Untitled

Francisco Villarroel

28-01-2022

```
Warning: package 'data,table' is not available for this version of R

A version of this package for your version of R might be available elsewhere,
see the ideas at
https://cran.r-project.org/doc/manuals/r-patched/R-admin.html#Installing-packages


Warning in library(package, lib.loc = lib.loc, character.only = TRUE,
logical.return = TRUE, : there is no package called 'data,table'
```

tidyverse dplyr haven ggplot2 readxl summarytools TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE patchwork stringr tidyr kableExtra psych MASS TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE foreign data,table gtools lubridate AER xtable TRUE FALSE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE pBrackets Hmisc ri ggpubr stargazer Rmisc TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE wesanderson gridExtra ggmosaic vcd plyr TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE

Lista de tablas de anexos*

Experimento 1

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Cámaras de Eco				
0	122 (35.4%)	117 (33.9%)	106 (30.7%)	345 (100.0%)
1	112 (32.5%)	113 (32.8%)	120 (34.8%)	345 (100.0%)
Total	234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ciudadanía Digital				
0	73 (29.2%)	94 (37.6%)	83 (33.2%)	250 (100.0%)
1	161 (36.6%)	136 (30.9%)	143 (32.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total	234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Grupo etáreo				
18 a 29 años	59 (31.6%)	72 (38.5%)	56 (29.9%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años	68 (36.0%)	55 (29.1%)	66 (34.9%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años	66 (35.3%)	64 (34.2%)	57 (30.5%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Total	193 (34.3%)	191 (33.9%)	179 (31.8%)	563 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género				
Femenino	138 (35.8%)	123 (31.9%)	125 (32.4%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino	93 (31.4%)	106 (35.8%)	97 (32.8%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)
Total	234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ingreso				
Menos de $224.000	15 (33.3%)	13 (28.9%)	17 (37.8%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre $224.001 - $448.000	40 (32.8%)	40 (32.8%)	42 (34.4%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente $448.001 y $1.000.000	100 (38.5%)	78 (30.0%)	82 (31.5%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre $1.000.001 - $3.000.000	70 (31.5%)	87 (39.2%)	65 (29.3%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de $3.000.000	9 (22.0%)	12 (29.3%)	20 (48.8%)	41 (100.0%)
Total	234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Posición Política				
Izquierda	94 (33.7%)	94 (33.7%)	91 (32.6%)	279 (100.0%)
Derecha	21 (38.2%)	15 (27.3%)	19 (34.5%)	55 (100.0%)
centro	25 (36.2%)	20 (29.0%)	24 (34.8%)	69 (100.0%)
Ninguno	94 (32.8%)	101 (35.2%)	92 (32.1%)	287 (100.0%)
Total	234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Experimento 2

Cross-Tabulation, Row Proportions

Cámaras de Eco * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Cámaras de Eco				
0	117 (33.9%)	103 (29.9%)	125 (36.2%)	345 (100.0%)
1	126 (36.5%)	121 (35.1%)	98 (28.4%)	345 (100.0%)
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ciudadanía Digital				
0	86 (34.4%)	75 (30.0%)	89 (35.6%)	250 (100.0%)
1	157 (35.7%)	149 (33.9%)	134 (30.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Grupo etáreo				
18 a 29 años	63 (33.7%)	62 (33.2%)	62 (33.2%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años	71 (37.6%)	60 (31.7%)	58 (30.7%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años	61 (32.6%)	71 (38.0%)	55 (29.4%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Total	195 (34.6%)	193 (34.3%)	175 (31.1%)	563 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género				
Femenino	137 (35.5%)	115 (29.8%)	134 (34.7%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino	103 (34.8%)	108 (36.5%)	85 (28.7%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ingreso				
Menos de $224.000	16 (35.6%)	12 (26.7%)	17 (37.8%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre $224.001 - $448.000	46 (37.7%)	32 (26.2%)	44 (36.1%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente $448.001 y $1.000.000	87 (33.5%)	99 (38.1%)	74 (28.5%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre $1.000.001 - $3.000.000	81 (36.5%)	70 (31.5%)	71 (32.0%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de $3.000.000	13 (31.7%)	11 (26.8%)	17 (41.5%)	41 (100.0%)
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Posición Política				
Izquierda	98 (35.1%)	91 (32.6%)	90 (32.3%)	279 (100.0%)
Derecha	14 (25.5%)	26 (47.3%)	15 (27.3%)	55 (100.0%)
centro	22 (31.9%)	22 (31.9%)	25 (36.2%)	69 (100.0%)
Ninguno	109 (38.0%)	85 (29.6%)	93 (32.4%)	287 (100.0%)
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Experimento 3

