

# The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

## Chapter 1, Part II: Predicate Logic

Because learning changes everything.™

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education. All rights reserved. Authorized only for instructor use in the classroom. No reproduction or further distribution permitted without the prior written consent of McGraw-Hill Education.

## Predicates and Quantifiers

### Section 1.4

## Propositional Logic Not Enough

If we have:

“All men are mortal.”

“Socrates is a man.”

Does it follow that “Socrates is mortal?”

Can't be represented in propositional logic.  
Need a language that talks about objects, their properties, and their relations.

Later we'll see how to draw inferences.

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Introducing Predicate Logic

Predicate logic uses the following new features:

- Variables:  $x, y, z$
- Predicates:  $P(x), M(x)$
- Quantifiers:  $\forall, \exists$

*Propositional functions* are a generalization of propositions.

- They contain variables and a predicate, e.g.,  $P(x)$
- Variables can be replaced by elements from their *domain*.

## Propositional Functions

Propositional functions become propositions (and have truth values) when their variables are each replaced by a value from the *domain* (or *bound* by a quantifier, as we will see later).

The statement  $P(x)$  is said to be the value of the propositional function  $P$  at  $x$ .

For example, let  $P(x)$  denote " $x > 0$ " and the domain be the integers. Then:

$P(-3)$  is false.

$P(0)$  is false.

$P(3)$  is true.

Often the domain is denoted by  $U$ . So in this example  $U$  is the integers.

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Compound Expressions

Connectives from propositional logic carry over to predicate logic.

If  $P(x)$  denotes " $x > 0$ ," find these truth values:

$P(3) \vee P(-1)$     **Solution:** T

$P(3) \wedge P(-1)$     **Solution:** F

$P(3) \rightarrow P(-1)$     **Solution:** F

$P(3) \rightarrow \neg P(-1)$     **Solution:** T

Expressions with variables are not propositions and therefore do not have truth values. For example,

$P(3) \wedge P(y)$

$P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$

When used with quantifiers (to be introduced next), these expressions (propositional functions) become propositions.

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Examples of Propositional Functions

Let " $x + y = z$ " be denoted by  $R(x, y, z)$  and  $U$  (for all three variables) be the integers. Find these truth values:

$R(2, -1, 5)$

**Solution:** F

$R(3, 4, 7)$

**Solution:** T

$R(x, 3, z)$

**Solution:** Not a Proposition

Now let " $x - y = z$ " be denoted by  $Q(x, y, z)$ , with  $U$  as the integers. Find these truth values:

$Q(2, -1, 3)$

**Solution:** T

$Q(3, 4, 7)$

**Solution:** F

$Q(x, 3, z)$

**Solution:** Not a Proposition

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Quantifiers



Charles  
Peirce  
(1839-1914)

We need *quantifiers* to express the meaning of English words including *all* and *some*:

- "All men are Mortal."
- "Some cats do not have fur."

The two most important quantifiers are:

- *Universal Quantifier*, "For all," symbol:  $\forall$
- *Existential Quantifier*, "There exists," symbol:  $\exists$

We write as in  $\forall x P(x)$  and  $\exists x P(x)$ .

$\forall x P(x)$  asserts  $P(x)$  is true for every  $x$  in the *domain*.

$\exists x P(x)$  asserts  $P(x)$  is true for some  $x$  in the *domain*.

The quantifiers are said to bind the variable  $x$  in these expressions.

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Universal Quantifier

$\forall x P(x)$  is read as “For all  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ” or “For every  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ”

### Examples:

- 1) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.
- 2) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the positive integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is true.
- 3) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x$  is even” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.

## Uniqueness Quantifier (*optional*)

$\exists!x P(x)$  means that  $P(x)$  is true for one and only one  $x$  in the universe of discourse.

This is commonly expressed in English in the following equivalent ways:

- “There is a unique  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ .”
- “There is one and only one  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ ”

### Examples:

1. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x + 1 = 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists!x P(x)$  is true.
2. But if  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ,” then  $\exists!x P(x)$  is false.

