# Supplemental material: "Optimal switching strategies for navigation in stochastic settings"

yy

## DERIVATION OF THE OPTIMAL CONTROL EQUATION

The dynamics of the angle  $\theta(t)$  in a small time interval dt can be written as

$$\theta(t+dt) = \begin{cases} \theta(t) + \eta(t)dt & \text{if } s(\theta,t) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s(\theta,t) = 1, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where  $s(\theta, t)$  is a binary variable, describing a reorientation policy. We recall that  $\eta(t)$  is Gaussian white noise with zero mean and correlator  $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$ . Our goal is to identify the optimal policy  $s^*(\theta, t)$  that minimizes the cost function. We define the cost to-go function

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta,t}[s] = \left\langle -\int_t^{t_f} v_0 \cos(\theta(\tau)) dt + x_c [N(t_f) - N(t)] \right\rangle_{\theta}, \tag{2}$$

which describes the average cost incurred between time t and time  $t_f$ , starting at  $\theta$  at time t, and following a given policy  $s(\theta,t)$ . The symbol  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\theta}$  indicates the average with respect to all stochastic trajectories starting at  $\theta$  at time t. We recall that  $v_0$  is the speed of the agent and that N(t) indicates the number of reorientations up to time t. The cost function  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta,t}[s]$  is a functional, depending on the specific form of the function  $s = s(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t})$ . The cost function defined in the main text corresponds to  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{0,0}[s]$ . The optimal cost-to-go  $J(\theta,t)$  can be defined as

$$J(\theta, t) = \min_{s} \mathcal{F}_{\theta, t}[s], \qquad (3)$$

where the minimization is performed over all functions  $s(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t})$ , for  $\tilde{\theta} \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$  and  $\tilde{t} \in [t, t_f]$ .

To perform the minimization, we adopt a dynamic programming approach [1]. We consider the evolution of the system in a small time interval dt, as given in Eq. (1). Then, Eq. (2) can be rewritten by splitting the cost incurred in the short time interval [t, t + dt] and in the remaining time interval  $[t, t + dt, t_f]$  as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta,t}[s] = -v_0 \cos(\theta) dt + \left(x_c + \mathcal{F}_{0,t+dt}[s]\right) s(\theta,t) + \left\langle \mathcal{F}_{\theta+\eta(t)dt,t+dt}[s]\right\rangle (1 - s(\theta,t)). \tag{4}$$

The first term on the right-hand side comes from the integral term in Eq. (2). In addition, if the system is reset, corresponding to  $s(\theta,t)=1$ , a unit cost  $x_c$  is paid and the remaining cost up to time  $t_f$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{0,t+dt}$ , since  $\theta=0$  after a reorientation. On the other hand, if  $s(\theta,t)=0$ , the system evolves freely and the new position is  $\theta+\eta(t)dt$ , leading to the last term in Eq. (4). Note that  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  now indicates averaging over the one-time noise  $\eta(t)$ , which is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean  $\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$  and variance  $\langle \eta(t)^2 \rangle = 2D/dt$ .

We perform the minimization in Eq. (3) in two steps: first we minimize over the binary function  $s(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t})$  for  $\tilde{t} \in [t + dt, t_f]$  and then over the binary variable  $s(\theta, t)$ , where  $\theta$  and t are fixed. We obtain

$$J(\theta,t) = \min_{s(\theta,t)} \left[ -v_0 \cos(\theta) dt + \left( x_c + J(0,t+dt) \right) s(\theta,t) + \left\langle J(\theta + \eta(t) dt, t+dt) \right\rangle (1 - s(\theta,t)) \right]. \tag{5}$$

Expanding to first order in dt and using the fact that  $s(\theta,t)$  is a bianry variable, we get

$$J(\theta,t) = -v_0 \cos(\theta) dt + \min\left[x_c + J(0,t); J(\theta,t) + \partial_t J(\theta,t) dt + D\partial_\theta^2 J(\theta,t) dt\right]. \tag{6}$$

