

UML Domain Model changes

UML Domain Model changes Relationships	2
Attributes.....	4
Entities.....	6
Navigability and multiplicity character of atributes.....	6
Roles.....	8
Java Domain Model changes	8
Repository and services	10
Views	10

UML Domain Model changes

Relationships

We have decided to change all the navigability to remove problems in future deliverables, because we've considered they are difficult to implement.

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: FixUp Task-Warranty:

Before: Bidirectional.

We believe this modification improves the efficiency of the system, although the resulting JPQL queries are more difficult. Previously, when consulting a fix-up task, we bring all the associated warranties and vice versa, so we consult a fix-up-task all the time, and we don't need them at all, that's why we've decided to implement the following one:

After: FixUp Task ← Warranty

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: FixUp Task-Category:

Before: Bidirectional.

We believe this modification improves the efficiency of the system, although resulting JPQL queries are more difficult. Previously, when consulting a fix-up task, we bring all the associated warranties and vice versa, so we consult a fix-up-task all the time, and we don't need them at all, that's why we've decided to implement the following one:

After: FixUp Task ← Category

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: Referee-Report:

Before: Bidirectional.

In this case, we have decided this implementation because, if we request a referee, we don't always need to bring their complaints, so we considered to implement the navigability in this way, so that only every time we consult a complaint, we bring its associated referee.

After: Referee ← Report

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: Box-Actor:

Before: Bidirectional.

We thought that bringing the actors related to a box we want to obtain is the most efficient way to implement this relation to the system. With a bidirectional relationship between these two classes (as it was made at first), the system obtains either a collection of boxes and a collection of actors.

After: Box ← Actor

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: HandyWorker-Curriculum:

Before: Bidirectional.

With a bidirectional relationship, when consulting a handy worker, we also bring all the associated Curriculum, and vice versa. This results in an inefficient implementation, so we've decided to change the relationship, although resulting JPQL queries are more difficult.

After: HandyWorker ← Curriculum

(Done third delivery)

➤ Added a new relationship between Customer and FixUpTask.

(Done third delivery)

➤ Multiplicity between FixUpTask and Application has been **changed** from 0. * (FixUpTask) and 1 (Application) to 1 (FixUpTask) and 0..* (Application). Their navigability has also been changed, making the resulting queries easier.

(Done third delivery)

➤ Added a new relationship between Complaint and FixUpTask because we found problems trying to get the complaints of a specific FixUpTask, with a multiplicity of 1, and 0..* on the Complaint side.

(Done third delivery)

➤ Relationship: FixUpTask --- Warraty

Before: FixUpTask ← Warraty

The way we implemented this relationship is very inefficient. So, we have **decided** to change its direction, although resulting queries are more difficult.

After: FixUpTask ← Warraty

(Done third delivery)

- **Relationship:** Finder --- FixUpTask

Before: Finder ← FixUpTask

We decided to **change** the navigability, to make the queries, repositories and services easier.

After: Finder **7** FixUpTask

(Done fourth delivery)

- **Relationship:** SponsorShip ← CreditCard ← Customer

We have **added** this relation because a Customer may have a credit card and a SponsorShip, since each of this Actor could have a credit card, as it is specified in the requirements.

(Done sixth delivery)

- **Relationship:** SponsorShip ← Tutorial

We have **eliminated** the relationship of Sponsorship and Tutorial as we think it is unnecessary because it does not give us a solution to any of the specified requirements.

(Done sixth delivery)

- **Relationship:** SponsorShip ← CreditCard ← Customer

We have **removed** the customer and sponsor relationships of CreditCard and have linked it directly with the Actor entity in order to simplify the domain model as much as possible.

Attributes

(Done third delivery)

- We also had to **add** an attribute to the finder entity, lastUpdate (Date type), in which we save the date of the last update. This is necessary because we need to know when the browser is not updated in order to update it.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **added** new attributes to the configuration entity. These attributes are positiveWord, spamWord, countryCode, banner,VAT, nameSystem and welcomeMessage.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **read** requirement number 38 and we have decided to implement two attributes, isBanned and isSuspicious, in the Actor entity in order to be able to distinguish from one of those actors who are suspected of being banned. Those who have already been banned and also know which are the actors who have no suspicion and have not been banned.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **decided** to change the type of the derived attribute *score* since we think it should be nullable:

double ----- Double

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **decided** to remove the *isBanned* attribute because the same function that performed that attribute is also performed by the AccountNonLocked attribute, which gives us the userAccount class by default.

(Done third delivery)

- We have **renamed** the attribute *updatedMoment* to *moment*.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **added** the attribute, unique to the name of the warranty and CreditCard entity, because we will give the user the possibility to choose the warranty and the credit card by its names, so we need all their names to be different to distinguish them.

Entities

(Done third delivery)

- We also **added** a new entity called configuration, in which we store the results of the finder, the finder cache time, and a phone number pattern.

(Done third delivery)

- In the section entity we have **changed** the name of the id attribute to “number”, because we had problems with the implementation.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **decided** to create a new entity called CreditCard, in which we store the data of the related credit card of a Customer and a Sponsorship. We didn't previously add this entity since we believed that we could not store the data of the credit card of our clients.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **removed** the attribute creditCard from SponsorShip due to the creation of the new entity named CreditCard .

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **added** the attribute holderName to the entity CreditCard, because it were necessary, in order to register a fix-up-task.

