



set in question can be attributed to [APT41, a threat actor that's been widely reported to be Chinese-speaking](#);

In this report we describe in detail how the MoonBounce implant works, how it is connected to APT41, and what other traces of activity related to Chinese-speaking actors we were able to observe in the compromised network that could indicate a connection to this threat actor and the underlying campaign.

## Revisiting the current state of the art in persistent attacks

In the last year, there have been several public accounts on the ongoing trend of UEFI threats. Notable examples include the UEFI bootkit used as part of the [FinSpy](#) surveillance toolset that we reported on, the work of our colleagues from ESET on the [ESPectre](#) bootkit, and a little-known threat activity that was discovered within government organisations in the Middle East, using a UEFI bootkit of its own (briefly mentioned in our [APT trends report Q3 2021](#) and covered in more detail in a private APT report delivered to customers of our Threat Intelligence Portal).

The common denominator of those three cases is the fact that the UEFI components targeted for infection include the `EFI\Boot\Bootloader` and `EFI\Boot\BootManager` files.

UEFI core components are typically stored in a separate partition, often called `EFI System Partition` (ESP), and are protected by a boot sector password. The boot sector password is a BIOS-level security feature that prevents the boot sector from being overwritten by the BIOS firmware.

While all these attacks are sophisticated, they are also relatively easy to detect. For example, even high-end malware like FinSpy, which uses a flash, a network interface card (NIC) and a USB drive (partially hidden) to deliver the payload, also more or less leaves traces that can be proven to be malicious. These traces are typically found in the boot sector.

MoonBounce is a different story. It is a class of attacks that is designed to be undetectable. We reported on this group of attacks in a predeceasing report, but we did not do a thorough analysis of the attack.

## Our discovery: a sophisticated implant within UEFI firmware

The UEFI implant, which was detected in spring 2021, was found to have been incorporated by the attackers into the `CORE_DXE` component of the firmware (also known as the `DXE Foundation`), which is called early on at the `DXE (Driver Execution Environment)` phase of the UEFI boot sequence. Among other things, this component is responsible for initializing essential data structures and function interfaces, one of which is the `EFI Boot Services Table` – a set of pointers to routines that are part of the `CORE_DXE` image itself and are callable by other `DXE` drivers in the boot chain.

The source of the infection starts with a set of hooks that intercept the execution of several functions in the `EFI Boot Services Table`, namely `AllocatePool`, `CreateEventEx` and `ExitBootServices`. Those hooks are used to divert the flow of these functions to malicious shellcode that is appended by the attackers to the `CORE_DXE` image, which in turn sets up additional hooks in subsequent components of the boot chain, namely the Windows loader.

This multistage chain of hooks facilitates the propagation of malicious code from the `CORE_DXE` image to other boot components during system startup, allowing the introduction of a malicious driver to the memory address space of the Windows kernel. This driver, which



### This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you've provided to them or that they've collected from your use of their services.

#### Necessary



#### Preferences



#### Statistics



#### Marketing



Show details >

runs during the initial phases of the kernel's execution, is in charge of deploying user-mode malware by injecting it into an svchost.exe process, once the operating system is up and running. Finally, the user mode malware reaches out to a hardcoded C&C URL (i.e. `hxxp://mb.glbaitech[.]com/mboard.dll`) and attempts to fetch another stage of the payload to run in memory, which we were not able to retrieve.

The diagram below contains the outline of the stages taken from the moment the hooked Boot Services are called in the context of the DXE Foundation's execution until the user-mode malware is deployed and run during the Operating System's execution. The full description of each step in the diagram, along with the analysis of both the MoonBounce driver and user-mode malware can be found in [the technical document](#) released alongside this report.

Flow of

Note that the infection was initially performed remotely, and then manifested as additions of DXE drivers to the overall firmware image on the SPI flash, the current case exhibits a much more subtle and stealthy technique where an existing firmware component is modified to alter its behaviour. Notably, particular functions were modified with an inline hook, meaning the replacement of the function prologue with an instruction to divert execution to a function chosen by the attacker. This form of binary instrumentation typically requires the attacker to obtain the original image, then parse and change it to introduce malicious logic. This would be possible for an attacker having ongoing and remote access to the targeted machine.

