

- [BPT] Brini, A., Palareti, A. & Teolis, A., *Gordan-Capelli series in superalgebras*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **85** (1988), 1330-1333.
- [BT] Brini, A. & Teolis, A., *Capelli bitableaux and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -forms of general linear Lie superalgebras*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **87** (1990), pp. 56-60.
- [DKR] Désarménien, J., Kung, J. P. S. & Rota, G.-C., *Invariant Theory, Young Bitableaux and combinatorics*, Adv. in Math. **27** (1978), 63-92.
- [DRS] Dubilet, P., Rota, G.-C. & Stein, J. A., *On the foundations of combinatorial theory IX: Combinatorial method in invariant theory*, Stud. Appl. Math. **53** (1976), 185-216.
- [GRS] Grosshans, F. D. & Rota, G.-C. & Stein, J. A., *Invariant Theory and Superalgebras*, Regional Conference series in Mathematics, Number 69, 1985.
- [KR] Kung, J. P. S. & Rota G.-C., *The invariant theory of binary forms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1984), 27-85.
- [HRS] Huang, R., Rota, G.-C. & Stein, J. A., *Supersymmetric algebra, supersymmetric space, and invariant theory*, Annali Scuola Normale Superiore (Volume dedicated to L. Radicati), (1989) Pisa.
- [Hu1] Huang, R., *Invariants of sets of linear varieties*, Proc. of Natl. Acad. of Sci., USA, **88**(1990) 4557-4560.
- [Hu2] Huang, R., *Invariants of sets of lines in Projective 3-space*, J. Algebra, **143**(1991), 208-218.
- [Ma1] Manin, Yu, *Quantum groups and non-commutative geometry*, Les publications du Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1988.
- [Ma2] Manin, Yu, *Topics in Noncommutative Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1991.
- [PW] Parshall, B. & Wang, J.P., *Quantum general linear groups*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., Number 439, 1991.
- [RS] Rota, G.-C. & Stein, J. A., *Applications of Cayley algebras*, Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatoriee, Tomo II, Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, (1976) 71-97.
- [St] Stanley, R. *Enumerative Combinatorics (Volume I)*, The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, 1986.
- [SW] Sturmfels, B. & White, N. L., *Gröbner bases and invariant theory*, Adv. in Math. **76** (1989), 245-259.
- [TT] Taft E. & Towber J., *Quantum Deformation of Flag Schemes and Grassmann Schemes. I. A  $q$ -Deformation of the Shape-Algebra for  $GL(n)$* , Journal of Algebra, **142**, 1-36 (1991).
- [Wh] White, N. L., *Multilinear Cayley factorization*, to appear in J. of Symb. Comp.
- [WW] White, N. L. & Whitely, W., *The algebraic geometry of stresses in frameworks*, SIAM J. Algebraic and Discrete Methods, **4** (1983), pp. 481-511.
- [Wo] Woronowicz, S. L., *Tannaka-Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups, Twisted  $SU(N)$  groups*, Inv. Math. **93** (1988), 35-76.

## THE CONJECTURE OF STANLEY FOR SYMMETRIC MAGIC SQUARES

Rong-Qing Jia

Department of Mathematics  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Canada T6G 2G1

In this paper we announce a proof of Stanley's conjecture for symmetric magic squares (see [Sta76], [Sta83, p.40] and [Sta86, p.262]). The solution of the conjecture is a nice application of multivariate spline theory to combinatorics.

### 1. Introduction

An  $m \times m$  matrix with non-negative integer entries is called a *magic r-square of order m* if every row and column sums to  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of non-negative integers. Let  $H_m(r)$  denote the number of all magic  $r$ -squares of order  $m$ . For instance,  $H_1(r) = 1$  and  $H_2(r) = r + 1$ . It seems that MacMahon [Mac15, §407] first computed  $H_3(r)$ :

$$H_3(r) = \binom{r+4}{4} + \binom{r+3}{4} + \binom{r+2}{4}.$$

Guided by this evidence, Anand, Dumir and Gupta [ADG66] conjectured that  $H_m(r)$  is a polynomial in  $r$  of degree  $(m-1)^2$ . Their conjecture was confirmed by Stanley in [Sta73].

