

The de Rham Cohomology for the n -Sphere

I already proved that

$$H_p(S^1) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , p = 0, 1 \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

I now want to prove that

$$H_p(S^2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , p = 0, 2 \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Consider the 2-sphere S^2 with poles $N = (0, 0, 1)$ and $S = (0, 0, -1)$. Let $U = S^2 \setminus \{N\}$ and $V = S^2 \setminus \{S\}$. Notice that

$$U \cap V = S^2 \setminus \{S, N\} \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \simeq S^1 \quad (3)$$

where \cong denotes the homeomorphism relation and \simeq denotes the homotopy equivalence relation.

The Mayer-Vietoris Sequence is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H_0(S^2) & \rightarrow & H_0(U) \oplus H_0(V) & \rightarrow & H_0(U \cap V) \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_1(S^2) & \rightarrow & H_1(U) \oplus H_1(V) & \rightarrow & H_1(U \cap V) \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & \dots & & & & \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Now, $H_0(S^2) = \mathbb{R}$ because S^2 has one connected component. For the same reason, $H_0(U) = H_0(V) = H_0(U \cap V) = \mathbb{R}$.

Also, U and V are homotopically equivalent to \mathbb{R}^2 . Hence,

$$H_p(U) = H_p(V) = H_p(\mathbb{R}^2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , p = 0 \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and, since $U \cap V \simeq S^1$, we have $H_p(U \cap V) = H_p(S^1)$.

Thus, we can rewrite the MVS as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_1(S^2) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H_1(S^1) = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_2(S^2) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H_2(S^1) = 0 \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array} \quad (6)$$

And now I use a theorem that I read in Tu's book:

Lemma 1. *Let $0 \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finite-dimensional vector spaces.*

Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim A^k = 0 \quad (7)$$

In our case, if we restrict ourselves to the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_1(S^2) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & H_1(S^1) = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_2(S^2) & \rightarrow & 0 & \end{array} \quad (8)$$

we get

$$1 - 2 + 1 - x_1 + 0 - 1 + x_2 = 0 \quad (9)$$

with $x_1 = \dim H_1(S^2)$ and $x_2 = \dim H_2(S^2)$.

So

$$x_2 - x_1 = 1 \quad (10)$$

Now, part of my exact sequence is

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial'} H_2(S^2) \xrightarrow{\partial''} 0 \rightarrow \dots \quad (11)$$

and therefore

$$\ker \partial' = \text{Im} \partial = 0 \quad (12)$$

because ∂ is an homomorphism. Also,

$$\text{Im} \partial' = \ker \partial'' = H_2(S^2) \quad (13)$$

and therefore ∂' is bijective and hence an isomorphism. We conclude that $\dim H_2(S^2) = \dim \mathbb{R} = 1$, so $H_2(S^2) = \mathbb{R}$ (up to vector space isomorphism).

Using (10), we see that $H_1(S^2) = 0$. \square

The expressions for $H_p(S^1)$ and $H_p(S^2)$ suggest that

$$H_p(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & , p = 0, n \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Let us prove that this is indeed true.

Proof. We shall prove by induction.

We already know that it is true for $n = 1$. Now, suppose it is true for $n = k - 1$. In a first moment, we proceed exactly as in the proof for the $n = 2$ case: where there is a 2, we replace it by an n . Things start to get little different in (6). In fact, in this case our MVS is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_1(S^k) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow H_1(S^{k-1}) \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} 0 \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_2(S^k) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow H_2(S^{k-1}) \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} 0 \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & \dots & & & \\ & & \rightarrow & H_{k-1}(S^k) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow H_{k-1}(S^{k-1}) \stackrel{\text{I.H.}}{=} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & H_k(S^k) & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \\ & & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \dots & \end{array} \quad (15)$$

Again, using lemma 1, we can easily see that

$$\begin{cases} 1 - 2 + 1 - x_1 - 1 + x_2 = 0 \iff x_2 - x_1 = 1 & , \text{if } 3(k-1) \text{ is even} \\ 1 - 2 + 1 - x_1 + 1 - x_2 = 0 \iff x_1 + x_2 = 1 & , \text{if } 3(k-1) \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

with $x_1 = \dim H_1(S^k)$ and $x_2 = \dim H_k(S^k)$.

Now, part of my exact sequence is

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial'} H_k(S^k) \xrightarrow{\partial''} 0 \rightarrow \dots \quad (17)$$

and therefore

$$\ker \partial' = \text{Im} \partial = 0 \quad (18)$$

because ∂ is an homomorphism. Also,

$$\text{Im}\partial' = \ker \partial'' = H_k(S^k) \tag{19}$$

and therefore ∂' is bijective and hence an isomorphism. We conclude that $\dim H_2(S^2) = \dim \mathbb{R} = 1$, so $H_2(S^2) = \mathbb{R}$ (up to vector space isomorphism).

Using (16), we conclude that $H_1(S^k) = 0$. \square