

Demonstrations

Collection of notes, formulas and demonstrations in the field of dynamics of open quantum systems and Collisional Methods

Francesco Ciavarella - University of Padua

francesco.ciavarella@studenti.unipd.it

January 13, 2026

Contents

1	Quantum Jump limit in Collisional Methods	3
1.1	Evolution Operator and Ancilla Initialization	3
1.2	Reconstruction of the Density Matrix	4
1.3	Recovery of Lindblad form	4
1.4	Algorithm for Trajectories	5
2	Diffusive Limit in Collisional Methods	7
2.1	Evolution Operator and Ancilla Initialization	7
2.2	Density Matrix Reconstruction	8
2.3	Recovery of Lindblad form	10
2.4	Algorithm for Trajectories	10

1 Quantum Jump limit in Collisional Methods

1.1 Evolution Operator and Ancilla Initialization

The goal is to reproduce the Lindblad dynamic using a Collisional Model, that gives us a trajectory of evolution of a single state of the density matrix $|\Psi_k\rangle$ representing a qubit. By repeating the dynamic several times it's possible to recreate the Lindblad evolution of the density matrix. Different unravelling of the $\rho(t)$ can be achieved by using different Collisional Hamiltonian. First we will reproduce the so called "Quantum Jump" or "Monte Carlo" limit, in which essentially the ancilla states stochastically jumps between the states $|0_a\rangle$ and $|1_a\rangle$ with a small probability to move to $|1\rangle$ related to an effective collision, which corresponds to an application of a σ_z to the system's state.

The associated Hamiltonian acting on the System-Ancilla states is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{CM} = \mathcal{H}_{exc} + \mathcal{H}_{collision} \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2} \sigma_z^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} + \sum_{\langle j, j' \rangle} \frac{V_{j, j'}}{2} \left(\sigma_x^j \sigma_x^{j'} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} + \sigma_y^j \sigma_y^{j'} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_x^{a_j} \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_\alpha^j = \mathbb{I}^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \sigma_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N-j}$.

The collision force c_j is related to the Lindblad phase shift constant by the equation $c_j = \sqrt{\gamma_j/4\Delta t}$. Let's focus on the Interaction Hamiltonian and how it acts on an already entangled system-ancilla states $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle$

The evolution operator based on $\mathcal{H}_{collision}$ is :

$$U_{collision} = \exp(-ic_j \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_x^{a_j} \Delta t) = \cos(c_j \Delta t) \mathbb{I}^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^a - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_x^{a_j} \quad (3)$$

And applied to $|\Psi\rangle$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi'\rangle &= \cos(c_j \Delta t) \mathbb{I}^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^a |\Psi\rangle - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_x^a |\Psi\rangle \\ &= \cos(c_j \Delta t) |\Psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\Psi_S\rangle \otimes \sigma_x^a |0_a\rangle \\ &= \cos(c_j \Delta t) |\Psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\Psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

1.2 Reconstruction of the Density Matrix

Now we can rebuild the Density Matrix as :

$$\begin{aligned}\rho' = |\Psi'\rangle \langle \Psi'| &= \cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho'_S \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j \rho_S \sigma_z^j \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \\ &+ \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sin(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S \sigma_z^j \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 1_a| + \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j \rho_S \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 0_a|\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

If we now take the partial Trace over our total density matrix we obtain the System Density Matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'_S = Tr_a(\rho') &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_{anc}} \langle \phi_k | \rho' | \phi_k \rangle \\ &= \cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

1.3 Recovery of Lindblad form

Before working on Eq.10 we have to point out that so far we have only analyzed the effect of the Collisional Hamiltonian, forgetting about the unitary evolution via the site Hamiltonian, which has the form :

$$\mathcal{H}_{exc} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_j}{2} \sigma_z^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} + \sum_{\langle j, j' \rangle} \frac{V_{j, j'}}{2} \left(\sigma_x^j \sigma_x^{j'} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} + \sigma_y^j \sigma_y^{j'} \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes N} \right) \quad (7)$$

