

Exercise M

Discuss an extension of CCS with an operator of sequential composition between processes $P; Q$. Provide an operational semantics and analyze the possibility of having an encoding in CCS of the defined operator.

Solution

CCS_{seq}

$$P, Q ::= K \mid \alpha.P \mid \sum_{i \in I} \alpha.P_i \mid (P \mid Q) \mid P[f] \mid P \setminus L \mid P; Q$$

Operational Semantics

Classical rules

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Act} \frac{}{\alpha.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P} & \text{Sum} \frac{P_j \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'_j}{\sum_{j \in J} P_j \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'_j} \quad j \in J & \text{Par-1} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \mid Q} \\ \\ \text{Par-2} \frac{Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \mid Q'} & \text{Par-3} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} Q'}{P \mid Q \xrightarrow{\tau} P' \mid Q'} & \text{Hide} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \setminus L \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \setminus L} \quad \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \notin L \\ \\ \text{Red} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P[f] \xrightarrow{f(a)} P'[f]} & \text{Const} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{K \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \quad K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P & \end{array}$$

CCS_{seq} rules

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{End-Zero} \frac{}{0 \nrightarrow} & \text{End-Par} \frac{P \nrightarrow \quad Q \nrightarrow}{P \mid Q \nrightarrow} & \text{End-Hide} \frac{P \nrightarrow}{P \setminus A \nrightarrow} \\ \\ \text{End-Red} \frac{P \nrightarrow}{P[f] \nrightarrow} & \text{End-Const} \frac{P \nrightarrow}{K \nrightarrow} \quad \text{if } K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P & \text{End-Seq} \frac{P \nrightarrow \quad Q \nrightarrow}{P; Q \nrightarrow} \\ \\ \text{Seq-L} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P; Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'; Q} & \text{Seq-R} \frac{P \nrightarrow \quad Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P; Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'} & \end{array}$$

Encoding

Let $e : \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}} \rightarrow \text{CCS}$

The encoding of a CCS_{seq} process P is $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\}$

Note: ν, ν' are channels that does not appear in P

- $e(0) = \nu.0$
- $e(\alpha.P) = \alpha.e(P)$
- $e(K) = K_e, \quad K_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e(P) \text{ if } K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$

- $e(P \mid Q) = \left(e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\}$
- $e\left(\sum_{j \in J, J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j. P_j\right) = \sum_{j \in J, J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j. e(P_j)$
- $e(P[f]) = e(P)[f]$
- $e(P \setminus L) = e(P) \setminus L$
- $e(P; Q) = \left(e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\}$

Lemmas

0. $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. P \dashv\dashv e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P' \wedge P' \dashv$
1. if $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. \text{ if } P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \text{ then } e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$
then $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. \text{ if } P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \text{ and } Q \approx e(P) \text{ then } Q \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\}) \text{ and dual}$
3. $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. P \dashv\dashv P \approx 0$
4. $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. e(P) \approx e(P) \setminus \{\nu'\}$

Lemma 0

$$\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. P \dashv\dashv e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P' \wedge P' \dashv$$

By induction on the height of the derivation tree of $P \dashv$

Base case End-Zero

If

$$\text{End-Zero} \frac{}{0 \dashv}$$

then $e(0) = \nu.0 \xrightarrow{\nu} 0$

Inductive case End-Par

If

$$\text{End-Par} \frac{P \dashv \quad Q \dashv}{P \mid Q \dashv}$$

then by induction $e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P' \wedge P' \dashv$ and also $e(Q) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} Q_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} Q' \wedge Q' \dashv$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
e(P|Q) &= \left(e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau^*} \left(P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\nu} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \nrightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

Inductive case End-Seq

If

$$\text{End-Seq} \frac{P \nrightarrow \quad Q \nrightarrow}{P; Q \nrightarrow}$$

then by induction $e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P' \wedge P' \nrightarrow$ and also $e(Q) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} Q_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} Q' \wedge Q' \nrightarrow$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
e(P; Q) &= \left(e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau^*} \left(P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}. e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\tau^*} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q_{\text{temp}} \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \\
&\xrightarrow{\nu} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q' \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \nrightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.1

if $\forall P, Q \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}$. if $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ then $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

then $\forall P, Q \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}$, $P \mathcal{R} Q \setminus \{\nu\}$. if $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ then $Q \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} Q' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (Q' \setminus \{\nu\})$

Proof:

$$e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\text{only rule}} e(P) \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \xRightarrow{Q \approx e(P)} Q \xRightarrow{\alpha} Q' \wedge P'' \approx Q' \xRightarrow{\text{transitivity}} Q' \approx e(P') \Rightarrow P' \mathcal{R} Q' \setminus \{\nu\}$$

