Lean 4 Cheatsheet

If a tactic is not recognized, write import Mathlib.Tactic at the top of your file.

Logical symbol	Appears in goal	Appears in hypothesis
\forall (for all)	intro x	apply h or specialize h x
\rightarrow (implies)	intro h	apply h or specialize h1 h2
$\neg \text{ (not)}$	intro h	apply h or contradiction
\leftrightarrow (if and only if)	constructor	rw [h] or rw [← h] or apply h.1 or apply h.2
\wedge (and)	constructor	obtain $\langle h1, h2 \rangle$:= h
\exists (there exists)	use x	obtain $\langle x, hx \rangle$:= h
∨ (or)	left or right	obtain h1 h2 := h
a = b (equality)	rfl or ext	rw [h] or rw [← h]
True	trivial	_
False	_	contradiction

Tactic	Effect
	Applying Lemmas
exact expr	prove the current goal exactly by $expr$.
apply expr	prove the current goal by applying $expr$ to some arguments.
refine expr	like exact, but expr can contain ?_ that will be turned into a new goal.
convert expr	prove the goal by showing that it is equal to the type of $expr$.
	Context manipulation
have $h : proposition := expr$	add a new hypothesis h of type proposition. A Do not use for data!
have h : proposition := by	add hypothesis h after proving it using tactics. A Do not use for data!
$\operatorname{set} \mathbf{x} : type := expr$	add an abbreviation x with value $expr$.
clear h	remove hypothesis h from the context.
rename_i x h	rename the last inaccessible names with the given names.
show $expr$	Replaces the goal by expr, if they are equal by definition.
	Rewriting and simplifying
rw [expr]	in the goal, replace (all occurrences of) the left-hand side of $expr$ by its right-hand side. $expr$ must be an equality, iff statement or definition.
$rw [\leftarrow expr]$	\dots rewrites using $expr$ from right-to-left.
rw [expr] at h	rewrite in hypothesis h.
nth_rw n [expr]	rewrite only the n -th occurrence of the rewrite rule $expr$.
simp	simplify the goal using all lemmas tagged @[simp] and basic reductions.
simp at h	simplify in hypothesis h.
simp [*, expr]	\dots also simplify with all hypotheses and $expr$.
simp only [expr]	\dots only simplify with $expr$ and basic reductions (not with simp-lemmas).
simp?	let Lean speed up simp by specifying which lemmas were used.
simp_rw [expr1,]	like rw, but uses simp only at each step.
simp_all	repeatedly simplify the goal and all hypothesis using all hypotheses.
norm_num	simplify numerical expressions by calculating.
norm_cast	simplify the expression by moving casts (\uparrow) outwards.
push_cast	push casts inwards.
conv => conv-tac	apply rewrite rules to only part of the goal. Use congr, skip, ext, lhs, rhs, to navigate to the desired subexpression. See TPIL.
split_ifs	case split on every occurrence of if h then $expr$ else $expr$ in the goal.

	Reasoning with equalities, inequalities, and other relations
${\tt calc}\; a = b := {\tt by}\; tac$	perform a calculation
$_ \le c := $ by tac	♥ after writing "calc _" Lean can generate a basic calc-block for you.
$_{-} < d := $ by tac	after a by shift-click on a subterm in the goal to create a new step.
rfl	prove the current goal by reflexivity.
symm	swap a symmetric relation.
trans expr	split a transitive relation into two parts with $expr$ in the middle.
subst h	if h equates a variable with a value, substitute the value for the variable.
ext	prove an equality in a specified type (e.g. functions).
apply_fun $expr$ at h	apply $expr$ to both sides of the (in)equality h.
linear_combination	prove an equality by specifying it as a linear combination of hypotheses.
congr	prove an equality using congruence rules.
gcongr	prove an inequality using congruence rules.
positivity	prove goals of the form $0 < x$, $0 \le x$ and $x \ne 0$.
bound	prove inequalities based on the expression structure.
omega	solve linear arithmetic problems over $\mathbb N$ or $\mathbb Z.$
linarith	prove linear (in)equalities from the hypotheses.
nlinarith	stronger variant of linarith that can solve some nonlinear inequalities.
	Reasoning techniques
exfalso	replace the current goal by False.
by_contra h	proof by contradiction; adds the negation of the goal as hypothesis h.
push_neg or push_neg at h	push negations into quantifiers and connectives in the goal (or in h).
by_cases h : proposition	case-split on <i>proposition</i> .
choose f h using $expr$	extract a function from a forall-exists statement <i>expr</i> .
lift n to type using h	lifts a variable to $type$ (e.g. \mathbb{N}) using side-condition \mathbf{h} .
zify / qify / rify	shift an (in)equality to $\mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{R}$.
induction n with	prove a goal by induction on n.
\mid zero => tac	1
\mid succ n ih => tac	♀ after writing "induction n" Lean can generate the cases for you.
	Searching
exact?	search for a single lemma that closes the goal using the current hypotheses.
apply?	gives a list of lemmas that can apply to the current goal.
rw?	gives a list of lemmas that can be used to rewrite the current goal.
have? using h1, h2	try to find facts that can be concluded by using both h1 and h2.
hint	run a few common tactics on the goal, reporting which one succeeded.
	General automation
<pre>ring / noncomm_ring / module field_simp / abel / group</pre>	prove the goal by using the axioms of a commutative ring $/$ ring $/$ module $/$ field $/$ abelian group $/$ group.
aesop	simplify the goal, and use various techniques to prove the goal.
tauto	prove logical tautologies.
decide	run a decision procedure to prove the goal (if it is decidable).
	Misc
swap	swap the first two goals.
${ t pick_goal} \; n$	move goal n to the front.
all_goals tac	apply tac to all goals.
try tac	apply tac only if it succeeds.
sorry	admit the current goal.

Domain-specific tactics

fin_cases h split a hypothesis h into finitely many cases.

interval_cases n if split the goal into cases for each of the possible values for n.

compute_degree prove (in)equalities about the degree of a polynomial

monicity prove that a polynomial is monic

fun_prop prove that a function satisfies a property (continuity, measurability, ...).

measurability prove that a set or function is measurable.

filter_upwards [h1, h2] Show that an Eventually goal follows from the given hypotheses.

Legend

 $\mathbf{\hat{V}}$ describes a code action for this tactic.

requires internet access.

Usage note

This is a quick overview of the most common tactics in Lean with only a short description. To learn more about a tactic or learn its precise syntax or variants, consult its docstring. You can use #help tactic tac to find the full syntax, variants and more information about tac. Use #help tactic to list all imported tactics.

Some useful commands

Some commands also work as tactics!

#lint run linters to find common mistakes in the code *above* this command.
#where print current opened namespaces, universes, variables and options.

#help tactic tac find information about tac.

#help category list all tactics/commands/attributes/options/notations.