Maximum Simulated Likelihood

Francesco Ruggieri

The University of Chicago

April 16, 2020

What is Maximum (Non-Simulated) Likelihood?

- $(X_1 \sim P_1, \dots, X_N \sim P_N)$ is a collection of random vectors, not necessarily independent and not necessarily identically distributed
- Each P_i depends on some common parameter vector $\theta \in \Theta$
- ullet For convenience, assume $(X_1 \sim P_1, \dots, X_N \sim P_N)$ are independent
- The **likelihood function** of θ is the joint distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_N) under θ and evaluated at x:

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_i(x_i;\theta)$$

• The log-likelihood function of θ is

$$LL(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \log f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log P_i(x_i;\theta)$$

What is Maximum (Non-Simulated) Likelihood?

• Then a maximum likelihood estimator of θ is

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\mathit{ML}} \in \arg\max_{\widetilde{\theta}} L\left(\widetilde{\theta}|\mathbf{x}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \widehat{\theta}_{\mathit{ML}} \in \arg\max_{\widetilde{\theta}} LL\left(\widetilde{\theta}|\mathbf{x}\right)$$

• What if there is **no closed form** for $\{P_i(\cdot;\theta)\}_{i=1}^N$? Well, those masses/densities could be approximated with simulation...

What is Maximum Simulated Likelihood?

• The **simulated likelihood function** of θ is a simulated approximation to the joint distribution of (X_1, \ldots, X_N) under θ and evaluated at x:

$$SL(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \check{f}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \check{P}_{i}(x_{i};\theta)$$

where \check{P}_i is a simulated approximation to P_i

ullet The **simulated log-likelihood function** of θ is

$$SLL(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \log \check{f}(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \check{P}_{i}(x_{i};\theta)$$

ullet Then a maximum simulated likelihood estimator of heta is

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\mathit{MSL}} \in \arg\max_{\widetilde{\theta}} \mathit{SL}\left(\widetilde{\theta}|\mathbf{x}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \widehat{\theta}_{\mathit{MSL}} \in \arg\max_{\widetilde{\theta}} \mathit{SLL}\left(\widetilde{\theta}|\mathbf{x}\right)$$

A Simple Example: Mixed Logit

• Consider the indirect utility function with random coefficients:

$$V_{ij} = X'_{ij}\beta_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
 with $\beta_i \sim f(\beta; \theta)$

• $\varepsilon_{ij} \stackrel{\mathsf{iid}}{\sim} T1EV$, so individual choice probabilities (conditional on β_i) are

$$P(X_{ij})|\beta_i = \frac{e^{X'_{ij}\beta_i}}{\sum_{k=0}^{J} e^{X'_{ik}\beta_i}}$$

• β_i is random, so let us integrate it out:

$$P(X_{ij};\theta) = \int \frac{e^{X'_{ij}\beta_i}}{\sum_{k=0}^{J} e^{X'_{ik}\beta_i}} f(\beta_i;\theta) d\beta_i$$

A Simple Example: Mixed Logit

- Oh no! That integral may not have a closed form!
- But wait, if we assumed $f(\beta; \theta)$, we could **simulate** it...
 - **1** Draw β^r from $f(\beta; \theta)$
 - 2 Compute $P(X_{ij}) | \beta^r$
 - 3 Repeat (1) and (2) R times, with $R \gg 0$
 - Compute the simple average of $\{P(X_{ij}) | \beta^r\}_{r=1}^R$
- A simulated approximation to the individual choice probability is

$$\check{P}(X_{ij};\theta) = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{e^{X'_{ij}\beta^r}}{\sum_{k=0}^{J} e^{X'_{ik}\beta^r}}$$

A Simple Example: Mixed Logit

- Almost done...
- Now we can compute the simulated log likelihood:

$$SLL(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{J} D_{ij} \log \widecheck{P}(X_{ij}; \theta)$$

where $D_{ij} = 1$ if individual i chose good j, and 0 otherwise

• A maximum simulated likelihood estimator of θ is

$$\widehat{\theta}_{MSL} \in \arg\max_{\widetilde{\theta}} SLL\left(\widetilde{\theta}|\mathbf{x}\right)$$