In this case we already know that applying the Time Evolution Operator $\mathcal{U}_{exc} = \exp(-i\mathcal{H}_{exc}\Delta t)$ in the first order in Δt approximation, we obtain the Liouville-von Neumann Equation, which can be written as :

$$\rho_S(t + \Delta t) = \rho_S(t) - i [\mathcal{H}_{exc}, \rho_S] \Delta t \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\rho_S(t + \Delta t) - \rho_S(t)}{\Delta t} = -i [\mathcal{H}_{exc}, \rho_S] \quad (9)$$

Let's focus now on Eq.9 and its form for $\lim \Delta t \rightarrow 0$; using Taylor's expansion for the *sin* and *cos* we obtain that:

- $\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \approx 1 - c_j^2 \Delta t^2$
- $\sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \approx c_j^2 \Delta t^2$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_S(t + \Delta t) &= \cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S(t) + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S(t) \sigma_z \\ &\approx \rho_S(t) + c_j^2 \Delta t^2 (\sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z - \rho_S(t))\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

The total dynamics over a time step Δt can be obtained by composing the unitary evolution generated by \mathcal{H}_{exc} and the collisional map derived above. Using the "Trotter decomposition" to first order in Δt , we approximate the total evolution operator as the product of the individual propagators:

$$\mathcal{U}_{tot}(\Delta t) \approx \mathcal{U}_{exc}(\Delta t) \cdot \mathcal{U}_{coll}(\Delta t) \quad (11)$$

Applying this composition sequentially to the density matrix (i.e., applying the Hamiltonian evolution to the state resulting from the collision), we obtain:

$$\rho_S(t + \Delta t) = \rho_S(t) - i[\mathcal{H}_{exc}, \rho_S] \Delta t + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j^2 \Delta t^2 (\sigma_z^j \rho_S \sigma_z^j - \rho_S(t)) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\rho_S(t + \Delta t) - \rho_S(t)}{\Delta t} = -i[\mathcal{H}_{exc}, \rho_S] + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j^2 \Delta t (\sigma_z^j \rho_S \sigma_z^j - \rho_S(t)) \quad (13)$$

We have to set $c_j^2 = \Gamma_j / \Delta t$ to recover the differential form :

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \dot{\rho}_S = -i[\mathcal{H}_{exc}, \rho_S] + \sum_{j=1}^N \Gamma_j (\sigma_z^j \rho_S \sigma_z^j - \rho_S(t)) \quad (14)$$

Eq.20 corresponds to the Lindblad ME setting $\mathcal{L}_k = \sigma_z$ in fact $\sigma_z = \sigma_z^\dagger$ and $\sigma_z \sigma_z^\dagger = \mathbb{I}$

1.4 Algorithm for Trajectories

By measuring the Ancilla's state we can deduce if we had an avoided collision $|0_a\rangle$ or an occurred collision $|1_a\rangle$, which implies the application to the system of $\sigma_z^j |\Psi_S\rangle$ which introduce a flip in the phase of the interacting site, with a probability of $|\sin(c_j \Delta t)|^2$, which contributes to the site dephasing process, helping the excitonic transport (ENAQT - Environment Assisted Quantum Transport).

Measuring repeated time s the Ancilla states correspond on tracing its states out, so that we could rebuild the density matrix as :

$$\rho'_S = \cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \quad (15)$$

Now we can proceed in two different ways:

- Evolution of the $\rho(t)$ with $U(t)$ and $U(t)^\dagger$; then we trace out the ancilla subsystem with a partial trace over its degree of freedom, in order to get back the average evolution of the system, which gives a trajectory that reproduces the Lindblad ME. This proves that the Collisional Method gives the same evolution of a Lindblad ME.
- Evolution of the only $|\Psi_S\rangle$ with $U(t)$ and then the measure on the Ancilla, in order to apply the σ_z^s to the system's state or don't do anything, i.e. apply \mathbb{I}^s . In a Quantistic Computer that can handle the superposition of the ground and excited state of the ancilla, we can just apply the $U(t)$ build on the H_{CM} we had already defined.