The uniqueness quantifier is not really needed as the restriction that there is a unique  $x$  such that  $P(x)$  can be expressed as:

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \forall y (P(y) \rightarrow y = x))$$

## Existential Quantifier

$\exists x P(x)$  is read as “For some  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ”, or as “There is an  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ ,” or “For at least one  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .”

### Examples:

1. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true. It is also true if  $U$  is the positive integers.
2. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x < 0$ ” and  $U$  is the positive integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is false.
3. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x$  is even” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true.

## Thinking about Quantifiers

When the domain of discourse is finite, we can think of quantification as looping through the elements of the domain.

To evaluate  $\forall x P(x)$  loop through all  $x$  in the domain.

- If at every step  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is true.
- If at a step  $P(x)$  is false, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false and the loop terminates.

To evaluate  $\exists x P(x)$  loop through all  $x$  in the domain.

- If at some step,  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true and the loop terminates.
- If the loop ends without finding an  $x$  for which  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is false.

Even if the domains are infinite, we can still think of the quantifiers this fashion, but the loops will not terminate in some cases.

## Properties of Quantifiers

The truth value of  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  depend on both the propositional function  $P(x)$  and on the domain  $U$ .

**Examples:**

1. If  $U$  is the positive integers and  $P(x)$  is the statement " $x < 2$ ", then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true, but  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.
2. If  $U$  is the negative integers and  $P(x)$  is the statement " $x < 2$ ", then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are true.
3. If  $U$  consists of 3, 4, and 5, and  $P(x)$  is the statement " $x < 2$ ", then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are true. But if  $P(x)$  is the statement " $x < 2$ ", then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are false.

## Translating from English to Logic<sub>1</sub>

**Example 1:** Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: "Every student in this class has taken a course in Java."

**Solution:**

First decide on the domain  $U$ .

**Solution 1:** If  $U$  is all students in this class, define a propositional function  $J(x)$  denoting "x has taken a course in Java" and translate as  $\forall x J(x)$ .

**Solution 2:** But if  $U$  is all people, also define a propositional function  $S(x)$  denoting "x is a student in this class" and translate as  $\forall x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$ .

$\forall x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$  is not correct. What does it mean?

## Precedence of Quantifiers

The quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  have higher precedence than all the logical operators.

For example,  $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$  should mean

$$(\forall x P(x)) \vee Q(x)$$

but not  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .

## Translating from English to Logic<sub>2</sub>

**Example 2:** Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: "Some student in this class has taken a course in Java."

**Solution:**

First decide on the domain  $U$ .

**Solution 1:** If  $U$  is all students in this class, translate as

$$\exists x J(x)$$

**Solution 2:** But if  $U$  is all people, then translate as

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$$

$\exists x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$  is not correct. What does it mean?

## Equivalences in Predicate Logic

Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are *logically equivalent* if and only if they have the same truth value

- for every predicate substituted into these statements and
- for every domain of discourse used for the variables in the expressions.

The notation  $S \equiv T$  indicates that  $S$  and  $T$  are logically equivalent.

**Example:**  $\forall x \neg \neg S(x) \equiv \forall x S(x)$

## Negating Quantified Expressions<sub>2</sub>

Now Consider  $\exists x J(x)$

“There is a student in this class who has taken a course in Java.”

Where  $J(x)$  is “ $x$  has taken a course in Java.”

Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case that there is a student in this class who has taken Java.” This implies that “Every student in this class has not taken Java”

Symbolically  $\neg \exists x J(x)$  and  $\forall x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

## Negating Quantified Expressions<sub>1</sub>

Consider  $\forall x J(x)$

“Every student in your class has taken a course in Java.”

Here  $J(x)$  is “ $x$  has taken a course in Java” and

the domain is students in your class.

Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case that every student in your class has taken Java.” This implies that “There is a student in your class who has not taken Java.”

Symbolically  $\neg \forall x J(x)$  and  $\exists x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

## De Morgan’s Laws for Quantifiers

The rules for negating quantifiers are:

| Negation              | Equivalent Statement  | When Is Negation True?                     | When False?                            |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| $\neg \exists x P(x)$ | $\forall x \neg P(x)$ | For every $x$ , $P(x)$ is false.           | There is $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true. |
| $\neg \forall x P(x)$ | $\exists x \neg P(x)$ | There is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is false. | $P(x)$ is true for every $x$ .         |

The reasoning in the table shows that:

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

## Translation from English to Logic

### Examples:

1. "Some student in this class has visited Mexico."