Therefore, if  $x_c + J(0,t) \le J(\theta,t)$  the optimal policy is  $s^*(\theta,t) = 1$  and hence  $x_c + J(0,t) = J(\theta,t)$ . In the opposite case  $x_c + J(0,t) > J(\theta,t)$ , we obtain  $s^*(\theta,t) = 0$  and

$$-\partial_t J(\theta, t) = -v_0 \cos(\theta) + D\partial_\theta^2 J(\theta, t). \tag{7}$$

The evolution equation can be rewritten in a more compact form using the domain  $\Omega(\theta, t)$  as

$$-\partial_t J(\theta, t) = D\partial_\theta^2 J(\theta, t) - v_0 \cos(\theta), \quad \theta \in \Omega(t),$$
(8)

where  $\Omega(t) = \{\theta : J(\theta, t) < J(0, t) + x_c\}$ . This differential equation has to be solved with the final condition  $J(\theta, t_f) = 0$ , which can be obtained by setting  $t = t_f$  in Eq. (2). The boundary condition  $\partial_{\theta} J(\theta, t) = 0$  for  $\theta \in \Omega(\theta, t)$  is derived in Ref. [2].

We also consider the region where  $(t_f - t) \ll 1/D$ . Indeed, when the final time  $t_f$  is approached, the optimal policy is to let the system evolve freely, without reorientations. Hence, in this region, the differential equation is defined over the full interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$  (with periodic boundary conditions) and can be solved analytically. The most general solution reads

$$J(\theta, t) = -\frac{v_0}{D} (1 - e^{-D(t_f - t)}) \cos(\theta).$$
(9)

Note that when  $D(t - t_f) \ll 1$ , the condition  $J(\theta, t) < J(0, t) + x_c$  is verified everywhere in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . The solution in Eq. (9) is therefore valid until, increasing  $(t_f - t)$ , the condition  $J(\theta, t) = J(0, t) + x_c$  is verified for the first time. This occurs at the critical time  $t = t^c$ , where

$$t^c = t_f - \frac{1}{D} \log \left( \frac{2}{2 - z} \right) . \tag{10}$$

Therefore, if  $z < z_c = 2$ , the system is only actively controlled for  $t < t^c$  and is left free to evolve without reorientations for  $t > t^c$ . For  $z \to z_c$  the critical time diverges. Hence, for  $z > z_c$  the cost is too high and it is never convenient to reorient the direction.

## STEADY-STATE PROPERTIES

In this section, we investigate the steady-state distribution of the angle  $\theta$  in the regime where  $tD \gg 1$  and  $(t_f - t)D \gg 1$ . Assuming that the angle  $\theta$  is reset to 0 as soon as  $|\theta| > \theta_a$ , the steady state probability density function  $P_{\rm ss}(\theta)$  satisfies the stationary Fokker-Planck equation [3]

$$0 = D\partial_{\theta}^{2} P(\theta) - 2\delta(\theta) \left[ D\partial_{\theta} P(\theta_{a}) \right], \tag{11}$$

where the amplitude of the  $\delta$  function indicates that the particles flowing at the absorbing barrier at  $\theta = \pm \theta_a$  are reset at  $\theta = 0$ . The boundary conditions are absorbing, since particles are reset as soon as they reach the boundary at  $\theta = \pm \theta_a$ , corresponding to  $P(\pm \theta_a) = 0$ . The most general normalized solution that satisfies the boundary conditions reads

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{\theta_a - |\theta|}{\theta_a^2} \,. \tag{12}$$

## TIME BETWEEN TWO REORIENTATION EVENTS

In this section, we compute the distribution of the time T between two subsequent reorientation events. We denote by  $S(\theta,t)$  the survival probability, i.e., probability that an angle starting from  $\theta$  is not reset up to time t.