An  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix with non-negative integer entries is called a *symmetric magic r-square of order m* if every row (and hence every column) sums to  $r$ . Let  $S_m(r)$  denote the number of all symmetric magic  $r$ -squares of order  $m$ . Carlitz [Car66] calculated  $S_m(r)$  for  $m \leq 4$  and found that  $S_m(r)$  are not polynomials in  $r$  for  $m = 3$  and 4; rather,  $S_m(2r)$  and  $S_m(2r+1)$  are polynomials in  $r$  ( $m = 3$  and 4). He conjectured that this is the case for all  $m$ . His conjecture was solved by Stanley in [Sta73]. Later, Stanley [Sta76] obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $m \geq 1$ , and let  $S_m(r)$  be the number of symmetric magic  $r$ -squares of order  $m$ . Then  $S_m(r) = P_m(r) + (-1)^r Q_m(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $P_m(r)$  and  $Q_m(r)$  are polynomials in  $r$  with  $\deg P_m = \binom{m}{2}$ . Moreover,*

$$\deg Q_m \leq \binom{m-1}{2} - 1 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd; } \deg Q_m \leq \binom{m-2}{2} - 1 \text{ if } m \text{ is even.} \quad (1.1)$$

In order to count  $S_m(r)$  it is important to find the exact degree of  $Q_m$ . Stanley conjectured that equality holds for all  $m$  in (1.1). He supported his conjecture by computing  $S_5(r)$  and found that the degree of  $Q_5$  is 5. In [Sta83] and [Sta86] he raised this conjecture again and again.

Note that each function  $S_m$  is a *quasi-polynomial* (see [Sta86, p.210] for the definition). In his study of linear diophantine equations, Ehrhart introduced the so-called Ehrhart quasi-polynomials (see [Ehr77]). The relationship between symmetric magic squares and the Ehrhart quasi-polynomials of a certain type was discussed in [Sta86, pp.235–241].

We shall use *splines* (*piecewise polynomial functions*) to investigate this problem. This approach was initiated by Dahmen and Micchelli in [DM88] and is totally different from that of Stanley, who based his results on commutative algebra.

How are magic squares and symmetric magic squares related to multivariate splines? Magic squares and symmetric magic squares both are special cases of magic labelings of graphs (see [Ste66] and [Sta73]). Further, as indicated by Stanley [Sta73], the theory of magic labelings can be put into the more general context of linear diophantine equations. A study of linear diophantine equations naturally leads to truncated powers and discrete truncated powers, which are typical examples of splines.

We shall adopt the graph theoretic terminology used in [Wil85]. Thus a *graph*  $G$  is defined to be a pair  $(V, E)$ , where  $V$  is a nonempty finite set of elements called *vertices*, and  $E$  is a multiset of unordered pairs of (not necessarily distinct) elements of  $V$  called *edges*. Note that this definition of graph permits the existence of loops and multiple edges.

Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . According to Stanley [Sta73], a *magic labeling* of  $G$  of index  $r$  is an assignment  $L : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of a non-negative integer label to each edge of  $G$  such that for each vertex  $v$  of  $G$  the sum of the labels of all edges incident to  $v$  is  $r$  (counting each loop at  $v$  once only). We denote by  $H_G(r)$  the number of magic labelings of  $G$  of index  $r$ .

Let  $K_m$  denote the complete graph on  $m$  vertices, i.e., the graph with  $m$  vertices in which every pair of distinct vertices are adjacent, and let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $K_m$  by adding one loop to each of its vertices. If  $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$  is a symmetric magic  $r$ -square of order  $m$ , then we assign  $a_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) to the edge of  $G$  joining vertex  $i$  with vertex  $j$  and assign  $a_{ii}$  to the loop around vertex  $i$ . This gives a magic labeling of  $G$  of index  $r$ . In this way we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the magic labelings of  $G$  of index  $r$  and the symmetric magic  $r$ -squares of order  $m$ , and therefore  $S_m(r) = H_G(r)$ .

