

# Untitled

Francisco Villarroel

28-01-2022

tidyverse dplyr haven ggplot2 readxl summarytools TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE patchwork  
stringr tidyr kableExtra psych MASS TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE foreign data.table gtools  
lubridate AER xtable TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE pBrackets Hmisc ri ggpubr stargazer Rmisc  
TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE TRUE wesanderson gridExtra ggmosaic vcd plyr TRUE TRUE TRUE  
TRUE TRUE

## Lista de tablas de anexos\*

### Experimento 1

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Cámaras de Eco					
0		122 (35.4%)	117 (33.9%)	106 (30.7%)	345 (100.0%)
1		112 (32.5%)	113 (32.8%)	120 (34.8%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ciudadanía Digital					
0		73 (29.2%)	94 (37.6%)	83 (33.2%)	250 (100.0%)
1		161 (36.6%)	136 (30.9%)	143 (32.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

## Variables sociodemográficas Exoerimento 1

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Grupo etáreo					
18 a 29 años		59 (31.6%)	72 (38.5%)	56 (29.9%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años		68 (36.0%)	55 (29.1%)	66 (34.9%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años		66 (35.3%)	64 (34.2%)	57 (30.5%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años		0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Total		193 (34.3%)	191 (33.9%)	179 (31.8%)	563 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género					
Femenino		138 (35.8%)	123 (31.9%)	125 (32.4%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino		93 (31.4%)	106 (35.8%)	97 (32.8%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro		3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)
Total		234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ingreso					
Menos de \$224.000		15 (33.3%)	13 (28.9%)	17 (37.8%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre \$224.001 - \$448.000		40 (32.8%)	40 (32.8%)	42 (34.4%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente \$448.001 y \$1.000.000		100 (38.5%)	78 (30.0%)	82 (31.5%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre \$1.000.001 - \$3.000.000		70 (31.5%)	87 (39.2%)	65 (29.3%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de \$3.000.000		9 (22.0%)	12 (29.3%)	20 (48.8%)	41 (100.0%)
Total		234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Posición Política					
Izquierda		94 (33.7%)	94 (33.7%)	91 (32.6%)	279 (100.0%)
Derecha		21 (38.2%)	15 (27.3%)	19 (34.5%)	55 (100.0%)
centro		25 (36.2%)	20 (29.0%)	24 (34.8%)	69 (100.0%)
Ninguno		94 (32.8%)	101 (35.2%)	92 (32.1%)	287 (100.0%)
Total		234 (33.9%)	230 (33.3%)	226 (32.8%)	690 (100.0%)

## Experimento 2

### Cross-Tabulation, Row Proportions

#### Cámaras de Eco \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Cámaras de Eco					
0		117 (33.9%)	103 (29.9%)	125 (36.2%)	345 (100.0%)
1		126 (36.5%)	121 (35.1%)	98 (28.4%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ciudadanía Digital					
0		86 (34.4%)	75 (30.0%)	89 (35.6%)	250 (100.0%)
1		157 (35.7%)	149 (33.9%)	134 (30.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Grupo etáreo					
18 a 29 años	63 (33.7%)	62 (33.2%)	62 (33.2%)	187 (100.0%)	
30 a 40 años	71 (37.6%)	60 (31.7%)	58 (30.7%)	189 (100.0%)	
41 a 65 años	61 (32.6%)	71 (38.0%)	55 (29.4%)	187 (100.0%)	
+ 66 años	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	
Total	195 (34.6%)	193 (34.3%)	175 (31.1%)	563 (100.0%)	

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género					
Femenino	137 (35.5%)	115 (29.8%)	134 (34.7%)	386 (100.0%)	
Masculino	103 (34.8%)	108 (36.5%)	85 (28.7%)	296 (100.0%)	
Otro	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)	
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)	

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Ingreso					
Menos de \$224.000	16 (35.6%)	12 (26.7%)	17 (37.8%)	45 (100.0%)	
Entre \$224.001 - \$448.000	46 (37.7%)	32 (26.2%)	44 (36.1%)	122 (100.0%)	
Ente \$448.001 y \$1.000.000	87 (33.5%)	99 (38.1%)	74 (28.5%)	260 (100.0%)	
Entre \$1.000.001 - \$3.000.000	81 (36.5%)	70 (31.5%)	71 (32.0%)	222 (100.0%)	
Más de \$3.000.000	13 (31.7%)	11 (26.8%)	17 (41.5%)	41 (100.0%)	
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)	