The survival probability satisfies the backward Fokker-Planck equation [4]

$$\partial_t S = D \partial_\theta^2 S \,, \tag{13}$$

with initial condition  $S(\theta,0) = 1$  and boundary conditions  $S(\pm \theta_a, t) = 0$ . Taking a Laplace transform with respect to t, we find

$$s\tilde{S}(\theta, s) - 1 = D\partial_{\theta}^{2}\tilde{S}(\theta, s), \qquad (14)$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(\theta, s) = \int_0^\infty dt \ S(\theta, t) e^{-st} \,. \tag{15}$$

Solving the equation and imposing the boundary conditions, we get

$$\tilde{S}(\theta, s) = \frac{1}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}}\theta\right)}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}}\theta_a\right)} \right]. \tag{16}$$

We denote by  $F(\theta, T)$  the probability density function (PDF) of the time T of the first reorientation event, assuming that the initial angle is  $\theta$ . Note that, since after a reorientation the angle is set to  $\theta = 0$ , the PDF of the time T between two reorientation events is  $F(T) \equiv F(0,T)$ .

Using the relation

$$S(\theta, t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} F(\theta, t')dt', \qquad (17)$$

we find

$$\tilde{F}(\theta, s) = \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-st} F(\theta, t) = \frac{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}}\theta\right)}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}}\theta_a\right)}. \tag{18}$$

Setting  $\theta = 0$  we find that the distribution of the time T between resetting events reads

$$F(0,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} ds \ e^{sT} \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D}}\theta_a\right)}, \tag{19}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a Bromwich contour parallel to the imaginary axis in the complex-s plane. Using Cauchy's residue theorem, we find

$$F(0,T) = \frac{D}{\theta_a^2} f\left(\frac{DT}{\theta_a^2}\right), \qquad (20)$$

where

$$f(w) = \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n+1) \exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2 (2n+1)^2 w}{4}\right].$$
 (21)

This function f(w) is non-monotonic, with a maximum at  $w \approx 0.17$ .

The large-w regime can be immediately obtained from Eq. (21) by taking the n=0 term

$$f(w) \approx \pi e^{-\pi^2 w/4} \,. \tag{22}$$

To investigate the small-w limit, we use Poisson summation formula to find the alternative expression

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} (2m-1) w^{-3/2} e^{-(2m-1)^2/(4w)}.$$
 (23)

The small-w behavior is given by the m=0 and m=1 terms, yielding

$$f(w) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi w^{3/2}}} e^{-1/(4w)}$$
 (24)

The mean time T between two resetting events can be evaluated as

$$\langle T \rangle = \int_0^\infty dt \ S(0, t) = \tilde{S}(\theta, 0) = \frac{\theta_a^2}{2D} \,. \tag{25}$$

# EFFECT OF EXECUTION ERRORS

Here we introduce execution errors in the model. The dynamics of  $\theta$  is modified as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = v_0 \cos(\theta(t) + \epsilon(t)), \\ \dot{y}(t) = v_0 \sin(\theta(t) + \epsilon(t)), \\ \dot{\theta}(t) = \eta(t). \end{cases}$$
(26)

We assume that the measurement noise  $\epsilon(t)$  is uniformly distributed in  $[-\delta, \delta]$  and uncorrelated in time. Then, the cost function becomes

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle -\int_0^{t_f} v_0 \cos(\theta(t) + \epsilon(t)) dt + x_c n_r \rangle. \tag{27}$$

Performing the average over  $\epsilon(t)$ , we find

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle -\frac{\sin(\delta)}{\delta} v_0 \int_0^{t_f} \cos(\theta(t)) dt + x_c n_r \rangle. \tag{28}$$

In the limit  $\delta \to 0$ , we recover the standard case above. For finite  $\delta$ , the optimal policy will be modified. by rewriting the cost function as

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{\sin(\delta)}{\delta} \langle -v_0 \int_0^{t_f} \cos(\theta(t)) dt + \frac{\delta}{\sin(\delta)} x_c n_r \rangle.$$
 (29)

Thus, execution noise increases the effective cost by a factor  $\delta/\sin(\delta) \ge 1$ , while the overall displacement is scaled by a factor  $\sin(\delta)/\delta \le 1$ .