Lemma 1.2

if $\forall P, Q \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}$. if $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ then $P \xRightarrow{\alpha} P' \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

then $\forall P, Q \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}$, $P \mathcal{R} Q \setminus \{\nu\}$. if $Q \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q' \setminus \{\nu\}$ then $P \xRightarrow{\alpha} P' \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (Q' \setminus \{\nu\})$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q \setminus \{\nu\} &\xrightarrow{\alpha} Q' \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\text{only rule}} Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q' \xRightarrow{Q \approx e(P)} e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \wedge Q' \approx P'' \\
&\xRightarrow{\text{hide}} e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\text{hypothesis}} P \xRightarrow{\alpha} P' \wedge P' \mathcal{R} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\text{relation}} P'' \approx e(P') \xRightarrow{\text{transitivity}} Q' \approx e(P')
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalence

$$\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. P \approx e(P) \setminus \{\nu\}$$

let

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(P, Q \setminus \{\nu\}) \mid P, Q \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}, Q \approx e(P)\}$$

we need to prove that \mathcal{R} is a weak bisimulation i.e.

- $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}.$ if $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ then $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$
- $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}.$ if $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ then $P \xRightarrow{\alpha} P' \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

The proof is done by induction on the height of the derivation tree, so we can rewrite it as follows:

- $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$ if $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ with tree of height h then $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xRightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$
- $\forall P \in \text{CCS}_{\text{seq}}. \forall h \in \mathbb{N}.$ if $e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ with tree of height h then $P \xRightarrow{\alpha} P' \wedge P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

First point

base case h=1

The only way a process can make a transition with derivation tree of height 1 is

$$\text{Act} \frac{}{\alpha.P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P}$$

and in this case also

$$\text{Hide} \frac{\text{Act} \frac{}{\alpha.e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} e(P)}}{e(\alpha.P) \setminus \{\nu\} = \alpha.e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} e(P) \setminus \{\nu\}} \alpha \neq \nu \text{ by construction}$$

and $P \mathcal{R} (e(P) \setminus \{\nu\})$ because $e(P) \approx e(P)$

inductive case Const

if

$$\text{Const} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{K \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P$$

by induction $\Rightarrow e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

$\Rightarrow e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''$ so

$$\text{Hide} \frac{\text{Const} \frac{e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''}{K_e \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''} K_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e(P)}{e(K) \setminus \{\nu\} = K_e \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}} \alpha \neq \nu \text{ by construction}$$

and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

inductive case Hide

if

$$\text{Hide} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P \setminus L \xrightarrow{\alpha} P' \setminus L} \quad \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \notin L$$

by induction $\Rightarrow e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \Rightarrow P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

relation $\Rightarrow P'' \approx e(P')$

only rule $\Rightarrow e(P) \Rightarrow P''$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hide} \frac{e(P) \Rightarrow P''}{e(P \setminus L) = e(P) \setminus L \Rightarrow P'' \setminus L} \quad \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \notin L \\ \text{Hide} \frac{e(P \setminus L) = e(P) \setminus L \Rightarrow P'' \setminus L}{e(P \setminus L) \setminus \{\nu\} \Rightarrow P'' \setminus L \setminus \{\nu\}} \quad \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \notin \{\nu\} \end{array}$$

I have to prove that $P' \setminus L \mathcal{R} P'' \setminus L \setminus \{\nu\}$ i.e. $P'' \setminus L \approx e(P' \setminus L)$

$$P'' \setminus L \stackrel{P'' \approx e(P')}{\approx} e(P') \setminus L = e(P' \setminus L)$$

inductive case Red

if

$$\text{Red} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P[f] \xrightarrow{f(a)} P'[f]}$$

by induction $\Rightarrow e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \Rightarrow P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

only rule $\Rightarrow e(P) \Rightarrow P''$

and so

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Red} \frac{e(P) \Rightarrow P''}{e(P)[f] \Rightarrow P''[f]} \\ \text{Hide} \frac{e(P)[f] \Rightarrow P''[f]}{e(P[f]) \setminus \{\nu\} = e(P)[f] \setminus \{\nu\} \Rightarrow P''[f] \setminus \{\nu\}} \end{array}$$

and $P''[f] \stackrel{P'' \approx e(P')}{\approx} e(P')[f] = e(P'[f]) \Rightarrow P'[f] \mathcal{R} P''[f] \setminus \{\nu\}$