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Posición Política					
Izquierda	98 (35.1%)	91 (32.6%)	90 (32.3%)	279 (100.0%)	
Derecha	14 (25.5%)	26 (47.3%)	15 (27.3%)	55 (100.0%)	
centro	22 (31.9%)	22 (31.9%)	25 (36.2%)	69 (100.0%)	
Ninguno	109 (38.0%)	85 (29.6%)	93 (32.4%)	287 (100.0%)	
Total	243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)	

### Experimento 3

	Tratamiento	Amigo- Misinfo	Amigo- validado	Conocido- Misinfo	Conocido- validado	Total
Cámaras de Eco						
0		87 (25.2%)	80 (23.2%)	86 (24.9%)	92 (26.7%)	345 (100.0%)
1		90 (26.1%)	87 (25.2%)	92 (26.7%)	76 (22.0%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo- Misinfo	Amigo- validado	Conocido- Misinfo	Conocido- validado	Total
Ciudadanía Digital						
0		68 (27.2%)	59 (23.6%)	62 (24.8%)	61 (24.4%)	250 (100.0%)
1		109 (24.8%)	108 (24.5%)	116 (26.4%)	107 (24.3%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Grupo etáreo						
18 a 29 años		49 (26.2%)	51 (27.3%)	43 (23.0%)	44 (23.5%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años		50 (26.5%)	41 (21.7%)	53 (28.0%)	45 (23.8%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años		44 (23.5%)	54 (28.9%)	47 (25.1%)	42 (22.5%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años		0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Total		143 (25.4%)	146 (25.9%)	143 (25.4%)	131 (23.3%)	563 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Afin	Control	Opuesto	Total
Género					
Femenino		137 (35.5%)	115 (29.8%)	134 (34.7%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino		103 (34.8%)	108 (36.5%)	85 (28.7%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro		3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	8 (100.0%)
Total		243 (35.2%)	224 (32.5%)	223 (32.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Ingreso						
Menos de \$224.000		8 (17.8%)	11 (24.4%)	14 (31.1%)	12 (26.7%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre \$224.001 - \$448.000		36 (29.5%)	37 (30.3%)	22 (18.0%)	27 (22.1%)	122 (100.0%)
Entre \$448.001 y \$1.000.000		70 (26.9%)	67 (25.8%)	63 (24.2%)	60 (23.1%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre \$1.000.001 - \$3.000.000		52 (23.4%)	43 (19.4%)	68 (30.6%)	59 (26.6%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de \$3.000.000		11 (26.8%)	9 (22.0%)	11 (26.8%)	10 (24.4%)	41 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo- Misinfo	Amigo- validado	Conocido- Misinfo	Conocido- validado	Total
Posición						
Política						
Izquierda		74 (26.5%)	73 (26.2%)	62 (22.2%)	70 (25.1%)	279 (100.0%)
Derecha		11 (20.0%)	12 (21.8%)	18 (32.7%)	14 (25.5%)	55 (100.0%)
centro		19 (27.5%)	10 (14.5%)	19 (27.5%)	21 (30.4%)	69 (100.0%)
Ninguno		73 (25.4%)	72 (25.1%)	79 (27.5%)	63 (22.0%)	287 (100.0%)
Total		177 (25.7%)	167 (24.2%)	178 (25.8%)	168 (24.3%)	690 (100.0%)

## Experimento 4

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Cámaras de Eco						
0		91 (26.4%)	76 (22.0%)	108 (31.3%)	70 (20.3%)	345 (100.0%)
1		92 (26.7%)	90 (26.1%)	76 (22.0%)	87 (25.2%)	345 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No-Politico	Amigo-Politico	Familia-No-Politico	Familia-Politico	Total
Ciudadanía Digital						
0		61 (24.4%)	60 (24.0%)	71 (28.4%)	58 (23.2%)	250 (100.0%)
1		122 (27.7%)	106 (24.1%)	113 (25.7%)	99 (22.5%)	440 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)



	Tratamiento	Amigo-No- Politico	Amigo- Politico	Familia-No- Politico	Familia- Politico	Total
Grupo etáreo						
18 a 29 años		46 (24.6%)	45 (24.1%)	48 (25.7%)	48 (25.7%)	187 (100.0%)
30 a 40 años		53 (28.0%)	44 (23.3%)	47 (24.9%)	45 (23.8%)	189 (100.0%)
41 a 65 años		53 (28.3%)	47 (25.1%)	48 (25.7%)	39 (20.9%)	187 (100.0%)
+ 66 años		0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Total		152 (27.0%)	136 (24.2%)	143 (25.4%)	132 (23.4%)	563 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No- Politico	Amigo- Politico	Familia-No- Politico	Familia- Politico	Total
Género						
Femenino		106 (27.5%)	85 (22.0%)	105 (27.2%)	90 (23.3%)	386 (100.0%)
Masculino		77 (26.0%)	79 (26.7%)	76 (25.7%)	64 (21.6%)	296 (100.0%)
Otro		0 ( 0.0%)	2 (25.0%)	3 (37.5%)	3 (37.5%)	8 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