### EFFECT OF PARTIAL OBSERVATIONS

In this section, we consider the case where the agent has only partial knowledge of its current direction. We assume that the real direction  $\theta$  can be affected by various sources of environmental noise. The agent can only detect a subset of these sources. By integrating the available information, the agent derives an estimate, denoted as  $\theta_1$ , of its current direction. The remaining unmeasurable factors give rise to an angle mismatch, denoted as  $\theta_2$ , which grows independently of  $\theta_1$  over time. We consider the following dynamics

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) = v \cos(\theta(t)), \\
\dot{y}(t) = v \sin(\theta(t)), \\
\theta(t) = \theta_1(t) + \theta_2(t), \\
\dot{\theta}_1(t) = \eta_1(t), \\
\dot{\theta}_2(t) = \eta_2(t),,
\end{cases}$$
(30)

where  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are zero-mean uncorrelated Gaussian white noise with  $\langle \eta_i(t)\eta_j(t')\rangle = 2D_i\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t')$ . The angle  $\theta_1$  represents the estimate of the agent of the real direction  $\theta$ . After a reorientation event, the agent has perfect information on its direction and hence both  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are set to zero. We consider the average speed

$$v = \lim_{t_f \to \infty} \frac{1}{t_f} \langle v_0 \int_0^{t_f} \cos(\theta(t)) dt - x_c N(t_f) \rangle, \qquad (31)$$

where  $N(t_f)$  is the total number of resetting events. We are interested in the restarting policy that minimizes the total cost. Note that in principle this policy could depend on the total time  $\tau$  elapsed since the last resetting event, since the amplitude of the noise  $\theta_2$  grows as  $\sqrt{\tau}$ . However, here we only focus on time-independent strategies. In other words, we assume that the agent cannot measure  $\theta_2$  and has to take decisions only knowing  $\theta_1$ . Hence, the optimal strategy consists once again in resetting the system if  $|\theta| > \theta_a$ .

We rewrite the cost average speed as

$$v = v_0 \langle \cos(\theta) \rangle_{ss} - x_c / \langle T \rangle$$
, (32)

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{ss}$  indicates the steady state average and T is the time between two reorientation. From Eq. (25), we find  $\langle T \rangle = \theta_a^2/(2D_1)$ . We next compute the steady-state distribution of  $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ .

We define  $G(\theta, t)$  as the probability density of reaching  $\theta$  at time t starting from  $\theta_0 = 0$  with no resetting events, i.e., always remaining in the interval  $[-\theta_a, \theta_a]$ . Similarly, we define  $P(\theta, t)$  as the probability density of reaching  $\theta$  at time t starting from  $\theta_0 = 0$  with any number of resetting events. We also denote by F(T) the distribution of the time T between two successive resetting events. The distribution  $P(\theta, t)$  satisfies the relation

$$P(\theta,t) = G(\theta,t) + \int_0^t dt' \ F(t')P(\theta,t-t'). \tag{33}$$

The first term corresponds to the case where  $\theta$  is reached without any resetting events, while the second term describes the case where the first resetting occurs at time t'. Performing a Laplace transform on both sides, we find

$$\tilde{P}(\theta, s) = \frac{\tilde{G}(\theta, s)}{1 - \tilde{F}(s)}, \tag{34}$$

where we use the notation

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-st} f(t) \,. \tag{35}$$

From Eq. (18), we have

$$\tilde{F}(s) = \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{D_1}}\theta_a\right)}.$$
(36)

The steady-state distribution

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(\theta, t), \qquad (37)$$

can then be obtained taking the small-s limit

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{\tilde{G}(\theta, s)}{1 - \tilde{F}(s)}.$$
 (38)

Using Eq. (36), we obtain

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \tilde{G}(\theta, 0) = \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \int_0^\infty dt \ G(\theta, t) \,. \tag{39}$$

Therefore, we need to compute the constrained propagator  $G(\theta, t)$ .