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary graph. Suppose the vertices of  $G$  are numbered  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and its edges are numbered  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The *incidence matrix*  $M$  of  $G$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is 1 if vertex  $i$  is incident to edge  $j$ , and 0 otherwise. Suppose  $L : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a mapping assigning a label  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}$  to edge  $j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $\beta$  be the

column  $n$ -vector whose  $j$ th coordinate is  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $L$  is a magic labeling of index  $r$  if and only if  $\beta$  satisfies the system of linear diophantine equations

$$M\beta = re,$$

where  $e$  is the column  $m$ -vector whose coordinates are all 1.

In general, a system of linear diophantine equations is of the form

$$M\beta = \alpha, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $M$  is an  $m \times n$  integer matrix,  $\alpha$  is an integer column  $m$ -vector and one seeks solutions  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , the set of integer column  $n$ -vectors. For a given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ , we denote by  $t(\alpha|M)$  the number of non-negative integer solutions  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  to the system (1.2) of linear diophantine equations. Thus, from the above discussion we see that  $H_G(r) = t(re|M)$ .

The function  $t(\cdot|M) : \alpha \mapsto t(\alpha|M)$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ ) was introduced by Dahmen and Micchelli in [DM83]. They pointed out that  $t(\cdot|M)$  is the discrete counterpart of the (multivariate) truncated power introduced by Dahmen in [Dah80]. Following their lead, we call  $t(\cdot|M)$  the *discrete truncated power associated with  $M$* . It was Dahmen and Micchelli [DM88] who first revealed the close relationship between linear diophantine equations and discrete truncated powers. Thus the theory of multivariate splines developed in the past decade can be applied to linear diophantine equations.

## 2. Discrete Truncated Powers

Let  $M$  be an  $m \times n$  integer matrix. The columns of  $M$  are integer vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the  $m$ -dimensional real linear space. We use the same letter  $M$  to denote the multiset of the column vectors of  $M$ . Throughout this section we assume that  $M$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and the convex hull of  $M$  does not contain the origin. The latter condition guarantees that  $t(\alpha|M)$  is finite for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ . For a multiset  $Y$  of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we denote by  $\#Y$  the number of elements of  $Y$ , by  $\text{span}(Y)$  the subspace spanned by the vectors in  $Y$ , and by  $\text{cone}(Y)$  the cone  $\{\sum_{y \in Y} a_y y : a_y \geq 0 \text{ for all } y\}$ . Note that if (1.2) has a solution  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , then  $\alpha$  must lie in  $\text{cone}(M)$ . In other words,  $t(\alpha|M) = 0$  for  $\alpha \notin \text{cone}(M)$ .

Let  $S$  denote the linear space of all mappings from  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  to the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . An element of  $S$  is called a sequence. Given  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ , the backward difference operator  $\nabla_y$  is defined by the rule

$$\nabla_y f := f - f(\cdot - y), \quad f \in S.$$

The following difference formula was given in [DM88]:

$$\nabla_y t(\cdot|M) = t(\cdot|M \setminus y) \quad \text{for } y \in M, \quad (2.1)$$

and this can be proved by a simple combinatorial argument. It follows from (2.1) that

$$\nabla_Y t(\cdot|M) = t(\cdot|M \setminus Y) \quad \text{for } Y \subseteq M \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\nabla_Y := \prod_{y \in Y} \nabla_y$ .

