

ST 661 Note

Frances Lin

1/25/2022

Outline

- mean, covariance
- mgf
- normal distribution & MVN

Defintion?

Let $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T$, then

$$E(z) = \begin{bmatrix} E(z_1) \\ E(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ E(z_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{cov}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \sigma_{21} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \sigma_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & \sigma_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose

$$E(Y) = \mu,$$

then

$$\text{cov}(Y) = \Sigma = E((y - \mu)(y - \mu)^T)$$

can be shown to be

$$= E(yy^T) - \mu\mu^T.$$

$$\sim \text{var}(\mu) = E((\mu - E(\mu))^2) = E(\mu^2) - (E(\mu))^2$$

in the univariate case.

Similarly,

$$\rho = (\rho_{ij})_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \rho_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

ρ_{ij} : correlation between y_i, y_j , and $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$, where σ_i : sd of $y_i = \sqrt{(\sigma_{ii})}$.

Note.

1. $\Sigma = \text{cov}(y)$ is psd. HW. Use $a^T \Sigma a = \text{cov}(a^T y) \geq 0$.
2. $\Sigma = D_\sigma P D_\sigma$, where

$$D_\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix} = (\text{diag}(\Sigma))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

One way to decompose Σ

$$P = D_\sigma^{-1} \Sigma D_\sigma^{-1}.$$

Partitioning

Suppose x is dim p , y is dim q so that z is dim $p + q$, let

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \vdots \\ y \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\mu = E(z) = \begin{bmatrix} E(x) \\ E(y) \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma_{xx})_{p \times p} & (\Sigma_{xy})_{p \times q} \\ (\Sigma_{yx})_{q \times p} & (\Sigma_{yy})_{q \times q} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\text{cov}(x) = (\Sigma_{xx})_{p \times p}$$

Σ_{xy} : is the cross covariance matrix.

Σ_{xy} is sometimes denoted by $\text{cov}(x, y)$. It is not to be confused by

$$\text{cov}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right)_{(p+q) \times (p+q)}.$$

This forms a vector z .

$$\Sigma y x = \text{cov}(y, x) = \Sigma x y^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma y x &= \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma_{x_1 y_1}) & \cdots & (\sigma_{x_1 y_q}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ (\sigma_{x_p y_1}) & \cdots & (\sigma_{x_p y_q}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= E(x - E(x))(y - E(y))^T. \end{aligned}$$

We need a vertical vector and a horizontal vector to make a matrix.

Once we have

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix},$$

let

$$z = a^T y a = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_n y_n$$

a scalar, then

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= E(a^T y a) = E(a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_n y_n) = a_1 E(y_1) + \dots + a_n E(y_n) = a^T E(y) \\ &\Rightarrow E(a^T y) = a^T E(y) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we want to generalize this to a more general form, but now let

$$z_{px1} = A_{pxn} Y_{nx1}$$

and

$$E(z) = AE(y)$$

Thm (Linearity of expectation)

X : matrix

a, b : constant vector

- i) $E(Ay) = AE(y)$
- ii) $E(a^T x b) = a^T E(x) b$
- iii) $E(Ax B) = AE(x) B$

Whatever they are, so long they are constant. (a, b, A, B)

iv) $E(Ay + b) = AE(y) + b$

b is the intercept

Let $z = a^T y$, $cov(y) = \Sigma$, and $E(y) = \mu$, then

$$\begin{aligned} var(a^T y) &= a^T \Sigma a \\ &= E((a^T y - E(a^T y))^2) = E((a^T y - a^T \mu)^2) = E((a^T (y - \mu))^2) \end{aligned}$$

using $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$

$$= E((a^T (y - \mu))((y - \mu)^T a)) = a^T E((y - \mu)(y - \mu)^T) a = a^T \Sigma a$$

using definition of Σ .

Similarly, $cov(a^T y, b^T y) = a^T b$. Because $var(a^T y) = cov(a^T y, a^T y) = a^T \Sigma a$, the previous result is a special case of this result.

Thm

- i) $\text{cov}(Ay) = A\Sigma A^T$
- ii) $\text{cov}(Ay, By) = A\Sigma B^T$
- iii) $\text{cov}(Ay + b) = A\Sigma A^T$

b is constant

Remark. In general, Σ is psd so $A\Sigma A^T$ is also psd. How about pd?

$\text{cov}(y) = \Sigma$ is pd.

?? $\text{var}(a^T y) \neq 0$. No trivial direction in there. (e.g. $\text{var}(a^T y) = 0$. Constant.)

This is the same as saying everything is random. A has to have full row rank for $A\Sigma A^T$ to be pd (i.e. Have to either lower the dim or maintain the dim & not introduce any redundancy).

mgf

Recall that for x

$$M_x(t) = E(e^{tx})$$

$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, uniquely determine the distribution of x . x is random. Even though mgf is deterministic, but it is equally complex.

Next let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$, then

$$M_y(t_1, \dots, t_n) = M_y(t)$$

$\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow ?$.

Let us take a look of the marginal mgf

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{y_1}(t_1) &= E(e^{t_1 y_1}) \\
 &\vdots \\
 M_{y_i}(t_i) &= E(e^{t_i y_i}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\
 M_y(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} E(e^{t_1 y_1}) \\ E(e^{t_2 y_2}) \\ \vdots \\ E(e^{t_n y_n}) \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

It works, but does it have the unique property? No. This proposed mgf only talks about the marginal behavior of the dist.

(Another) Def of mgf

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^T y &= t_1 y_1 + \dots + t_n y_n \\
 M_y(t) &= E(e^{t^T y}) = E(e^{t_1 y_1 + \dots + t_n y_n}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $(t_1 y_1 + \dots + t_n y_n)$ is a scalar so $M_y(t) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now. It does uniquely determine the dist of both joint & marginal dist.

e.g.

$$t = [t_1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0]$$

$$t_1 = t_1, t_2 = 0, \dots, t_n = 0$$

$$M_y(t) = E(e^{t_1 y_1}) = M_{y_1}(t_1).$$

e.g.

$$t = [t_1 \quad t_2 \quad \cdots \quad 0]$$

$$t_1 = t_1, t_2 = t_2, \dots, t_n = 0$$

$$M_y(t) = E(e^{t_1 y_1 + t_2 y_2}) = M_{y_1, y_2}(t_1, t_2).$$

Joint mgf gives us not only the marginal but also the joint of any subvectors.

e.g.

$$t = [0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad t_i \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad t_j \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0]$$

$$t_1 = 0, t_2 = 0, \dots, t_i = t_i, \dots$$

$$M_y(t) = M_{y_i, y_j}(t_i, t_j).$$

In multivariate, taking the derivative, we get

1st order is 1st moment

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} M_y(t) \right|_{t=0} = E(y_i)$$

2nd order is 2nd moment

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} M_y(t) \right|_{t=0} = E(y_i y_j)$$

$i = j$ get *var*

$i \neq j$ get *cov*

3rd order is 3rd moment

$$\left. \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t_i \partial t_j \partial t_k} M_y(t) \right|_{t=0} = E(y_i y_j y_k)$$

Important results

page 6

To check

? Has comma $M_y(t) = M_{y_i, y_j}(t_i, t_j)$

? Has comma $\left. \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t_i \partial t_j \partial t_k} M_y(t) \right|_{t=0} = E(y_i y_j y_k)$