To proceed, we define the propagator  $G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t)$  as the probability of reaching the angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  at time t without resetting, i.e., with the constraint that  $|\theta_1| < \theta_a$  up to time t. The propagator satisfies the Fokker-Plank equation

$$\partial_t G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t) = D_1 \partial_{\theta_1}^2 G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t) + D_2 \partial_{\theta_2}^2 G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t),$$
(40)

with initial condition  $G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t = 0) = \delta(\theta_1)\delta(\theta_2)$  and boundary conditions  $G(\theta_1, \theta_2, t) = 0$  if  $|\theta_1| = \theta_a$ . Integrating both sides over t and integrating by parts, we find

$$-\delta(\theta_1)\delta(\theta_2) = D_1 \partial_{\theta_1}^2 \tilde{G}(\theta_1, \theta_2, 0) + D_2 \partial_{\theta_2}^2 \tilde{G}(\theta_1, \theta_2, 0). \tag{41}$$

Performing a Fourier transform with respect to  $\theta_2$ , we find

$$-\delta(\theta_1) = D_1 \partial_{\theta_1}^2 g(\theta_1, k) - D_2 k^2 g(\theta_1, k) , \qquad (42)$$

where

$$g(\theta_1, k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_2 \ e^{ik\theta_2} \tilde{G}(\theta_1, \theta_2, 0) \,. \tag{43}$$

Solving the differential equation and imposing the boundary conditions, we get

$$g(\theta_1, k) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a}D_1} \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{a}(\theta_a - |\theta|))}{\cosh(\sqrt{a}\theta_a)} H(\theta_a - |\theta|), \qquad (44)$$

where  $a = D_2 k^2 / D_1$  and H(z) is the Heaviside step function. Inverting the Fourier transform, we get

$$\tilde{G}(\theta_1, \theta_2, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ik\theta_2} g(\theta_1, k) \,. \tag{45}$$

Integrating over  $\theta_2$  keeping  $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$  fixed, we find

$$\tilde{G}(\theta,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta_2 \ e^{-ik\theta_2} g(\theta - \theta_2, k) \,. \tag{46}$$

Evaluating the integral over  $\theta_2$  with Mathematica, we find

$$\tilde{G}(\theta,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ik\theta} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right]. \tag{47}$$

Finally, using Eq. (39), we obtain the steady state distribution

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ik\theta} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right] . \tag{48}$$

As a check, we can verify that this distribution is correctly normalized to one

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta \ P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ \delta(k) \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right] = 1.$$
 (49)

We can now evaluate the average in Eq. (32)

$$\langle \cos(\theta) \rangle_{ss} = \frac{D_1}{\pi \theta_a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta \cos(\theta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ik\theta} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{D_1}{\theta_a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta \ \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ik\theta} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{D_1}{\theta_a^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ \left[ \delta(k+1) + \delta(k-1) \right] \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)k^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(k\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(k\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(\theta_a\sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right]$$

$$(50)$$

Therefore, the speed in Eq. (32) can be written as

$$v = v_0 \frac{2D_1}{\theta_a^2} \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(\theta_a \sqrt{\frac{D_2}{D_1}}\right)} \right] - \frac{2D_1 x_c}{\theta_a^2}, \tag{51}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{v}{v_0} = \frac{2}{\theta_a^2 (1 + 1/\ell)} \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos(\theta_a)}{\cosh\left(\theta_a/\sqrt{\ell}\right)} \right] - \frac{2z}{\theta_a^2}, \tag{52}$$

where we have defined  $\ell = D_1/D_2$  and  $z = D_1x_c/v_0$ . In the limit of low cost  $z \to 0$ , we find the following asymptotic behaviors

$$\theta_a \approx \left(\frac{24\ell}{5+\ell}\right)^{1/4} z^{1/4} \,, \tag{53}$$

and

$$\frac{v^*}{v_0} \approx 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2(5+\ell)z}{3\ell}}$$
 (54)