	Tratamiento	Amigo-No- Politico	Amigo- Politico	Familia-No- Politico	Familia- Politico	Total
Ingreso						
Menos de \$224.000		6 (13.3%)	11 (24.4%)	9 (20.0%)	19 (42.2%)	45 (100.0%)
Entre \$224.001 - \$448.000		32 (26.2%)	28 (23.0%)	30 (24.6%)	32 (26.2%)	122 (100.0%)
Ente \$448.001 y \$1.000.000		69 (26.5%)	63 (24.2%)	76 (29.2%)	52 (20.0%)	260 (100.0%)
Entre \$1.000.001 - \$3.000.000		64 (28.8%)	57 (25.7%)	56 (25.2%)	45 (20.3%)	222 (100.0%)
Más de \$3.000.000		12 (29.3%)	7 (17.1%)	13 (31.7%)	9 (22.0%)	41 (100.0%)
Total		183 (26.5%)	166 (24.1%)	184 (26.7%)	157 (22.8%)	690 (100.0%)

## Resultados por cada uno de los experimentos

### Experimento 1

```
TablaExperi[[1]]
```

A tibble: 3 x 6

```
Tratamiento Media Desviacion Esta~ Quantil 25% Quantil 50% Quantil 75% 1 Afin 4.48 1.88 3 5 6 2  
Control 4.6 1.34 4 5 6 3 Opuesto 5.18 1.58 4 5 6
```

```
TablaExperi[[2]]
```

A tibble: 6 x 7

### Groups: Cámaras de eco [2]

```
Cámaras de eco Tratamiento Media desviacion Quantil 25% Quantil 50% 1 0 Afin 4.32 1.86 3 4 2 0 Control  
4.47 1.37 4 5 3 0 Opuesto 4.92 1.69 4 5 4 1 Afin 4.65 1.90 3 5 5 1 Control 4.73 1.30 4 5 6 1 Opuesto 5.41 1.46  
5 6 # ... with 1 more variable: Quantil 75%
```

```
TablaExperi[[3]]
```

A tibble: 6 x 7

### Groups: Ciudadanía Digital [2]

```
Ciudadanía Digital Tratamiento Media desviacion Quantil 25% Quantil 50% 1 0 Afin 4.04 1.76 3 4 2 0  
Control 4.35 1.46 3.25 5 3 0 Opuesto 5.25 1.64 4 6 4 1 Afin 4.68 1.91 4 5 5 1 Control 4.77 1.24 4 5 6 1 Opuesto  
5.13 1.56 4 5 # ... with 1 more variable: Quantil 75%
```

## Experimento 2

### Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

#### Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		100 ( 56.5%)	103 ( 61.7%)	94 ( 52.8%)	85 ( 50.6%)	382 ( 55.4%)
1		77 ( 43.5%)	64 ( 38.3%)	84 ( 47.2%)	83 ( 49.4%)	308 ( 44.6%)
Total		177 (100.0%)	167 (100.0%)	178 (100.0%)	168 (100.0%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
4.8011	3	0.187

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		179 (46.9%)	203 (53.1%)	382 (100.0%)
1		167 (54.2%)	141 (45.8%)	308 (100.0%)
Total		346 (50.1%)	344 (49.9%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.4083	1	0.0649

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.74	0.55	1.01

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.86	0.75	1.00

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		188 (49.2%)	194 (50.8%)	382 (100.0%)
1		147 (47.7%)	161 (52.3%)	308 (100.0%)
Total		335 (48.6%)	355 (51.4%)	690 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.0973	1	0.755

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.06	0.79	1.43

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.03	0.88	1.20

## Experimento 3 y ke pasa

### Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: HomoIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		112 ( 62.9%)	116 ( 69.5%)	228 ( 66.1%)
1		66 ( 37.1%)	51 ( 30.5%)	117 ( 33.9%)
Total		178 (100.0%)	167 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
1.3654	1	0.2426

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.75	0.48	1.17

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.87	0.71	1.07

Group: HomoIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		67 ( 39.9%)	87 ( 49.2%)	154 ( 44.6%)
1		101 ( 60.1%)	90 ( 50.8%)	191 ( 55.4%)
Total		168 (100.0%)	177 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
2.6347	1	0.1046

