$$\text{MMPairL} \quad \frac{e_1 ? p_1 \quad e_2 \triangleright p_2 \dashv \parallel \theta_2}{(e_1, e_2) ? (p_1, p_2)} \quad (18d)$$

$$\text{MMPairR} \quad \frac{e_1 \triangleright p_1 \dashv \parallel \theta_1 \quad e_2 ? p_2}{(e_1, e_2) ? (p_1, p_2)} \quad (18e)$$

$$\text{MMPair} \quad \frac{e_1 ? p_1 \quad e_2 ? p_2}{(e_1, e_2) ? (p_1, p_2)} \quad (18f)$$

$$\text{MMInl} \quad \frac{e ? p}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) ? \text{inl}(p)} \quad (18g)$$

$$\text{MMInr} \quad \frac{e ? p}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) ? \text{inr}(p)} \quad (18h)$$

$\boxed{e \perp p}$ e does not match p

$$\text{NMNum} \quad \frac{n_1 \neq n_2}{\underline{n_1} \perp \underline{n_2}} \quad (19a)$$

$$\text{NMPairL} \quad \frac{e_1 \perp p_1}{(e_1, e_2) \perp (p_1, p_2)} \quad (19b)$$

$$\text{NMPairR} \quad \frac{e_2 \perp p_2}{(e_1, e_2) \perp (p_1, p_2)} \quad (19c)$$

$$\text{NMConfL} \quad \frac{}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) \perp \text{inl}(p)} \quad (19d)$$

$$\text{NMConfR} \quad \frac{}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) \perp \text{inr}(p)} \quad (19e)$$

$$\text{NMInl} \quad \frac{e \perp p}{\text{inl}_\tau(e) \perp \text{inl}(p)} \quad (19f)$$

$$\text{NMInr} \quad \frac{e \perp p}{\text{inr}_\tau(e) \perp \text{inr}(p)} \quad (19g)$$

$$\boxed{(\hat{r}s)^\diamond = rs} \quad rs \text{ can be obtained by erasing pointer from } \hat{r}s$$

$$(\cdot \mid r \mid rs)^\diamond = r \mid rs \quad (20a)$$

$$((r' \mid rs') \mid r \mid rs)^\diamond = r' \mid (rs' \mid r \mid rs)^\diamond \quad (20b)$$

$$\boxed{e \mapsto e'} \quad e \text{ takes a step to } e'$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITHole} \\ e \mapsto e' \\ \hline \langle e \rangle^u \mapsto \langle e' \rangle^u \end{array} \quad (21a)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITApFun} \\ e_1 \mapsto e'_1 \\ \hline e_1(e_2) \mapsto e'_1(e_2) \end{array} \quad (21b)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITApArg} \\ e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2 \\ \hline e_1(e_2) \mapsto e_1(e'_2) \end{array} \quad (21c)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITAp} \\ e_2 \text{ val} \\ \hline \lambda x : \tau. e_1(e_2) \mapsto [e_2/x]e_1 \end{array} \quad (21d)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITPairL} \\ e_1 \mapsto e'_1 \\ \hline (e_1, e_2) \mapsto (e'_1, e_2) \end{array} \quad (21e)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITPairR} \\ e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2 \\ \hline (e_1, e_2) \mapsto (e_1, e'_2) \end{array} \quad (21f)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITFstPair} \\ (e_1, e_2) \text{ final} \\ \hline \text{fst}((e_1, e_2)) \mapsto e_1 \end{array} \quad (21g)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITSndPair} \\ (e_1, e_2) \text{ final} \\ \hline \text{snd}((e_1, e_2)) \mapsto e_2 \end{array} \quad (21h)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITInl} \\ e \mapsto e' \\ \hline \text{inl}_\tau(e) \mapsto \text{inl}_\tau(e') \end{array} \quad (21i)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITInr} \\ e \mapsto e' \\ \hline \text{inr}_\tau(e) \mapsto \text{inr}_\tau(e') \end{array} \quad (21j)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ITExpMatch} \\ e \mapsto e' \\ \hline \text{match}(e)\{\hat{r}s\} \mapsto \text{match}(e')\{\hat{r}s\} \end{array} \quad (21k)$$

$$\frac{\text{ITSuccMatch} \quad e \text{ final} \quad e \triangleright p_r \dashv \theta}{\text{match}(e)\{rs_{pre} \mid (p_r \Rightarrow e_r) \mid rs_{post}\} \mapsto [\theta](e_r)} \quad (21l)$$

$$\frac{\text{ITFailMatch} \quad e \text{ final} \quad e \perp p_r}{\text{match}(e)\{rs \mid (p_r \Rightarrow e_r) \mid (r' \mid rs')\} \mapsto \text{match}(e)\{(rs \mid (p_r \Rightarrow e_r) \mid \cdot)^\diamond \mid r' \mid rs'\}} \quad (21m)$$