### EFFECT OF MEASUREMENT ERRORS

In this section, we consider the effect of measurement errors on the navigation strategies. Instead of assuming that the agent has access to only a subset of the factors affecting its direction, we assume that the agent has access to all relevant factors, albeit through noisy sensory channels. As a consequence, the internal representation angle  $\theta_1$ , which the agent employs to approximate the real direction  $\theta$ , will inevitably deviate from the true  $\theta$  over time. To keep things simple, we assume that this deviation, denoted as  $\theta_n$ , grows independently of the actual angle  $\theta$ . The resulting dynamics read

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = v_0 \cos(\theta(t)), \\ \dot{y}(t) = v_0 \sin(\theta(t)), \\ \theta_1(t) = \theta(t) + \theta_n(t), \\ \dot{\theta}(t) = \eta(t), \\ \dot{\theta}_n(t) = \eta_n(t), \end{cases}$$
(55)

where  $\eta(t)$  and  $\eta_n(t)$  are independent Gaussian white noises with zero mean and correlators  $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$  and  $\langle \eta_n(t)\eta_n(t')\rangle = 2D_n\delta(t-t')$ . We denote by  $r = D/D_n$  the signal-to-noise ratio. Note that, despite the similarity with Eq. (30), the two models are actually quite different. Note for instance that the variance of the internal angle  $\theta_1$  is larger than that of the real angle  $\theta$  for the model in Eq. (55), while it is the other way around for Eq. (30).

Once more, we examine the strategy in which the agent adjusts its direction when  $|\theta_1| > \theta_a$  and we focus on the steady-state properties. As done in the previous section, we first derive the steady-state distribution  $P_{ss}(\theta)$  of the real direction  $\theta$  for a fixed value of  $\theta_a$ . Eq. (39) can be easily adapted to the model in Eq. (55), yielding

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{2(D+D_n)}{\theta_a^2} \int_0^\infty dt \int_{-\theta-\theta_a}^{-\theta+\theta_a} d\theta_n \ G(\theta,\theta_n,t) \,, \tag{56}$$

where  $G(\theta, \theta_n, t)$  is the probability that  $\theta$  and  $\theta_n$  are reached at time t without any reorientations, assuming that initially  $\theta = \theta_n = 0$ .

This probability density satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t G(\theta, \theta_n, t) = D \partial_\theta^2 G(\theta, \theta_n, t) + D_n \partial_{\theta_n}^2 G(\theta, \theta_n, t), \qquad (57)$$

with boundary conditions  $G(\theta, \theta_n, t) = 0$  if  $|\theta + \theta_n| = \theta_a$  and initial condition  $G(\theta, \theta_n, 0) = \delta(\theta)\delta(\theta_n)$ . Integrating over t > 0, we find

$$-\delta(\theta)\delta(\theta_n) = D\partial_{\theta}^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt \ G(\theta, \theta_n, t) + D_n \partial_{\theta_n}^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt \ G(\theta, \theta_n, t) \,. \tag{58}$$

Making the change of variables  $\theta_1 = \theta + \theta_n$  and  $w = \theta - w$ , we find

$$-2\delta(\theta_1)\delta(w) = D(\partial_{\theta_1} + \partial_w)^2 f(\theta_1, w) + D_n(\partial_{\theta_1} - \partial_w)^2 f(\theta_1, w),$$
(59)

where we have defined

$$f(\theta_1, w) = \int_0^\infty dt \ G\left(\theta = \frac{\theta_1 + w}{2}, \theta_n = \frac{\theta_1 - w}{2}, t\right) . \tag{60}$$

Performing a Fourier transform with respect to w, we find

$$-2\delta(\theta_1) = (D + D_n)\partial_{\theta_1}^2 \hat{f}(\theta_1, k) + 2ik(D_n - D)\partial_{\theta_1} \hat{f}(\theta_1, k) - (D + D_n)k^2 \hat{f}(\theta_1, k),$$
(61)

where

$$f(\theta_1, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \ e^{-ikw} \hat{f}(\theta_1, k) \,. \tag{62}$$

