

A fast algorithm to compute a curve of confidence upper bounds for the False Discovery Proportion using a reference family with a forest structure

Guillermo Durand ¹ Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay, Université Paris-Saclay

Date published: 2024-07-05 Last modified: 2024-07-05

Abstract

This paper presents a new algorithm (and an additional trick) that allows to compute fastly an entire curve of post hoc bounds for the False Discovery Proportion when the underlying bound V_{\Re}^* construction is based on a reference family \Re with a forest structure à la Durand et al. (2020). By an entire curve, we mean the values $V_{\Re}^*(S_1), \ldots, V_{\Re}^*(S_m)$ computed on a path of increasing selection sets $S_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_m$, $|S_t| = t$. The new algorithm leverages the fact that going from S_t to S_{t+1} is done by adding only one hypothesis.

Keywords: multiple testing, algorithmic, post hoc inference, false discovery proportion, confidence bound

Contents

2	1	Introduction	2
3	2	Notation and reference family methodology	3
4		2.1 Multiple testing notation	3
5		2.2 Post hoc bounds with reference families	3
6		2.3 Deterministic regions with a forest structure	3
7	3	New algorithms	3
8		3.1 Pruning the forest	3
9		3.2 Fast algorithm to compute a curve of confidence bounds on a path of selection sets	4
10	4	Numerical experiments	4
11	5	Conclusion	4
12	6	Acknowledments	4
13	Re	eferences	5
14	Se	ssion information	6

¹Corresponding author: guillermo.durand@universite-paris-saclay.fr

1 Introduction

Multiple testing theory is often used for exploratory analysis, like Genome-Wide Association Studies, where multiple features are tested to find promising ones. Classical multiple testing theory like Family-Wise Error Rate (FWER) control or False Discovery Rate (FDR) control (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) can be used, but a more recent trend consists in the computation of post hoc bounds, also named post selection bounds or confidence envelopes, for the number of false positives, or, equivalently, for the False Discovery Proportion (FDP). This approach is notably advocated for in the context of exploratory research by (Goeman and Solari, 2011, Section 1).

Mathematically speaking, a confidence upper bound (we prefer to say upper bound instead of envelope for obvious reasons) is a function $\hat{V}: \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{N}_m^*) \to \mathbb{N}_m$, where $\mathbb{N}_m = \{0, ..., m\}$, $\mathbb{N}_m^* = \{1, ..., m\}$ and m is the number of hypotheses, such that

$$\forall \alpha \in]0, 1[, \mathbb{P}\left(\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{m}^{\star}, |S \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}| \le \hat{V}(S)\right) \ge 1 - \alpha. \tag{1}$$

Here, α is a target error rate and \mathcal{H}_0 is the set of hypotheses indices that are true null hypotheses. Note that the construction of \hat{V} depends on α and on the random data X and the dependence is omitted to lighten notation and because there is no ambiguity. The meaning of Equation 1 is that \hat{V} provides an upper bound of the number of null hypotheses in S for any selection set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_m^*$, which allows the user to perform post hoc selection on their data without breaching the statistical guarantee. Also note that by dividing by $|S| \wedge 1$ in Equation 1 we also get a confidence bound for the FDP:

$$\forall \alpha \in]0, 1[, \mathbb{P}\left(\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_m^*, \text{FDP}(S) \le \frac{\hat{V}(S)}{|S| \land 1}\right) \ge 1 - \alpha.$$
 (2)

In first confidence bounds are found in (Genovese and Wasserman, 2006) and (Meinshausen, 2006), although, in the latter, only for selection sets of the form $\{i \in \mathbb{N}_m : P_i \leq t\}$ where P_i is the p-value associated to the null hypothesis $H_{0,i}$. In (Goeman and Solari, 2011) the authors re-wrote the generic construction of (Genovese and Wasserman, 2006) in terms of closed testing (Marcus et al., 1976), proposed several practical constructions and sparked a new interest in multiple testing procedures based on confidence envelopes. This work was followed by a prolific series of works like (Meijer et al., 2015) and (Vesely et al., 2023). In (Blanchard et al., 2020), the authors introduce the new point of view of references families (see Section 2.2) to construct post hoc bounds, and show the links between this meta-technique and the closed testing one, along with new bounds.