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Cámaras de Eco						
0		87 (25.2%)	80 (23.2%)	86 (24.9%)	92 (26.7%)	345 (100.0%)
1		90 (26.1%)	87 (25.2%)	92 (26.7%)	76 (22.0%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Ciudadanía Digital						
0		68 (27.2%)	59 (23.6%)	62 (24.8%)	61 (24.4%)	250 (100.0%)
1		109 (24.8%)	108 (24.5%)	116 (26.4%)	107 (24.3%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Grupo etáreo						
18 a 29 años		49 (26.2%)	51 (27.3%)	43 (23.0%)	44 (23.5%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años		50 (26.5%)	41 (21.7%)	53 (28.0%)	45 (23.8%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años		44 (23.5%)	54 (28.9%)	47 (25.1%)	42 (22.5%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Total		143 (25.4%)	146 (25.9%)	143 (25.4%)	131 (23.3%)	563 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género					
Femenino		137 (35.5%)	115 (29.8%)	134 (34.7%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino		103 (34.8%)	108 (36.5%)	85 (28.7%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro		3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)
Total		243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Ingreso						
Menos de $224.000		8 (17.8%)	11 (24.4%)	14 (31.1%)	12 (26.7%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre $224.001 - $448.000		36 (29.5%)	37 (30.3%)	22 (18.0%)	27 (22.1%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente $448.001 y $1.000.000		70 (26.9%)	67 (25.8%)	63 (24.2%)	60 (23.1%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre $1.000.001 - $3.000.000		52 (23.4%)	43 (19.4%)	68 (30.6%)	59 (26.6%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de $3.000.000		11 (26.8%)	9 (22.0%)	11 (26.8%)	10 (24.4%)	41 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Posición Política	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Izquierda		74 (26.5%)	73 (26.2%)	62 (22.2%)	70 (25.1%)	279 (100.0%)
Derecha		11 (20.0%)	12 (21.8%)	18 (32.7%)	14 (25.5%)	55 (100.0%)
centro		19 (27.5%)	10 (14.5%)	19 (27.5%)	21 (30.4%)	69 (100.0%)
Ninguno		73 (25.4%)	72 (25.1%)	79 (27.5%)	63 (22.0%)	287 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

Experimento 4

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Cámaras de Eco						
0		91 (26.4%)	76 (22.0%)	108 (31.3%)	70 (20.3%)	345 (100.0%)
1		92 (26.7%)	90 (26.1%)	76 (22.0%)	87 (25.2%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Ciudadanía Digital						
0		61 (24.4%)	60 (24.0%)	71 (28.4%)	58 (23.2%)	250 (100.0%)
1		122 (27.7%)	106 (24.1%)	113 (25.7%)	99 (22.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Grupo etáreo					
18 a 29 años	46 (24.6%)	45 (24.1%)	48 (25.7%)	48 (25.7%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años	53 (28.0%)	44 (23.3%)	47 (24.9%)	45 (23.8%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años	53 (28.3%)	47 (25.1%)	48 (25.7%)	39 (20.9%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Total	152 (27.0%)	136 (24.2%)	143 (25.4%)	132 (23.4%)	563 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Género					
Femenino	106 (27.5%)	85 (22.0%)	105 (27.2%)	90 (23.3%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino	77 (26.0%)	79 (26.7%)	76 (25.7%)	64 (21.6%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro	0 (0.0%)	2 (25.0%)	3 (37.5%)	3 (37.5%)	8 (100.0%)
Total	183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Ingreso					
Menos de $224.000	6 (13.3%)	11 (24.4%)	9 (20.0%)	19 (42.2%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre $224.001 - $448.000	32 (26.2%)	28 (23.0%)	30 (24.6%)	32 (26.2%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente $448.001 y $1.000.000	69 (26.5%)	63 (24.2%)	76 (29.2%)	52 (20.0%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre $1.000.001 - $3.000.000	64 (28.8%)	57 (25.7%)	56 (25.2%)	45 (20.3%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de $3.000.000	12 (29.3%)	7 (17.1%)	13 (31.7%)	9 (22.0%)	41 (100.0%)
Total	183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