In a Classical Computer we have to simulate the collision with the Ancilla (so that we never pass in a composed state $|\Psi_S\rangle \otimes |a\rangle$); this could be done by extracting a random number from a distribution that replicates the probability given by $|\sin(c_1\Delta t)|^2$, than if the condition is respected it means that an effective collision has occurred and so the ancilla is in the state $|1_a\rangle$ and so we apply σ_z^s to the system's state; if it is not we don't modify the system's state. In this way we reproduce a stochastic evolution of the $|\Psi_S\rangle$, i.e. a single random trajectory; if we generate different trajectories and then average over them $\overline{|\Psi_S\rangle\langle\Psi_S|}$ we can rebuild the evolution of the density matrix obtained with the Lindblad ME. The algorithm to create a single trajectory is the following:

1. Apply the unitary evolution due to only H_{site} and the hopping potential V for a discrete time step Δt
2. Extract a random number between 0 and 1 for every system's site
3. If the extracted number for the single site is lower than $|\sin(c_i\Delta t)|$ we apply the σ_z operator to that site
4. Record the site population at that time, then restart the algorithm

2 Diffusive Limit in Collisional Methods

2.1 Evolution Operator and Ancilla Initialization

In this framework, we define the interaction Hamiltonian and the initial state of the ancilla as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_{CM} = c_j \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_a = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I}^{a_j} = \rho_a = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

The definition of the initial state of the Ancilla as a completely mixed state is crucial. It implies that the wave function for the trajectory development will be initialized with probability $p = 1/2$ in the state $|\phi_a\rangle = |0_a\rangle$ and with probability $p = 1/2$ in the state $|\phi_a\rangle = |1_a\rangle$.

The Unitary Evolution Operator reads:

$$\mathcal{U} = \exp(-ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j}) \quad (17)$$

First we expand in the Taylor's Series the exponential which reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^n}{n!} \\ &= 1 - ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} - \frac{(c_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^2}{2!} + i \frac{(c_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^3}{3!} + \frac{(c_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^4}{4!} + \dots \\ &= 1 - \frac{(c_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(-ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^{2n}}{2n!} \\ &\quad - ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} + \dots + \frac{(-ic_j \Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We now apply the Pauli Matrices property to calculate the exponential term:

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^2 &= \sigma_z^j \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} \sigma_z^{a_j} = \mathbb{I}^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^{a_j} \\ (\sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j})^3 &= \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

So we can rewrite the summation and make evident the *cos* and *sin* expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-ic_j\Delta t \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j}) &= \mathbb{I}^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^{a_j} \sum_{2n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_j\Delta t)^{2n}}{2n!} - i(\sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j}) \sum_{2n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_j\Delta t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \\ &= \cos(c_j\Delta t) \mathbb{I}^j \otimes \mathbb{I}^{a_j} - \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now we can apply the evolution operator to the two different initial state which are :

- $|\Psi_0\rangle = |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |\phi_a\rangle = |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle$
- $|\Psi_1\rangle = |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |\phi_a\rangle = |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle$

Let's first focus on the $|\Psi_0\rangle$ initial state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi'_0\rangle &= \mathcal{U} |\Psi_0\rangle = \cos(c_j\Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle - i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\psi_S\rangle \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} |0_a\rangle \\ &= \cos(c_j\Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle - i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle \\ &= [\cos(c_j\Delta t) - i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j] |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |0_a\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

And then on the $|\Psi_1\rangle$ initial state:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi'_1\rangle &= \mathcal{U} |\Psi_1\rangle = \cos(c_j\Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle - i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\psi_S\rangle \otimes \sigma_z^{a_j} |1_a\rangle \\ &= \cos(c_j\Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle + i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle \\ &= [\cos(c_j\Delta t) + i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j] |\psi_S\rangle \otimes |1_a\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

2.2 Density Matrix Reconstruction

We can now define the effective operators acting on the system space. Let us define the operator \mathcal{K}_0 acting on $|\psi_S\rangle$ when the ancilla is $|0\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \cos(c_j\Delta t) - i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j = e^{-ic_j\Delta t \sigma_z^j} \quad (23)$$

And the operator \mathcal{K}_1 when the ancilla is $|1\rangle$:

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \cos(c_j\Delta t) + i \sin(c_j\Delta t) \sigma_z^j = e^{+ic_j\Delta t \sigma_z^j} = \mathcal{K}_0^\dagger \quad (24)$$

Note that \mathcal{K}_1 is simply the Hermitian conjugate (and inverse) of \mathcal{K}_0 .

