

ST 661 Note

Frances Lin

1/4/2022

Linearly Independence

A set of vectors a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is said to be linearly independent if there exists c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n not all zero s.t.

$$c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_n a_n = 0.$$

If no such coefficients exist, then a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is said to be linearly dependent.

The columns of A are linearly independent iff

$$Ac = 0 \text{ implies } c = 0.$$

The reason is that Ac involves linearly linear combination of column vectors of A .

e.g. If c_1 is nonzero, then we can write that

$$a_1 = -\frac{c_2 a_2}{c_1} - \frac{c_3 a_3}{c_1} - \dots - \frac{c_n a_n}{c_1}.$$

Rank

Rank of A is the max number of columns in A that are linearly independent.

e.g.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For column vector 1, 2, and 4, we can have nonzero c so they are linearly dependent.

As a result,

$$\text{rank}(A) = 1.$$

Full Rank Matrix

Suppose A is $n \times p$, then

$$\text{rank}(A) \leq \min(n, p).$$

A is said to be a full rank matrix if

$$\text{rank}(A) = \min(n, p).$$

e.g.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 5 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$c1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} + c2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

One possible solution is that

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -11 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In addition, because

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are linearly independent,

$$\text{rank}(A) = 2.$$

We can also define the row rank of a matrix. The row rank of A is the max number of rows that are linearly independent.

In addition, for all matrix A ,

$$\text{row rank}(A) = \text{column rank}(A).$$

As a result,

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T).$$

On the other hand, if $A \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, it is possible that $AB = 0$.

e.g.

$$AB = CB \nRightarrow A = C,$$

unless B is a square full rank matrix.

Thm

If A and B are conformal for multiplication, then

i)

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A)$$

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B).$$

ii)

$$\text{rank}(AA^T) = \text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A).$$

e.g.

$$A : 2 \times 2, \text{rank}(A) = 2.$$

$$AA^T : 2 \times 2, \text{rank}(A) = 2.$$

$$A^T A : 10 \times 10, \text{rank}(A) = 2.$$

There is something special about rank. Rank is related to the information contained in the matrix.

iii) If B and C are full rank square matrices, then

$$\text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(CA) = \text{rank}(A).$$

Full rank square matrices preserve the information.

Inverse

A full rank square matrix is said to be called nonsingular (or invertible). A nonsingular matrix A has an inverse, denoted by A^{-1} s.t.

$$AA^{-1} = I.$$

e.g. If $AB = I$, then B is called the inverse of A , where $B = A^{-1}$.

Properties of Inverse

1. A^{-1} is unique.
2. For square (don't necessarily has to be nonsingular) matrices A and B , if

$$AB = I \iff BA = I.$$

Thus,

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I.$$

Proof is left for HW.

- 3.

$$(A^{-1})^{-1} = A.$$

Results

If B and C are square matrices, then

$$\text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(CA) = \text{rank}(A).$$

Proof. To show that $\text{rank}(AB) = \text{rank}(A)$, first note that

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A). \quad \text{thm } i)$$

It remains to show \geq . Using the inverse trick,

$$A = A(BB^{-1}) = (AB)B^{-1}.$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A) &= \text{rank}((AB)B^{-1}) \leq \text{rank}(AB). && \text{thm } i) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(AB). && \square \end{aligned}$$

Note. In general, if B is not full rank (or nonsingular), there may not exist a matrix C s.t. $A = (AB)C$.

If a square matrix is not full rank (or nonsingular), then it is said to be a singular matrix.

Remark.

1. If B is nonsingular, then $AB = CB \Rightarrow A = C$.
2. If B is nonsingular, then the equation $Bx = C$ has an unique solution, given by $x = B^{-1}C$.

Thm

If A is nonsingular, then A^T is also nonsingular, and $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$.

Thm

If A and B are both nonsingular and of the same size, then AB is also nonsingular.

In addition,

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

Computation

e.g. (updating a regression on the $f(x)$)

If a linear model is

$$y = XB + \varepsilon,$$

LSE (least square estimator) is given by

$$\hat{B} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y.$$

If we add new data points, a new design matrix

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ X^{(n+1)T} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $X^{(n+1)T}$ is a row. To compute $(W^T W)^{-1}$ more efficiently, notice that

$$W^T W = \begin{bmatrix} X^T & X^{(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ X^{(n+1)T} \end{bmatrix} = X^T X + X^{n+1} X^{(n+1)T}.$$

Then taking the inverse,

$$(W^T W)^{-1} = (X^T X + X^{n+1} X^{(n+1)T})^{-1},$$

where $X^{n+1} X^{(n+1)T}$ is a rank 1 item.

As it turns out,

$$(W^T W)^{-1} = (X^T X)^{-1} - \frac{(X^T X)^{-1} X^{n+1} X^{(n+1)T} (X^T X)^{-1}}{1 + X^{(n+1)T} (X^T X)^{-1} X^{n+1}}.$$

This takes advantages of the known matrix $(X^T X)^{-1}$ and matrix multiplication, which is computationally faster than matrix inversion. This comes from the following thm.

Thm

Suppose A is of the form $A = B + CC^T$, where B is a familiar matrix and A is a new matrix, then

$$A^{-1} = (B + CC^T)^{-1} = B^{-1} - \frac{B^{-1} C C^T B^{-1}}{1 + C^T B^{-1} C}.$$

Ques. How about for other item that is other than rank 1 item such as C ?

In general, if A , B , and $A + PBQ$ are nonsingular, then

$$(A + PBQ)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1} P B (B + B Q A^{-1} P B)^{-1} B Q A^{-1},$$

where A has to be a square matrix and B is low rank and has to be a square matrix.

e.g. If A is 100x100, P is 100x5, B is 5x5, and Q is 5x100, then it turns out that it only involves inverting a 5x5 matrix $(B + BQA^{-1}PB)^{-1}$.

End. Double check the formulas. See page 39 of PDF (or 24 of BOOK) for more.