4. Generalization to noncomplementary index sets

A more general inequality than (3.8) has the form

$$(4.1) \Delta_{I,I'}\Delta_{K,K'} \leq \Delta_{J,J'}\Delta_{L,L'}.$$

That is, the pairs (I, K), etc. need not be complements. However, any such inequality which holds for all totally nonnegative matrices can be deduced from Theorem 3.2 or equivalently from Corollary 3.4. We will give a combinatorial proof of this fact using the families of graphs introduced in Section 2.

Let p and p' be the cardinalities of $I \cup K$ and $I' \cup K'$, and let q and q' be the cardinalities of $I \cap K$ and $I' \cap K'$. Necessarily, p + q = p' + q' = |I| + |K|. Applying Observation 1.3, we may interpret the product of minors $\Delta_{I,I'}\Delta_{K,K'}$ of any totally nonnegative matrix to be the weighted sum of path families $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{p+q})$ in a planar network which connect sources indexed by I(K) to sinks indexed by I'(K') and in which all S_I to $T_{I'}$ paths (S_K to $T_{K'}$ paths) are vertex-disjoint. We will say that such a path family obeys the (I, I', K, K') crossing rule. The following necessary condition for eight sets to satisfy (4.1) for all totally nonnegative matrices was first stated in [3, Prop 2.2].

Observation 4.1. Let I, I', J, J', K, K', L, L' be subsets of [m]. Unless $I \cup K$ and $J \cup L$ are equal as multisets, and $I' \cup K'$ and $J' \cup L'$ are equal as multisets, the products $\Delta_{I,I'}\Delta_{K,K'}$ and $\Delta_{J,J'}\Delta_{L,L'}$ are incomparable as functions on totally nonnegative matrices.

Proof. Suppose i is an index which appears with greater multiplicity in $I \cup K$ than in $J \cup L$, and let G be any planar network of order m in which the unique edge leaving source s_i has weight c. If c is large enough, then the weighted path matrix of G satisfies

$$\Delta_{I,I'}\Delta_{K,K'} > \Delta_{J,J'}\Delta_{L,L'}$$
.

On the other hand, if c is close enough to zero, we have the opposite strict inequality. Similarly, if $I' \cup K'$ and $J' \cup L'$ are not equal as multisets, then the products are again incomparable.

Without loss of generality, we shall assume that the sets $I \cup K$ and $I' \cup K'$ are equal to [p] and [p'], respectively. Otherwise we can delete appropriate matrix rows and columns to make this true. Necessary and sufficient conditions for eight sets to satisfy (4.1) are analogous to the inequalities (3.3) and (3.7). The appropriate choices of I'', J'', and n are as follows. Let n be the number $\frac{1}{2}(p-q+p'-q')$, let η be the unique order preserving map

$$\eta: (I \setminus K) \cup (K \setminus I) \rightarrow [p-q],$$

and let η' be the unique order reversing map

$$\eta': (I' \setminus K') \cup (K' \setminus I') \rightarrow [p-q+1, 2n].$$

Define the subsets I'' and J'' of [2n] by

$$I'' = \eta(I \setminus K) \cup \eta'(K' \setminus I'),$$

$$J'' = \eta(J \setminus L) \cup \eta'(L' \setminus J').$$

Theorem 4.2. Let I, I', J, J', K, K', L, L' be subsets of [m], and define $p, p', q, q', n, \eta, \eta', I''$, and J'', as above. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1) In each totally nonnegative matrix of size at least $m \times m$, the minors $\Delta_{I,I'}$, $\Delta_{J,J'}$, $\Delta_{K,K'}$, $\Delta_{L,L'}$ satisfy

$$\Delta_{I,I'}\Delta_{K,K'} \leq \Delta_{J,J'}\Delta_{L,L'}$$
.

(2) The multisets $I \cup K$ and $J \cup L$ are equal, the multisets $I' \cup K'$ and $J' \cup L'$ are equal, and the sets I'', J'' satisfy

$$\max\{|B \cap I''|, |B \setminus I''|\} \ge \max\{|B \cap J''|, |B \setminus J''|\}$$

for each even subinterval B of [2n].

