



Winsider Seminars & Solutions Inc. — Windows Internals Training & Consulting

PrintDemon: Print Spooler Privilege Escalation, Persistence & Stealth (CVE-2020-1048 & more)

👤 Yarden Shafir & Alex Ionescu ⌚ May 12, 2020 💬 109 Comments

We promised you there would be a Part 1 to **FaxHell**, and with today's Patch Tuesday and [CVE-2020-1048](#), we can finally talk about some of the very exciting technical details of the [Windows Print Spooler](#), and interesting ways it can be used to elevate privileges, bypass EDR rules, gain persistence, and more. Ironically, the Print Spooler continues to be one of the oldest Windows components that still hasn't gotten much scrutiny, even though it's largely unchanged since Windows NT 4, and was even famously abused by Stuxnet (using some similar APIs we'll be looking at!). It's extra ironic that an [underground 'zine](#) first looked at the Print Spooler, which was never found by Microsoft, and that's what the team behind Stuxnet ended up using!

First, we'd like to shout out to [Peleg Hadar](#) and Tomer Bar from SafeBreach Labs who earned the MSRC acknowledgment for one of the CVEs we'll describe — there are a few others that both the team and ourselves have found, which may be patched in future releases, so there's definitely still some dragons hiding. We understand that Peleg and Tomer will be presenting their research at Blackhat USA 2020, which should be an exciting addition to this post.

Secondly, Alex would like to apologize for the naming/branding of a CVE — we did not originally anticipate a patch for this issue to have collided with other research, and we thought that since the **Spooler** is a service, or a *daemon* in Unix

terms, and given the existence of `FaxHell`, the name `PrintDemon` would be appropriate.

Printers, Drivers, Ports, & Jobs

While we typically like to go into the deep, gory, guts of Windows components (it's an *internals* blog, after all!), we felt it would be worth keeping things simple, just to emphasize the criticality of these issues in terms of how easy they are to abuse/exploit — while also obviously providing valuable tips for defenders in terms of protecting themselves.

So, to begin with, let's look at a very simple description of how the printing process works, extremely dumbed down. We won't talk about *monitors* or *providers* (sp) or *processors*, but rather just the basic printing pipeline.

To begin with, a printer must be associated with a minimum of two elements:

- A printer port — you'd normally think of this as `LPT1` back in the day, or a USB port today, or even a TCP/IP port (and address)
 - Some of you probably know that it can also "`FILE:`" which means the printer can print to a file (`PORTPROMPT:` on Windows 8 and above)
- A printer driver — this used to be a kernel-mode component, but with the new "`V4`" model, this is all done in user mode for more than a decade now

Because the `Spooler` service, implemented in `Spoolsv.exe`, runs with `SYSTEM` privileges, and is network accessible, these two elements have drawn people to perform all sorts of interesting attacks, such as trying to

- [Printing](#) to a file in a privilege location, hoping `Spooler` will do that
- [Loading](#) a "printer driver" that's actually malicious
- [Dropping](#) files remotely using `Spooler` RPC APIs
- [Injecting](#) "printer drivers" from remote systems
- [Abusing](#) file parsing bugs in EMF/XPS spooler files to gain code execution

Most of which have resulted in actual bugs found, and some hardening done by Microsoft. That being said, there remain a number of *logical* issues, that one could call downright *design flaws* which lead to some interesting behavior.

Back to our topic: to make things work, we must first load a printer driver. You’d naturally expect that this requires privileges, and some MSDN pages still suggest the [SeLoadDriverPrivilege](#) is required. However, starting in Vista, to make things easier for Standard User accounts, and due to the fact these now run in user-mode, the reality is more complicated. As long as the driver is a *pre-existing, inbox driver*, no privileges are needed — *whatsoever* — to install a print driver.

So let’s install the simplest driver there is: the **Generic / Text-Only** driver. Open up a PowerShell window (as a standard user, if you’d like), and write:

```
> Add-PrinterDriver -Name "Generic / Text Only"
```

Now you can enumerate the installed drivers:

```
> Get-PrinterDriver
```

| Name | PrinterEnvironment | MajorVersion | Manufacturer |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| ---- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Microsoft XPS Document Writer v4 | Windows x64 | 4 | Microsoft |
| Microsoft Print To PDF | Windows x64 | 4 | Microsoft |
| Microsoft Shared Fax Driver | Windows x64 | 3 | Microsoft |
| Generic / Text Only | Windows x64 | 3 | Generic |

If you’d like to do this in plain old C, it couldn’t be easier:

```
hr = InstallPrinterDriverFromPackage(NULL, NULL, L"Generic / Text Only", NULL, 0);
```

Our next required step is to have a port that we can associate with our new printer. Here’s an interesting, not well documented twist, however: a port can be a file — and that’s not the same thing as “printing to a file”. It’s a file port, which is an entirely different concept. And adding one is just as easy as yet another line of PowerShell (we used a world writable directory as our example):

```
> Add-PrinterPort -Name "C:\windows\tracing\myport.txt"
```


