

SA1 TIME SERIES Q30

Frances Aneth Rosales

2024-10-16

Contents

READING THE DATA	2
1. Check Assumptions	3
Normality: Shapiro-Wilk	3
Sphericity: w/correction of Greenhouse-Geisser	3
.	5
Independence	5
2. Conduct the Two-Way Mixed Model ANOVA	6
Main Effect	6
3. Interaction Effect	7
POST-HOC	8
Post-Hoc	8
RESULTS	9

READING THE DATA

Import

```
print(head(server_data))
```

##	Server	Server.Type	Security.Protocol	Time	Response.Time
## 1	1	Linux	SSL	Baseline	93.8812
## 2	2	Windows	TLS	Baseline	160.1052
## 3	3	Windows	SSL	Baseline	134.2862
## 4	4	Linux	TLS	Baseline	109.5037
## 5	5	Windows	SSL	Baseline	132.9666
## 6	6	Linux	SSL	Baseline	110.9772

1. Check Assumptions

Normality: Shapiro-Wilk

```
unique_servers <- unique(server_data$Server)

print(unique_servers)
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
```

With Unique Value in Server namely 1-20, we will get its normality to figure if server response times should follow a normal distribution within each group.

```
kable(normality_results_df)
```

Server	p_value
1	0e+00
2	0e+00
3	1e-07
4	1e-07
5	0e+00
6	1e-07
7	0e+00
8	0e+00
9	0e+00
10	1e-07
11	0e+00
12	0e+00
13	1e-07
14	0e+00
15	0e+00
16	0e+00
17	1e-07
18	1e-07
19	0e+00
20	0e+00

Sphericity: w/correction of Greenhouse-Geisser

```
print(sphericity_test)
```

```
## $ANOVA
##      Effect DFn DFd      SSn      SSd      F      p p<.05
## 1 (Intercept)  1  19 900511.3016 22988.055 744.287189 1.057845e-16 *
## 2      Time    2  38  530.9533  1501.923   6.716799 3.178316e-03 *
##      ges
## 1 0.97352439
## 2 0.02122037
```

```
##
## $`Mauchly's Test for Sphericity`
##   Effect      W      p p<.05
## 2    Time 0.5592526 0.005351456    *
##
## $`Sphericity Corrections`
##   Effect      GGe      p[GG] p[GG]<.05      HFe      p[HF] p[HF]<.05
## 2    Time 0.6940842 0.009114592      * 0.7314221 0.008008614      *
```

With our sphericity_test concluded $<.05$, we need to perform Greenhouse-Geisser Results

```
print(sphericity_corrections)
```

```
##   Effect      GGe      p[GG] p[GG]<.05      HFe      p[HF] p[HF]<.05
## 2    Time 0.6940842 0.009114592      * 0.7314221 0.008008614      *
```

```
cat("Greenhouse-Geisser (GG) corrected p-value for Time:", greenhouse_geisser_p_value, "\n")
```

```
## Greenhouse-Geisser (GG) corrected p-value for Time: 0.009114592
```

```
server_data$Server <- as.factor(server_data$Server)
levene_test_result <- leveneTest(Response.Time ~ Server, data = server_data)
print(levene_test_result)
```

```
## Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance (center = median)
##           Df F value    Pr(>F)
## group    19  6.4712 2.862e-16 ***
##           1180
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Independence

```
if (nrow(overlapping_servers) > 0) {
  print("The following servers have overlapping response times across different time points:")
  print(overlapping_servers)
} else {
  print("All servers are independent across time points.")
}
```

```
## [1] "All servers are independent across time points."
```

Findings for Check Assumptions

Since there is a **statistically significant difference** between the means across the time groups and the **Greenhouse-Geisser corrected p-value**, we can move further with ANOVA. Furthermore, the **Greenhouse-Geisser correction** is justified since the results of **Mauchly's Test for Sphericity** indicate that the assumption of sphericity is violated. The requirement for corrections for valid ANOVA findings is further supported by the **substantial results of Levene's Test**, which also reveal that the assumption of homogeneity of variances is broken.

2. Conduct the Two-Way Mixed Model ANOVA

Main Effect

Including: Two-way mixed model ANOVA

- **Between-Subjects Factor 1: Server Type**
 - Linux vs. Windows
- **Between-Subjects Factor 2: Security Protocol**
 - TLS (Transport Layer Security) vs. SSL (Secure Sockets Layer)
- **Within-Subjects Factor: Time**
 - Baseline (Time 1), After 1 Month (Time 2), After 2 Months (Time 3)
- **Dependent Variable: Server Response Time** (measured in milliseconds)

Here, since our sphericity is violated this part of my code performs the ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser correction