**Solution:** Let  $M(x)$  denote "x has visited Mexico" and  $S(x)$  denote "x is a student in this class," and  $U$  be all people.

$$\exists x(S(x) \wedge M(x))$$

1. "Every student in this class has visited Canada or Mexico."

**Solution:** Add  $C(x)$  denoting "x has visited Canada."

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow (M(x) \vee C(x)))$$

## Nested Quantifiers

### Section 1.4

## System Specification Example

**Predicate logic is used for specifying properties that systems must satisfy.**

For example, translate into predicate logic:

- "Every mail message larger than one megabyte will be compressed."
- "If a user is active, at least one network link will be available."

Decide on predicates and domains (left implicit here) for the variables:

- Let  $L(m, y)$  be "Mail message  $m$  is larger than  $y$  megabytes."
- Let  $C(m)$  denote "Mail message  $m$  will be compressed."
- Let  $A(u)$  represent "User  $u$  is active."
- Let  $S(n, x)$  represent "Network link  $n$  is state  $x$ ."

Now we have:  $\forall m(L(m, 1) \rightarrow C(m))$   
 $\exists u A(u) \rightarrow \exists n S(n, \text{available})$

## Nested Quantifiers

Nested quantifiers are often necessary to express the meaning of sentences in English as well as important concepts in computer science and mathematics.

**Example:** "Every real number has an inverse" is

$$\forall x \exists y(x + y = 0)$$

where the domains of  $x$  and  $y$  are the real numbers.

We can also think of nested propositional functions:

$\forall x \exists y(x + y = 0)$  can be viewed as  $\forall x Q(x)$  where  $Q(x)$  is  $\exists y P(x, y)$  where  $P(x, y)$  is  $(x + y = 0)$

## Order of Quantifiers

### Examples:

1. Let  $P(x,y)$  be the statement " $x + y = y + x$ ." Assume that  $U$  is the real numbers. Then  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$  and  $\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$  have the same truth value.
2. Let  $Q(x,y)$  be the statement " $x + y = 0$ ." Assume that  $U$  is the real numbers. Then  $\forall x \exists y Q(x,y)$  is true, but  $\exists y \forall x Q(x,y)$  is false.

## Questions on Order of Quantifiers<sub>2</sub>

**Example 2:** Let  $U$  be the real numbers,

Define  $P(x,y) : x / y = 1$

What is the truth value of the following:

1.  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**False

2.  $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**False

3.  $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**False

4.  $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**True

## Questions on Order of Quantifiers<sub>1</sub>

**Example 1:** Let  $U$  be the real numbers,

Define  $P(x,y) : x \cdot y = 0$

What is the truth value of the following:

1.  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**False

2.  $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**True

3.  $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**True

4.  $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:**True

## Quantifications of Two Variables

| Statement  | When True?   | When False  |
|--|--|---|
| $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$<br>$\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$ | $P(x,y)$ is true for every pair $x,y$ .                    | There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is false.         |
| $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$                                 | For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true.   | There is an $x$ such that $P(x,y)$ is false for every $y$ . |
| $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$                                 | There is an $x$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true for every $y$ . | For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is false.   |
| $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$<br>$\exists y \exists x P(x,y)$ | There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true.         | $P(x,y)$ is false for every pair $x,y$                      |

## Translating Nested Quantifiers into English

**Example 1:** Translate the statement

$$\forall x (C(x) \vee \exists y (C(y) \wedge F(x, y)))$$

where  $C(x)$  is “ $x$  has a computer,” and  $F(x, y)$  is “ $x$  and  $y$  are friends,” and the domain for both  $x$  and  $y$  consists of all students in your school.