The difference formula (2.2) motivates us to define two sets. The first is the set  $\mathcal{Y}(M)$  consisting of those submultisets  $Y$  of  $M$  for which  $M \setminus Y$  does not span  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The second set  $c(M)$  is the union of  $\text{span}(M \setminus Y)$  where  $Y$  runs over  $\mathcal{Y}(M)$ . A connected component of  $\text{cone}(M) \setminus c(M)$ , according to [DM88], is called an *M-cone*. Let  $\Omega$  be an *M-cone*. Then for any  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}(M)$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega - \text{cone}(M \setminus Y)$ , we have  $\alpha \notin \text{cone}(M \setminus Y)$ , because  $\Omega$  is disjoint from  $\text{cone}(M \setminus Y)$ , and therefore  $t(\alpha|M \setminus Y) = 0$ . This and (2.2) together yield

$$\nabla_Y t(\alpha|M) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m \cap (\Omega - \text{cone}(M \setminus Y)).$$

Thus we are led to the following system of linear partial difference equations for  $f \in S$ :

$$\nabla_Y f = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}(M).$$

The solutions to this system of difference equations form a linear subspace of  $S$ , which we shall denote by  $\nabla(M)$ . The space  $\nabla(M)$  was first introduced by Dahmen and Micchelli in [DM85]. The following theorem is a modification of [DM88, Theorem 3.1], which is important to our study of discrete truncated powers. Also see [Jia93a].

**Theorem 2.** *For any *M-cone*  $\Omega$ , there exists a unique element  $f_\Omega \in \nabla(M)$  such that  $f_\Omega$  agrees with  $t(\cdot|M)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^m \cap \overline{\Omega}$ , where  $\overline{\Omega}$  denotes the closure of  $\Omega$ .*

Given  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^m$ , we denote by  $\theta^{(0)}$  the sequence defined by

$$\alpha \mapsto \theta^\alpha = \theta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \theta_m^{\alpha_m} \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m.$$

For an integer vector  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ ,  $\nabla_y \theta^{(0)} = 0$  if and only if  $\theta^y = 1$ . This motivates us to consider the multiset

$$M_\theta := \{y \in M : \theta^y = 1\}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is easily seen that  $\theta^{(0)} \in \nabla(M)$  if and only if  $M_\theta$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let

$$A(M) := \{\theta \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^m : \text{span}(M_\theta) = \mathbb{R}^m\}. \quad (2.4)$$

The structure of  $\nabla(M)$  was clarified by Dahmen and Micchelli in [DM85] as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *A sequence  $f \in \nabla(M)$  if and only if it has the form*

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{\theta \in A(M)} \theta^\alpha p_\theta(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m$$

where  $p_\theta$  is some polynomial in  $m$  variables of degree  $\leq \#M_\theta - m$  for each  $\theta \in A(M)$ .

If  $M$  is the incidence matrix of a graph  $G$ , then the set  $A(M)$  as defined in (2.4) has nice properties as shown in the following theorem (see [Jia93b]).

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $m$  vertices and let  $M$  be its incidence matrix. If the column vectors of  $M$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then*

$$\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in A(M) \implies \theta_j = 1 \text{ or } -1 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The proof of this theorem is based on the observation that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the edges of  $G$  and the columns of  $M$ . Let  $e_i$  denote the  $i$ th column of the  $m \times m$  identity matrix and let  $e_{ij} = e_i + e_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ . Suppose the vertices of  $G$  are labeled as  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ . Then a loop around  $v_i$  corresponds to  $e_i$ , and an edge joining  $v_i$  with  $v_j$  corresponds to  $e_{ij}$ . From the definition (2.3) of  $M_\theta$  we find that  $e_i \in M_\theta$  implies  $\theta_i = 1$ , while  $e_{ij} \in M_\theta$  implies  $\theta_i \theta_j = 1$ . Let  $G_\theta$  be the subgraph of  $G$  which consists of all vertices of  $G$  and all the edges of  $G$  corresponding to the column vectors of  $M_\theta$ . Then the incidence matrix of  $G_\theta$  is  $M_\theta$ . From the above discussion we see that if  $G_\theta$  contains a loop around  $v_i$ , then  $\theta_i = 1$ , and if  $G_\theta$  contain an edge joining  $v_i$  with  $v_j$ , then  $\theta_i \theta_j = 1$ , i.e.,  $\theta_j = \theta_i^{-1}$ . Furthermore, if there is a path in  $G_\theta$  of length  $k$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ , then

$$\theta_j = \begin{cases} \theta_i, & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \theta_i^{-1}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

