



Departamento de
Economía
Universidad de Concepción

The Impact of Environmental Variability on Fishers' Harvest Decisions in Chile

Using a Multi-Species Approach

Felipe J. Quezada-Escalona
Encuentro EfD Chile 2025

Big picture

- Marine resource distribution is changing due to climate variability (Poloczanska, Brown, Sydeman et al., 2013).
- Thus, harvest levels would be affected by climate variability (Quezada, Tommasi, Frawley et al., 2023)

Why a Multi-Species Model?

- Diversification improves income stability and climate resilience (Kasperski and Holland, 2013; Finkbeiner, 2015)
- Fishers respond to change by:
 - Maintaining the current strategy
 - **Reallocating effort to other species/areas (Gonzalez-Mon, Bodin, Lindkvist et al., 2021)**
 - Exiting the fishery (Powell, Levine, and Ordonez-Gauger, 2022)

Relevance

- Under multispecies harvesting is not straightforward to study fisher harvest decisions
 - Responses to availability vary by (i) port infrastructure, (ii) market access, and (iii) regulations ([Powell, Levine, and Ordóñez-Gauger, 2022](#))
 - Different fishers, different choices ([Jardine, Fisher, Moore et al., 2020](#); [Zhang and Smith, 2011](#))
- Understand fishers' adaptive capacity
 - Inform climate-resilient fisheries policies in Chile
- Contribute to the sparse local multi-species economic modeling literature in Chile
 - See [Peña-Torres, Dresdner, and Vasquez \(2017\)](#) for ENSO effects in Jack Mackerel fishery using discrete choice.

Case Study: Chile's Small Pelagic Fishery (SPF)

- Anchoveta, Jack mackerel, Sardine
- ~94% of national catch (SUBPESCA, 2020)
- Climate variability will impact:
 - Species composition
 - Prices
 - Trip cost
 - Total annual trips
 - Catch levels

Research Questions

- How will **fishing decisions, catch levels, and prices** evolve under different climate scenarios?
- How do fishers **substitute between species**?

Hypotheses

- Reduced availability → Switch if expected revenue > expected cost in other fishery
- Otherwise → Decrease effort or exit
- Behavior is **heterogeneous**:
 - Geography
 - Gear type (Reimer, Abbott, and Wilen, 2017)

Based on **Kasperski (2015)**:

1. Estimate stock dynamics
2. Estimate trip-level costs
3. Estimate annual trips
4. Estimate inverse demand
5. Simulate climate change effects on profits/harvest

Requested (2012–2024)

- Stock abundance
- Annual landings
- Trip-level data
- Ex-vessel prices

Retrieving from Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP)

- Sea Surface Temperature (historical and projections)
- Chlorophyll (historical and projections)
- Salinity (historical and projections)
- Wind Speed (historical and projections)
- Wave height (historical and projections)
- Precipitations (historical and projections)

Note: Requested in lower resolution to Fabian Tapia (Oceanographic, UdeC)

To Be Requested

- Crew wages (maybe INE?)
- Fuel prices
- Vessel permits
- TAC
- Quota prices (auction/secondary market?)

$$x_{i,y+1} + h_{iy} = \underbrace{(1 + r_i)x_{iy} + \eta_i x_{iy}^2}_{R_i(x_{iy})} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i}^{n-1} \alpha_{ij} x_{iy} x_{jy}}_{I_i(x_y)} + \rho_i Env_{iy} + \varepsilon_{iy} \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- where:
 - x_{iy} is the fish stock by species $i = 1, \dots, n$ in year y , n is the total number of species,
 - h_{iy} is the annual harvest of species i on year y ,
 - r_i is the intrinsic growth rate of the resource i ,
 - η_i is a density-dependent factor related to the carrying capacity,
 - α_{ij} are the interaction parameters between species.
 - Env_{iy} includes environmental covariates (SST and chlorophyll)
- System of n growth equations can be estimated simultaneously using SUR (¿endogeneity?)
 - SST and CHL improves model ($F = 1.908$; p -value = 0.07).

Base model

$$C_{vg} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+M+k} \alpha_{g,\mathbf{X}_i} \mathbf{X}_{ivg} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+M+k} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+M+k} \alpha_{g,\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_j} \mathbf{X}_{ivg} \mathbf{X}_{jvg}$$

where:

- $C_{vg} = wz_{vg}^*$ is the total cost incurred by vessel $v = 1, \dots, V_g$ conditional on gear used $g = 1, \dots, G$:
 - z_{vg}^* is the optimal quantity of input used, (e.g., crew members, time spent at sea, distance traveled?)
 - w is a matrix of variable input prices,
- $\mathbf{X}_{vg} = [w; h_{vg}; x; Z_v]$ is a matrix of explanatory variables, and \mathbf{X}_{ivg} represents the i th column of the \mathbf{X}_{vg} :
 - h_{vg} is a matrix of harvest quantities,
 - x is a matrix of given stock levels of the species of interest, and
 - Z_v is a matrix of given vessel characteristics.

Model with the environment

To link this function to climate variability

- Include additional environmental variables Env to \mathbf{X}_{vg}
 - e.g., wind intensity and wave conditions in each trip at the harvest location, upon data availability.
- Therefore, the augmented X_{vg} matrix becomes $\mathbf{X}'_{vg} = [w; h_{vg}; x; Z_v; Env]$.
- The model can be estimated with **SUR**.

