

Threat Intelligence

EPS Processing Zero-Days Exploited by Multiple Threat Actors

May 9, 2017

Mandiant

Written by: Genwei Jiang, Alex Lanstein, Alex Berry, Ben Read, Dhanesh Kizhakkinan, Greg Macmanus



In 2015, FireEye published details about two attacks exploiting vulnerabilities in Encapsulated PostScript (EPS) of Microsoft Office. One was a [zero-day](#) and one was [patched](#) weeks before the attack launched.

Recently, FireEye identified three new zero-day vulnerabilities in Microsoft Office products that are being exploited in the wild.

At the end of March 2017, we detected another malicious document leveraging an unknown vulnerability in EPS and a recently [patched](#) vulnerability in Windows Graphics Device Interface (GDI) to drop malware. Following the April 2017 Patch Tuesday, in which Microsoft disabled EPS, FireEye detected a second unknown vulnerability in EPS.

FireEye believes that two actors – [Turla](#) and an unknown financially motivated actor – were using the first EPS zero-day ([CVE-2017-0261](#)), and [APT28](#) was using the second EPS zero-day ([CVE-2017-0262](#)) along with a new Escalation of Privilege (EOP) zero-day ([CVE-2017-0263](#)). Turla and APT28 are Russian cyber espionage groups that have used these zero-days against European diplomatic and military entities. The unidentified financial group targeted regional and global banks with offices in the Middle East. The following is a description of the EPS zero-days, associated malware, and the new EOP zero-day. Each EPS zero-day is accompanied by an EOP exploit, with the EOP being required to escape the sandbox that executes the FLTLDR.EXE instance used for EPS processing.

NETWIRE (unknown financially motivated actor), and CVE-2017-0262 was used to deliver GAMEFISH (APT28). CVE-2017-0263 is used to escalate privileges during the delivery of the GAMEFISH payload.

FireEye email and network products detected the malicious documents.

FireEye has been coordinating with the Microsoft Security Response Center (MSRC) for the responsible disclosure of this information. Microsoft advises all customers to follow the guidance in [security advisory ADV170005](#) as a defense-in-depth measure against EPS filter vulnerabilities.

CVE-2017-0261 – EPS “*restore*” Use-After-Free

Upon opening the Office document, the FLTLDR.EXE is utilized to render an embedded EPS image, which contains the exploit. The EPS file is a PostScript program, which leverages a Use-After-Free vulnerability in “*restore*” operand.

From the [PostScript Manual](#): “Allocations in local VM and modifications to existing objects in local VM are subject to a feature called **save** and **restore**, named after the operators that invoke it. **save** and **restore** bracket a section of a PostScript language program whose local VM activity is to be encapsulated. **restore** deallocates new objects and undoes modifications to existing objects that were made since the matching **save**.”

As the manual described, the *restore* operator will reclaim memory allocated since the *save* operator. This makes a perfect condition of Use-After-Free, when combined with *forall* operator. Figure 1 shows the pseudo code to exploit the save and restore operation.

```
/leak_proc (  
  % uaf_array claimed by operations of alloc_string  
  % leaking meta data of strings  
  /val exch def  
  % save the leaked data  
) def  
/restore_proc (  
  eps_state restore  
  alloc_string      % reuse free-ed memory  
  % change the proc of forall to leak_proc  
  forall_procs 0 leak_proc put  
) def  
/forall_procs 1 array def  
forall_procs 0 restore_proc put  
/eps_state save def  
/uaf_array 71 array def  
% more string operations omitted  
uaf_array forall_procs forall
```

Figure 1: Pseudo code for the exploit

The following operations allow the Pseudo code to leak metadata enabling a read/write primitive:

1. forall_proc array is created with a single element of the restore proc
2. The EPS state is **saved** to eps_state