```
summary(anova_results)
```

```
##                               Df Sum Sq Mean Sq  F value    Pr(>F)
## Server.Type                   1 335713   335713 4179.213 < 2e-16 ***
## Security.Protocol             1   5355     5355   66.660 8.17e-16 ***
## Time                         2  10619     5310   66.097 < 2e-16 ***
## Server.Type:Security.Protocol 1  31263   31263  389.185 < 2e-16 ***
## Server.Type:Time              2 18702     9351  116.409 < 2e-16 ***
## Security.Protocol:Time        2   1731      866   10.777 2.30e-05 ***
## Server.Type:Security.Protocol:Time 2   1604      802    9.982 5.02e-05 ***
## Residuals                   1188 95431      80
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Findings:

The results indicate significant main effects for Server Type, Security Protocol, and Time on server response times, suggesting that each of these influences the performance of the servers. The significant interaction effect between Server Type and Security Protocol implies that the impact of the server type on response times varies depending on the security protocol used.

3. Interaction Effect

- **Server Type, Security Protocol, and Time Main Effects:** The study shows that each of the three variables has a significant main effect, suggesting that **Server Type**, **Security Protocol**, and **Time** all have an independent impact on server response times.
- **Interaction Effect between Server Type and Security Protocol:** The interaction effect between **Server Type** and **Security Protocol** is significant, with the security protocol determining the impact on response times. For example, the performance of *Linux servers* may differ significantly from *Windows servers* based on the usage of *TLS* or *SSL*.
- **Interaction Effect between Server Type and Time:** This relationship shows that the impact of **Server Type** on response times changes over the various **Time** periods evaluated. This implies that server performance characteristics may change when the server is used over time, resulting in varying outcomes at each step.
- **Interaction Effect between Security Protocol and Time:** **Security Protocol** success rates may vary over time due to interaction effects, affecting performance relative to server response times. This necessitates constant monitoring for optimal server management.