Following the reference family trail, in (Durand et al., 2020) the authors introduce new reference families with a special set-theoretic constraint that allows an efficient computation of the bound denoted by $V_{\mathfrak{R}}^*$ on a single selection set S. The problem is that one often wants to compute $V_{\mathfrak{R}}^*$ on a whole path of selection sets $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}_m^*}$, for example the hypotheses attached to the t smallest p-values. Whereas the algorithm provided the aforementioned work (Durand et al., 2020, Algorithm 1) is fast for a single evaluation, it is slow and inefficient to repeatedly call it to compute each $V_{\mathfrak{R}}^*(S_t)$. If the S_t 's are nested, and growing by one, that is $S_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_m$ and $|S_t| = t$, there is a way to efficiently compute $(V_{\mathfrak{R}}^*(S_t))_{t \in \mathbb{N}_m}$ by leveraging the nested structure.

This is the main contribution of the present paper: a new and fast algorithm computing the curve $\left(V_{\mathfrak{R}}^{*}(S_{t})\right)_{t\in\mathbb{N}_{m}}$ for a nested path of selection sets, that is presented in Section 3.2. An additional

algorithm that can speed up computations both for the single-evaluation algorithm and the new curve-evaluation algorithm is also presented, in Section 3.1. In Section 2.1, all necessary notation and vocabulary is re-introduced, most of it being the same as in (Durand et al., 2020). Finally, a few numerical experiments are presented in Section Section 4 to demonstrate the computation time gain.

Notation and reference family methodology

- 61 2.1 Multiple testing notation
- 2 2.2 Post hoc bounds with reference families
- 2.3 Deterministic regions with a forest structure
- **3** New algorithms
- 65 3.1 Pruning the forest

Algorithm 1 Pruning of R

```
1: procedure Pruning(\Re = (R_k, \zeta_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}} with \Re complete)
              \mathcal{K}^{\mathfrak{pr}} \leftarrow \mathcal{K}
 2:
              H \leftarrow \max_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \phi(k)
 3:
                                                                                                                                                         ⊳ maximum depth
              for h = H - 1, ..., 1 do
  4:
                     \mathcal{K}^h \leftarrow \{k \in \mathcal{K} : \phi(k) = h\}
  5:
                     newVec \leftarrow (0)_{k \in \mathcal{K}^h}
 6:
                     for k \in \mathcal{K}^h do
 7:
                            Succ_k \leftarrow \{k' \in \mathcal{K}^{h+1} : R_{k'} \subseteq R_k\}
 8:
                            if Succ_k = \emptyset then
 9:
                                  newVec_k \leftarrow \zeta_k
10:
                            else
11:
                                  if \zeta_k \geq \sum_{k' \in Succ_k} Vec_{k'} then
12:
                                          \mathcal{K}^{\mathfrak{pr}} \leftarrow \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{pr}}} \setminus \{k\}
13:
14:
                                  newVec_k \leftarrow \min\left(\zeta_k, \sum_{k' \in Succ_k} Vec_{k'}\right)
                            end if
16:
                     end for
17:
18:
                     Vec \leftarrow newVec
19:
             return (\mathcal{K}^{\mathfrak{pr}}, \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}^1} Vec_k)
20:
21: end procedure
```