Lemma 3.0.6. *If e final and e notintro then e indet.*

Lemma 3.0.7. *There doesn't exist such an expression e such that both e val and e indet.*

Lemma 3.0.8. *There doesn't exist such an expression e such that both e val and e notintro.*

Lemma 3.0.9 (Finality). *There doesn't exist such an expression e such that both e final and $e \mapsto e'$ for some e'*

Lemma 3.0.10 (Matching Determinism). *If e final and $\cdot; \Delta_e \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv \Gamma$ then exactly one of the following holds*

1. $e \triangleright p \dashv \theta$ for some θ
2. $e ? p$
3. $e \perp p$

Lemma 3.0.11 (Matching Coherence of Constraint). *Suppose that $\cdot; \Delta_e \vdash e : \tau$ and e final and $\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv \Gamma$. Then we have*

1. $e \dot{\models}_\xi$ iff $e \triangleright p \dashv \theta$
2. $e \dot{\models}_? \xi$ iff $e ? p$
3. $e \not\dot{\models}_?^\dagger \xi$ iff $e \perp p$

4 Static Semantics

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &::= \text{num} \mid (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \mid (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \mid (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \\ e &::= x \mid \underline{n} \\ &\quad \mid \lambda x : \tau. e \mid e_1(e_2) \\ &\quad \mid (e_1, e_2) \\ &\quad \mid \text{inl}_\tau(e) \mid \text{inr}_\tau(e) \mid \text{match}(e)\{rs\} \\ &\quad \mid \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^u \mid \llbracket e \rrbracket^u \\ \hat{rs} &::= (rs \mid r \mid rs) \\ rs &::= \cdot \mid (r \mid rs') \\ r &::= p \Rightarrow e \\ p &::= x \mid \underline{n} \mid _ \mid (p_1, p_2) \mid \text{inl}(p) \mid \text{inr}(p) \mid \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^w \mid \llbracket p \rrbracket_\tau^w \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau} \quad e \text{ is of type } \tau$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TVar} \\
\hline
\Gamma, x : \tau; \Delta \vdash x : \tau
\end{array} \tag{22a}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TEHole} \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta, u :: \tau \vdash \langle \rangle^u : \tau
\end{array} \tag{22b}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{THole} \\
\Gamma; \Delta, u :: \tau \vdash e : \tau' \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta, u :: \tau \vdash \langle e \rangle^u : \tau
\end{array} \tag{22c}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TNum} \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \underline{n} : \mathbf{num}
\end{array} \tag{22d}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TLam} \\
\Gamma, x : \tau_1; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_2 \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1. e : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)
\end{array} \tag{22e}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TAp} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 : (\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau) \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1(e_2) : \tau
\end{array} \tag{22f}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TPair} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)
\end{array} \tag{22g}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TFst} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) : \tau_1
\end{array} \tag{22h}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TSnd} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2) \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) : \tau_2
\end{array} \tag{22i}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TInl} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_1 \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathbf{inl}_{\tau_2}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)
\end{array} \tag{22j}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TInr} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau_2 \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathbf{inr}_{\tau_1}(e) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)
\end{array} \tag{22k}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TMatchZPre} \\
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash e : \tau \quad \Gamma; \Delta \vdash [\perp]r \mid rs : \tau[\xi] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \top \models_{?}^{\dot{\cdot} \dagger} \xi \\
\hline
\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathbf{match}(e) \{ \cdot \mid r \mid rs \} : \tau'
\end{array} \tag{22l}$$

TMatchNZPre

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\perp]rs_{pre} : \tau[\xi_{pre}] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\perp \vee \xi_{pre}]r \mid rs_{post} : \tau[\xi_{rest}] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \forall e'. e' \in \text{values}[\Delta](e) \Rightarrow e' \not\vdash_{\dot{\tau}}^{\dagger} \xi_{pre} \quad \top \models_{\dot{\tau}}^{\dagger} \xi_{pre} \vee \xi_{rest}}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \text{match}(e)\{rs_{pre} \mid r \mid rs_{post}\} : \tau'} \quad (22m)$$