- 4. The forall operator loops over the elements of the uaf_array calling forall_proc for each element
- 5. The first element of uaf_array is passed to a call of restore_proc, the procedure contained in forall_proc
- 6. restore_proc
 - **restores** the initial state freeing the uaf_array
 - The alloc_string procedure reclaims the freed uaf_array
 - The forall_proc is updated to call leak_proc
- 7. Subsequent calls by the forall operator call the leak_proc on each element of the reclaimed uaf_array which elements now contain the result of the alloc_string procedure

Figure 2 demonstrates a debug log of the uaf_array being used after being reclaimed.

```
eax=00000000 ebx=00000000 ecx=015115e8 edx=00000000 esi=015115e8 edi=01511228
eip=70460c49 esp=0021ef4c ebp=0021efc0 iopl=0         nv up ei pl zr na pe nc
cs=001b  ss=0023  ds=0023  es=0023  fs=003b  gs=0000             efl=00000246
EPSIMP32!SetFilterPref+0x5723:
70460c49 8b4db8      mov     ecx,dword ptr [ebp-48h] ss:0023:0021ef78=00030000
0:000> dd ebp-48 14 // uaf_array
0021ef78 00030000 00000000 0021efb0 015172a0
0:000> d poi(015172a0) lc // uaf_array obj
0121c2f0 70435788 015172a0 0121a368 baadf000
0121c300 00000001 00000003 0121a2f8 baadf000
0121c310 01511228 0151729c 00000000 00000047
0:000> d poi(0151729c ) lc // uaf_array buf
0121c338 70436b0c 0151729c 0121a364 baadf000
0121c348 00000001 00000006 0121a2f8 baadf000
0121c358 01511228 00000047 0121c380 abababab
0:000> dd 0121c380 lc // uaf_array item buffers
0121c380 00000000 00000000 baadf00d baadf00d
0121c390 00000000 00000000 baadf00d baadf00d
0121c3a0 00000000 00000000 baadf00d baadf00d
0:000> bp epsimp32+30d37 ".echo calling deferred_exec"
0:000> g
calling deferred_exec
eax=0021ef9c ebx=00000000 ecx=01511228 edx=00000000 esi=0121c390 edi=0021ef4c
eip=70460d37 esp=0021ef48 ebp=0021efc0 iopl=0         nv up ei pl nz na po nc
cs=001b  ss=0023  ds=0023  es=0023  fs=003b  gs=0000             efl=00000202
EPSIMP32!SetFilterPref+0x5811:
70460d37 e8d897ffff  call   EPSIMP32+0x2a514 (7045a514)
0:000> p // step over the 1st forall call
(b20.64c): C++ EH exception - code e06d7363 (first chance)
(b20.64c): C++ EH exception - code e06d7363 (first chance)
eax=00000000 ebx=00000000 ecx=67f7bc8f edx=01510174 esi=0121c390 edi=0021ef4c
eip=70460d3c esp=0021ef4c ebp=0021efc0 iopl=0         nv up ei pl zr na pe nc
cs=001b  ss=0023  ds=0023  es=0023  fs=003b  gs=0000             efl=00000246
EPSIMP32!SetFilterPref+0x5816:
70460d3c 8b45cc      mov     eax,dword ptr [ebp-34h] ss:0023:0021ef8c=0121c2f0
0:000> dd 0121c2f0 lc // uaf_array obj overwritten
0121c2f0 00000055 ffffffff 00000058 00000000
0121c300 ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
0121c310 ffffffff ffffffff 00030000 00000000
0:000> dd 0121c338 lc
0121c338 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
0121c348 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
0121c358 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
0:000> dd 0121c380 lc
0121c380 00000000 00000005 000000e8 00000000
0121c390 ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
0121c3a0 ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
```