Resultados por cada uno de los experimentos

Experimento 1

```
TablaExperi[[1]]
```

A tibble: 3 x 6

Tratamiento Media `Desviacion Esta~` `Quantil 25%` `Quantil 50%` `Quantil 75%` 1 Afin 4.48 1.88 3 5 6 2 Control 4.6 1.34 4 5 6 3 Opuesto 5.18 1.58 4 5 6

```
TablaExperi[[2]]
```

A tibble: 6 x 7

Groups: Cámaras de eco [2]

`Cámaras de eco` Tratamiento Media desviacion `Quantil 25%` `Quantil 50%` 1 0 Afin 4.32 1.86 3 4 2 0 Control 4.47 1.37 4 5 3 0 Opuesto 4.92 1.69 4 5 4 1 Afin 4.65 1.90 3 5 5 1 Control 4.73 1.30 4 5 6 1 Opuesto 5.41 1.46 5 6 # ... with 1 more variable: `Quantil 75%`

```
TablaExperi[[3]]
```

A tibble: 6 x 7

Groups: Ciudadanía Digital [2]

`Ciudadanía Digital` Tratamiento Media desviacion `Quantil 25%` `Quantil 50%` 1 0 Afin 4.04 1.76 3 4 2 0 Control 4.35 1.46 3.25 5 3 0 Opuesto 5.25 1.64 4 6 4 1 Afin 4.68 1.91 4 5 5 1 Control 4.77 1.24 4 5 6 1 Opuesto 5.13 1.56 4 5 # ... with 1 more variable: `Quantil 75%`

Experimento 2

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		100 (56.5%)	103 (61.7%)	94 (52.8%)	85 (50.6%)	382 (55.4%)
1		77 (43.5%)	64 (38.3%)	84 (47.2%)	83 (49.4%)	308 (44.6%)
Total		177 (100.0%)	167 (100.0%)	178 (100.0%)	168 (100.0%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
4.8011	3	0.187

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		179 (46.9%)	203 (53.1%)	382 (100.0%)
1		167 (54.2%)	141 (45.8%)	308 (100.0%)
Total		346 (50.1%)	344 (49.9%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.4083	1	0.0649

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.74	0.55	1.01

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.86	0.75	1.00

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		188 (49.2%)	194 (50.8%)	382 (100.0%)
1		147 (47.7%)	161 (52.3%)	308 (100.0%)
Total		335 (48.6%)	355 (51.4%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.0973	1	0.755

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.06	0.79	1.43

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.03	0.88	1.20

Experimento 3 y ke pasa

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: HomoIndex = 0

Probabilidad de romper lazos	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
0		112 (62.9%)	116 (69.5%)	228 (66.1%)
1		66 (37.1%)	51 (30.5%)	117 (33.9%)
Total		178 (100.0%)	167 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
1.3654	1	0.2426

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.75	0.48	1.17

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.87	0.71	1.07

Group: HomoIndex = 1

Probabilidad de romper lazos	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
0		67 (39.9%)	87 (49.2%)	154 (44.6%)
1		101 (60.1%)	90 (50.8%)	191 (55.4%)
Total		168 (100.0%)	177 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
2.6347	1	0.1046

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.69	0.45	1.05

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.82	0.66	1.03

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: DigitIndex = 0

Probabilidad de romper lazos	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
0		74 (60.2%)	80 (63.0%)	154 (61.6%)
1		49 (39.8%)	47 (37.0%)	96 (38.4%)
Total		123 (100.0%)	127 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1088	1	0.7415

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.89	0.53	1.48

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.94	0.73	1.22

Group: DigitIndex = 1

Probabilidad de romper lazos	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
0		105 (47.1%)	123 (56.7%)	228 (51.8%)
1		118 (52.9%)	94 (43.3%)	212 (48.2%)
Total		223 (100.0%)	217 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.6817	1	0.055

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.68	0.47	0.99

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.83	0.69	1.00

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: HomoIndex = 0

Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos			
0	113 (65.7%)	115 (66.5%)	228 (66.1%)
1	59 (34.3%)	58 (33.5%)	117 (33.9%)
Total	172 (100.0%)	173 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.0015	1	0.9692