Let’s see the fruits of our labour:

```
> Get-PrinterPort | ft Name
```

| Name |
|-------------------------------|
| ---- |
| C:\windows\tracing\myport.txt |
| COM1: |
| COM2: |
| COM3: |
| COM4: |
| FILE: |

LPT1:
LPT2:
LPT3:
PORTPROMPT:
SHRFAX:

To do this in C, you have two choices. First, you can prompt the user to input the port name, by using the [AddPortw](#) API. You don’t actually need to have your own GUI — you can pass `NULL` as the `hWnd` parameter — but you also have no control and will block until the user creates the port. The UI will look like this:



Another choice is to manually replicate what the dialog does, which is to use the [XcvData](#) API. Adding a port is as easy as:

```
PWCHAR g_PortName = L"c:\\windows\\tracing\\myport.txt";
dwNeeded = ((DWORD)wcslen(g_PortName) + 1) * sizeof(WCHAR);
XcvData(hMonitor,
        L"AddPort",
        (LPBYTE)g_PortName,
        dwNeeded,
        NULL,
        0,
        &dwNeeded,
        &dwStatus);
```

The more complicated part is getting that `hMonitor` — which requires a bit of arcane knowledge:

```
PRINTER_DEFAULTS printerDefaults;
printerDefaults.pDatatype = NULL;
printerDefaults.pDevMode = NULL;
printerDefaults.DesiredAccess = SERVER_ACCESS_ADMINISTER;
OpenPrinter(L"XcvMonitor Local Port", &hMonitor, &printerDefaults);
```

You might see `ADMINISTER` in there and go *a-ha* — *that needs Administrator privileges*. But in fact, it does not: anyone can add a port. What you’ll note though, is that passing in a path you don’t have access to will result in an “**Access Denied**” error. More on this later.

Don’t forget to be a good citizen and call `ClosePrinter(hMonitor)` when you’re done!

We have a port, we have a printer driver. That is all we need to create a printer and bind it to these two elements. And again, this does not require a privileged user, and is yet another single line of PowerShell:



```
> Add-Printer -Name "PrintDemon" -DriverName "Generic / Text Only" -PortName "c:\windows\tracing\myport.txt"
```

Which you can now check with:

```
> Get-Printer | ft Name, DriverName, PortName

Name DriverName PortName
-----
PrintDemon Generic / Text Only C:\windows\tracing\myport.txt
```

The C code is equally simple:

```
PRINTER_INFO_2 printerInfo = { 0 };
printerInfo.pPortName = L"c:\\windows\\tracing\\myport.txt";
printerInfo.pDriverName = L"Generic / Text Only";
printerInfo.pPrinterName = L"PrintDemon";
printerInfo.pPrintProcessor = L"WinPrint";
printerInfo.pDatatype = L"RAW";
hPrinter = AddPrinter(NULL, 2, (LPBYTE)&printerInfo);
```

Now you have a printer handle, and we can see what this is good for. Alternatively, you can use [OpenPrinter](#) once you know the printer exists, which only needs the printer name.

What can we do next? Well the last step is to actually print something. PowerShell delivers another simple command to do this:

```
> "Hello, Printer!" | Out-Printer -Name "PrintDemon"
```

If you take a look at the file contents, however, you’ll notice something “odd”:

```
0D 0A 0A 0A 0A 0A 0A 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
20 48 65 6C 6C 6F 2C 20 50 72 69 6E 74 65 72 21
0D 0A ...
```

Opening this in Notepad might give you a better visual indication of what’s going on — PowerShell thinks this is an actual printer. So it’s respecting the margins of the **Letter** (or **A4**) format, adding a few new lines for the top margin, and then spacing out your string for the left margin. Cute.

Bear in mind, this is behavior that in C, you can configure — but typically **Win32** applications will print this way, since they think this is a real printer.

Speaking about C, how can you achieve the same effect? Well, here, we actually have two choices — but we’ll cover the simpler and more commonly taken approach, which is to use the [GDI](#) API, which will internally create a *print job* to handle our payload.

```
DOC_INFO_1 docInfo;
docInfo.pDatatype = L"RAW";
```



```
docInfo.pOutputFile = NULL;
docInfo.pDocName = L"Document";
StartDocPrinter(hPrinter, 1, (LPBYTE)&docInfo);

PCHAR printerData = "Hello, printer!\n";
dwNeeded = (DWORD)strlen(printerData);
WritePrinter(hPrinter, printerData, dwNeeded, &dwNeeded);


EndDocPrinter(hPrinter);
```

And, *voilà*, the file contents now simply store our string.


To conclude this overview, we’ve seen how with a simple set of unprivileged PowerShell commands, or equivalent lines of C, we can essentially write data on the file system by pretending it’s a printer. Let’s take a look at what happens behind the scenes in Process Monitor.