## Other pieces of malware on the radar

In addition to MoonBounce, we found infections across multiple nodes in the same network by a known user-mode malware dubbed ScrambleCross, also known as SideWalk. This is an in-memory implant, implemented as position-independent code, that can communicate to a C2 server in order to exchange information and stage the execution of additional plugins in memory, of which none has been sighted in the wild yet. This malware was thoroughly covered by our colleagues at [Trend Micro](#) and [ESET](#), so we will refer the reader to their excellent write-ups to understand its internals better.



This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you've provided to them or that they've collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >

17 JUN 2020, 1:00PM

**GReAT Ideas. Powered by SAS: malware attribution and next-gen IoT honeypots**

MARCO PREUSS, DENIS LEGEZO, COSTIN RAIU, KURT BAUMGARTNER, DAN DEMETER, YAROSLAV SHMELEV

26 AUG 2020, 2:00PM

**GReAT Ideas. Powered by SAS: threat actors advance on new fronts**

IVAN KWIATKOWSKI, MAHER YAMOUT, NOUSHIN SHABAB, PIERRE DELCHER, FÉLIX AIME, GIAMPAOLO DEDOLA, SANTIAGO PONTIROLI

22 JUL 2020, 2:00PM

**GReAT Ideas. Powered by SAS: threat hunting and new techniques**

DMITRY BESTUZHEV, COSTIN RAIU, PIERRE DELCHER, BRIAN BARTHOLOMEW, BORIS LARIN, ARIEL JUNGHEIT, FABIO ASSOLINI





path are encrypted with AES-128 using a base64 encoded key stored in the backdoor’s image. The IP and directory path tuple are used during execution for:

- Initialising communications with the server;
- Sending information from the infected host;
- Requesting a specific server path containing a command for execution and downloading it;
- Sending back the result of the command’s execution to the C2 server.

The commands retrieved from the server are also encrypted with AES-128, with the key stored in the command’s file itself. Command execution results are then encrypted using the same key. We found the following list of supported commands:

- Get list of drives;
- Get content list from a specified directory;
- Download a file from the C2 server;
- Write text to a given \*.bat file and execute it;
- Run a shell command.

GhostEmperor: From ProxyLogon to kernel mode

LuminousMoth APT: Sweeping attacks for the chosen few

It is impo  
malware  
some tin  
the figur  
MoonBo  
APT41 o



This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you’ve provided to them or that they’ve collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >

Timeline of events related to artefacts found in the network containing the MoonBounce-infected machine

Who were the targets?

Currently, our detections indicate a very targeted nature of the attack – the presence of the firmware rootkit was detected in a single case. Other affiliated malicious samples (e.g. ScrambleCross and its loaders) were found on multiple other machines in the same network range. In addition, we found several other victims of an undetermined nature with the same versions of ScrambleCross reaching out to the same command and control infrastructure. One particular target corresponds to an organization in control of several enterprises dealing with transport technology.

What were the attackers trying to achieve?

We traced some of the commands executed by the attackers after gaining a foothold in the network, which point to lateral movement and exfiltration of information from particular

machines. This aligns in profile with some of the previous operations by APT41, wherein intrusions were typically made to intervene in the targeted companies' supply chain, or to heist sensitive intellectual property and personally identifiable information. The usage of the UEFI implant in particular indicates the actor's aim to establish a longstanding foothold within the network, as would be expected in an ongoing espionage activity.

The following are examples of command lines that portray some of the methods and actions taken by the operators of this threat activity to achieve their goals:

- Attempts to enumerate hosts and gather network information:

```
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & whoami"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & net view"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & -setcp 866"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & net view"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & netstat -ano"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & dir $temp\ /od"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & arp -a"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & tasklist"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & tracert <redacted_internal_ip>"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & net use \\<redacted_internal_ip> /u:<redacted_username> <redacted_password>"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & net view \\<redacted_internal_ip>"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & ping -n 1 -a <redacted_internal_ip>"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & net use * /d /y"
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & systeminfo"
```

- Copying of files across SMB shares, followed by an attempt to dump the Active Directory domain database (tid):

```
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
```

- Usage of renam

```
$tem
$tem
$tem
```

- Usage of

```
wmic
wmic
wmic
wmic
wmic
wmic
wmic
```

- Removal of

```
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
cmd .
```

- File archiving of remotely collected files, some of which contain \*.hive files, possibly for [LSA secrets dumping](#), with the exe command line utility:

```
cmd /C "C: & cd \ & $temp\rar.exe a -r wef.rar \\<redacted_internal_ip1>\c$\windows\temp\1
c:\windows\temp\rar.exe a -r c:\windows\temp\873.rar \\<redacted_internal_ip2>\c$\windows\
```

## Network infrastructure

The main cluster of infrastructure serving the activity of the UEFI implant and ScrambleCross implants is outlined in the table below. Note that the attackers maintained the infrastructure from at least March 2020, with some servers seemingly still active at the end of 2021 . During the time the actor switched between multiple hosting providers, resulting in a scattered infrastructure across several ASNs.