Inductive case Sum

Sum case is trivial because if

$$\text{Sum} \frac{\text{Act} \frac{\alpha_j \cdot P_j \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} P_j}{\sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot P_j \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} P_j}}{\sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot P_j \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} P_j} \quad j \in J$$

also

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum} \frac{\text{Act} \frac{\alpha_j \cdot e(P_j) \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} e(P_j)}{\sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot e(P_j) \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} e(P_j)}}{\sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot e(P_j) \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} e(P_j)} \quad j \in J \\ \text{Hide} \frac{e\left(\sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot P_j\right) \setminus \{\nu\} = \sum_{j \in J \neq \emptyset} \alpha_j \cdot e(P_j) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha_j} e(P_j) \setminus \{\nu\}}{\alpha_j \neq \nu} \end{array}$$

and $P_j \mathcal{R} (e(P_j) \setminus \{\nu\})$.

Inductive case Par-1/Par-2/Par-3

If

$$\text{Par-1} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'|Q}$$

by induction $\Rightarrow e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

$$\Rightarrow e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''$$

and so

$$\text{Red} \frac{e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''}{e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right]} \alpha \neq \nu \Rightarrow \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right](\alpha) = \alpha$$

...

$$e(P | Q) \setminus \{\nu\} = (e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\}$$

we need to show that $(P' | Q) \mathcal{R} (P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\}$

which is equivalent to show that $(P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx e(P' | Q)$

$$P' \mathcal{R} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R} \text{ definition}} P'' \approx e(P')$$

$$\text{bisim properties} \Rightarrow \left(P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx \left(e(P') \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | e(Q) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. \overline{\nu'}. \nu. 0 \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} = e(P' | Q)$$

Par-2 and Par-3 are similar

Inductive case Seq-L

If

$$\text{Seq-L} \frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P; Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'; Q}$$

by induction $\Rightarrow e(P) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'' \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $P' \mathcal{R} (P'' \setminus \{\nu\})$

$$\Rightarrow e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''$$

and so

$$\text{Red} \frac{e(P) \xrightarrow{\alpha} P''}{e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \xrightarrow{\left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right](\alpha) = \alpha} P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right]}$$

...

$$e(P; Q) \setminus \{\nu\} = (e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q)) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} (P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q)) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\}$$

we need to show that $(P'; Q) \mathcal{R} (P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q)) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\}$

which is equivalent to show that $(P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q)) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx e(P'; Q)$

$$P' \mathcal{R} P'' \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R} \text{ definition}} P'' \approx e(P')$$

$$\text{bisim properties} \Rightarrow \left(P'' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx \left(e(P') \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] | \overline{\nu'}. e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} = e(P'; Q)$$

Inductive case Seq-R

If

$$\text{Seq-R} \frac{P \nrightarrow \quad Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}{P; Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'}$$

$$P \nrightarrow \xRightarrow{\text{lemma 0}} e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P' \wedge P' \nrightarrow$$

$$Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q' \xRightarrow{\text{by induction}} e(Q) \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q'' \setminus \{\nu\} \text{ and } Q' \mathcal{R} (Q'' \setminus \{\nu\}) \Rightarrow e(Q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q''$$

and so

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Red} \frac{e(P) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} P_{\text{temp}}}{e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \xrightarrow{\left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] (\tau^*) = \tau^*} P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right]} \\ \dots \\ \hline \left(e(P) \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}.e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\tau^*} \left(P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}.e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \\ \\ \text{Red} \frac{P_{\text{temp}} \xrightarrow{\nu} P'}{P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \xrightarrow{\left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] (\nu) = \nu'} P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \quad \overline{\nu'}.e(Q) \xrightarrow{\overline{\nu'}} e(Q)} \\ \dots \\ \hline \left(P_{\text{temp}} \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid \overline{\nu'}.e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\tau} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \\ \\ \frac{e(Q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Q''}{\dots} \\ \hline \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid e(Q) \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q'' \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\} \end{array}$$

Now I have to prove that $Q' \mathcal{R} \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q'' \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \setminus \{\nu\}$

Which is equivalent to prove that $\left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q'' \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx e(Q')$

$$P' \nrightarrow \xRightarrow{\text{End-Red}} P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \nrightarrow \xRightarrow{\text{lemma 3}} P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \approx 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(P' \left[\frac{\nu'}{\nu} \right] \mid Q'' \right) \setminus \{\nu'\} \approx (0 \mid Q'') \setminus \{\nu'\} \stackrel{Q'' \approx e(Q')}{\approx} (0 \mid e(Q')) \setminus \{\nu'\} \stackrel{\text{lemma 4}}{\approx} 0 \mid e(Q') \approx e(Q')$$