

# DIS 2001

9th International Workshop  
on Deep Inelastic Scattering  
Bologna, April 22 - May 1, 2001



## Highlights from DIS 2001 - Experimental Results

Frank-Peter Schilling / DESY

- Structure functions
- $\alpha_s$  and  $g(x)$  from jets
- Heavy quarks ( $F_2^c$ ,  $b$  cross section)
- Spin (incl. DVCS)
- Diffraction
- Isolated Leptons Update


DESY Seminar, 08/05/2001

## Structure Functions

- Final 1996/97  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  at medium  $Q^2$  [ZEUS,H1]
- NLO QCD fits to determine  $\alpha_s$  and  $g(x)$  [H1,ZEUS]
- New high  $E_T$  Tevatron jet cross sections [D0]
- New  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  data at very low  $Q^2$  via ISR [H1]
- Longit. structure function  $F_L$  at low and high  $Q^2$  [H1]
- New  $F_2^\nu$  data at low  $x, Q^2$  [CCFR]
- Photon Structure:
  - New LEP data [Opal]
  - High  $E_T$  dijets in photoproduction [H1]

## Inclusive DIS at HERA

Neutral Current:



$$Q^2 = -(k - K')^2$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$$

$$y = Q^2 / s x$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma^{ep}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{x Q^4} \left[ Y_+ F_2 + Y_- \times \bar{F}_3 - Y^2 F_L \right] \\ (Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2)$$

$$F_2 = x \sum_q A_q (q + \bar{q}) \quad [\text{in QPM}]$$


$$\bar{F}_3 = x \sum_q B_q (q - \bar{q}) \quad [\text{parity-violating, high } Q^2 \text{ only}]$$

$F_L$ : Longitudinal Structure Function

- DGLAP equations describe evolution with  $Q^2$

# ZEUS/H1 final 1996/7 $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data

## ZEUS+H1




- 4 orders of magnitude in  $Q^2$

- $x = 6 \cdot 10^{-5} \dots 0.6$

$\Rightarrow$  Good agreement ZEUS - H1

## ZEUS/H1 final 1996/7 $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data

### ZEUS+H1




- Stat. uncertainty  $O(1\%) \rightarrow$  systematics dominate
  - Steep low- $x$  rise
  - Small  $x$ :  $\frac{\partial F_L}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_x \sim \alpha_S \rho_{gg} \otimes g(x)$
- $\Rightarrow$  determine  $\alpha_S, g(x)$   $\nabla$

## H1 and ZEUS NLO QCD fits


H1 [published]	ZEUS [preliminary]
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- use lepton-proton target data only: H1, H1+BCDMS</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- use ZEUS, BCDMS(P+d), E665, NMC (p+d), CCFR (xF3)</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- parameterize <math>xg</math>, <math>V(x)</math>, <math>A(x)</math> (effective valence and sea)</li><li>- 5/4 parameter <math>xg(x)</math> (H1+BCDMS / H1 alone)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- parameterize <math>xg u_v, d_v, xS, x(d - u)</math></li><li>- 3 parameter <math>xg(x)</math></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <math>Q_0^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2</math></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <math>Q_0^2 = 7 \text{ GeV}^2</math></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <math>\alpha_s = 0.115</math></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <math>\alpha_s = 0.1172</math></li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- FFN(3) massive heavy quark scheme (PGF)</li><li>- Careful treatment of point-to-point correlated syst. errors (Pascaud-Zomer)</li><li>- Systematic offsets determined by fit</li><li>- Scale dependence computed <math>\pm 0.005</math></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- VFN (Thorne/Roberts) heavy quark scheme</li><li>- Careful treatment of point-to-point correlated syst. errors (Pascaud-Zomer)</li><li>- Systematic offsets NOT modified by fit</li><li>- No scale dep. evaluated yet</li></ul>

## ZEUS $xg(x)$ (Preliminary)



- at  $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  valence-like gluon

## Comparison: ZEUS and H1 $xg(x)$



- For similar model assumptions ( $g(x)$  param., HQ,  $\alpha_S$ ,  $Q_0^2$ ),  
H1 and ZEUS gluons very similar!
- H1 error smaller [treatment of corr. syst. errors?]