Spooling as Evasion

Let’s take a look at all of the operations that occurred when we ran these commands. We’ll skip the driver “installation” as that’s just a mess of PnP and Windows Servicing Stack, and begin with adding the port:



Here we have our first EDR / DFIR evidence trail : it turns out that printer ports are nothing more than registry values under HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Ports. Obviously, only privileged users can write to this registry key, but the **Spooler** service does it for us over RPC, as you can see in the stack trace below:



Next, let’s see how the printer creation looks like:

Again, we see that the operations are mostly registry based. Here’s how a printer looks like — note the **Port** value, for example, which is showing our file path.

Now let's look at what that PowerShell command did when printing out our document. Here's a full view of the relevant file system activity (the registry is no longer really involved), with some interesting parts marked out:



Whoa — what’s going on here? First, let’s go a bit deeper in the world of printing. As long as *spooling* is enabled, data printed doesn’t directly go to the printer. Instead, the job is *spooled*, which essentially will result in the creation of a *spool file*. By default, this will live in the `c:\windows\system32\spool\PRINTERS` directory, but that is actually customizable on a per-system as well as per-printer basis (that’s a thread worth digging into later).

Again, also by default, this file name will either be `FPnnnnn.SPL` for EMF print operations, or simply `nnnnn.SPL` for RAW print operations. The `SPL` file is nothing more than a copy, essentially, of all the data that is meant to go the printer. In other words, it briefly contained the “Hello, printer!” string.

A more interesting file is the *shadow job file*. This file is needed because print jobs aren't necessarily instant. They can error out, be scheduled, be paused, either manually or due to issues with the printer. During this time, information about the job itself must remain in more than just Spoolsv.exe's memory, especially since it is often prone to crashing due to 3rd party printer driver bugs — and due to the fact that print jobs survive reboots. Below, you can see the **Spooler** writing out this file, whose data structure has changed over the years, but has now reached the **SHADOWFILE_4** data structure that is documented on our [GitHub repository](#).

We'll talk about some interesting things you can do with the *shadow job file* later in the persistence section.

Next, we have the actual creation of the file that is serving as our port. Unfortunately, Process Monitor always shows the primary token, so if you double-click on the event, you'll see this operation is actually done under impersonation:

This is may actually seem like a key security feature of the **Spooler** service — without it, you could create a printer port to any privileged location on the disk, and have the **Spooler** “print” to it, essentially achieving an arbitrary file system read/write primitive. However, as we’ll describe later, the situation is a bit more complicated. It may also seem like from an EDR perspective, you still have *some* idea as to who the user is. But, stay tuned.

Finally, once the write is done, both the *spool file* and the *shadow job file* are deleted (by default), which is seen as those **SetDisposition** calls:

So far, what we’ve shown is that we can write anywhere on disk — presumably to locations that we have access to — under the guise of the **Spooler** service. Additionally, we’ve shown that the file creation is done under impersonation, which should reveal the original user behind the operation. Investigating the *job* itself will also show the user name and machine name. So far, forensically, it seems like as long as this information can be gathered, it’s hard to hide...

We will break both of those assumptions soon, but first, let’s take a look at an interesting way that this behavior can be used.

Spooling as IPC

The first interesting use of the **Spooler**, and most benign, is to leverage it for communication between processes, across users, and even across reboots (and potentially networks). You can essentially treat a *printer* as a securable object (technically, a *printer job* is too, but that’s not officially exposed) and issue both *read* and *write* operations in it, through two mechanisms:



- Using the GDI API, and issuing [ReadPrinter](#) and [WritePrinter](#) commands.
 - First, you must have issued a [StartDocPrinter](#) and [EndDocPrinter](#) pair of calls (in between the write) to create the *printer job* and spool data in it.
 - The trick is to use [SetJob](#) to make the job enter a paused state from the beginning (JOB_CONTROL_PAUSE), so the *spool file* remains persistent
 - The former API will return a print job ID, that the client side can then use as part of a call to [OpenPrinter](#) with the special syntax of adding the suffix ,Job n to the printer name, which opens a *print job* instead of a *printer*.
 - Clients can use the [EnumJobs](#) API to enumerate all the printer jobs and find the one they want to read from based on some properties.
- Using the raw print job API, and using [WriteFile](#) after obtaining a handle to the *spool file*.
 - Once the writes are complete, call [ScheduleJob](#) to officially make it visible.
 - Client continues to use [ReadPrinter](#) like in the other option

You might wonder what advantages any of this has versus just using regular File I/O. We've thought of a few:

- If going with the full GDI approach, you're not importing any obvious I/O APIs
- The read and writes, when done by [ReadPrinter](#) and [WritePrinter](#) are *not done impersonated*. This means that they appear as if coming from SYSTEM running inside `Spoolsv.exe`
 - This also potentially means you can read and write from a **spooler** file in a location where you'd normally not have access to.
- It's doubtful any security products, until just about now, have ever investigated or looked at **spooler** files
 - And, with the right API/registry changes, you can actually move the **spooler** directory somewhere else for your printer
- By cancelling the job, you get immediate deletion of the data, again, from a service context
- By resuming the job, you essentially achieve a file copy — albeit this one does happen impersonated, as we've learnt so far

We've published on our [GitHub repository](#) a simple `printclient` and `printserver` application, which implement client/server mechanism for communicating between two processes by leveraging these ideas.