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.69	0.45	1.05

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.82	0.66	1.03

## Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

### Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: DigitIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		74 ( 60.2%)	80 ( 63.0%)	154 ( 61.6%)
1		49 ( 39.8%)	47 ( 37.0%)	96 ( 38.4%)
Total		123 (100.0%)	127 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1088	1	0.7415

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.89	0.53	1.48

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.94	0.73	1.22

Group: DigitIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Lazo debil	Lazo fuerte	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		105 ( 47.1%)	123 ( 56.7%)	228 ( 51.8%)
1		118 ( 52.9%)	94 ( 43.3%)	212 ( 48.2%)
Total		223 (100.0%)	217 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
3.6817	1	0.055

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.68	0.47	0.99

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.83	0.69	1.00

## Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

### Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: HomoIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		113 ( 65.7%)	115 ( 66.5%)	228 ( 66.1%)
1		59 ( 34.3%)	58 ( 33.5%)	117 ( 33.9%)
Total		172 (100.0%)	173 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.0015	1	0.9692

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.97	0.62	1.51

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.98	0.79	1.23

Group: HomoIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		75 ( 46.0%)	79 ( 43.4%)	154 ( 44.6%)
1		88 ( 54.0%)	103 ( 56.6%)	191 ( 55.4%)
Total		163 (100.0%)	182 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1426	1	0.7057

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.11	0.73	1.70

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.06	0.85	1.32

##Experimento 3 subdidido

TablaExperi[[13]]

## Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: DigitIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		79 ( 65.8%)	75 ( 57.7%)	154 ( 61.6%)
1		41 ( 34.2%)	55 ( 42.3%)	96 ( 38.4%)
Total		120 (100.0%)	130 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
1.4211	1	0.2332

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.41	0.85	2.36

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
1.20	0.91	1.59

Group: DigitIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Arg. Validado	Desinformación	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos				
0		109 ( 50.7%)	119 ( 52.9%)	228 ( 51.8%)
1		106 ( 49.3%)	106 ( 47.1%)	212 ( 48.2%)
Total		215 (100.0%)	225 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
0.1328	1	0.7156

Odds Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.92	0.63	1.33

Risk Ratio	Lo - 95%	Hi - 95%
0.96	0.79	1.16

# Tablas extra de experimento 2



```
nueva[[1]]
```

### Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

#### Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: HomoIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		60 ( 69.0%)	56 ( 70.0%)	55 ( 64.0%)	57 ( 62.0%)	228 ( 66.1%)
1		27 ( 31.0%)	24 ( 30.0%)	31 ( 36.0%)	35 ( 38.0%)	117 ( 33.9%)
Total		87 (100.0%)	80 (100.0%)	86 (100.0%)	92 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
1.7432	3	0.6274

Group: HomoIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		40 ( 44.4%)	47 ( 54.0%)	39 ( 42.4%)	28 ( 36.8%)	154 ( 44.6%)
1		50 ( 55.6%)	40 ( 46.0%)	53 ( 57.6%)	48 ( 63.2%)	191 ( 55.4%)
Total		90 (100.0%)	87 (100.0%)	92 (100.0%)	76 (100.0%)	345 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
5.1591	3	0.1605

otra tabla de ciudadanía digital

```
nueva[[2]]
```

## Cross-Tabulation, Column Proportions

Probabilidad de romper lazos \* Tratamiento

Data Frame: df

Group: DigitIndex = 0

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		39 ( 57.4%)	41 ( 69.5%)	36 ( 58.1%)	38 ( 62.3%)	154 ( 61.6%)
1		29 ( 42.6%)	18 ( 30.5%)	26 ( 41.9%)	23 ( 37.7%)	96 ( 38.4%)
Total		68 (100.0%)	59 (100.0%)	62 (100.0%)	61 (100.0%)	250 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
2.4119	3	0.4914

Group: DigitIndex = 1

	Tratamiento	Amigo-Misinfo	Amigo-validado	Conocido-Misinfo	Conocido-validado	Total
Probabilidad de romper lazos						
0		61 ( 56.0%)	62 ( 57.4%)	58 ( 50.0%)	47 ( 43.9%)	228 ( 51.8%)
1		48 ( 44.0%)	46 ( 42.6%)	58 ( 50.0%)	60 ( 56.1%)	212 ( 48.2%)
Total		109 (100.0%)	108 (100.0%)	116 (100.0%)	107 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)

Chi.squared	df	p.value
4.925	3	0.1774