



Guess who's back

Nov 15, 2021 • Luca Ebach • Emotet,malware,trickbot

tl;dr: Emotet

The (slighty) longer story:
On Sunday, November 14, at around 9:26pm UTC we observed on several of our Trickbot trackers that the bot tried to download a DLL to the system. According to internal processing, these DLLs have been identified as Emotet. However, since the botnet was taken down earlier this year, we were suspicious about the findings and conducted an initial manual verification. Please find first results and IOCs below. Currently, we have high confidence that the samples indeed seem to be a re-incarnation of the infamous Emotet.

We are still conducting more in-depth analyses to raise the confidence even further. New information will be provided as they become available.

Initial Analysis

Sunday, November 14, 9:26pm: first occurence of the URLs being dropped; the URL we received was `hxxp://141.94.176.124/Loader_90563_1.dll` (SHA256 of the drop: `c7574aac7583a5bdc446f813b8e347a768a9f4af858404371eae82ad2d136a01`). Internal processing detected Emotet when executing the sample in our sandbox systems. Notably, the sample seems to have been compiled just before the deployment via several Trickbot botnets was observed: Timestamp : 6191769A (Sun Nov 14 20:50:34 2021)

The network traffic originating from the sample closely resembles what has been observed previously (e.g. as [described by Kaspersky](#)): the URL contains a random resource path and the bot transfers the request payload in a cookie (see image below). However, the encryption used to hide the data seems different from what has been observed in the past. Additionally, the sample now uses HTTPS with a self-signed server certificate to secure the network traffic.

HTTP Requests (6)

Method	URL	Response	Dest. IP	Dest. Port	Verdict
GET	https://81.0.236.93/IFDFbJvXBHJMaqFTv6ZFzShYvANEWwVvVtZzAfwfwsvVvBnml	200	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN
GET	https://81.0.236.93/sTEUyDChUjvYhCRbO	-	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN
GET	https://81.0.236.93/inswU5jgBvAcvYDDWsdISYvWvAamFXCBb	200	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN
GET	https://81.0.236.93/ihYUzevYJdnehtVtGkgWXdvtLRVjg	200	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN
GET	https://81.0.236.93/RaUGzMRb9wJaAaJucMOGppYymzKHNhPoVvFi	200	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN
GET	https://81.0.236.93/soZKruwVGbzBKUvVvgImIBK	-	81.0.236.93	443	CLEAN

Request

Response

Function Log (6)

PCAP Stream (2)

General Information

Timestamp

86.051000

URL

https://81.0.236.93/IFDFbJvXBHJMaqFTv6ZFzShYvANEWwVvVtZzAfwfwsvVvBnml

Original URL

https://81.0.236.93/IFDFbJvXBHJMaqFTv6ZFzShYvANEWwVvVtZzAfwfwsvVvBnml

Version

1.1

Method

GET

Request Headers

Cookie

SSFzx=SHpcaXD4MbW7Xg7Y58eyKScdMBduAK3Ljhy7XCc8N05fgVY7zOFLPvZu4vEu5Q5kg2NgLz1OJLMBOWW7RcNkxJmY9HTJW6s5ZNaQLEzHUKBW+538UMWuKAAQ582a

Figure 1: Network Traffic originating from the DLL

A notable characteristic of the last Emotet samples was the heavy use of control-flow flattening to obfuscate the code. The current sample also contains flattened control flows. To illustrate the similarity in the style of the obfuscation, find two arbitrary code snippets below. Figure 2 is a sample from 2020, Figure 3 is a snippet from the current sample:

```
if ( v2 > 123027472 )
{
    if ( v2 == 126545749 )
    {
        if ( !(v0 | v1) )
        {
            v2 = v81;
            goto LABEL_45;
        }
        sub_4051A0();
        v3 = sub_405160();
        if ( v3 > v4 )
        {
            v5 = sub_403530((void *)0x821D6A16);
            v6 = (void (*)(void))GetProcAddress(v5, GetTickCount);
            v6();
            sub_4051A0();
            sub_405160();
        }
        v7 = sub_4051A0();
        if ( sub_408700((void *) (v8 + v7)) )
            return;
        v9 = sub_403530((void *)0x821D6A16);
        v10 = (int (*)(void))GetProcAddress(v9, GetTickCount64);
        LODWORD(v11) = v10();
        if ( v11 >= __PAIR64__(v0, v1) )
        {
            v2 = v81;
            goto LABEL_45;
        }
    }
    else
    {
        if ( v2 != 130131542 )
            goto LABEL_45;
        sub_4037D0(v88);
    }
    v2 = 126545749;
}
else
{
    switch ( v2 )
    {
        case 123027472:
            sub_407590();
            v2 = 497468109;
            break;
        case 92035135:
            if ( !sub_406800((int)v83, v90) )
                goto LABEL_108;
            sub_409120();
            v2 = 590770343;
            break;
        case 101103022:
            if ( !sub_407980() )
                return;
            v2 = 74515586;
            break;
        case 110879456:
            v87[5] = sub_405420();
            v2 = 393400050;
            break;
        default:
            goto LABEL_45;
    }
}
```