$\alpha_s$  from NLO QCD fits (H1/ZEUS)

$$[\alpha_s(M_\tau) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0031 \text{ world average} \\ \text{S.Bethke}]$$


	ZEUS	H1
$\alpha_s$	0.1172 prelim.	0.1150
errors:	$\pm 0.0008(\text{stat})$ $\pm 0.0054(\text{syst})$	$\pm 0.0017 \text{ (exp)}$ $+0.0009$ $-0.0005 \text{ (model)}$
sum:	0.0055	0.0020
scales:	?	$\approx 0.005$

- Exp. errors: World average precision!
- Dominating uncertainty: Theory (scale)
  - In NNLO:  $\Delta_{\text{scale}} \sim 0.001-2$  [A. Vogt]
    - $\Rightarrow$  Available 2001!
- HERA II: syst. errors  $\approx 0.001$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  High precision QCD

$\Rightarrow$  "Need HERA wgs!" [R.Cashmore]

## High $E_T$ jets in $pp$ (D0)

$$d^2\sigma/dE_T d\eta \text{ (fb/GeV)}$$




⇒ Constrain high  $x$  gluon  
⇒ Being implemented in global fits



Initial state  
Radiation

$\Rightarrow$  smaller  $s \rightarrow$  smaller  $Q^2$  @ same  $\theta_c$

## New $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data at very low $Q^2$ (H1)




- close gap between very low  $Q^2$  and medium  $Q^2$   $\uparrow$
- $\Rightarrow$  access to interesting transition region  $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

## Longitudinal structure function

$$F_L \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \beta_2 \otimes x g(x)$$

### New $F_L$ measurements at low/high $Q^2$ (H1)



• high  $Q^2$ : "Extrapolation method"


• small  $Q^2$ : "Derivative method"

$$\left. \frac{\partial G_F}{\partial \ln y} \right|_{Q^2} = \left. \frac{\partial \bar{F}_2}{\partial \ln y} \right|_{Q^2} - \frac{2y^2/(2-y)}{y^2 + 1} \bar{F}_L - \left. \frac{\partial \bar{F}_L}{\partial \ln y} \right|_{Q^2} \cdot \frac{y^2}{y^2 + 1}$$

## New data $F_2^\nu(x, Q^2)$ at low $x, Q^2$ (CCFR)

[hep-ex/0011094]


CCFR  $F_2(x, Q^2)$



- New measurement at low  $x$  and  $Q^2$
- Now agreement  $\bar{\tau}_2^\nu \leftrightarrow \bar{\tau}_2^\mu$  [NMC, E665] for  $x > 0.0125$

## Photon structure at LEP and HERA

**LEP**




$$p^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$Q^2 \gg p^2$$

$\Rightarrow$  constraints  $q_\gamma$

**HERA**




$$Q^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$$

} high  $P_T$   
jets

$\Rightarrow$  constraints  $q_\gamma + g_\gamma$ !

$$T_2^\gamma = T_2^{\text{point}} + T_2^{\text{hadr.}}$$



$\gamma^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$


pert.



$\gamma^* \rightarrow G \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  ( $10^{PC}=1^{-+}$ )

non-pert.

## Photon Structure: New LEP data




- Consistent with GRV / Sas
- Indication for low- $x$  rise (?)
- Sizeable spread between datasets

## High $E_T$ Dijets in $\gamma p$ (H1)

### Measurement of $\frac{d\sigma}{dx_\gamma}$

Difference between data and theory:



NLO predictions describe the  $x_\gamma$  distribution

(no confirmation of earlier zeus observation)

DIS 2001, S. Ferron, Ecole Polytechnique

10

$\Rightarrow$  Fight to reduce Energy scale uncertainty!

## $\alpha_s$ and $g(x)$ from Jets

- New result:
  - 3-Jet cross sections in DIS [H1]
- New result:
  - $\alpha_s$  from subjet multiplicities [ZEUS]
- Gluon density from jets [H1]
- $\alpha_s$  measurements summary [ZEUS,H1]

Jet production in DIS:

Sensitive to  $\alpha_s \otimes g(x)$

Either: Input  $g(x)$ , extract  $\alpha_s$

Or: Input  $\alpha_s$ , extract  $g(x)$

- Observables:
- Inclusive jets
  - Dijets
  - 3-jets
  - Subjet mult.
  - ...

(n. Wobisch)


$Q^2 = 5 \dots 5000 \text{ GeV}^2$   
 $\Gamma_{\text{3 jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$

## 3-Jet Production in DIS (H1)

**New**

NLO available  
 $(\mathcal{O}(k_S^3))$

measurement with same cut:  
 $M_{\text{3-jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$   
probe PDFs at same  $x \Rightarrow$  cancellation of PDF uncertainties  
comparing data with leading order and with next-to-leading order pQCD



$\Rightarrow$  Sensitivity  
to  $k_S$

$\Rightarrow$  NLO: significant change of shape + good agreement with data

||  $\rightarrow$  small renormalization scale dependence over whole  $Q^2$  range ||

M. Wobisch, DESY


Three-Jet Production in DIS

10

## $\alpha_s$ from subjet multiplicities (ZEUS)

15

Comparison of the DATA with NLO QCD predictions (2)



$Q^2 > 125 \text{ GeV}^2$   
 $E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$   
 incl.  $K_T$  alg.