Domain	IP	ASN
mb.glbaitech[.]com	188.166.61[.]146	AS14061 – DIGITALOCEAN-ASN
ns.glbaitech[.]com	188.166.61[.]146	AS14061 – DIGITALOCEAN-ASN
	172.107.231[.]236	AS40676



dev.kinopoisksu[.]com	172.107.231[.]236	AS40676
	193.29.57[.]161	AS48314 – IP-PROJECTS
st.kinopoisksu[.]com	136.244.100[.]127	AS20473 – AS-CHOOPA
–	217.69.10[.]104	AS20473 – AS-CHOOPA
–	92.38.178[.]246	AS202422 – GHOST

A careful inspection of the infrastructure shows multiple connections between the servers. It is evident that MoonBounce’s user-mode stager and a few ScrambleCross instances reached out to a single domain, which resolved to the same IP at one point. In addition, there were several overlaps in IPs to which the domains resolved as outlined in the figure below, including one IP that was used to park two domains at different points in time.

## Subscribe to our weekly e-mails

The hottest research right in your inbox

Email(Required)

☐ I agree to provide my email address to “AO Kaspersky Lab” to receive information about new posts on the site. I understand that I can withdraw this consent at any time via e-mail by clicking the “unsubscribe” link that I find at the bottom of any e-mail sent to me for the purposes mentioned above.



### This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you’ve provided to them or that they’ve collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >

Domain	IP	ASN
m.necemarket[.]com	172.105.94[.]67	AS63949 – LINODE
holdmem.dbhubspi[.]com	5.188.93[.]132	AS202422 – G-Core Labs

## Who is behind the MoonBounce attack?

To the best of our knowledge, the activity described in this report can be attributed to a group widely known as APT41, or an actor closely affiliated to it, with medium to high confidence. In part, our findings align with multiple public accounts from the previous year of either APT41 or other threat actors, namely [Earth Baku](#) and [SparklingGoblin](#), which are believed to be alternative names for APT41 or share significant resources and TTPs with it.

Our conclusion, in particular, is done based on the following factors:



- The loading schemes for ScrambleCross, including the usage of StealthVector and StealthMutant in the infection chain, are identical to those observed leveraged by Earth Baku and SparklingGoblin. Apart from the loaders themselves, their launchers seem identical. The attackers used the unique TTP of initiating the loader execution through exe in all cases observed by us. Particularly Install.bat, as used by Earth Baku and described in the public report by Trend Micro mentioned earlier, is highly similar to the sequence of commands used to execute the InstallUtil launcher in our case.
- The ScrambleCross malware itself, which has been reported in use with both Earth Baku and SparklingGoblin, is considered a variant of CROSSWALK, a piece of malware that was [described](#) originally by Mandiant as an APT41 tool and remains distinct to the group, to the best of our knowledge.
- A unique certificate retrieved from multiple ScrambleCross C2 servers in the campaign described in this report was sent as a response in a few other dozen servers in the wild, a few of them have been previously [reported](#) by the FBI as being part of an APT41-owned infrastructure.

Additionally, the following observations are worth mentioning:

- The user-mode malware stager deployed by the UEFI implant contains a scheduling logic that is somewhat similar to one seen in Microcin samples (some of which were also found on infected hosts in this campaign). This suggests that these groups may be related through shared infrastructure. The scheduling logic is used to trigger the execution of the stager when a specific payload is received. The stager is designed to be flexible, allowing for different payloads to be scheduled at different intervals. The stager can be configured to check for updates or new payloads on a weekly basis.



**This website uses cookies**

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you've provided to them or that they've collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >

- The Mimikat\_ssp tool found on a few machines in the targeted network has been seen in use by multiple Chinese-speaking threat actors in the past. One recent example is its use in the campaigns of GhostEmperor, as [described](#) in a previous report.
- Some elements of shellcode leveraged in MoonBounce were spotted in an old rootkit that was part of a malicious framework dubbed xTalker, which has been seen in the wild since at least 2013, alongside several malware families affiliated to known actors, e.g. NetTraveler, Enfal and Microcin. It was prominently used against Russian-speaking targets including military, governmental entities and think-tanks. Both components shared a similar name-hashing algorithm, which is outlined below, along with unique corresponding function name hashes (e.g. 0x311B83F, the name hash of ExAllocatePool) that were not seen in use elsewhere in the wild.