$\boxed{\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv \Gamma}$ p is assigned type τ and emits constraint ξ

PTVar

$$\frac{}{\cdot \vdash x : \tau[\top] \dashv x : \tau} \quad (23a)$$

PTWild

$$\frac{}{\cdot \vdash _ : \tau[\top] \dashv \cdot} \quad (23b)$$

PTEHole

$$\frac{}{w :: \tau \vdash \langle \rangle^w : \tau[?] \dashv \cdot} \quad (23c)$$

PTHole

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv \Gamma}{\Delta, w :: \tau' \vdash \langle p \rangle_{\tau}^w : \tau'[?] \dashv \Gamma} \quad (23d)$$

PTNum

$$\frac{}{\cdot \vdash \underline{n} : \text{num}[\underline{n}] \dashv \cdot} \quad (23e)$$

PTInl

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash p : \tau_1[\xi] \dashv \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash \text{inl}(p) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)[\text{inl}(\xi)] \dashv \Gamma} \quad (23f)$$

PTInr

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash p : \tau_2[\xi] \dashv \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash \text{inr}(p) : (\tau_1 + \tau_2)[\text{inr}(\xi)] \dashv \Gamma} \quad (23g)$$

PTPair

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \vdash p_1 : \tau_1[\xi_1] \dashv \Gamma_1 \quad \Delta_2 \vdash p_2 : \tau_2[\xi_2] \dashv \Gamma_2}{\Delta_1 \uplus \Delta_2 \vdash (p_1, p_2) : (\tau_1 \times \tau_2)[(\xi_1, \xi_2)] \dashv \Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2} \quad (23h)$$

$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (p \Rightarrow e) : \tau[\xi] \Rightarrow \tau'}$ r transforms a final expression of type τ to a final expression of type τ'

CTRRule

$$\frac{\Delta_p \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv \Gamma_p \quad \Gamma \uplus \Gamma_p ; \Delta \uplus \Delta_p \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash p \Rightarrow e : \tau[\xi] \Rightarrow \tau'} \quad (24a)$$

$\boxed{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}]rs : \tau[\xi_{rs}] \Rightarrow \tau'}$ rs transforms a final expression of type τ to a final expression of type τ'

CTOneRules

$$\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash r : \tau[\xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \xi_r \not\vdash_{\dot{\tau}} \xi_{pre}}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}](r \mid \cdot) : \tau[\xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau'} \quad (25a)$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{CTRules} \\
\frac{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash r : \tau[\xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre} \vee \xi_r]rs : \tau[\xi_{rs}] \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \xi_r \not\dot{=} \xi_{pre}}{\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}]r \mid rs : \tau[\xi_r \vee \xi_{rs}] \Rightarrow \tau'}
\end{array}
\quad (25b)$$

Lemma 4.0.1. *If $\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv\!\!\vdash \Gamma$ then $\xi : \tau$.*

Lemma 4.0.2. *If $\cdot ; \Delta \vdash r : \tau_1[\xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau$ then $\xi_r : \tau_1$.*

Lemma 4.0.3. *If $\cdot ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}]rs : \tau_1[\xi_{rs}] \Rightarrow \tau$ then $\xi_{rs} : \tau_1$.*

Lemma 4.0.4. *If $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}]rs : \tau[\xi_{rs}] \Rightarrow \tau'$ and $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash r : \tau[\xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau'$ and $\xi_r \not\dot{=} \xi_{pre} \vee \xi_{rs}$ then $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\xi_{pre}](rs \mid r \mid \cdot)^\diamond : \tau[\xi_{rs} \vee \xi_r] \Rightarrow \tau'$*

Lemma 4.0.5 (Substitution). *If $\Gamma, x : \tau ; \Delta \vdash e_0 : \tau_0$ and $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and e final then $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [e/x]e_0 : \tau_0$*

Lemma 4.0.6 (Simultaneous Substitution). *If $\Gamma \uplus \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \theta : \Gamma'$ then $\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash [\theta]e : \tau$*

Lemma 4.0.7 (Substitution Typing). *If $e \triangleright p \dashv\!\!\vdash \theta$ and $\cdot ; \Delta_e \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash p : \tau[\xi] \dashv\!\!\vdash \Gamma$ and all expressions in θ are final then $\cdot ; \Delta_e \vdash \theta : \Gamma$*