POST-HOC

Post-Hoc

```
print(pairwise_results)
```

## contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows SSL 1 Month	-16.51	1.34	1188	-12.277	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS 1 Month	5.92	1.26	1188	4.714	0.0002
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS 1 Month	-30.86	1.42	1188	-21.779	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Linux SSL 2 Months	-2.90	1.42	1188	-2.049	0.6592
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows SSL 2 Months	-19.40	1.34	1188	-14.430	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS 2 Months	2.06	1.26	1188	1.640	0.8942
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS 2 Months	-41.51	1.42	1188	-29.295	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Linux SSL Baseline	10.80	1.42	1188	7.624	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows SSL Baseline	-25.98	1.34	1188	-19.327	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS Baseline	16.24	1.26	1188	12.930	<.0001
## Linux SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS Baseline	-36.06	1.42	1188	-25.443	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS 1 Month	22.43	1.17	1188	19.112	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS 1 Month	-14.36	1.34	1188	-10.680	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Linux SSL 2 Months	13.60	1.34	1188	10.117	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Windows SSL 2 Months	-2.89	1.27	1188	-2.283	0.4892
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS 2 Months	18.57	1.17	1188	15.820	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS 2 Months	-25.01	1.34	1188	-18.602	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Linux SSL Baseline	27.31	1.34	1188	20.313	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Windows SSL Baseline	-9.48	1.27	1188	-7.477	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Linux TLS Baseline	32.75	1.17	1188	27.906	<.0001
## Windows SSL 1 Month - Windows TLS Baseline	-19.55	1.34	1188	-14.542	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Windows TLS 1 Month	-36.79	1.26	1188	-29.284	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Linux SSL 2 Months	-8.83	1.26	1188	-7.026	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Windows SSL 2 Months	-25.32	1.17	1188	-21.578	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Linux TLS 2 Months	-3.86	1.07	1188	-3.606	0.0169
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Windows TLS 2 Months	-47.44	1.26	1188	-37.763	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Linux SSL Baseline	4.88	1.26	1188	3.886	0.0060
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Windows SSL Baseline	-31.90	1.17	1188	-27.188	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Linux TLS Baseline	10.32	1.07	1188	9.633	<.0001
## Linux TLS 1 Month - Windows TLS Baseline	-41.98	1.26	1188	-33.418	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Linux SSL 2 Months	27.96	1.42	1188	19.730	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Windows SSL 2 Months	11.46	1.34	1188	8.527	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Linux TLS 2 Months	32.92	1.26	1188	26.210	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Windows TLS 2 Months	-10.65	1.42	1188	-7.516	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Linux SSL Baseline	41.67	1.42	1188	29.403	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Windows SSL Baseline	4.88	1.34	1188	3.631	0.0155
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Linux TLS Baseline	47.11	1.26	1188	37.500	<.0001
## Windows TLS 1 Month - Windows TLS Baseline	-5.19	1.42	1188	-3.664	0.0138
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Windows SSL 2 Months	-16.50	1.34	1188	-12.270	<.0001
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Linux TLS 2 Months	4.96	1.26	1188	3.951	0.0047
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Windows TLS 2 Months	-38.61	1.42	1188	-27.246	<.0001
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Linux SSL Baseline	13.71	1.42	1188	9.673	<.0001
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Windows SSL Baseline	-23.08	1.34	1188	-17.167	<.0001
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Linux TLS Baseline	19.15	1.26	1188	15.242	<.0001
## Linux SSL 2 Months - Windows TLS Baseline	-33.15	1.42	1188	-23.393	<.0001
## Windows SSL 2 Months - Linux TLS 2 Months	21.46	1.17	1188	18.287	<.0001


```

## Windows SSL 2 Months - Windows TLS 2 Months -22.11 1.34 1188 -16.449 <.0001
## Windows SSL 2 Months - Linux SSL Baseline 30.20 1.34 1188 22.466 <.0001
## Windows SSL 2 Months - Windows SSL Baseline -6.58 1.27 1188 -5.194 <.0001
## Windows SSL 2 Months - Linux TLS Baseline 35.64 1.17 1188 30.372 <.0001
## Windows SSL 2 Months - Windows TLS Baseline -16.66 1.34 1188 -12.389 <.0001
## Linux TLS 2 Months - Windows TLS 2 Months -43.57 1.26 1188 -34.689 <.0001
## Linux TLS 2 Months - Linux SSL Baseline 8.74 1.26 1188 6.961 <.0001
## Linux TLS 2 Months - Windows SSL Baseline -28.04 1.17 1188 -23.896 <.0001
## Linux TLS 2 Months - Linux TLS Baseline 14.18 1.07 1188 13.239 <.0001
## Linux TLS 2 Months - Windows TLS Baseline -38.11 1.26 1188 -30.343 <.0001
## Windows TLS 2 Months - Linux SSL Baseline 52.32 1.42 1188 36.918 <.0001
## Windows TLS 2 Months - Windows SSL Baseline 15.53 1.34 1188 11.553 <.0001
## Windows TLS 2 Months - Linux TLS Baseline 57.76 1.26 1188 45.979 <.0001
## Windows TLS 2 Months - Windows TLS Baseline 5.46 1.42 1188 3.852 0.0069
## Linux SSL Baseline - Windows SSL Baseline -36.79 1.34 1188 -27.362 <.0001
## Linux SSL Baseline - Linux TLS Baseline 5.44 1.26 1188 4.329 0.0010
## Linux SSL Baseline - Windows TLS Baseline -46.86 1.42 1188 -33.066 <.0001
## Windows SSL Baseline - Linux TLS Baseline 42.22 1.17 1188 35.982 <.0001
## Windows SSL Baseline - Windows TLS Baseline -10.07 1.34 1188 -7.492 <.0001
## Linux TLS Baseline - Windows TLS Baseline -52.30 1.26 1188 -41.633 <.0001
##
## P value adjustment: tukey method for comparing a family of 12 estimates

```

Findings:

- **Tukey’s HSD analysis** reveals significant variations in response times across servers and security protocols.
- A one-month **Linux SSL setup** responds faster than **Windows SSL** and **Linux TLS** setups, with **p-values smaller than 0.0001**.
- However, some comparisons, such as **Linux SSL at one month** versus **Linux SSL at two months**, do not show statistically significant differences, suggesting that not all transitions across different configurations are statistically significant.

RESULTS

The results of the analysis indicate significant main effects for Server Type, Security Protocol, and Time on server response times, suggesting that each factor independently influences server performance. The interaction effect between Server Type and Security Protocol was significant, meaning the impact of server type on response times varied based on the security protocol used. Additionally, the interaction between Server Type and Time revealed that server performance changed over time, depending on the type of server. A significant interaction between Security Protocol and Time was also found, showing that security protocol effectiveness fluctuated across time periods. Post-hoc analyses further confirmed these significant differences across various combinations of server types, protocols, and time points.