*Name-hashing algorithm used identically in both MoonBounce and xTalker's rootkit*

In addition, both pieces of code used a technique of replacing magic marker values within shellcode buffers with pointer addresses during runtime. MoonBounce's code used the marker 0x1122334455667788, while the xTalker rootkit's code used 0x1234567812345678.

IN THE SAME CATEGORY

Beyond the Surface: the evolution and expansion of the SideWinder APT group

*Magic*

In the  
stage  
This i  
close

## Conc

In Septe  
member  
a variety  
supply o  
referrec

Moreove  
the deve

the group has introduced its own innovation to this landscape – patching an existing benign core component in the firmware (rather than adding a new driver to it), thereby turning the UEFI firmware into a highly stealthy and persistent storage for malware in the system.

Following previous [predictions](#), we can now say that UEFI threats are gradually becoming a norm. With this in mind, vendors are taking more precautions to mitigate attacks like MoonBounce, for example by enabling Secure Boot by default. We [assess](#) that, in this ongoing arms race, attacks against UEFI will continue to proliferate, with attackers evolving and finding ways to exploit and bypass current security measures.

As a safety measure against this attack and similar ones, it is recommended to update the UEFI firmware regularly and verify that BootGuard, where applicable, is enabled. Likewise, enabling Trust Platform Modules, in case a corresponding hardware is supported on the machine, is also advisable. On top of all, a security product that has visibility into the firmware images should add an extra layer of security, alerting the user on a potential compromise if such occurs.

## MoonBounce' indicators of compromise

EFI Rootkit – Malicious CORE\_DXE  
[D94962550B90DDB3F80F62BD96BD9858](#)



### This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you've provided to them or that they've collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >

n Latin

ew  
s on  
ions in

2024

n APT

Modified WMI DLL Launcher  
[C3B153347AED27435A18E789D8B67E0A](#)

StealthVector  
[4D5EB9F6F501B4F6EDF981A3C6C4D6FA](#)  
[E7155C355C90DC113476DDCF765B187D](#)  
[899608DE6B59C63B4AE219C3C13502F5](#)  
[4EF90CEE2CC9FF3121B34A9891BB28D](#)  
[CFF2772C44F6F86661AB0A4FFBF86833](#)

InstallUtil Launcher  
[5F9020983A61446A77AF1976247C443D](#)

StealthMutant  
[0603C8AAECBDC523CBD3495E93AFB20C](#)  
[8C7598061D1E8741B8389A80BFD8B8F5](#)  
[F9F9D6FB3CB94B1CDF9E437141B59E16](#)

Microcin  
[5FE6CE9C48D0AE98EC2CA1EC9759AAD9](#)  
[50FF717A8E3106DDBF00FB42212879C5](#)  
[D98614600775781673B6DF397CC4F476](#)

Go Impla  
[C9B250](#)  
[97EF7B8](#)

Mimikat  
[4E4388](#)  
[5F1C76C](#)

xTalker F  
[45E8629](#)  
[4BC821C](#)

Domains  
mb.glbait  
ns.glbait  
dev.kinop  
st.kinop  
188.166.6  
172.107.2

193.29.57[.]161 – ScrambleCross  
136.244.100[.]127 – ScrambleCross  
217.69.10[.]104 – ScrambleCross  
92.38.178[.]246 – ScrambleCross  
m.necemarket[.]com – Microcin  
172.105.94[.]67 – Microcin  
holdmem.dbhubspi[.]com – Microcin  
5.188.93[.]132 – Go malware  
5.189.222[.]33 – Go malware  
5.183.103[.]122 – Go malware  
5.188.108[.]228 – Go malware  
45.128.132[.]6 – Go malware  
92.223.105[.]246 – Go malware  
5.183.101[.]21 – Go malware  
5.183.101[.]114 – Go malware  
45.128.135[.]15 – Go malware  
5.188.108[.]22 – Go malware  
70.34.201[.]16 – Go malware

File Names  
wbwkem.dll – StealthVector



This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you’ve provided to them or that they’ve collected from your use of their services.