Theorem 4.1 (Preservation). *If $\cdot ; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$ then $\cdot ; \Delta \vdash e' : \tau$*

Theorem 4.2 (Determinism). *If $\cdot ; \Delta \vdash e : \tau$ then exactly one of the following holds*

1. e val
2. e indet
3. $e \mapsto e'$ for some unique e'

5 Decidability

$$\boxed{\dot{\vdash}(\dot{\xi}) = \xi}$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\top) = \top \quad (26a)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\?) = \top \quad (26b)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\underline{n}) = \underline{n} \quad (26c)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\xi_1 \vee \xi_2) = \dot{\vdash}(\xi_1) \vee \dot{\vdash}(\xi_2) \quad (26d)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\text{inl}(\xi)) = \text{inl}(\dot{\vdash}(\xi)) \quad (26e)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}(\text{inr}(\xi)) = \text{inr}(\dot{\vdash}(\xi)) \quad (26f)$$

$$\dot{\vdash}((\xi_1, \xi_2)) = (\dot{\vdash}(\xi_1), \dot{\vdash}(\xi_2)) \quad (26g)$$

$$\boxed{\dot{\perp}(\dot{\xi}) = \xi}$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\top) = \top \quad (27a)$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\?) = \perp \quad (27b)$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\underline{n}) = \underline{n} \quad (27c)$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\xi_1 \vee \xi_2) = \dot{\perp}(\xi_1) \vee \dot{\perp}(\xi_2) \quad (27d)$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\mathbf{inl}(\xi)) = \mathbf{inl}(\dot{\perp}(\xi)) \quad (27e)$$

$$\dot{\perp}(\mathbf{inr}(\xi)) = \mathbf{inr}(\dot{\perp}(\xi)) \quad (27f)$$

$$\dot{\perp}((\xi_1, \xi_2)) = (\dot{\perp}(\xi_1), \dot{\perp}(\xi_2)) \quad (27g)$$

$$\boxed{\Xi \text{ incon}}$$

A finite set of constraints, Ξ , is inconsistent

$$\frac{\text{CINCTruth} \quad \Xi \text{ incon}}{\Xi, \top \text{ incon}} \quad (28a)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCFalse}}{\Xi, \perp \text{ incon}} \quad (28b)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCNum} \quad n_1 \neq n_2}{\Xi, \underline{n_1}, \underline{n_2} \text{ incon}} \quad (28c)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCNotNum}}{\Xi, \underline{n}, \underline{\mathcal{N}} \text{ incon}} \quad (28d)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCAnd} \quad \Xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \text{ incon}}{\Xi, \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \text{ incon}} \quad (28e)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCOr} \quad \Xi, \xi_1 \text{ incon} \quad \Xi, \xi_2 \text{ incon}}{\Xi, \xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \text{ incon}} \quad (28f)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCInj}}{\Xi, \mathbf{inl}(\xi_1), \mathbf{inr}(\xi_2) \text{ incon}} \quad (28g)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCInl} \quad \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \text{ incon}}{\mathbf{inl}(\xi_1), \dots, \mathbf{inl}(\xi_n) \text{ incon}} \quad (28h)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCInr} \quad \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \text{ incon}}{\mathbf{inr}(\xi_1), \dots, \mathbf{inr}(\xi_n) \text{ incon}} \quad (28i)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCPairL} \quad \xi_{11}, \dots, \xi_{n1} \text{ incon}}{(\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}), (\xi_{21}, \xi_{22}), \dots, (\xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2}) \text{ incon}} \quad (28j)$$

$$\frac{\text{CINCPairR} \quad \xi_{12}, \dots, \xi_{n2} \text{ \texttt{incon}}}{(\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}), (\xi_{21}, \xi_{22}), \dots, (\xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2}) \text{ \texttt{incon}}} \quad (28k)$$

Lemma 5.0.1 (Decidability of Inconsistency). *It is decidable whether ξ \texttt{incon}.*

Lemma 5.0.2 (Inconsistency and Entailment of Constraint). *$\bar{\xi}$ \texttt{incon} iff $\top \models \xi$*

Theorem 5.1 (Decidability of Exhaustiveness). *It is decidable whether $\top \models_{\tau}^{\dagger} \dot{\xi}$.*

Theorem 5.2 (Decidability of Redundancy). *It is decidable whether $\dot{\xi}_1 \models \dot{\xi}_2$.*