Algorithm 2 Formal computation of $(V_{\mathfrak{R}}^*(S_t))_{0 \le t \le m}$

```
1: procedure Curve(\Re = (R_k, \zeta_k)_{k \in \mathcal{X}} with \Re complete, path (S_t)_{1 \le t \le m} with S_t = \{i_1, \dots, i_t\})
                \mathcal{P}^0 \leftarrow \{(i,i) : 1 \le i \le n\}
                                                                                                                                                    > the set of all atoms indices
                \mathcal{K}_0^- \leftarrow \{k \in \mathcal{K} : \zeta_k = 0\}
  3:
               \eta_k^0 \leftarrow 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{K}
  4:
               for t = 1, ..., m do
  5:
                       if i_t \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}_{t-1}^-} R_k then \mathcal{P}^t \leftarrow \mathcal{P}^{t-1}
  6:
  7:
                              \begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_t^- &\leftarrow \mathcal{K}_{t-1}^- \\ \eta_k^t &\leftarrow \eta_k^{t-1} \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}
  8:
  9:
10:
                               for h = 1, ..., h_{max}(t) do
11:
                                      \eta_{k^{(t,h)}}^t \leftarrow \eta_{k^{(t,h)}}^{t-1} + 1
12:
                                      if \eta_{k^{(t,h)}}^t < \zeta_k then
13:
14:
15:
                                             h_t^f \leftarrow h.
16:
                                             \mathcal{P}^t \leftarrow \left(\mathcal{P}^{t-1} \setminus \{k \in \mathcal{P}^{t-1} : R_k \subseteq R_{k^{(t,h_t^f)}}\}\right) \cup \{k^{(t,h_t^f)}\}
17:
                                              \mathcal{K}_t^- \leftarrow \mathcal{K}_{t-1}^- \cup \{k^{(t,h_t^f)}\}
18:
19:
                                      end if
20:
                               end for
21:
                               if the loop has been broken then
22:
                                      \eta_k^t \leftarrow \eta_k^{t-1} for all k \in \mathcal{K} not visited during the loop, that is all k \notin \{k^{(t,h)}, 1 \le h \le h_t^f\}
23:
                               else
                                      \mathscr{P}^t \leftarrow \mathscr{P}^{t-1}
25:
                                       \mathcal{K}^-_t \leftarrow \mathcal{K}^-_{t-1} \\ \eta^t_k \leftarrow \eta^{t-1}_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{K} \text{ not visited during the loop, that is all } k \notin \{k^{(t,h)}, 1 \leq h \leq t \} 
26:
27:
       h_{\max}(t)
                               end if
28:
29:
                       end if
30:
               return \mathcal{P}^t, \eta_k^t for all t = 1, ..., m and k \in \mathcal{K}
31:
32: end procedure
```

66 3.2 Fast algorithm to compute a curve of confidence bounds on a path of selection sets

4 Numerical experiments

5 Conclusion

70 6 Acknowledments

This work has been supported by ANR-20-IDEES-0002 (PIA), ANR-19-CHIA-0021 (BISCOTTE), ANR-23-CE40-0018 (BACKUP) and ANR-21-CE23-0035 (ASCAI).

Algorithm 3 Implementation of $(V_{\mathfrak{R}}^{*}(S_{t}))_{0 \le t \le m}$

```
1: procedure Curve(\Re = (R_k, \zeta_k)_{k \in \mathcal{X}} with \Re complete, path (S_t)_{1 \le t \le m} with S_t = \{i_1, ..., i_t\})
 2:
             V_0 \leftarrow 0
             \mathcal{K}^- \leftarrow \{k \in \mathcal{K} : \zeta_k = 0\}
 3:
            \eta_k \leftarrow 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{K}
 4:
            for t = 1, ..., m do
  5:
                   if i_t \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}^-} R_k then
 6:
                         V_t \leftarrow V_{t-1}
 7:
                   else
 8:
                         for h = 1, ..., h_{\max}(t) do
 9:
                               find k^{(t,h)} \in \mathcal{K}^h such that i_t \in R_{k^{(t,h)}}
10:
                               \eta_{k(t,h)} \leftarrow \eta_{k(t,h)} + 1
11:
                               if \eta_{k^{(t,h)}} < \zeta_k then
12:
                                      pass
13:
14:
                                      \mathcal{K}^- \leftarrow \mathcal{K}^- \cup \{k^{(t,h)}\}\
15:
                                      break the loop
16:
                               end if
17:
                         end for
18:
                         V_t \leftarrow V_{t-1} + 1
19:
20:
                   end if
             end for
21:
             return (V_t)_{1 \le t \le m}
22:
23: end procedure
```