**Solution:** Every student in your school has a computer or has a friend who has a computer.

**Example 2:** Translate the statement

$$\exists x \forall y \forall z ((F(x, y) \wedge F(x, z) \wedge (y \neq z)) \rightarrow \neg F(y, z))$$

**Solution:** There is a student none of whose friends are also friends with each other.

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Translating English into Logical Expressions Example

**Example:** Use quantifiers to express the statement “There is a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.”

**Solution:**

1. Let  $P(w, f)$  be “ $w$  has taken  $f$ ” and  $Q(f, a)$  be “ $f$  is a flight on  $a$ .”
2. The domain of  $w$  is all women, the domain of  $f$  is all flights, and the domain of  $a$  is all airlines.
3. Then the statement can be expressed as:

$$\exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Translating Mathematical Statements into Predicate Logic

**Example :** Translate “The sum of two positive integers is always positive” into a logical expression.

**Solution:**

1. Rewrite the statement to make the implied quantifiers and domains explicit:  
“For every two integers, if these integers are both positive, then the sum of these integers is positive.”
2. Introduce the variables  $x$  and  $y$ , and specify the domain, to obtain:  
“For all positive integers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x + y$  is positive.”
3. The result is:

$$\forall x \forall y ((x > 0) \wedge (y > 0)) \rightarrow (x + y > 0)$$

where the domain of both variables consists of all integers

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education

## Questions on Translation from English

Choose the obvious predicates and express in predicate logic.

**Example 1:** “Brothers are siblings.”

**Solution:**  $\forall x \forall y (B(x, y) \rightarrow S(x, y))$

**Example 2:** “Siblinghood is symmetric.”

**Solution:**  $\forall x \forall y (S(x, y) \rightarrow S(y, x))$

**Example 3:** “Everybody loves somebody.”

**Solution:**  $\forall x \exists y L(x, y)$

**Example 4:** “There is someone who is loved by everyone.”

**Solution:**  $\exists y \forall x L(x, y)$

**Example 5:** “There is someone who loves someone.”

**Solution:**  $\exists x \exists y L(x, y)$

**Example 6:** “Everyone loves himself”

**Solution:**  $\forall x L(x, x)$

© 2019 McGraw-Hill Education



# Negating Nested Quantifiers

**Example 1:** Recall the logical expression developed in the previous example on flights:

$$\exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$$

**Part 1:** Use quantifiers to express the statement that “There does not exist a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world.”

**Solution:**  $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$

**Part 2:** Now use De Morgan’s Laws to move the negation as far inwards as possible.

**Solution:**a

1.  $\neg \exists w \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$
2.  $\forall w \neg \forall a \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$  by De Morgan’s for  $\exists$
3.  $\forall w \exists a \neg \exists f (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$  by De Morgan’s for  $\forall$
4.  $\forall w \exists a \forall f \neg (P(w, f) \wedge Q(f, a))$  by De Morgan’s for  $\exists$
5.  $\forall w \exists a \forall f (\neg P(w, f) \vee \neg Q(f, a))$  by De Morgan’s for  $\wedge$ .

**Part 3:** Can you translate the result back into English?

**Solution:**

“For every woman there is an airline such that for all flights, this woman has not taken that flight or that flight is not on this airline”

## Some Questions about Quantifiers (optional)

Can you switch the order of quantifiers?

- Is this a valid equivalence?  $\forall x \forall y P(x, y) \equiv \forall y \forall x P(x, y)$

**Solution:** Yes! The left and the right side will always have the same truth value. The order in which  $x$  and  $y$  are picked does not matter.

- Is this a valid equivalence?  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \equiv \exists y \forall x P(x, y)$

**Solution:** No! The left and the right side may have different truth values for some propositional functions for  $P$ . Try “ $x + y = 0$ ” for  $P(x, y)$  with  $U$  being the integers. The order in which the values of  $x$  and  $y$  are picked does matter.

Can you distribute quantifiers over logical connectives?

- Is this a valid equivalence?  $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$

**Solution:** Yes! The left and the right side will always have the same truth value no matter what propositional functions are denoted by  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$ .

- Is this a valid equivalence?  $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)$

**Solution:** No! The left and the right side may have different truth values. Pick “ $x$  is a fish” for  $P(x)$  and “ $x$  has scales” for  $Q(x)$  with the domain of discourse being all animals. Then the left side is false, because there are some fish that do not have scales. But the right side is true since not all animals are fish.