Figure 2: uaf_array reclaimed debug log

By manipulating the operations after the save operator, the attacker is able to manipulate the memory layouts and convert the Use-After-Free to create a read/write primitive. Figure 3 shows the faked string, with length set as 0x7fffffff, base as 0.

```
0:000> d 008d3a04 14
008d3a04 00000500 00000000 00000000 008d39a4 // 0x500 String type
0:000> d poi(008d39a4)
008d39a8 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39b8 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39c8 00000000 008d39d8 00000000 7fffffff // length 0x7fffffff
008d39d8 008d39dc 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39e8 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39f8 00000000 00000000 7fffffff 00000500
008d3a08 00000000 00000000 008d39a4 ffffffff
008d3a18 ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
0:000> d poi(008d39d8)
008d39dc 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39ec 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
008d39fc 00000000 7fffffff 00000500 00000000 // base @ 0
008d3a0c 00000000 008d39a4 ffffffff ffffffff
008d3a1c ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
008d3a2c ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff ffffffff
008d3a3c ffffffff ffffffff 00000000 337c853d
008d3a4c 0b0e338b 0909090a 6c786a68 61687869
```

Figure 3: Faked String Object

Leveraging the power of reading and writing arbitrary user memory, the EPS program continues by searching for gadgets to build the ROP chain, and creates a *file* object. Figure 4 demonstrates the faked file object in memory.

```
0:000> dd 02c0c840
02c0c840 00000900 00000000 5cdc9227 02c86ff0 // 0x900 File type
0:000> dds poi(02c86ff0) 110 // ROP
02c87022 00000000
02c87026 67aec86c EPSIMP32+0xc86c // pop esi / ret
02c8702a 67b0a87e EPSIMP32+0x2a87e // xchg eax,esp /
// add byte ptr [eax], al /
// add byte ptr [eax], al /
// pop esi / ret
02c8702e 67aeb6d5 EPSIMP32+0xb6d5 // ret 0C
02c87032 758d2dd5 kernel32!VirtualProtectStub
02c87036 00000000
02c8703a 00000000
02c8703e 00000000
02c87042 02cd0048
02c87046 02cd0048
02c8704a 00026a23
02c8704e 00000040
02c87052 02c871f0
02c87056 00000000
02c8705a 00000000
02c8705e 00000000
```

Figure 4: Fake File Object, with ROP

By calling *closefile* operand with the faked file object, the exploit pivots to the ROP and starts the shellcode. Figure 5 shows part of the disassembler of *closefile* operand handler.

```
text:100360EC loc_100360EC: ; CODE XREF: op_closefile+48↑j
text:100360EC 8B 4D FC mov ecx, [ebp+var_4]
text:100360EF 8B 01 mov eax, [ecx]
text:100360F1 FF 50 08 call dword ptr [eax+8] ; 67b0a87e EPSIMP32+0x2a87e
text:100360F1 ; xchg eax,esp
text:100360F1 ; add byte ptr [eax], al
text:100360F1 ; add byte ptr [eax], al
text:100360F1 ; pop esi
text:100360F1 ; ret
```

Figure 5: Stack Pivot disassembler of closefile

Once execution has been achieved, the malware uses the ROP chain to change the execution protection of the memory region containing the shellcode. At this point, the shellcode is running within a sandbox that was executing FLTLDR.EXE and an escalation of privilege is required to escape that sandbox.

FireEye detected two different versions of the EPS program exploiting

executing a final JavaScript payload containing a malware implant known as SHIRIME. SHIRIME is one of multiple custom JavaScript implants used by Turla as a first stage payload to conduct initial profiling of a target system and implement command and control. Since early 2016, we have observed multiple iterations of SHIRIME used in the wild, having the most recent version (v1.0.1004) employed in this zero-day

The second document, Confirmation_letter.docx, continues by utilizing 32 or 64 bit versions of CVE-2016-7255 to escalate privilege before dropping a new variant of the NETWIRE malware family. Several versions of this document were seen with similar filenames.

The EPS programs contained within these documents contained different logic to perform the construction of the ROP chain as well as build the shellcode. The first took the additional step of using a simple algorithm, shown in Figure 6, to obfuscate sections of the shellcode.