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.97	0.62	1.51

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.98	0.79	1.23

Group: HomoIndex = 1

Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos			
0	75 (46.0%)	79 (43.4%)	154 (44.6%)
1	88 (54.0%)	103 (56.6%)	191 (55.4%)
Total	163 (100.0%)	182 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1426	1	0.7057

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.11	0.73	1.70

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.06	0.85	1.32

##Experimento 3 subdidido

```
TablaExperi[[13]]
```

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: DigitIndex = 0

Tratamiento Probabilidad de romper lazos	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
0	79 (65.8%)	75 (57.7%)	154 (61.6%)
1	41 (34.2%)	55 (42.3%)	96 (38.4%)
Total	120 (100.0%)	130 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
1.4211	1	0.2332

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.41	0.85	2.36

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.20	0.91	1.59

Group: DigitIndex = 1

Tratamiento Probabilidad de romper lazos	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
0	109 (50.7%)	119 (52.9%)	228 (51.8%)
1	106 (49.3%)	106 (47.1%)	212 (48.2%)
Total	215 (100.0%)	225 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1328	1	0.7156

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.92	0.63	1.33

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.96	0.79	1.16

Eexperimento 4 subdividido

```
TablaExperi[[19]]
```

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de discusión * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: HomoIndex = 0

Tratamiento	Amigo	Familiar	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	26 (15.6%)	31 (17.4%)	57 (16.5%)
1	141 (84.4%)	147 (82.6%)	288 (83.5%)
Total	167 (100.0%)	178 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1002	1	0.7516

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.87	0.49	1.55

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.93	0.69	1.27

Group: HomoIndex = 1

Tratamiento	Amigo	Familiar	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	34 (18.7%)	19 (11.7%)	53 (15.4%)
1	148 (81.3%)	144 (88.3%)	292 (84.6%)
Total	182 (100.0%)	163 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
2.7457	1	0.0975

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.74	0.95	3.19

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.27	1.00	1.59

`TablaExperi[[20]]`

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de discusión * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: DigitIndex = 0

Tratamiento	Amigo	Familiar	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	23 (19.0%)	23 (17.8%)	46 (18.4%)
1	98 (81.0%)	106 (82.2%)	204 (81.6%)
Total	121 (100.0%)	129 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.0059	1	0.9386

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.08	0.57	2.05

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.04	0.75	1.44

Group: DigitIndex = 1

Tratamiento	Amigo	Familiar	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	37 (16.2%)	27 (12.7%)	64 (14.5%)
1	191 (83.8%)	185 (87.3%)	376 (85.5%)
Total	228 (100.0%)	212 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.8152	1	0.3666

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.33	0.78	2.27

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.14	0.90	1.43

```
TablaExperi[[21]]
```

Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de discusión * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: HomoIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	No-Politico	Politico	Total
Probabilidad de discusión				
0		23 (11.6%)	34 (23.3%)	57 (16.5%)
1		176 (88.4%)	112 (76.7%)	288 (83.5%)
Total		199 (100.0%)	146 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
7.5723	1	0.0059

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.43	0.24	0.77

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.66	0.48	0.92

Group: HomoIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	No-Politico	Politico	Total
Probabilidad de discusión				
0		19 (11.3%)	34 (19.2%)	53 (15.4%)
1		149 (88.7%)	143 (80.8%)	292 (84.6%)
Total		168 (100.0%)	177 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.5514	1	0.0595

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.54	0.29	0.98

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.70	0.48	1.02

```
TablaExperi[[22]]
```

Cross-Tabulation, Total Proportions

Probabilidad de discusión * Tratamiento
Data Frame: df
Group: DigitIndex = 0

Tratamiento	No-Politico	Politico	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	18 (7.2%)	28 (11.2%)	46 (18.4%)
1	114 (45.6%)	90 (36.0%)	204 (81.6%)
Total	132 (52.8%)	118 (47.2%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.5812	1	0.0584

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.51	0.26	0.98

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.70	0.48	1.02

Group: DigitIndex = 1

Tratamiento	No-Politico	Politico	Total
Probabilidad de discusión			
0	24 (5.5%)	40 (9.1%)	64 (14.5%)
1	211 (48.0%)	165 (37.5%)	376 (85.5%)
Total	235 (53.4%)	205 (46.6%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
6.8878	1	0.0087

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.47	0.27	0.81

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.67	0.48	0.93

Experimento 4

[ya ta listo oezi]