- The measurements are sensitive to  $\alpha_s$


We extract  $\alpha_s$  using the data with  $E_{T,\text{jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$

[Small hadronization corrections]

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1185 \pm 0.0016(\text{stat.})^{+0.0067}_{-0.0048}(\text{syst.})^{+0.0089}_{-0.0071}(\text{theo.})$$

## $g(x)$ from jets in DIS (H1)


### Determination of $xg(x)$



- Jet cross sections directly sensitive to  $xg(x)$  and  $xq(x)$
- Inclusive DIS ( $F_2$ ) directly sensitive to  $xq(x)$  only
- $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0031$


Direct determination from jets at  $0.01 < x < 0.1$  is consistent with results from global fits

## $\alpha_s$ summary (ZEUS,H1)




## Heavy Quarks

- $D^*$  cross sections and  $F_2^c$  measurements [ZEUS,H1]
- $b$  cross section at LEP [L3,OPAL]
- $b$  cross section in photoproduction and DIS [H1,ZEUS]




New measurement using semileptonic decays:



- Consistent with  $D^*$  analysis
- Described by NLO DGLAP

$F_2^c$  (H1)       $\bar{F}_2^c$  not an observable!  
 $F_2^c$  in the NLO DGLAP scheme      Need extrapolation  
 from  $F_{DIS}$ ?

H1 96-97




- H1 data show stronger scaling viol. @ small  $x$  than predicted by NLO DGLAP
- Better described by CCFTM (angular ordering)  
[L. Loennblad]

- No discrepancy H1-ZEUS if same kinematics regions are compared!

## $b$ cross section at LEP

$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow b \ X$



- NLO QCD too low by factor 3
- 2/3.5 standard deviations


[Similar at Tevatron]

## Production of Open Beauty at HERA

QCD calculations available in NLO

$p_t \approx m_b \rightarrow$  'massive' approach  
( $b$  produced dynamically in hard subprocess)

- $\gamma p$ : 'FMNR' (Frixione et al.)



- DIS: 'HVQDIS' (Harris, Smith)

- necessary ingredient to understand proton structure


- $b$  mass provides hard scale, i.e. good testing ground for pQCD

NLO corrections  
large in both cases

## Open Beauty at HERA(I) : Photoproduction Cross Sections

**new data and new methods →  $\gamma p$  results confirmed and improved**

**ZEUS:** electron  $p_t^{\text{rel}}$  analysis    **H1:** muon lifetime +  $p_t^{\text{rel}}$  analysis



### parton level cross section

$$\sigma_{cp \rightarrow e^+ b\bar{b}X} = (1.6 \pm 0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.5} {}^{+0.2}_{-0.4}) \text{ nb} \quad \sigma_{vis}^{\text{ep} \rightarrow b\bar{b}X \rightarrow \mu X'} = (170 \pm 25) \text{ pb}$$


[ NLO QCD:  $\sigma = (0.64 \pm {}^{+0.14}_{-0.10}) \text{ nb}$  ]    [ NLO QCD:  $\sigma = (104 \pm 17) \text{ pb}$  ]

### visible cross section (comb. with publ. result)

## Beauty production in DIS (H1)

### Open Beauty at HERA (II)

- first measurement in DIS:



$ep \rightarrow b\bar{b}eX \rightarrow \mu X'$  cross section in visible range:


$$\sigma_{vis} = [39 \pm 8 (\text{stat.}) \pm 10 (\text{syst.})] \text{ pb}$$

NLO QCD:  $\sigma = (11 \pm 2) \text{ pb}$

- all measured cross sections above NLO QCD
  - discrepancy theory ↔ data further established
  - now seen in  $ep$ ,  $\gamma p$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $p\bar{p}$  interactions

## Spin


- Status of  $g_1$ 
  - HERMES low  $x$  data [HERMES]  
[valence / sea decomposition]
- Transversity [HERMES]
- DVCS [H1,ZEUS,HERMES]



## Spin: Introduction

Quark number density:  $q(x)$

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 (q(x) + \bar{q}(x))$$



Quark helicity:  $\Delta q(x)$

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 (\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x))$$

Quark transversity:  $\delta q(x)$


$$h_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 (\delta q(x) + \delta \bar{q}(x))$$



Transversity:

- $\delta q$ : distr. of transverse quark spin in transversely polarized nucleon
- $\delta q \neq \Delta q$  due to relativistic and spin orbit effects
- $h_1$  never measured so far, chiral-odd !