It is easily seen that the column vectors of  $M$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m$  if and only if any connected component of  $G$  is not bipartite. Let  $K$  be a connected component of  $G_\theta$ . Since  $M_\theta$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $K$  is not bipartite; hence  $K$  contains a circuit of length  $k$  with  $k$  being an odd integer. This circuit passes through a vertex, say  $v_i$ . Then by (2.5) we have  $\theta_i = \theta_i^{-1}$ , since  $k$  is odd. It follows that  $\theta_i = 1$  or  $-1$ . Let  $v_j$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $K$ . Since  $K$  is connected, there is a path in  $K$  from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ . By (2.5) we have  $\theta_j = \theta_i$  or  $\theta_j = \theta_i^{-1}$ . This shows that  $\theta_j = 1$  or  $-1$  for any vertex  $v_j$  in  $K$ . Evidently, this conclusion is valid for any vertex in  $G_\theta$ .

### 3. Symmetric Magic Squares

**Theorem 5.** Equality holds in (1.1) for all  $m$ .

**Sketch of Proof.** The cases  $m = 1$  and  $m = 2$  are trivial. In what follows we assume that  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $G$  be the complete  $m$ -graph with a loop attached to each of its vertices, and let  $M$  be its incidence matrix. Then  $\#M = n := \binom{m+1}{2}$  and

$$S_m(r) = H_G(r) = t(re|M), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Obviously,  $e$  lies in  $\text{cone}(M)$ , hence there exists an  $M$ -cone  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}$  contains  $e$ . By Theorem 2, one can find an element  $f_\Omega \in \nabla(M)$  such that  $f_\Omega$  agrees with  $t(\cdot|M)$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Since  $\bar{\Omega}$  contains  $re$  for all  $r \geq 0$ , we have

$$t(re|M) = f_\Omega(re), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

By Theorem 3,  $f_\Omega$  has a decomposition of the form:

$$f_\Omega(\alpha) = \sum_{\theta \in A(M)} \theta^\alpha p_\theta(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^m \quad (3.3)$$

where  $p_\theta$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq \#M_\theta - m$  for each  $\theta \in A(M)$ . By Theorem 4,  $\theta \in A(M)$  implies that all the coordinates of  $\theta$  are either 1 or  $-1$ . Let  $A_+(M)$  denote the set of those elements of  $A(M)$  which have an even number of negative coordinates and let  $A_-(M) := A(M) \setminus A_+(M)$ . It follows from (3.1)–(3.3) that

$$S_m(r) = P_m(r) + (-1)^r Q_m(r) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$P_m(r) = \sum_{\theta \in A_+(M)} p_\theta(re) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_m(r) = \sum_{\theta \in A_-(M)} p_\theta(re). \quad (3.4)$$

Evidently,  $e \in A_+(M)$  and  $M_e = M$ . By [DM88, Proposition 5.3], the leading part of  $p_e$  agrees with  $T(\cdot|M)$  on  $\Omega$ , where  $T(\cdot|M)$  is the truncated power associated with  $M$ . From this fact we can prove that  $p_e(re)$  is a polynomial in  $r$  of exact degree  $\#M - m$  with a positive leading coefficient. Moreover, for any  $\theta \in A_+(M) \setminus \{e\}$ , it can be easily proved that  $M_\theta \neq M$ . Hence by Theorem 3 we have

$$\deg p_\theta \leq \#M_\theta - m < \#M - m \quad \text{for } \theta \in A_+(M) \setminus \{e\}.$$

This together with (3.4) shows that the exact degree of  $P_m$  is  $n - m = \binom{m}{2}$ .