References

- Yoav Benjamini and Yosef Hochberg. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B*, 57(1):289–300, 1995. ISSN 0035-9246. URL https://www.jstor.org/stable/2346101.
- Gilles Blanchard, Pierre Neuvial, and Etienne Roquain. Post hoc confidence bounds on false positives using reference families. *Ann. Statist.*, 48(3):1281–1303, 2020. ISSN 0090-5364. doi: 10.1214/19-AOS1847. URL https://doi.org/10.1214/19-AOS1847.
- Mał gorzata Bogdan, Ewout van den Berg, Chiara Sabatti, Weijie Su, and Emmanuel J. Candès.
 SLOPE—adaptive variable selection via convex optimization. Ann. Appl. Stat., 9(3):1103–1140, 2015.
 ISSN 1932-6157,1941-7330. doi: 10.1214/15-AOAS842. URL https://doi.org/10.1214/15-AOAS842.
- Guillermo Durand, Gilles Blanchard, Pierre Neuvial, and Etienne Roquain. Post hoc false positive control for structured hypotheses. *Scand. J. Stat.*, 47(4):1114–1148, 2020. ISSN 0303-6898. doi: 10.1111/sjos.12453. URL https://doi.org/10.1111/sjos.12453.
- Christopher R. Genovese and Larry Wasserman. Exceedance control of the false discovery proportion.
 J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 101(476):1408–1417, 2006. ISSN 0162-1459. doi: 10.1198/016214506000000339.
 URL https://doi.org/10.1198/016214506000000339.
- Jelle J. Goeman and Aldo Solari. Multiple testing for exploratory research. *Statist. Sci.*, 26(4):584–597, 2011. ISSN 0883-4237. doi: 10.1214/11-STS356. URL https://doi.org/10.1214/11-STS356.
- Ruth Marcus, Eric Peritz, and K. R. Gabriel. On closed testing procedures with special reference

- to ordered analysis of variance. *Biometrika*, 63(3):655–660, 1976. ISSN 0006-3444. doi: 10.1093/biomet/63.3.655. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/63.3.655.
- Rosa J. Meijer, Thijmen J. P. Krebs, and Jelle J. Goeman. A region-based multiple testing method for
 hypotheses ordered in space or time. *Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol.*, 14(1):1–19, 2015. ISSN 2194-6302.
 doi: 10.1515/sagmb-2013-0075. URL https://doi.org/10.1515/sagmb-2013-0075.
- Nicolai Meinshausen. False discovery control for multiple tests of association under general dependence. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 33(2):227–237, 2006. ISSN 0303-6898. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9469.2005.00488.x.
 URL https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9469.2005.00488.x.
- Anna Vesely, Livio Finos, and Jelle J. Goeman. Permutation-based true discovery guarantee by sum tests. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B. Stat. Methodol., 85(3):664–683, 2023. ISSN 1369-7412,1467-9868. doi: 10.1093/jrsssb/qkad019. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssb/qkad019.

Session information

```
R version 4.4.0 (2024-04-24)
   Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu
105
   Running under: Ubuntu 22.04.4 LTS
106
   Matrix products: default
            /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/openblas-pthread/libblas.so.3
   LAPACK: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/openblas-pthread/libopenblasp-r0.3.20.so; LAPACK version 3.10.0
111
   locale:
112
    [1] LC_CTYPE=C.UTF-8
                                 LC_NUMERIC=C
                                                          LC_TIME=C.UTF-8
113
                                                          LC_MESSAGES=C.UTF-8
     [4] LC_COLLATE=C.UTF-8
                                 LC_MONETARY=C.UTF-8
    [7] LC_PAPER=C.UTF-8
                                                          LC_ADDRESS=C
                                 LC_NAME=C
115
   [10] LC_TELEPHONE=C
                                 LC_MEASUREMENT=C.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
116
117
   time zone: UTC
118
   tzcode source: system (glibc)
119
   attached base packages:
121
                  graphics grDevices datasets
   [1] stats
                                                 utils
                                                             methods
                                                                        base
122
123
   loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
124
     [1] compiler_4.4.0
                            fastmap_1.1.1
125
                                               cli_3.6.2
                                                                  htmltools_0.5.8.1
     [5] tools_4.4.0
                            yaml_2.3.8
                                               rmarkdown_2.26
                                                                  knitr_1.46
126
     [9] jsonlite_1.8.8
                            xfun_0.43
                                               digest_0.6.35
                                                                  rlang_1.1.3
127
   [13] renv_1.0.7
                            evaluate_0.23
```