## $g_1$ : New HERMES low $x$ data




## Transversity: First results

Single spin asymmetry with long. polarized

target also sensitive to  $\delta q(x) \underline{H_1^{\perp(1),q}(z)}$  *Collins Function*  
*(Transv. pol. frag. func.)*


$$\vec{e} \parallel \vec{p} \neq \vec{\gamma}^* \parallel \vec{p}, \quad S_T \sim 15\%$$

Spin dependence  
of azimuthal  
distr. of leading  
pion




- non-zero asymmetry for  $\pi^+, \pi^0$ ; difference to  $\pi^-$  predicted by Collins (u-quark dominance in valence region)

$\Rightarrow$  Major physics topic in HERMES run II




$X_1 \neq X_2$

> Access to  
generalized  
Iskakov  
PDF's





[see review  
M. Diehl]



- clean process  
- NLO calc.  
available [A. Freitas]

## DVCS (ZEUS and H1)

H1 Cross section measurement (Cont.)




$$\Sigma \sim |DVCS|^2 + |BH|^2 + |BH \cdot DVCS|$$

$\Rightarrow$  Gp. access to amplitude via  $\varphi$  asymmetry!


DVCS (Hermes)

[M. Vincter]



$$A_{LU}(\phi) = \frac{2 \cdot (N^+(\phi) - N^-(\phi))}{\langle |P_l| \rangle \cdot (N^+(\phi) + N^-(\phi))}$$

$N^\pm$  are the normalized yield of events with positive and negative lepton beam helicity states.





[no asymmetry observed if  $M_\gamma \neq m_p$ ]

$\Rightarrow$  Hot topic for HERMES run II !

## Diffraction


- Odderon [H1]
- Factorization breaking?
  - a) p-diss.  $\rho$  meson production [H1]
  - b) Leading baryon production [ZEUS,H1]
- Hard Diffraction in DIS:
  - Event shapes [ZEUS]
  - Dijet production [H1]
  - 3-Jet production [ZEUS]
- Diffractive at the Tevatron [CDF,D0]






## Searching the Odderon (H1)

### THE SIGNATURE




Model: MSU (Stochastic vacuum)  
 [Dosch, Nachtmann, Berger]




⇒ This  $\textcircled{1}$  ruled out!

# Factorization breaking in Diffraction? (H1,ZEUS)

Leading Baryon Production:




ZEUS LPS:




$Q^2$

ZEUS FNC:



$Q^2$

Proton dissociative  $\rho$  production (H1):



Strong rise for  $Q^2 \lesssim 5$  GeV $^2$

- Breaking of Regge factorization!?
- Not observed such pronounced before


## Event shapes in Diffraction (ZEUS)

### Event shapes in diffractive DIS

Proton is tagged using **Leading Proton Spectrometer (LPS)**


$4 < Q^2 < 150 \text{ GeV}^2$	$70 < W < 250 \text{ GeV}$
$0.0003 < x_{IP} < 0.03$	$4 < M_X < 35 \text{ GeV}$
$13.8 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	CMS of hadronic system ( $X$ )

#### Mean thrust value ( $\langle T \rangle$ ) as a function of $M_X$



$$T(\hat{n}) = \frac{\sum_i |\hat{n} \cdot p_i|}{\sum_i |p_i|}$$

( $\hat{n}$  : max  $T(\hat{n})$ )



- $\langle T \rangle$  now agrees with H1  
↳ Definition of HFS changed such that short life time particles such as D+ are decayed.
- $\langle T \rangle$  becomes larger as  $M_X$  increases
- $\langle T \rangle$  in diffraction is smaller than in  $e^+e^-$
- Resolved pomeron model (RAPGAP) describes data  
 $q\bar{q}$  part only is too narrow  $\sim e^+e^-$   
⇒ Importance of  $q\bar{q}g$  contribution in diffraction

# Dijets in Diffractive DIS (H1)


F.-P.Schilling, DESY

Diffractive Jet Production in DIS - Testing QCD Factorization

## Diffractive Gluon Distribution

Dijets directly constrain shape and normalization of  $g^D$ :

H1 Diffractive Dijets




[res.  $\gamma^*$ ,  $J/\psi$  and quark contributions small]

- H1 fit 2: very good agreement with data
- H1 fit 3: overshoots at high  $z_P$
- ACTW-D: too high

⇒ Strong support for fully factorizable diffr. PDF's in DIS which are gluon-dominated with momentum distr. flat in  $z$



Proton rest frame picture:  $q\bar{q}g \gg q\bar{q}$  states

### 3-Jets in Diffractive DIS (ZEUS)




- Most forward jet is broader than most backward jet
- Data are described by the model where  $g$  is emitted to the  $J/\psi$  side and one of  $q$  is emitted to the  $\gamma$  side.  
⇒ Supports the picture

## Diffractive dijet production:




Tevatron Summary:



HERA <> TEVATRON: Factorization badly broken!