Let's see what happens when we run the server:

As expected, we now have a *spool file* created, and we can see the print queue below showing our job — which is highly visible and traceable, if you know to look.

On the client side, let's run the binary and look at the result:

The information you see at the top comes from the printer API — using [EnumJob](#) and [GetJob](#) to retrieve the information that we want. Additionally, however, we went a step deeper, as we wanted to look at the information stored in the *shadow job* itself. We noted some interesting discrepancies:

- Even though MSDN claims otherwise, and the API will always return NULL, print jobs to indeed have security descriptors

- Trying to zero them out in the *shadow job* made the **Spooler** unable to ever resume/write the data!
- Some data is represented differently
 - For example, the **Status** field in the *shadow job* has different semantics, and contains internal statuses that are not exposed through the API
 - Or, the **StartTime** and **UntilTime**, which are **0** in the API, are actually **60** in the *shadow job*

We wanted to better understand how and when the *shadow job* data is read, and when is internal state in the **Spooler** used instead — just like the Service Control Manager both has its own in-memory database of services, but also backs it all up in the registry, we thought the **Spooler** must work in a similar way.

Spooler Forensics

Eventually, thanks to the fact that the **Spooler** is written in C++ (which has rich type information due to mangled function names) we understood that the **Spooler** keeps track of jobs in **INIJOB** data structures.

We started looking at the various data structures involved in keeping track of **Spooler** information, and came up with the following data structures, each of which has a human-readable signature which makes reverse engineering easier:

For full disclosure, it seems [GitHub](#) continues to host **NT4** source code for the world to look at, and when searching for some of these types, the [Spltypes.h](#) header file repeatedly came up. We used it as an initial starting point, and then manually updated the structures based on reverse engineering.

To start with, you'll want to find the `pLocalIniSpooler` pointer in `LocalSpl.dll` — this contains a pointer to [INISPOOLER](#), which is partially shown below:

Here it is in memory:

As you can see, this key data structure points to the first [INIPRINTER](#), the [INIMONITOR](#), the [INIENVIRONMENT](#), the [INIPORT](#), the [INIFORM](#), and the [SPOOL](#). From here, we could start by dumping the printer, which starts with the following data structure:

In memory, for the printer the `printserver` [PoC on GitHub](#) creates, you'd see:

You could also choose to look at the `INIPORT` structures linked by the [INISPOOLER](#) earlier — or directly grab the one associated with the `INIPRINTER` above. Each one looks like this:

Once again, the port we created in the PoC looks like this in memory, at the time that the job is being spooled:

Finally, both the `INIPORT` and the `INIPRINTER` were pointing to the [INIJOB](#) that we created. The structure looks as such:



This should be very familiar, as it’s a different representation of much of the same data from the *shadow job file* as well as what `EnumJob` and `GetJob` will return. For our job, this is what it looked like in memory:

Locating and enumerating these structures gives you a good forensic overview of what the **Spooler** has been up to — as long as `Spoolsv.exe` is still running and nobody has tampered with it.

Unfortunately, as we’re about to show, that’s not something you can really depend on.

Spooling as Persistence

Since we know that the **Spooler** is able to print jobs even across reboots (as well as when the service exits for any reason), it stands to reason that there’s some logic present to absorb the *shadow job file* data and create **INIJOB** structures out of it.



Looking in IDA, we found the following aptly named function and associated loop, which is called during the initialization of the Local Spooler:

Essentially, this processes any *shadow job file* data associated with the Spooler itself (*server jobs*, as they're called), and then proceeds to enumerate every `INIPRINTER`, get its spooler directory (typically, the default), and process its respective *shadow job file* data.

This is performed by `ProcessShadowJobs`, which mainly executes the following loop:

It's not visible here, but the `*.SHD` wildcard is used as part of the `FindFirstFile` API, so each file matching this extension is sent to `ReadShadowJob`. This breaks one of our assumptions: there's no requirement for these files to follow the naming convention we described earlier. Combining with the fact that a printer can have its own spooler directory, it means these files can be anywhere.

Looking at `ReadShadowJob`, it seemed that only basic validation was done of the information present in the header, and many fields were, in fact, totally optional. We constructed, by hand with a hex editor, a custom *shadow job file* that only had the bare minimum to associate it to a printer, and restarted the

Spooler, taking a look at what we'd see in Process Monitor. We also created a matching **.SPL** file with the same name, where we wrote a simple string.