Solving the equation and imposing the boundary conditions  $\hat{f}(\pm \theta_a, k) = 0$ , we find

$$\hat{f}(\theta_1, k) = \frac{1}{2|k|\sqrt{DD_n}} \frac{\exp\left(4|k|(\theta_a - |\theta_1|)\sqrt{DD_n}/(D + D_n)\right) - 1}{\exp\left(4|k|\theta_a\sqrt{DD_n}/(D + D_n)\right) + 1} \exp\left(i\frac{D - D_n}{D + D_n}k\theta_1 + 2\frac{\sqrt{DD_n}}{D + D_n}|k\theta_1|\right). \tag{63}$$

Combining Eqs. (56) and (60), we get

$$P_{ss}(\theta) = \frac{2(D+D_n)}{\theta_a^2} \int_{-\theta-\theta_a}^{-\theta+\theta_a} d\theta_n \ f(\theta+\theta_n, \theta-\theta_n), \tag{64}$$

where  $f(\theta_1, w)$  is given in Eq. (62). We have checked that  $P_{ss}(\theta)$  is correctly normalized to one.

Finally, the average velocity can be written as (see Eq. (32))

$$v = v_0 \langle \cos(\theta) \rangle_{ss} - x_c / \langle T \rangle, \tag{65}$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{ss}$  denotes the average with respect to  $P_{ss}(\theta)$  in Eq. (64). Performing the average and using  $\langle T \rangle = \theta_a^2/(2(D + D_n))$  (see Eq. (25)), we find

$$\frac{v}{v_0} = \frac{2}{\theta_a^2} (1 + 1/r) \left[ 1 - \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\theta_a}{1 + 1/r}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\theta_a\sqrt{1/r}}{1 + 1/r}\right)} \right] - \frac{2z}{\theta_a^2} (1 + 1/r), \tag{66}$$

where  $r = D/D_n$ . In the limit of small cost  $z \to 0$ , we find

$$\theta_a \approx \frac{2^{3/4} 3^{1/4} (1+r)^{3/4}}{r^{1/2} (5+r)^{1/4}} z^{1/4},$$
(67)

and

$$\frac{v^*}{v_0} \approx 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2r^2(r+5)z}{3r^2(r+1)}} \,. \tag{68}$$

## NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this section, we describe the details of the numerical simulations presented in the main text. To verify our analytical predictions we implement a standard Langevin algorithm. In the stationary regime  $D(t_f - t) \gg 1$  the optimal strategy is time-independent. Hence, in a small time interval dt the optimal dynamics of the direction  $\theta$  reads

$$\theta(t+dt) = \begin{cases} \theta(t) + \sqrt{2Ddt}\eta(t) & \text{if } |\theta(t)| < \theta_a, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\theta(t)| > \theta_a, \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{69}$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is a zero-mean unit-variance Gaussian variable and  $\theta_a$  is the optimal activation angle for a given noise level  $z = Dx_c/v_0$ . For each value of z, we generate numerically a single trajectory of duration  $t_f = 10^4$ . We choose  $v_0 = 1$ ,  $x_c = z$ , D = 1 and dt = 0.01. Finally, the average speed is evaluated as the time average

$$v^* \approx \frac{1}{t_f} \left[ \int_0^{t_f} v_0 \cos(\theta(t)) dt - x_c N(t_f) \right], \tag{70}$$

where N(t) is the total number of reorientation events up to time. This algorithm can be easily adapted to the models of sensory or motor noise.

To evaluate the average time T between two reorientation events, we integrate Eq. (69) starting from  $\theta(t=0)=0$  and stopping the dynamics when a reorientation occurs for the first time. We repeat this procedure to obtain  $10^7$  samples.

The code used to numerically integrate the optimal control equations, perform numerical simulations and generate figures is available at https://anonymous.4open.science/r/optimal\_reorientations-48DE/README.md

- [1] R. Bellman and Kalaba, Dynamic programming and modern control theory, Vol. 81 (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
- [2] B. De Bruyne and F. Mori, Phys. Rev. Research 5, 013122 (2023).
- [3] B. De Bruyne, J. Randon-Furling, and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 050602 (2020).
- [4] S. Redner, A guide to first-passage processes (Cambridge university press, 2001).