Proof. $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$ Suppose (1) is false. Then there exists a planar network in which more path families obey the (I, I'K, K') crossing rule than obey the (J, J', L, L') crossing rule. This network contains a subnetwork G which is a union of (p+q) paths from p sources to p' sinks with the property that more path families $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{p+q})$ which cover G obey the (I, I', K, K') crossing rule than obey the (J, J', L, L') crossing rule.

Applying the procedure defining ϕ to G, we obtain a graph in which exactly n connected components are paths whose endpoints belong to the 2n-element set

$$S_{I \setminus K} \cup S_{K \setminus I} \cup T_{I' \setminus K'} \cup T_{K' \setminus I'}$$
.

By the discussion following Corollary 2.2, these n paths define a perfect matching of $S_{(I \setminus K)} \cup T_{(K' \setminus I')}$ with $S_{(K \setminus I)} \cup T_{(I' \setminus K')}$, which is not a perfect matching of $S_{(J \setminus L)} \cup T_{(L' \setminus J')}$ with $S_{(L \setminus J)} \cup T_{(J' \setminus L')}$. Let H be the graph in $\mathcal{G}_3(2n)$ realizing this matching, in which vertex i $(1 \le i \le p - q)$ corresponds to the source in $S_{I \setminus K} \cup S_{K \setminus I}$ with the ith smallest index and vertex j $(p - q < j \le p - q + p' - q')$ corresponds to the sink in $T_{I' \setminus K'} \cup T_{K' \setminus I'}$ with the jth greatest index. Let $B = [b_1, b_2]$ be a minimal interval of [2n] such that (b_1, b_2) is an edge of H and b_1, b_2 both belong to J'' or both belong to $\overline{J''}$. Then we have

(4.2)
$$\max\{|B \cap I''|, |B \setminus I''|\} < \max\{|B \cap J''|, |B \setminus J''|\}.$$

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Let $B = [b_1, b_2]$ be a minimal subinterval of [2n] which satisfies (4.2), and let j_1 and j_2 be the preimages of these numbers with respect to the maps η and/or η' . Create a graph H = (V, E) as follows.

- (1) Place 2p + 2q vertices on a horizontal line.
- (2) Define six sets of symbols

$$S = \{s_i \mid i \in (I \setminus K) \cup (K \setminus I)\},\$$

$$U = \{u_i \mid i \in (I \cap K)\},\$$

$$U' = \{u'_i \mid i \in (I \cap K)\},\$$

$$T = \{t_i \mid i \in (I' \setminus K') \cup (K' \setminus I')\},\$$

$$V = \{v_i \mid i \in (I' \cap K')\},\$$

$$V' = \{v'_i \mid i \in (I' \cap K')\}.$$

- (3) Label the leftmost p+q vertices by the p+q symbols $S \cup U \cup U'$, in order of nondecreasing indices. Label the rightmost p+q vertices by the p+q symbols $T \cup V \cup V'$, in order of nonincreasing indices.
- (4) Connect each of the q + q' pairs of the form (u_i, u'_i) or (v_i, v'_i) by q + q' noncrossing arcs.
- (5) Connect the b_1 st (from the left) singleton to the b_2 nd (from the left) singleton by an arc.
- (6) Draw p+q-1 more arcs above the vertices to complete a noncrossing perfect matching of $S_{I \setminus K} \cup T_{K' \setminus I'}$ with $S_{K \setminus I} \cup T_{I' \setminus K'}$.

The arc drawn in step (5) prevents H from inducing a perfect matching of $S_{J \setminus L} \cup T_{L' \setminus J'}$ with $S_{L \setminus J} \cup T_{J' \setminus L'}$. Now consider the planar network G which is obtained from H by creating $\rho(H)$ and then identifying all pairs of vertices of the form (u_i, u'_i) or (v_i, v'_i) . There

are no path families in G which obey the (J, J', L, L') crossing rule, but there are some which obey the (I, I', K, K') crossing rule. This contradicts (1).