Necessary	Preferences	Statistics	Marketing

Show details >

wkbem.dll – StealthVector  
wmiwk.dll – StealthVector  
C\_20344.nls – StealthVector  
C\_20334.nls – StealthVector  
compwm.bin – ScrambleCross Shellcode  
pcomnl.bin – ScrambleCross Shellcode  
wmipl.dll – ScrambleCross encrypted shellcode  
Microsoft.Service.Watch.targets – StealthMutant  
MstUtil.exe.config – ScrambleCross encrypted shellcode  
System.Mail.Service.dll – InstallUtil launcher for StealthMutant  
schtask.bat – Batch launcher for StealthMutant  
CmluaApi.dll – Microcin

ScrambleCross Mutexes

Global\GouZUAkmtdpUmves  
Global\PtUojBxCOZGVmQQn  
Global\EGuUCpyYIJRTQJAV  
Global\YCtiqMgRrpLGbfDo

- APT
- CHINESE-SPEAKING CYBERCRIME
- FIRMWARE
- MALWARE DESCRIPTIONS
- MALWARE TECHNOLOGIES
- MICROCIN
- MOONBOUNCE
- ROOTKITS
- UEFI

MoonBounce

Your email address

Type your comment

Name \*

Comment

SECURITYMAGAZINE  
Posted on January 20, 2022, 8:10 pm

Did you verify the effected systems not have BootGuard and/or TPM authentication enabled?

Reply

SECURELIST  
Posted on January 27, 2022, 2:58 pm

The affected system did not support neither BootGuard nor TPM.

Reply

MARK JACOBS  
Posted on January 21, 2022, 2:05 pm

How do the attackers “place” it on the SPI flash in the first place? Physical access to the hardware?

Reply

SECURELIST  
Posted on January 27, 2022, 2:58 pm

We don't have sufficient information as to how writing to the SPI flash happened, however we estimate that it was done through remote access to the machine, not physical access to the hardware.

Reply



This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you've provided to them or that they've collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



Show details >



Kaspersky  
Next

## // LATEST POSTS

SAS

The Crypto Game of Lazarus APT: Investors vs. Zero-days

BORIS LARIN, VASILY BERDNIKOV

MALWARE DESCRIPTIONS

Grandoreiro, the global trojan with grandiose goals

GREAT

CRIMEWARE REPORTS

Stealer here, stealer there, stealers everywhere!

GREAT

CRIMEWARE REPORTS

Analysis of the Crypt Ghouls group: continuing the investigation into a series of attacks on Russia

KASPERSKY

## // LATEST WEBINARS

THRILLER

04 SEP 2024

Inside the world of the hum...  
the hum...  
cybercr...

ANNA PAVLOVA

RESEARCH

Beyond the...  
expansi...  
Kaspers...  
activity: ...  
Africa, p...

PS

60 MIN

backlogs

**EastWind campaign: new CloudSorcerer attacks on government organizations in Russia**

Kaspersky has identified a new EastWind campaign targeting Russian organizations and using CloudSorcerer as well as APT31 and APT27 tools.

**APT trends report Q2 2024**

The report features the most significant developments relating to APT groups in Q2 2024, including the new backdoor in Linux utility XZ, a new RAT called SalmonQT, and hacktivist activity.

American countries.



## // SUBSCRIBE TO OUR WEEKLY E-MAILS

The hottest research right in your inbox

Email

☐ I agree to provide my email address to “AO Kaspersky Lab” to receive information about new posts on the site. I

Subscribe

understand that I can withdraw this consent at any time via e-mail by clicking the “unsubscribe” link that I find at the bottom of any e-mail sent to me for the purposes mentioned above.

kaspersky

THREATS

- APT (Targeted attacks)
- Secure environment (IoT)
- Mobile threats
- Financial threats
- Spam and phishing
- Industrial threats
- Web threats
- Vulnerabilities and exploits
- All threats

CATEGORIES

- APT reports
- Malware descriptions
- Security Bulletin
- Malware reports
- Spam and phishing reports
- Security technologies
- Research
- Publications
- All categories

OTHER SECTIONS

- Archive
- All tags
- Webinars
- APT Logbook
- Statistics
- Encyclopedia
- Threats descriptions
- KSB 2023



This website uses cookies

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners who may combine it with other information that you’ve provided to them or that they’ve collected from your use of their services.

Necessary



Preferences



Statistics



Marketing



[Show details](#) >