- Consider a dynamic program with **finite horizon**, $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$
- In each period, agents choose one of K possible alternatives
- Alternatives are mutually exclusive, so decisions are

$$d_k(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is not chosen at time } t \\ 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is chosen at time } t \end{cases}$$

- A current period reward is associated with choice k at time t, $R_k(t)$
- The state space at time t is S(t)

The value function depends on both state space and time horizon:

$$V(S(t), t) = \max\{V_1(S(t), t), \dots, V_K(S(t), t)\}$$

where each choice value function obeys the Bellman equation

$$V_k\left(S(t),t\right) = \begin{cases} R_k(S(t),t) + \delta \mathbb{E}\left[V\left(S(t+1),t+1\right)|S(t),d_k(t)=1\right] & \text{if } t < T \\ R_k(S(t),t) & \text{if } t = T \end{cases}$$

- Labor supply is discrete: zero (0), part-time (1), full-time (2)
- Per-period reward functions are given by

$$R_0(S(t), t) = \gamma + \varepsilon_{0t}$$

$$R_1(S(t), t) = w_1(x_t) + \varepsilon_{1t}$$

$$R_2(S(t), t) = w_2(x_t) + \varepsilon_{2t}$$

where γ is a constant and \boldsymbol{w} are wage offers

• The state space is

$$S(t) = \{x_t, \varepsilon_{0t}, \varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t}\}$$

where x_t denotes experience and ε_t are shocks to the value of non-market time or labor productivity shocks

The state space evolves according to

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + 0.5 \times d_1(t) + 1 \times d_2(t)$$

$$f(\varepsilon_{t+1}|S(t), d_k(t)) = f(\varepsilon_{t+1}|x_t, d_k(t))$$

Working:

- part time increases the experience stock by 0.5
- full time increases the experience stock by 1

We also assume shocks are **serially independent** (issues become even more clear if you relax this assumption)

Recall that the value function is

$$V(S(t), t) = \max\{V_0(S(t), t), V_1(S(t), t), V_2(S(t), t)\}$$

• Then, at time t-1 and for all choices k, agents must compute the expected maximum of the choice-specific value functions

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max\left\{V_{0}\left(S(t),t\right),V_{1}\left(S(t),t\right),V_{2}\left(S(t),t\right)\right\}|S(t-1),d_{k}(t-1)\right]$$

where the expectation is a **three-variate multiple integral** with respect to the joint distribution of ε

- Solve the model by backward recursion
- ullet At time T there is no future, so choice is based on per-period reward
- At time T-1, the expected maximum must be computed for all k possible choices and all possible realizations of x_{T-1}
- When you reach time 0, you need to have computed all possible choice-specific value functions (paths of possible state realizations)!
- ullet This becomes even worse if arepsilon shocks are not serially independent
- How to avoid computing all of these three-variate multiple integrals with no closed form?

- Before finding out, one step back...
- Why are we doing this?
- Our goal is NOT to solve the model per se, but solve the model in order to **estimate structural parameters**, for instance δ and γ
- In practice, we have a panel with observed labor supply choices
- If someone with 9 years of experience worked part time in t = 2007

$$\mathbb{P}(d_1(t) = 1, w_{1t}|x_t = 9) = \mathbb{P}(w_{1t}, V_1(S(t), t) \ge V_0(S(t), t),$$
$$V_1(S(t), t) \ge V_2(S(t), t))$$

• This is one term in the likelihood function!

- If we could compute those integrals, we would multiply those $\mathbb{P}(\cdot)$ across i and over t, and obtain the maximum likelihood estimator
- But since we cannot, Keane and Wolpin (1994) proposes a method based on simulation
 - **1** Take a draw r from the joint distribution of $\varepsilon \equiv (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$
 - 2 Calculate $V_0^r(S(t), t), V_1^r(S(t), t), V_2^r(S(t), t)$
 - **3** Pick the maximum among $V_0^r(S(t),t), V_1^r(S(t),t), V_2^r(S(t),t)$
 - 4 Repeat (1)–(3) R times, with $R \gg 0$
 - **5** Compute the simple average of *R* maximum choice-specific values
 - **6** Perform (1)–(5) in each t and for every possible S(t)
 - O Construct the simulated log likelihood
 - Oerive the maximum simulated likelihood estimator

The End

Thank you!