Let's now calculate the evolution of the completely mixed Density Matrix, reconstructing it's term from the wave function defined above:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho'_0 &= |\Psi'_0\rangle \langle \Psi'_0| = \mathcal{K}_0 |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \mathcal{K}_0^\dagger \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| = \mathcal{K}_0 |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| \\
&= \left[(\cos(c_j \Delta t) - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| (\cos(c_j \Delta t) + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j) \right] \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| \\
&= \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \sigma_z \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \sigma_z - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \right] \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| \\
&= \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \right. \\
&\quad \left. + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S \sigma_z - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \right] \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho'_1 &= |\Psi'_1\rangle \langle \Psi'_1| = \mathcal{K}_1 |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \mathcal{K}_1^\dagger \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| = \mathcal{K}_1 |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \\
&= \left[(\cos(c_j \Delta t) + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| (\cos(c_j \Delta t) - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z^j) \right] \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \\
&= \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \sigma_z \right. \\
&\quad \left. - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \sigma_z + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z |\psi_S\rangle \langle \psi_S| \right] \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \\
&= \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \right. \\
&\quad \left. - i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S \sigma_z + i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \right] \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

The complete Density Matrix can be reconstructed as :

$$\rho' = \frac{1}{2} \rho'_0 + \frac{1}{2} \rho'_1 \tag{27}$$

Note that the coherent oscillation, i.e. the cross terms $i \sin(c_j \Delta t) \cos(c_j \Delta t)$ cancel each other, so that we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho' &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \right] \otimes |0_a\rangle \langle 0_a| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \right] \otimes |1_a\rangle \langle 1_a| \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

If we now take the partial Trace over our total density matrix we obtain the System Density Matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho'_S &= Tr_a(\rho') = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{anc}} \langle \phi_k | \rho' | \phi_k \rangle \\
&= \cos^2(c_j \Delta t) \rho_S + \sin^2(c_j \Delta t) \sigma_z \rho_S \sigma_z \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

It can be demonstrated that this equation can lead to a Dynamical Map in the Lindblad Master Equation form.

2.3 Recovery of Lindblad form

Since Eq.35 is the same obtained for the Quantum Jump limit, the procedure to recover the Lindblad form is the same of section 2.1.2

2.4 Algorithm for Trajectories

As already seen in Section 2.1.3 to produce an evolution with the Collisional Hamiltonian we can evolve the density matrix in tensor product with the Ancilla's density matrix with the complete Hamiltonian, i.e. Excitonic and Collisional term, and then trace out the Ancilla; this evolution has to reproduce exactly the Lindblad dynamic and corresponds to an average over an infinite number of trajectories.

The latter ones are the second method to evolve our system via single wave function dynamics; a trajectories, as seen so far, are stochastic evolution of the system wf, derived from the physical effect of measuring the Ancilla's state after every collision. An algorithm to reproduce this effect can be obtained with a sort of Monte Carlo method in which we extract a random number and if a certain condition is met we apply a particular evolution effect to the wave function. In the Quantum Jump limit the condition was the probability associated to the measurement of the Ancilla's state $|1_a\rangle$, explicitly derived. In the Diffusive limit we cannot base the condition on the norm of the evolved state, but we have to define a priori its value, in order to reproduce the effect described by the Ancilla's density matrix. Since the latter is a completely mixed density matrix, i.e. both $|0_a\rangle$ and $|1_a\rangle$ state of the Ancilla have probability 1/2 to exist (it's like having a finite number of Ancillas where half of them are in the ground state and the other are in the excited state). So in this case the algorithm will be:

1. Apply the unitary evolution associate to \mathcal{H}_{site} and the hopping potential V for a discrete time step Δt
2. Extract a random number between 0 and 1
3. If it's less than 0.5 we'll follow Eq.27, otherwise Eq.28
4. Record the site population at that time, then restart the algorithm

By averaging between multiple random trajectories, it is possible to reconstruct the average dynamics that reproduce the Lindblad evolution.