First, we noted the Spooler scanning for **FPnnnnn SPL** files, which are normally associated with EMF jobs (the **FP** stands for *File Pool*). Then, it searched for **SHD** files, found ours, opened the matching **SPL** file, and continued looking for more files. None were present, so **NO MORE FILES** was returned.

So, interestingly, you'll notice how in the stack below, the **DeleteOrphanFiles** API is called to cleanup **FP** files:

But the opposite effect happens for **SHD** files after — the following stack shows you **ProcessShadowJobs** calling **ReadShadowJob**, as the IDA output above

hypothesized.

What was the final effect of our custom placed SHD file, you ask? Well, take a look at the *print queue* for the printer that we created...

It's not looking great, is it? Double-clicking on the job gives us the following, equally useless information.



Given that this job seems outright corrupt, and indicates **0** bytes of data, you'd probably expect that resuming this job will abort the operation or crash in some way. So did we! Here's what *actually* happens:

The whole thing works just fine *and* goes off and writes the entire *spool file* into our printer port, actual size in the **SHADOWFILE_4** be damned. What's even crazier is that if you manually try calling **ReadPrinter** yourself, you won't see any data come in, because the RPC API actually checks for this value — even though the **PortThread** does not!

What we've shown so far, is that with very subtle file system modifications, you can achieve file copy/write behavior that is not attributable to any process, especially after a reboot, unless some EDR/DFIR software somehow knew to

monitor the creation of the **SHD** file and understood its importance. With a carefully crafted port name, you can imagine simply having the **Spooler** drop a PE file anywhere on disk for you (assuming you have access to the location).

But things were about to take whole different turn in our research, when we asked ourselves the question — “*wait, after a reboot, how does the **Spooler** even manage to impersonate the original user — especially if the data in the **SHD** file can be **NULL** ‘ed out?’*”.

Self Impersonation Privilege Escalation (SIPE)

Since Process Monitor can show impersonation tokens, we double-clicked on the **CreateFile** event, just as we had done at the beginning of this blog. We saw that indeed, the **PortThread** *was* impersonating... but... but...

The **Spooler** is impersonating... **SYSTEM**! It seems the code was never written to handle a situation that would arise where a user might have logged out, or rebooted, or simply the **Spooler** crashing, and now we can write anywhere **SYSTEM** can. Indeed, looking at the **NT4** source code, the

[PrintDocumentThruPrintProcessor](#) function just zooms through and writes into the port.

However, we're not ones to trust 30 year old code on GitHub, so we stuck with our trusty IDA, and indeed saw the following code, which was added sometime around the Stuxnet era:

And, indeed, `CanUserAccessTargetFile` immediately checks if `hToken` is `NULL`, and if so, returns `FALSE` and sets the `LastError` to `ERROR_ACCESS_DENIED`.

Boom! Game Over! The code is safe, we checked it! Believe it or not, we've previously gotten this type of response to security reports (not lately!).

Clearly, something is amiss, since we saw our write go through "impersonating" `SYSTEM`.

This is where a very deep subtlety arises. Pay attention to this code in `CreateJobEntry`, which is what ultimately initializes an `INIJOB`, and, if needed, sets `JOB_PRINT_TO_FILE`.

A *print job* is considered to be headed to a file only if the user selected the "Print to file" checkbox you see in the typical print dialog. A port, on the other hand, that's a literal file, completely skips this check.

Well, OK then — let’s stop with this `C:\Windows\Tracing\` lameness, and create a port in `C:\Windows\System32\Ualapi.dll`. Why this DLL? Well, ~~you’ll see~~ you saw in [Part Two](#)!

Hmmm, that’s not so easy:

We are caught in the act, as you can see from the following Process Monitor output:

The following stack shows how `XcvData` is called (an API you saw earlier) with the `PortIsValid` command. While you can’t see it here (it’s on the “Event” tab), the `Spooler` is impersonating the user at this point, and the user certainly doesn’t have write access to `c:\Windows\System32\`



As such, it would seem that while it's certainly interesting that we can get the **Spooler** to write files to disk after a reboot / service start, without impersonation, it's unclear how this can be useful, since a port pointing to a privileged directory must first be created. As an **Administrator**, it's a great evasion and persistence trick, but you might think this is where the game stops.

While messing around with ways to abuse this behavior (and we found a few!), we also stumbled into something way, way, way, way... way simpler than the advanced techniques we were coming up with. And, it would seem, so did the folks at SafeBreach Labs, which beat us to the punch (gratz!) with **CVE-2020-1048**, which we'll cover below.

Client Side Port Check Vulnerability (CVE-2020-1048)



This bug is so simple that it's almost embarrassing once you realize all it would've taken is a PowerShell command.