Figure 6: Shellcode obfuscation algorithm

CVE-2017-0262 – Type Confusion in EPS

The second EPS vulnerability is a type confused procedure object of forall operator that can alter the execution flow allowing an attacker to control values onto the operand stack. This vulnerability was found in a document named “Trump's_Attack_on_Syria_English.docx”.

Before triggering the vulnerability, the EPS program sprays the memory with predefined data to occupy specific memory address and facilitate the exploitation. Figure 7 demonstrates the PostScript code snippet of spraying memory with a string.

Figure 7: PostScript code snippet of spray

After execution, the content of string occupies the memory at address 0x0d80d000, leading to the memory layout as shown in Figure 8. The exploit leverages this layout and the content to forge a procedure object and manipulate the code flow to store predefined value, in yellow, to the operator stack.

Figure 8: Memory layout of the sprayed data

After spraying the heap, the exploit goes on to call a code statement in the following format: *1 array 16#D80D020 forall*. It creates an Array object, sets the procedure as the hex number 0xD80D020, and calls

of the attacker's choices to operand stack. Figure 9 shows the major code flow consuming the forged procedure.

Figure 9: Consuming the forged procedure

After execution of *forall*, the contents on the stack are under the attacker's control. This is s shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10: Stack after the forall execution

Since the operand stack has been manipulated, the subsequent operations of *exch* defines objects based on the data from the manipulated stack, as shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11: Subsequent code to retrieve data from stack

The A18 is a string type object, which has a length field of 0x7ffffff0, based from 0. Within memory, the layout as shown in Figure 12.

Figure 12: A18 String Object

The A19 is an array type object, with member values all purposely crafted. The exploit defines another array object and puts it into the forged array A19. By performing these operations, it puts the newly created array object pointer into A19. The exploit can then directly read the value from the predictable address, 0xD80D020 + 0x38, and leak its vtable and infer module base address of EPSIMP32.fl.t. Figure 13 shows code snippets of leaking EPSIMP32 base address.

Figure 13: Code snippet of leaking module base

Figure 14 shows the operand stack of calling *put* operator and the forged Array A19 after finishing the *put* operation.

Figure 14: Array A19 after the put operation

By leveraging the RW primitive string and the leaked module base of EPSIMP32, the exploit continues by searching ROP gadgets, creating a fake file object, and pivoting to shellcode through the *bytesavailable*

Figure 15: Pivots to ROP and Shellcode

The shellcode continues by using a previously unknown EOP, CVE-2017-0263, to escalate privileges to escape the sandbox running FLTLDR.EXE, and then drop and execute a GAMEFISH payload. Only a 32-bit version of CVE-2017-0263 is contained in the shellcode.

CVE-2017-0263 – win32k!xxxDestroyWindow Use-After-Free

The EOP Exploit setup starts by suspending all threads other than the current thread and saving the thread handles to a table, as shown in Figure 16.

Figure 16: Suspending Threads

The exploit then checks for OS version and uses that information to populate version specific fields such as token offset, syscall number, etc. An executable memory area is allocated and populated with kernel mode shellcode as wells as address information required by the shellcode. A new thread is created for triggering the vulnerability and further control of exploitation.

The exploit starts by creating three PopupMenus and appending menus to them, as shown in Figure 17. The exploit creates 0x100 windows with random classnames. The User32!HMValidateHandle trick is used to leak the tagWnd address, which is used as kernel information leak throughout the exploit.

Figure 17: Popup menu creation

RegisterClassExW is then used to register a window class “Main_Window_Class” with a WndProc pointing to a function, which calls DestroyWindow on window table created by EventHookProc, explained later in the blog. This function also shows the first popup menu, which was created earlier.

Two extra windows are created with class name as “Main_Window_Class”. SetWindowLong is used to change WndProc of second window, wnd2, to a shellcode address. An application defined hook, WindowHookProc, and an event hook, EventHookProc, are installed by SetWindowsHookExW and SetWinEventHook respectively. PostMessage is used to post 0xABCD to first window, wnd1.