The number of trips a vessel will take in a given year for each gear type used is assumed to follow a Poisson distribution [Kasperski \(2015\)](#):

$$Pr [T_{vgy}^* = t_v] = \frac{\exp^{-\exp(U'_{vg}\beta_g)} \exp(U'_{vg}\beta_g)^{t_v}}{t_v!}$$

where

- $U_{vg} = [p, w, h_{vg}, \bar{q}, Z_{vg}]$ is a matrix of explanatory variables,
- β_g is a vector of coefficients to be estimated,
- t_v is the number of trips taken by vessel v using gear type g in year y , and
- \bar{q} is the annual quota level.

Additionally, we can add the accumulation of *bad weather days*? as an explanatory variable to incorporate weather conditions into this decision, thus: - $U_{vg} = [p, w, h_{vg}, \bar{q}, Z_{vg}, Env]$

- Other variables? e.g., state dependency?

The price of each species is modeled using an **inverse demand model**. The price of a species i in year y is the following:

$$p_{iy} = \sum_j^n \gamma_j p_{j,y-1} + \gamma_{h_i} h_{iy} + x' \beta + \epsilon_{iy}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 2, \dots, n, i \neq j.$$

- The system can be estimated using 2SLS, instrumenting harvest with climate variables and other cost shifters.
 - Undergrad student analyzing the option to estimate a supply-demand system with 3SLS for each species (6 equations simultaneously?)
- Other variables for the demand?
- Endogeneity of substitute prices?

Maybe then see if we need to estimate a AR(1) model?? (**HELP LEO!!!!**):

$$p_{iy} = \gamma_i p_{i,y-1} + \sum_j^n \gamma_j p_{j,y-1} + \gamma_{h_i} h_{iy} + x' \beta + \epsilon_{iy}$$

Use models parameters to:

- Conduct numerical optimization for different climate scenarios
- Obtain the optimal **harvest** and **quota** conditional on climate scenario
- Evaluate **profits** and **species substitution**
- I need future projection for climate/environmental variables (?)

Vessel maximization problem

In each year, a vessel maximizes profits by choosing their optimal number of trips T_g and harvest levels per trip $h_{g\tau}$ given a gear type:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{h_{gt}, T_g} \quad & \pi_{vgt} = \sum_{\tau=t}^{T_g} \rho^{\tau} \{P(h)h_{g\tau} - C_g(h_{g\tau}|w, x, Z, Env)\} \quad \tau = t, \dots, T_g \\ \text{s.t} \quad & q_{g,t+1} = \omega * \bar{q} - \sum_{t=1}^t h_{gt} \geq 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, T-1, \quad g = 1, \dots, G \end{aligned}$$

- where:
 - ρ is the intra-annual discount factor,
 - ω is a vector of shares of \bar{q} , and
 - $h_{lt} = 0$ for all $l \neq g$.

Some considerations

- The vector of shares is obtained from historical data on harvest.
- The optimal profit from the maximization problem is $\pi_{vgy}^*(p, w, x, Z, \bar{q}, \omega, Env)$,
 - h_{vgy}^* is the optimal harvest per trip.
 - T_{vgy}^* optimal total number of trips.
- Optimal quota level, per year and by species, is obtained by solving the social-planner optimization problem to maximize the net value of the fishery

- Climate variability affects:
 - **Stock** dynamics
 - **Fishing costs**
 - **Trip frequency**
- Catch composition shifts with climate
- Localized market effects

¡Muchas gracias!

¿Preguntas?

Felipe J. Quezada-Escalona



Departamento de
Economía
Universidad de Concepción

References

 felipequezada.com

(2024). "Counterfactual Modeling of Multispecies Fisheries Outcomes under Market-Based Regulation". In: *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists* 11.3, pp. 755-796. DOI: . eprint: .

(2015). "The role of diversification in dynamic small-scale fisheries: Lessons from Baja California Sur, Mexico". In: *Glob. Environ. Change* 32, pp. 139-152.

(2021). "Spatial diversification as a mechanism to adapt to environmental changes in small-scale fisheries". In: *Environ. Sci. Policy* 116, pp. 246-257.

Kasperski, S. and D. S. Holland (2013). "Income diversification and risk for fishermen". En. In: *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110.6, pp. 2076-2081.

Peña-Torres, J., J. Dresdner, and F. Vasquez (2017). "El Niño and Fishing Location Decisions: The Chilean Straddling Jack Mackerel Fishery". In: *Mar. Resour. Econ.* 32.3, pp. 249-275.

Poloczanska, E. S., C. J. Brown, W. J. Sydeman, et al. (2013). "Global imprint of climate change on marine life". En. In: *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 3.10, pp. 919-925.

Powell, F., A. Levine, and L. Ordonez-Gauger (2022). "Climate adaptation in the market squid fishery: fishermen responses to past variability associated with El Niño Southern Oscillation cycles inform our understanding of adaptive capacity in the face of future climate change". En. In: *Clim. Change* 173.1-2, p. 1.

Quezada, F. J., D. Tommasi, T. H. Frawley, et al. (2023). "Catch as catch can: markets, availability, and fishery closures drive distinct responses among the U.S. West Coast coastal pelagic species fleet segments". En. In: *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*. Just-IN.

Reimer, M. N., J. K. Abbott, and J. E. Wilen (2017). "Fisheries Production: Management Institutions, Spatial Choice, and the Quest for Policy Invariance". In: *Mar. Resour. Econ.* 32.2, pp. 143-168.

SUBPESCA (2020). *Informe Sectorial de Pesca y Acuicultura 2019*. Accessed: 02-04-2025. URL: https://www.subpesca.cl/portal/618/articles-106845_documento.pdf.

Zhang, J. and M. D. Smith (2011). "Heterogeneous Response to Marine Reserve Formation: A Sorting Model approach". In: *Environ. Resour. Econ.* 49.3, pp. 311-325.