If you scroll back up to where we showed the registry access in `Spoolsv.exe` as a result of [Add-PrinterPort](#), you see a familiar `XcvData` stack — but going straight to `XcvAddPort` / `DoAddPort` — and not `DoPortIsValid`. Initially, we assumed that the registry access was being done after the file access (which we had masked out in Process Monitor), and that port validation had already occurred. But, when we enabled file system events... we never saw the `CreateFile`.

Using the UI, on the other hand, first showed us this stack and file system access, *and then* went ahead and added the port.

Yes, it was that simple. The UI dialog has a client-side check... the server, does not. And PowerShell's WMI Print Provider Module... does not.

This isn't because PowerShell/WMI has some special access. The code in our PoC, which uses `XcvData` with the `AddPort` command, directly gets the `Spooler` to add a port with zero checking.

Normally, this isn't a big deal, because all subsequent *print job* operations will have the user's token captured, and the file accesses will fail.

But not... if you reboot, or kill the `Spooler` in some way. While that's not necessarily obvious for an unprivileged user, it's not hard — especially given the complexity and age of the `Spooler` (and its many 3rd party drivers).

So yes, walk to any unpatched system out there — you all have Windows 7 ESUs, right? — and just write `Add-PrinterPort -Name c:\windows\system32\ualapi.dllin` a PowerShell window. Congratulations! You've just given yourself a persistent backdoor on the system. Now you just need to “print” an MZ file to a printer that you'll install using the systems above, and you're set.

If the system is patched, however, this won't work. Microsoft fixed the vulnerability by now moving the `PortIsValid` check inside of `LcmXcvDataPort`. That being said, however, *if a malicious port was already created, a user can still “print” to it.* This is because of the behavior we explained above —

the checks in `CanUserAccessTargetFile` do not apply to “ports pointing to files” — only when “printing to a file”.

Conclusion — Call to Action!

This bug is probably one of our favorites in Windows history, or at least one of our Top 5, due to its simplicity and age — completely broken in original versions of Windows, hardened after Stuxnet... yet still broken. When we submitted some additional related bugs (due to responsible disclosure, we don’t want to hint where these might be), we thought the underlying impersonation behavior would also be addressed, but it seems that this is meant to be *by design*.

Since the fix for `PortIsValid` does make the impersonation behavior moot for newly patched systems, but leaves them vulnerable to pre-existing ports, we really wanted to get this blog out there to warn the industry for this potentially latent threat, now that a patch is out and attackers would’ve quickly figured out the issue (load `LocalSpool.dll` in [Diaphora](#) — the two line call to `PortIsValid` jumps out at you as the *only change* in the binary).


There are two steps you should immediately take:

1. Patch! This bug is ridiculously easy to exploit, both as an interactive user and from limited remote-local contexts as well.
2. Scan for any file-based ports with either [Get-PrinterPorts](#) in PowerShell, or just dump `HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Ports`. Any ports that have a file path in them — especially ending in an extension such as `.DLL` or `.EXE` should be treated with extreme prejudice.

Read our other blog posts:

- [Secure Kernel Research with LiveCloudKd](#)
- [Troubleshooting a System Crash](#)
- [KASLR Leaks Restriction](#)
- [Investigating Filter Communication Ports](#)
- [An End to KASLR Bypasses?](#)
- [Understanding a New Mitigation: Module Tampering Protection](#)

- [One I/O Ring to Rule Them All: A Full Read/Write Exploit Primitive on Windows 11](#)
- [One Year to I/O Ring: What Changed?](#)
- [HyperGuard Part 3 – More SKPG Extents](#)
- [An Exercise in Dynamic Analysis](#)

 Yarden Shafir & Alex Ionescu  May 12, 2020
 Windows Internals

Next Post—
Secure Pool Internals : Dynamic KDP Behind The Hood

—Previous Post
Faxing Your Way to SYSTEM — Part Two

—
Join the Conversation  109 Comments

Pingback:
Grave vulnerabilità nello spooler della stampante di Windows | NUTesla | The Informant

Pingback:
PrintDemon vulnerability impacts all Windows versions | ZDNet – The Linkielist

Pingback:
PrintDemon – patch this ancient Windows printer bug! - Cyber Security Reviews

Pingback:
PrintDemon – nowa podatność w usłudze wydruku w Windows - Kapitan Hack

Pingback:
Уязвимость PrintDemon опасна для всех версий Windows, выпущенных после 1996 года — «Хакер»

Pingback:
PrintDemon Vulnerability Affected All Windows Systems Since 1996 – Adonai Protect

Pingback:



PrintDemon – patch this ancient Windows printer bug! - USA VIRAL TODAY

Pingback:

PrintDemon – patch this ancient Windows printer bug! – Naked Security

Pingback:

Stuxnet 遺產還活在新Windows bug 裡 – WONGCW 網誌

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 - Cyber Security News

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 – Ten15AM

Pingback:

