

Nakajima's remark on Henn's proof

M. Giulietti ^{*} and G. Korchmáros ^{*}

Abstract

We fill up a gap in Henn's proof concerning large automorphism groups of function fields of degree 1 over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic.

1 Introduction

In 1973, Stichtenoth [4] showed that the Hermitian function fields are the unique function fields K of transcendency degree 1 over an algebraically closed ground field Ω of characteristic p whose automorphism group $G = \text{Aut}(K/\Omega)$ has order at least $16g^4$ where $g \geq 2$ is the genus of K .

In 1978, Henn [1] gave a complete classification of such function fields under the weaker hypothesis $|G| \geq 8g^3$. Later Nakajima [3] improved Henn's result for ordinary curves. In a footnote of his paper, Nakajima claimed: "Stichtenoth's result was improved by Henn. But his proof contains a gap (last paragraph of pg. 104). I do not know if the gap can be covered." The gap appears to be in the penultimate line on pg. 104 when Henn claims "und ersichtlich $z \cong \zeta(z)$ gilt, folgt hieraus $E \leq 2$ ". The purpose of the present note is to fill up this gap. Actually, we are going to show the missing details in Henn's proof.

We keep notation and terminology from [1]. In the last paragraph on pg. 104, the following case is investigated: K has two places \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B}_2 with $G_0(\mathfrak{B}) = G_0(\mathfrak{B}_2)$ but none of the hypotheses in Proposition 1 holds.

For this case, Henn explicitly proves the following claims:

- (i) $\nu_1 = 2$;

^{*}Research supported by the Italian Ministry MURST, Strutture geometriche, combinatoria e loro applicazioni.

- (ii) the genus g_2 of $K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B})$ is equal to $q_1 - 1$ with $q_1 = |G_1(\mathfrak{B})/G_2(\mathfrak{B})|$.
- (iii) the smallest non-gap at $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is q_1 .

Then he observes that $q_1 + 1$ must also be a pole number at $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$, and chooses an element $z \in K$ such that

$$z \cong \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_2^a}{\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}^{q_1+1}}, \quad a > 1, \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_2 \nmid a.$$

For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B})/K^{G_1}(\mathfrak{B}))$, he shows that $\sigma(z) = z + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. From this and Lemma 2, he deduces that

$$2 = \nu_1 = 1 - a + q_1,$$

whence $a = q_1 - 1$ and $\deg(\mathfrak{a}) = 2$ follow. At this point Henn takes an element ζ of order $|\zeta| = E$ from $G_0(\mathfrak{B})$. To end the proof it is sufficient to show that ζ has order at most 2, as the hypothesis $1 < e < E$ will give then a contradiction. Henn's idea is to show first that

$$\zeta(z) = cz \text{ with } c \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \tag{1}$$

and then to deduce $E = 2$ from it. He claims that (1) follows from the fact that “jeder Punkt $\neq \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}_2$ unter ζ genau E Konjugierte hat.”

We are going to show that z may be chosen such a way that (1) holds indeed.

Since q_1 and $q_1 + 1$ are coprime, we have $K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B}) = \Omega(y, z)$ where y as in Henn's paper has the property:

$$y \cong \frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_2^{q_1}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}^{q_1}}.$$

Let $f \in \Omega[Z, Y]$ be an irreducible polynomial over Ω such that $f(z, y) = 0$. It may be noted that the plane irreducible curve C with affine equation $f(Z, Y) = 0$ is in its Weierstrass normal form:

$$f(Z, Y) = Y^{q_1+1} + \gamma Z^{q_1} + U_1(Z)Y^{q_1} + \dots + U_{q_1}(Z)Y + U_{q_1+1}(Z),$$

where $\gamma \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and $\deg U_i(Z) \leq i q_1 / (q_1 + 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, q_1$ and $\deg U_{q_1+1}(Z) < q_1$.

In particular, C has only one point at infinity, namely the infinity point Z_∞ of the Z -axis which is the center of the place \mathfrak{B} . Furthermore, the origin O is the center of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_2$, and no other place of $K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B})$ is centered either at Z_∞ or O .

Since ζ belongs to the normalizer of $G_2(\mathfrak{B})$, ζ may be viewed as an Ω -automorphism of $K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B})$ of order E . Moreover, ζ is a linear collineation that preserves C . Since ζ fixes both \mathfrak{B} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_2$, there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$ with $\alpha, \gamma \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(y) &= \alpha y; \\ \zeta(z) &= \beta y + \gamma z.\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta = 0$, then (1) holds. Now assume that $\beta \neq 0$. Then $\alpha \neq \gamma$. In fact, if $\alpha = \gamma$ then $\zeta^j(y) = \alpha^j(y)$ and $\zeta^j(z) = j\alpha^{j-1}\beta y + \alpha^j z$ for every positive integer j . Now, for $j = E$ this implies that $\alpha^E = 1$ and hence that $E \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, a contradiction.

Let $u = \beta/(\alpha - \gamma)$, and $z' = z - uy$. Then $\zeta(z') = \zeta(z) - u\zeta(y) = \gamma z + \beta y - u\alpha y = \gamma(z - uy)$. Replacing z by z' we obtain

$$\zeta(z') = \gamma z'.$$

Actually z may be replaced by z' from the very beginning of the argument, therefore Henn's claim (1) may be assumed to be true.

Henn's also claims without proof that (1) implies $E \leq 2$. This can be shown as follows. From $\text{div}\zeta(z) = \text{div}z$ it follows that ζ must preserve the above divisor \mathfrak{a} . Since $\deg \mathfrak{a} = 2$, this implies that ζ^2 fixes each place in the support of \mathfrak{a} . Therefore, ζ^2 as an Ω -automorphism of the rational function field $K^{G_1}(\mathfrak{B})$ fixes at least three distinct places, namely, \mathfrak{B}' , \mathfrak{B}'_2 and each place lying under those in the support of \mathfrak{a} in the covering $K^{G_2}(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow K^{G_1}(\mathfrak{B})$. But then ζ^2 is the identity, and hence $E = 2$.

Remark

A revised proof of Henn's classification is found in [2, Chapter 11.12].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Michel Matignon for turning our attention to Nakajima's remark about Henn's paper.

References

- [1] H.-W. Henn, Funktionenkörper mit grosser Automorphismengruppe, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **302** (1978), 96–115.
- [2] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros and F. Torres *Algebraic Curves Over a Finite Field*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2008, xx+696 pp.
- [3] S. Nakajima, p -ranks and automorphism groups of algebraic curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 595–607.
- [4] H. Stichtenoth, Über die Automorphismengruppe eines algebraischen Funktionenkörpers von Primzahlcharakteristik. I. Eine Abschätzung der Ordnung der Automorphismengruppe, *Arch. Math.* **24** (1973), 527–544.