SysShadow classname and sets a new WndProc for the corresponding window. Inside this WndProc, NtUserMNDragLeave syscall is invoked and SendMessage is used to send 0x9f9f to wnd2, invoking the shellcode shown in Figure 18.

Figure 18: Triggering the shellcode

The Use-After-Free happens inside WM_NCDESTROY event in kernel and overwrites wnd2’s tagWnd structure, which sets bServerSideWindowProc flag. With bServerSideWindowProc set, the user mode WndProc is considered as a kernel callback and will be invoked from kernel context – in this case wnd2’s WndProc is the shellcode.

The shellcode checks whether the memory corruption has occurred by checking if the code segment is not the user mode code segment. It also checks whether the message sent is 0x9f9f. Once the validation is completed, shellcode finds the TOKEN address of current process and TOKEN of system process (pid 4). The shellcode then copies the system process’ token to current process, which elevates current process privilege to SYSTEM.

Conclusion

EPS processing has become a ripe exploitation space for attackers.

FireEye has discovered and analyzed two of these recent EPS zero-days with examples seen before and after Microsoft disabled EPS processing in the April 2017 Patch Tuesday. The documents explored utilize differing EPS exploits, ROP construction, shellcode, EOP exploits and final payloads. While these documents are detected by FireEye appliances, users should exercise caution because FLTLDR.EXE is not monitored by EMET.

Russian cyber espionage is a well-resourced, dynamic threat

The use of zero-day exploits by Turla Group and APT28 underscores their capacity to apply technically sophisticated and costly methods when necessary. Russian cyber espionage actors use zero-day exploits in addition to less complex measures. Though these actors have relied on credential phishing and macros to carry out operations previously, the use of these methods does not reflect a lack of resources. Rather, the use of less technically sophisticated methods – when sufficient – reflects operational maturity and the foresight to protect costly exploits until they are necessary.

A vibrant ecosystem of threats

CVE-2017-0261’s use by multiple actors is further evidence that cyber

state actors, such as those leveraging [CVE-2017-0199 to distribute FINSPY](#), often rely on the same sources for exploits as criminal actors. This shared ecosystem creates a proliferation problem for defenders concerned with either type of threat.

CVE-2017-0261 was being used as a zero-day by both nation state and cyber crime actors, and we believe that both actors obtained the vulnerability from a common source. Following [CVE-2017-0199](#), this is the second major vulnerability in as many months that has been used for both espionage and crime.

MD5	Filename
2abe3cc4bff46455a945d56c27e9fb45	Confirmation_letter.docx.b (NETWIRE)
e091425d23b8db6082b40d25e938f871	Confirmation_letter.docx (NETWIRE)
006bdb19b6936329bfd4054e270dc6a	Confirmation_letter_ACM.c (NETWIRE)
15660631e31c1172ba5a299a90938c02	st07383.en17.docx (SHIRIME)
f8e92d8b5488ea76c40601c8f1a08790	Trump's_Attack_on_Syria_E (GAMEFISH)

Table 1: Source Exploit Documents

Table 2: CVEs related to these attacks

Acknowledgements

iSIGHT Intelligence Team, FLARE Team, FireEye Labs, Microsoft Security Response Center (MSRC).

Posted in [Threat Intelligence](#)—[Security & Identity](#)

Related articles



Threat Intelligence

Hybrid Russian Espionage and Influence Campaign Aims to Compromise Ukrainian Military Recruits and Deliver Anti-Mobilization Narratives

By Google Threat Intelligence Group • 10-minute read



Threat Intelligence

Investigating FortiManager Zero-Day Exploitation (CVE-2024-47575)

By Mandiant • 19-minute read



Threat Intelligence

How Low Can You Go? An Analysis of 2023 Time-to-Exploit Trends

By Mandiant • 10-minute read



Threat Intelligence

capa Explorer Web: A Web-Based Tool for Program Capability Analysis

By Mandiant • 6-minute read

Follow us



Google Cloud

Google Cloud Products

Privacy

Terms

 Help

English