Example 4.1. Consider the two products of minors

$$\Delta_{\{1,2,3,6\},\{1,2,4,5\}}\Delta_{\{3,4\},\{2,5\}}, \quad \Delta_{\{1,3,6\},\{1,2,5\}}\Delta_{\{2,3,4\},\{2,4,5\}}.$$

We claim that these products are incomparable. To see this, let the map $\eta: \{1,2,4,6\} \to \{1,2,3,4\}$ preserve order, and let the map $\eta': \{1,4\} \to \{5,6\}$ reverse order. Then define

$$I'' = \{\eta(1), \eta(2), \eta(6)\} \cup \emptyset = \{1, 2, 4\},$$

$$J'' = \{\eta(1), \eta(6)\} \cup \{\eta'(4)\} = \{1, 4, 5\}.$$

Since the sets I'', J'' satisfy

$$\max\{|[4,5] \cup I''|, |[4,5] \setminus I''|\} < \max\{|[4,5] \cup J''|, |[4,5] \setminus J''|\},$$

$$\max\{|[5,6] \cup I''|, |[5,6] \setminus I''|\} > \max\{|[5,6] \cup J''|, |[5,6] \setminus J''|\},$$

the products of minors are incomparable.

5. OPEN PROBLEMS

Note that the results of this paper reduce the problem of comparing minors in totally non-negative matrices to the problem of counting unweighted path families in planar networks. This is curious, since Theorem 1.2 does not guarantee that for every totally nonnegative integer matrix A, there exists a planar network G such that A counts unweighted paths in G. This suggests the following open problem.

Problem 5.1. Characterize the totally nonnegative integer matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ for which there exists a planar network G such that each entry a_{ij} counts unweighted paths in G from source s_i to sink t_j .

Other possibilities for extending the present work are the following.

Problem 5.2. Let P(n) be the poset whose elements are set partitions of [2n] into two blocks of size n, ordered by $I-\overline{I} \leq_{P(n)} J-\overline{J}$ whenever the inequality

$$\Delta_{I,I}\Delta_{\overline{I},\overline{I}} \leq \Delta_{J,J}\Delta_{\overline{J},\overline{J}}$$

holds for all totally nonnegative matrices. Find a simple description for P(n).

Problem 5.3. Characterize the inequalities in products of k minors which are satisfied by all totally nonnegative matrices, for k > 2.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Sergey Fomin, John Stembridge, Eric Babson, Misha Gekhtman, Francesco Brenti, Alex Postnikov, and Harm Derksen for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Brenti. Combinatorics and total positivity. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 71 (1995) pp. 175–218.
- [2] C. W. Cryer. Some properties of totally positive matrices. Lin. Alg. Its Appl., 15 (1976) pp. 1–25.
- [3] S. M. Fallat, M. I. Gekhtman, and C. R. Johnson. Multiplicative principal-minor inequalities for totally nonnegative matrices, 2001. Preprint.
- [4] S. FOMIN AND A. ZELEVINSKY. Total positivity: Ests and parametrizations. *Math. Intelligencer*, (2001) pp. 23–33.
- [5] I. GESSEL AND G. VIENNOT. Bnomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae. Advances in Mathematics, 58 (1985) pp. 300–321.
- [6] I. GESSEL AND G. VIENNOT. Determinants and plane partitions, 1989. Preprint.

- [7] S. Karlin and G. McGregor. Coincidence probabilities. Pacific J. Math., 9 (1959) pp. 1141–1164.
- [8] B. LINDSTRÖM. On the vector representations of induced matroids. Bull. London Math. Soc., 5 (1973) pp. 85–90.
- [9] C. LOEWNER. On totally positive matrices. *Math. Z.*, **63** (1955) pp. 338–340.
- [10] G. Lusztig. Tetal positivity in reductive groups. In Lie Theory and Geometry: in Honor of Bertram Kostant, vol. 123 of Progress in Mathematics. Brkh"auser, Eston, 1994 pp. 531–568.
- [11] R. Stanley. Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [12] A. Whitney. A reduction theorem for totally positive matrices. J. d'Analyse Math., 2 (1952) pp. 88–92.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 2074 EAST HALL, 525 EAST UNIVERSITY, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN, 48109-1109

E-mail address: mskan@umich.edu