Microsoft corrige la vulnerabilidad que afecta a todas las versiones de Windows desde 1996 – Instinto Seguro

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 - We Live Security - MSIN Review

Pingback:

PrintDemon Vulnerability Affected All Windows Systems Since 1996 – Anti V Protection Cyber Security

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 – pcsecurity-99.com

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 - cramzine

Pingback:

É hora de atualizar o Windows! Nova falha coloca o sistema da Microsoft em perigo – Tudo Notícias

Pingback:

I know what you leased last summer: Asset database leak hits Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco (every little helps, eh?) - Charles Milander

Pingback:

Asset database leak hits Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco (every little helps, eh?) • The Register – JIFFY360.COM

Pingback:

I know what you leased last summer: Asset database leak hits Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco (every little helps, eh?) | Unhinged Group



Pingback:

[La fuga de la base de datos de activos afecta a Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco \(cada pequeña ayuda, ¿eh?\) • The Register - Madrid Post](#)

Pingback:

[マイクロソフト、24年前から存在していたWindowsの脆弱性に対処–印刷スプーラー修正 – Japan](#)

Pingback:

[Blog ESET România](#)

Pingback:

[I know what you leased last summer: Asset database leak hits Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco \(every little helps, eh?\) - ThreatsHub Cybersecurity News](#)

Pingback:

[Actualiza Windows ya: Microsoft soluciona un fallo que arrastra desde hace 24 años – Noticias de Tecnología](#)

Pingback:

[Solucionan un fallo que llevaba más de 20 años en Windows – Starmix Radio](#)

Pingback:

[Bug no sistema de impressão deixa várias versões do Windows vulneráveis - Technanet.com.br](#)

Pingback:

[Security Week 21: Windows Print Service Vulnerability - Prog.world](#)

Pingback:

[Fix a bug that had been in Windows for over 20 years](#)

Pingback:

[Solucionan un fallo que llevaba más de 20 años en Windows – PozaRica.NET](#)

Pingback:

[It's time to upgrade Windows! New flaw puts Microsoft's system in ... | TechSome News](#)

Pingback:

[Microsoft soluciona una vulnerabilidad crítica presente desde 1996 en el sistema de impresión de Windows - Diario Dia](#)

Pingback:

[Falha de segurança no Windows deixa versões vulneráveis; veja quais e se proteja - NOVO CANTU](#)

Pingback:

[Falha de segurança no Windows deixa versões vulneráveis; veja quais e se proteja - Atenas Notícias e Opinião](#)



Pingback:

Bug no sistema de impressão deixa várias versões do Windows vulneráveis | O que Fazer Campina

Pingback:

Security Week 21: уязвимость в службе печати Windows / Блог компании «Лаборатория Касперского» / Хабр

Pingback:

I know what you leased last summer: Asset database leak hits Capita, Rolls-Royce, Tesco (every little helps, eh?) - ITSecurity.Org

Pingback:

Vulnerabilidad en PrintDemon afectaba a todas las versiones de Windows — Una al Día

Pingback:

FALHA DEIXA VÁRIAS VERSÕES DO WINDOWS VULNERÁVEIS

Pingback:

Parcha Microsoft vulnerabilidad crítica en sistema de impresión de Windows – InsurgentePress

Pingback:

PrintDemon, la vulnerabilidad que afecta a todas las versiones de Windows desde 1996, ya tiene solución – nexaticolombia

Pingback:

Windows 7 obsahuje chybu. Opravu ale neodstane každý | TOUCHIT

Pingback:

Windows 7 obsahuje chybu. Opravu ale neodstane každý – Slovakia

Pingback:

PrintDemon Vulnerability Affected All Windows Systems Since 1996 | Hacking & Cyber Security

Pingback:

Windows 7 obsahuje chybu. Opravu ale nedostane každý | TOUCHIT

Pingback:

Windows 7 obsahuje chybu. Opravu ale nedostane každý – Slovakia

Pingback:

Microsoft repareert kwetsbaarheid in alle Windows versies sinds 1996 - Computertaal

Pingback:

Weekendowa Lektura: odcinek 366 [2020-05-16]. Bierzcie i czytajcie | Zaufana Trzecia Strona

Pingback:

Microsoft fixes vulnerability affecting all Windows versions since 1996 | IoT startup news



Pingback:

0days, un error de parche, y una puerta trasera de la amenaza. Actualización el martes destaca - Actual Tecnología

Pingback:

0-days, a failed patch, and a backdoor threat. Update Tuesday highlights | Green Dailies

Pingback:

0days, a failed patch, and a backdoor menace. Replace Tuesday highlights - Orlyrtv

Pingback:

The best way to safe susceptible printers on a Home windows community | Tech News Alliance

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network | CSO Online

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – Hacking & Cyber Security

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network | PG-Intel

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – Cybertechbiz.com

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network | tech-A-Drive

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network | Hacking & Cyber Security