Navigability and multiplicity character of atributes

(Done third delivery)

- We decided to **change** the applicable laws restriction because we considered that the previous one was not correct, since we decided that the applicable laws could be optional.

(Done third delivery)

- We have also decided to **change** the multiplicity of several entities, as we felt they were wrong after a second reading of the information requirements:
 - Complaint---Report (multiplicity **changed**).
 - Referee --- Report (multiplicity **changed**.)
 - Message--- Box (multiplicity **changed**.)

(Done third delivery)

- We also **changed** the multiplicity from Category to FixUpTask, swapped from 1 to 0..*, since we consider that a category should not be associated mandatory to a fix-up task, that is, it can create a category that does not have any associated fix-up task.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **changed** the multiplicity of the FixUpTask relationship with Warranty because we believe that several FixUp tasks can have the same associated Warranty. We first believed that only one type of warranty should be assigned to a single FixUpTask.

*FixUpTask(multiplicity **changed**)---Warranty*

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **changed** the multiplicity of the Finder relationship with FixUpTask, since we believe that a fix-up task can be indexed in several finders, because some finders may have stored the same fix-up-task several times. Before we thought that only one finder can only return several fix-up-tasks.

*Finder(multiplicity **changed**)---FixUpTask*

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **changed** the multiplicity of the relationship from Customer and Sponsor to CreditCard. Now is optional, since both do not have to have a registered CreditCard in the information system.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **decided** to delete the relationship between Phase and HandyWorker, because we have realized that this relationship is wrong.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **changed** the multiplicity of the relationship from Complaint to Referee. Before, a complaint had a mandatory referee; now it's optional (0..1).

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **changed** the type of relationship between curriculum and its subtypes, before it was a composition and now it became a normal relationship, since we have realized that it was a mistake.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **changed** the subtype of personalRecord to non-mandatory since we think that needs to be optional, also as the other curriculums.

Roles

(Done third delivery)

- We have **changed** the roles of Application and Endorsement from the conceptual model, since it produced an error in our model because two of them were redundant.

Java Domain Model changes

In the second delivery we didn't know we had to include relationship attributes in Java classes (excepts composition attributes), so we have implemented them in this delivery.

(Done third delivery)

- Attribute personalRecord in Curriculum class was Collection<PersonalRecord>, but we noticed that multiplicity from Curriculum to PersonalRecord is 1, so we **changed** the type of that attribute to PersonalRecord.

(Done third delivery)

- *@DateTimeFormat* annotation from getters of Date type attributes has been **deleted** because they don't matter in this deliverable and in the previous one.

(Done third delivery)

- We have decided to **remove** the *@NotNull* attribute from the Curriculum class, because we considered that there were more positions, since a handy worker does not have to have a miscellaneous record mandatory. So, the only one that would be necessary should be personalRecord.

(Done third delivery)

- We have **added** the *@Transitional* annotation to the flagSpam attribute, since we consider that its calculation can be made relatively fast.

(Done third delivery)

- In Spring, the *@NotBlank* annotation includes the not-null restriction. In optional attributes, we don't want this (it doesn't make sense). So, in the domain model, we have **kept** this annotation, but, in java, we **didn't include** it.

(Done fourth delivery)

- We have **added** new attributes to the java model, because we realized that we needed several attributes in the relationship modelling of the Javadomain.
 - **In HandyWorker**: finder attribute.
 - **In Phase**: handyWorker attribute.

(Done fifth delivery)

- We have **changed** the comments attributes of the application entity. We have replaced this attribute with handyWorkerComments, and the rejectedReason attribute with customerComments.

(Done fifth delivery)

- We've also **changed** the type and name of the attachmentNumber attribute. It used to be an integer attribute, and now we've renamed it as an attachment, and changed its type to String.

(Done fifth delivery)

- We have **corrected** spelling mistakes in the complaint class, in the description attribute, modifying, in turn, the PopulateDatabase.xml file.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **removed** the isBanned attribute from the Actor entity, since we have performed the same function in the Useraccount class through the userAccountNonLocked method.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **changed** the restrictions, of the pattern of both e-mail and phoneNumber, before did not conform to the requirements that we were required.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **changed** the finder's restriction related with the minumun number of fix-up-task displayed as a result from the Finder.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **added** the restriction `max(100)` to the property `VAT`, as it is a percentage and this can not be greater than 100.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have added the restriction `@CreditCardNumber y @NotBlank`, to the `CreditCard` entity.

(Done sixth delivery)

- To the `endorser` entity we have decided that the score must have more than two decimals so we have **removed** the restriction `digits` from the domain.

(Done sixth delivery)

- New `Populate` because the older one was a bit inconsistent

Repository and services

(Done fifth delivery)

- We have **added** the following repositories and their respective services: `Credit Card`, `Tutorial`, `Sponsor`, `SponsorShip`, `Section`, `Report`, `Curriculum`, `Personal Record`, `Endorser Record`, `Education Record`, `Professional Record`, `Miscellaneous Record`.

(Done fifth delivery)

- We have **edited** the methods of saving actors, since we realized that they were wrong, when saving a customer was also saved as `endorser` and `actor`.

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **edited** all the older services for the sixth deliverable. Also we have added new queries in order to fulfill all the functions that the web page requires.

Views

(Done sixth delivery)

- We have **added** the rest of views that were necessary to complete the web page, together with this, we have modified the `tiles` and the `messages` classes of the views to be able to make a correct project deployment.