## Isolated Leptons Update

### Standard Model $\ell + P_T^{miss}$ events



- W mostly photo-produced  $\rightarrow$  low(ish)  $P_T$   
 $P_T^W$  is measured from hadrons =  $P_T^X$
- Standard Model (QCD LO)  $\sigma(ep \rightarrow eW^\pm X) \simeq 1.2 pb^{-1}$
- HERA experiments accumulated  $120 pb^{-1}/exp.$   
 $\sim 30$  events already produced in each detector

## Isolated Leptons Update (H1)



Combined  
 $e^+ \mu$

New Data since Osaka: extra 20 pb<sup>-1</sup>  $e^+ p$  @  $\sqrt{s} = 320$  GeV  
4 new W candidates in e-channel ( $1e^+$  @  $P_T^X > 25$  GeV)

$e^+ p$

H1 94-00 $e + p$ 101.6 pb <sup>-1</sup>	Electrons	Muons	$e + \mu$ combined
$P_T^X > 0$ GeV	10 / $7.9 \pm 1.9$ $6_{\text{Osaka}}$	8 / $2.6 \pm 0.7$	18 / $10.5 \pm 2.5$ (only W 8.2)
$P_T^X > 12$ GeV	5 / $2.6 \pm 0.7$ $4_{\text{Osaka}}$	8 / $2.6 \pm 0.7$	13 / $5.1 \pm 1.3$ (only W 4.2)
$P_T^X > 25$ GeV	4 / $1.3 \pm 0.3$ $3_{\text{Osaka}}$	6 / $1.5 \pm 0.4$	10 / $2.8 \pm 0.7$ (only W 2.3)
$P_T^X > 40$ GeV	2 / $0.4 \pm 0.1$ $2_{\text{Osaka}}$	4 / $0.6 \pm 0.2$	6 / $1.0 \pm 0.3$ (only W 0.93)

• 1 new event at  $P_T^X > 25$

@ high  $P_T^X > 25$  GeV :  $10/2.82 \pm 0.73$

94-97 data 36.5 pb<sup>-1</sup> 5 events  $5\mu$  ( $2^+, 2^-, 1^{\prime}$ )

99-00 data 65.1 pb<sup>-1</sup> 5 events 4  $e^+$  and 1  $\mu^+$

$e^- p$

H1 98-99 $e^- p$ ( 13.6 pb <sup>-1</sup> )	Data: 0	SM: 1.78
(e: $1.46 \pm 0.30$ $\mu$ : $0.32 \pm 0.09$ )		

## Isolated Leptons Update (ZEUS)

ZEUS prel. 1994-00 $e^{\pm} p$ $130 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	Electrons Observed/Expected (W)	Muons Observed/Expected (W)
$P_T^X > 25 \text{ GeV}$	1 / $1.14 \pm 0.06$ (1.10)	1 / $1.29 \pm 0.16$ (0.95)
$P_T^X > 40 \text{ GeV}$	0 / $0.46 \pm 0.03$ (0.46)	0 / $0.50 \pm 0.08$ (0.41)

$\Rightarrow$  • H1 still sees excess

• ZEUS does not

$\Rightarrow$  Upward or downward fluctuation?

$\Rightarrow$  HERA II

## Personal Highlights

- New  $F_L, \bar{F}_L$  datasets  
at medium  $Q^2 \Rightarrow g(x), \alpha_s$
- low  $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$  data  
 $\Rightarrow$  transition region NNLO
- Jets:
  - 3 jets
  - Subjets  $\Rightarrow \alpha_s$
- b cross sections
- Vertex factorization  
breaking in diffraction

## DISclaimer / Acknowledgements

- It was impossible to cover everything!
- Not covered today:
  - Vector mesons
  - High  $Q^2$  NC/CC cross sections
  - RP-SUSY, Leptoquarks, excited fermions, extra dimensions,...
  - ...
- Sorry to all whose results were not shown
- Thanks to all who helped by providing plots / transparencies
- Special thanks to:
  - Halina Abramowicz
  - Rosario Nania
  - Peter Schleper
  - Manuella Vincter
  - Jim Whitmore
- and the organizers of DIS 2001 !