Figure 2: Emotet sample from 2020

```
while ( v3 > 188130702 )
{
    switch ( v3 )
    {
        case 210046076:
            sub_10017AF5(1018226, dword_100017D8);
            v9 = v12;
            if ( sub_10015267(535608, 0, 696291, v12, v13, 632992, 918128, v12) )
            {
                v3 = 260369916;
            }
            else
            {
                sub_1000E018(64, 86887, dword_100261E8 + 44, v14, 918981);
                v3 = 188130702;
            }
            sub_100063E1(652695, 707639);
        case 39:
            if ( v3 == 119464516 )
                return v2;
            break;
        case 236814734:
            v3 = 239363722;
            break;
        case 239363722:
            v4 = sub_10017AF5(453922, dword_10001888);
            v5 = sub_10017AF5(31957, dword_100017A8);
            v3 = 119464516;
            if ( !sub_10001C20(240181, 1031442, (int)&v8, 0, v4, 563461, 617628, v5) )
                v3 = 86401311;
            sub_100063E1(58229, 321294);
            sub_100063E1(256229, 366009);
            v1 = v11;
            goto LABEL_39;
        case 244146945:
            v3 = 14413102;
            if ( !sub_100187EC() )
                v3 = 28268324;
            break;
        default:
            sub_100080EC(146223, 400581);
            v3 = 31912885;
            break;
    }
}
```

Figure 3: Current Emotet sample

Conclusion (so far)

As per the famous duck-typing, we conclude so far: smells like Emotet, looks like Emotet, behaves like Emotet - seems to be Emotet.

We are currently updating our internal tooling for the new sample to provide more indicators to strengthen the claim that Emotet seems to be back.

IOCs

URLs:	hxxp://141.94.176.124/Loader_90563_1.dll
Hashes:	c7574aac7583a5bdc446f813b8e347a768a9f4af858404371eae82ad2d136a01 - Loader_90563_1.dll
Server List:	81.0.236.93:443 94.177.248.64:443 66.42.55.5:7080 103.8.26.103:8080 185.184.25.237:8080 45.76.176.10:8080 188.93.125.116:8080 103.8.26.102:8080 178.79.147.66:8080 58.227.42.236:80 45.118.135.203:7080 103.75.201.2:443 195.154.133.20:443 45.142.114.231:8080 212.237.5.209:443 207.38.84.195:8080 104.251.214.46:8080 138.185.72.26:8080 51.68.175.8:8080 210.57.217.132:8080
String List:	SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run POST %s\rundll32.exe "%s",Control_RunDLL Control_RunDLL %s\%s %s\%s %s\%s%x %s%s.exe %s\%s SHA256 HASH

<div><div>AES</div><div>Microsoft Primitive Provider</div><div>ObjectLength</div><div>KeyDataBlob</div><div>%s\rundll32.exe "%s%s",%s</div><div>Content-Type: multipart/form-data; boundary=%s</div></div>	
<div><div>RNG</div><div>%s%s.dll</div><div>%s\rundll32.exe "%s",Control_RunDLL</div><div>%s%s.dll</div><div>%s\regsvr32.exe -s "%s"</div><div>%s\%s</div><div>%s%s.exe</div><div>SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run</div><div>%s\rundll32.exe "%s%s",%s</div><div>ECCPUBLICBLOB</div><div>ECDH_P256</div><div>Microsoft Primitive Provider</div><div>ECCPUBLICBLOB</div><div>Cookie: %s=%s</div></div>	
<div><div>%s\rundll32.exe "%s%s",%s</div><div>%s:Zone.Identifier</div><div>%u.%u.%u.%u</div><div>%s\%s</div><div>%s*</div><div>%s\%s</div><div>WinSta0\Default</div><div>%s\rundll32.exe "%s",Control_RunDLL %s</div><div>%s%s.dll</div><div>ECCPUBLICBLOB</div><div>ECDSA_P256</div><div>Microsoft Primitive Provider</div><div>%s\%s</div><div>SHA256</div><div>Microsoft Primitive Provider</div><div>ObjectLength</div></div>	