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – pcsecurity-99.com

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network | e-Shielder Security News

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – Tech News

Pingback:

How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network



Pingback:

[How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – Modernizetech](#)

Pingback:

[Cómo proteger impresoras vulnerables en una red de Windows | CambioDigital OnLine](#)

Pingback:

[How to secure vulnerable printers on a Windows network – Victoria Cyber Security Hackers](#)

Pingback:

[Patch Tuesday Revisited – CVE-2020-1048 isn't as "Medium" as MS Would Have You Believe, \(Thu, May 14th\) – TFun dot org](#)

Pingback:

[PrintDemon: Eine 24 Jahre alte Schwachstelle bedroht\(e\) Windows | Technologie Neuigkeiten | DataPur Deutschland](#)

Pingback:

[Metasploit Wrap-Up – TerabitWeb Blog](#)

Pingback:

[La Minute Cyber - Le relais NTLM renait de ses cendres grâce à la CVE 2021-1678 - CERT BSSI](#)

Pingback:

[OSINT News - May18 by Bart Otten - Security Research Blog - Security - Micro Focus Community](#)

Pingback:

[PrintDemon: The Demon Striking all the Windows Versions -](#)

Pingback:

[PoC exploit accidentally leaks for dangerous Windows PrintNightmare bug - The Kilguard](#)

Pingback:

[PoC exploit accidentally leaks for dangerous Windows PrintNightmare bug – Hacker Observer](#)

Pingback:

[PoC per ongeluk beschikbaar voor Windows PrintNightmare kwetsbaarheid - Sincerus](#)

Pingback:

[Public Windows Print Nightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover - Techtwiddle](#)

Pingback:

[El exploit público PrintNightmare 0-day de Windows permite la toma de dominio - Liukin](#)



Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover – Tech News Terminal

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover - The Kilguard

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover – Techno News Hub

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover – Hacker Observer

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover | Business, Energy, Science and Technology News

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day Exploit Allows Domain Takeover - Privacy Ninja

Pingback:

BleepingComputer - Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover - CISO2CISO Cyber Security Group

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover - Blue Mountain

Pingback:

Free DRONE Version For Print Nightmare Exploit Scanning & Workaround (CVE-2021-1675) - Forensic Focus

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover - Cyber Tech Buzz

Pingback:

Public Windows PrintNightmare 0-day exploit allows domain takeover | Cyber Review

Pingback:

Microsoft to require admin rights before using Windows Point and Print feature - The Kilguard

Pingback:

[TheRecord] Microsoft to require admin rights before using Windows Point and Print feature – Kurittu.org

Pingback:



Microsoft to require admin rights before using Windows Point and Print feature – Hacker Observer

Pingback:

Microsoft will now require admin rights before Windows users can access the Point and Print feature, to mitigate a security flaw it has already tried to patch (Catalin Cimpanu/The Record) | incloudhosting

Pingback:

Microsoft to require admin rights before using Windows Point and Print feature - The Record by Recorded Future

Pingback:

Azure IR test notes – m.z.je

Pingback:

OPatch veröffentlicht Micropatch für Windows 7 und Server 2008 R2 zur Behebung der PrintDemon-Schwachstelle | Technische Nachrichten, Gadget-Testberichte, Notebooks, Handys

Pingback:

Tryhackme DLL Hijacking Basic – Dexterlex

Pingback:

CVE-2020-1337 – PrintDemon is dead, long live PrintDemon! - VoidSec

Pingback:

10 old software bugs that took way too long to squash - InfoSec Today

Pingback:

SpoolFool: Windows Print Spooler Privilege Escalation (CVE-2022-21999)

Pingback:

CVE-2020-1048: Windows PrintDemon漏洞影响96年后的所有Windows版本 | ZONE.CI 全球网

Pingback:

DEF CON 29 - Jacob Baines - Bring Your Own Print Driver Vulnerability

Pingback:


Microsoft releases patches for 68 vulnerabilities, including ‘ProxyNotShell’ zero-days - The Kilguard

Pingback:

0-days, un correctif qui a échoué et une menace de porte dérobée. Mettre à jour les faits saillants du mardi | Nouvelles techniques

Pingback:

Beware of insecure networked printers - SiliconANGLE



Pingback:

[11 old software bugs that took way too long to squash – CSO Online – Logic Fectum](#)

Pingback:

[Bring Your Own Backdoor: How Vulnerable Drivers Let Hackers In - Carbon Black](#)

Pingback:

[微软Windows打印服务曝本地提权漏洞：影响几乎所有版本 - 士元科技](#)

Pingback:

[Thunderbird gets system tray notifications after 24 years - DUK News](#)

Pingback:

[Thunderbird gets system tray notifications after 24 years • The Register - EP Guard](#)

Leave a comment

You must be [logged in](#) to post a comment.

Winsider Seminars & Solutions Inc., Proudly powered by WordPress.