Now let  $\theta \in A_-(M)$  and consider  $t(\cdot|M_\theta)$ . By an argument similar to that used before we have

$$t(re|M_\theta) = P_\theta(r) + (-1)^r Q_\theta(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$P_\theta(r) = \sum_{\xi \in A_+(M_\theta)} q_\xi(re) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\theta(r) = \sum_{\xi \in A_-(M_\theta)} q_\xi(re) \quad (3.6)$$

and  $q_\xi$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq \#(M_\theta \cap M_\xi) - m$  for each  $\xi \in A(M_\theta)$ . Evidently,  $e \in A_+(M_\theta)$  and  $\theta \in A_-(M_\theta)$ . From (3.6) we can prove that  $q_e(re)$  and  $P_\theta(r)$  have the same leading term, and  $q_\theta(re)$  and  $Q_\theta(r)$  have the same leading term. Since  $\theta$  has an odd number of negative coordinates, we can show that  $t(re|M_\theta) = 0$  for odd  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $P_\theta = Q_\theta$  by (3.5). Thus  $q_\theta(re)$  and  $q_e(re)$  have the same leading term. But  $q_e(re)$  is a polynomial in  $r$  of exact degree  $\#M_\theta - m$  with a positive leading coefficient, and therefore so is  $q_\theta(re)$ . On the other hand,  $t(\cdot|M_\theta) = \nabla_{M \setminus M_\theta} t(\cdot|M)$  by (2.2). This formula relates  $p_\theta$  with  $q_\theta$ , so we can prove that for each  $\theta \in A_-(M)$ ,  $p_\theta(re)$  is a polynomial in  $r$  of exact degree  $\#M_\theta - m$  with a positive leading coefficient. Thus by (3.4) we have

$$\deg Q_m = \max\{\#M_\theta : \theta \in A_-(M)\} - m.$$

If  $m$  is odd, then the maximum of  $\#M_\theta$  for  $\theta \in A_-(M)$  is achieved when  $\theta = -e$ . In this case,  $\#M_{-e} = \binom{m}{2}$ . If  $m$  is even, then the maximum of  $\#M_\theta$  for  $\theta \in A_-(M)$  is achieved when all but one of the coordinates of  $\theta$  are  $-1$ . In this case, the maximum of  $\#M_\theta$  for  $\theta \in A_-(M)$  is  $\binom{m-1}{2} + 1$ . This shows that equality holds in (1.1) for all  $m$ .

The details of the proof of Theorem 5 will appear in [Jia93b].

### References

- [ADG66] H. Anand, V. C. Dumir and H. Gupta, *A combinatorial distribution problem*, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 757–770.
- [Car66] L. Carlitz, *Enumeration of symmetric arrays*, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 771–782.
- [Dah80] W. Dahmen, *On multivariate B-splines*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 17 (1980), 179–191.
- [DM83] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, *Recent progress in multivariate splines*, in Approximation Theory IV, C. K. Chui, L. L. Schumaker and J. Ward (eds.), Academic Press, New York (1983), 27–121.
- [DM85] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, *On the solution of certain systems of partial difference equations and linear dependence of translates of box splines*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), 305–320.

- [DM88] W. Dahmen and C. A. Micchelli, *The number of solutions to linear diophantine equations and multivariate splines*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988), 509–532.
- [Ehr77] E. Ehrhart, *Polynômes arithmétiques et Méthode des Polyèdres en Combinatoire*, ISNM 35, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1977.
- [Jia93a] R. Q. Jia, *Multivariate discrete splines and linear diophantine equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear (1993).
- [Jia93b] R. Q. Jia, *Symmetric magic squares and multivariate splines*, preprint (1993).
- [Mac15] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, 2 vols., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1915 and 1916; reprinted in one volume by Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- [Sta73] R. Stanley, *Linear homogeneous diophantine equations and magic labelings of graphs*, Duke Math. J. **40** (1973), 607–632.
- [Sta76] R. Stanley, *Magic labelings of graphs, symmetric magic squares, systems of parameters, and Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Duke. Math. J. **43** (1976), 511–531.
- [Sta83] R. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [Sta86] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 1, Wadsworth, Belmont, California, 1986.
- [Ste66] B. M. Stewart, *Magic graphs*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **18** (1966), 1031–1059.
- [Wil85] R. J. Wilson, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Third edition, Longman, New York, 1985.

**Non-crossing two-rowed arrays and summations for Schur functions**  
(Summary)

C. KRATTENTHALER

Institut für Mathematik der Universität Wien,  
Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 Wien, Austria.  
e-mail: KRATT@PAP.UNIVIE.AC.AT

**ABSTRACT.** In the first part of this paper (sections 1,2) we give combinatorial proofs for determinantal formulas for sums of Schur functions “in a strip” that were originally obtained by Gessel, respectively Goulden, using algebraic methods. The combinatorial analysis involves certain families of two-rowed arrays, asymmetric variations of Sagan and Stanley’s skew Knuth-correspondence, and variations of one of Burge’s correspondences. In the third section we specialize the parameters in these determinants to compute norm generating functions for tableaux in a strip. In case we can get rid of the determinant we obtain multifold summations that are basic hypergeometric series for  $A_r$  and  $C_r$  respectively. In some cases these sums can be evaluated. Thus in particular, an alternative proof for refinements of the Bender-Knuth and MacMahon (ex-)Conjectures, which were first obtained in another paper by the author, is provided. Although there are some parallels with the original proof, perhaps this proof is easier accessible. Finally, in section 4, we record further applications of our methods to the enumeration of paths with respect to weighted turns.

**1. Generating functions for non-crossing two-rowed arrays.** We consider two-rowed arrays  $P = (p \mid q)$  of the form

$$\begin{matrix} p_{-a} & p_{-a+1} & \cdots & p_{-1} & p_1 & \cdots & p_k \\ & q_1 & \cdots & q_k & q_{-1} & \cdots & q_{-b+1} & q_{-b} \end{matrix}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $a, k, b$  are some nonnegative integers and where the entries  $p_i, q_i$  are positive integers such that both rows of the array are weakly increasing. (To be precise, if  $k = 0$ , i.e. the “middle part” of the array is empty, for a  $c \leq \min\{a, b\}$  we also allow the entries  $p_{-1}, \dots, p_{-c}$  and  $q_{-1}, \dots, q_{-c}$  to be “empty”.) We say that  $P$  is of the type  $(a, b)$  and of the shape  $(a, k, b)$ . If both rows of  $P$  are strictly increasing then we call  $P$  a strict two-rowed array. Given an array  $P_1 = (p^{(1)} \mid q^{(1)})$  of the shape  $(a_1, k_1, b_1)$  and an array  $P_2 = (p^{(2)} \mid q^{(2)})$  of the shape  $(a_2, k_2, b_2)$ , we say that  $P_1$  dominates (resp. strictly dominates)  $P_2$  if the following three conditions hold:

(D1)  $a_1 \leq a_2$  and  $p_l^{(1)} \leq p_l^{(2)}$  (resp.  $p_l^{(1)} < p_l^{(2)}$ ) for all  $l = -1, -2, \dots, -\min\{a_1, a_2\}$ . (By convention, these inequalities are also violated if  $p_l^{(1)}$  should be an “empty” entry.)

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 Revision). Primary 05E05; Secondary 05A10, 05A15, 05A17, 05A30, 05E10, 33D20..

*Key words and phrases.* Tableaux, plane partitions, symmetric functions, Schur functions, generating functions, skew Knuth-correspondence, basic hypergeometric series in  $U(n)$  and  $Sp(n)$ , basic hypergeometric series for  $A_l$  and  $C_l$ .