

Sustainable Development in the Intermountain West

A Stakeholder Informed Assessment Model

Carlos Licón
Utah State University

A concept model

Development decisions...



Development decisions...




Development decisions...




Development decisions...



**Modify the original condition
Limit previous possibilities**






economy


environment

society

moving from addressing conflicts to integrating issues



development decisions in a limited context



development decisions in a limited context


original activity



development decisions in a limited context



development decisions in a limited context



every new decision *affects* the original condition
every change *limits* or *restricts* previous possibilities

development decisions in a limited context



every new decision *affects* the original condition
every change *limits* or *restricts* previous possibilities

development decisions in a limited context

new activity



every new decision *affects* the original condition
every change *limits* or *restricts* previous possibilities

development decisions in a limited context



every new decision *affects* the original condition
every change *limits* or *restricts* previous possibilities

every development action *requires* three elements




economy

capacity to produce
capacity to transform
knowledge
tools and technology
material production



environment

physical location
raw materials
nature
other species
water, air...




society

needs
preferences
culture
behavior
lifestyles


every development action *requires* three elements




the resulting *field* of action is constrained by these three elements




the resulting *field* of action is constrained by these three elements




social and economic limits to the environment




social and economic limits to the environment




social and economic limits to the environment




social and economic limits to the environment




social and environmental limits to the economy




social and environmental limits to the economy




social and environmental limits to the economy




social and environmental limits to the economy




social and environmental limits to the economy




economic and environmental limits **to** society




economic and environmental limits **to** society




economic and environmental limits **to** society




economic and environmental limits **to** society






economic and environmental limits **to** society







sustainable development





































something prevented the indicator of our “site” to achieve the full score




**something prevented the indicator
of our “site” to achieve the full score**




**something prevented the indicator
of our “site” to achieve the full score**




multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations




multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations




multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations




multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations



multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations



multiple indicator combine and
give an aggregated effect of limitations




what do we need to make this model operational?

- **indicators**
- **measures of limits**
- **measures of sector connections**
- **the ability to act on what we measure**
- **some agreement on this benchmark**


An assessment model

The Intermountain West


- **246 counties**
- **11 states**
- **20 environmental indicators**
- **30 sociodemographic indicators**
- **16 economic indicators**
- **surveyed 1200 planners and administrators (250 responses)**
- **understanding rural and urban differences**
- **what is relevant to decision makers?**




an evaluation template



Role in planning





Focus & expertise (some overlap)



socio-demographic indicators

	brief description
pGrowth	Population Growth
% age>64	percent sex and age 65 years and older
% age<18	percent less than 18 years
HHsize	estimate; households by type - average household size
%maleHh	family households (families) - male householder family
%femaleHh	family households (families) - female householder family
%singlePHh	percent family households (families) single male or single female householder no partner
%Native	percent; place of birth - total population - native
%Foreign	percent; place of birth - total population - foreign born
%notUScit	percent; U.S. citizenship status - foreign-born population - not a U.S. citizen
%migr	percent of total population from migration 5-year average [2010-15]
%intlMigr	percent of total population from international migration 5-year average [2010-15]
%highschool	percent; educational attainment high school graduate
%bach	percent; educational attainment bachelor's degree
%grad	percent; educational attainment graduate or professional degree







socio-demographic indicators cont.

	brief description	
undereducated	percent of population 16 and over that has finished neither high school or college	←
years lost	Premature death years of potential life lost before age 75	←
poor health	Poor or fair health (percentage of adults reporting fair or poor health)	←
ph.unhealth	Poor physical health days (physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days)	
me.unhealth	Poor mental health days (mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days)	
uninsured	Uninsured (percentage of population under age 65 without health insurance)	
physicians	Primary care physicians (ratio of population to primary care physicians)	
obesity [adj]	Obesity prevalence; age-adjusted percent [2013]	
%ownerOcc	percent of owner-occupied housing units; Estimate; Occupied housing units	
%renterOcc	percent of renter-occupied housing units; Estimate; Occupied housing units	
YrHome	Estimate; Median year structure built	
housing.probs	Severe housing problems (overcrowding, high housing costs or lack of kitchen or plumbing	←
crime	Violent crime (reported violent crime offenses per 100 000 population)	
ch.poverty	Children in poverty (percentage of children under age 18 in poverty)	
DepPop	percent of population under 18 and 65 and over	

economic indicators

unemployment	percent; employment status - civilian labor force - unemployment rate
1jobs	primary sector: percent employed in agriculture forestry fishing hunting mining
2jobs	secondary sector: percent employed in construction manufacturing
3jobs[a+b]	tertiary sector: percent employed in all service related activities included in 3jobs[a] and
income ineq.	Income inequality (ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th
medHHi	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars) - total households - median
Income	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars) - per capita income (dollars)
incomeGap	men's factor of women's income; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars) -
%popUnins	estimate; health insurance coverage - civilian noninstitutionalized population - no health
DepR	percent dependents per percent employed population
%work home	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and over - worked at home
%povP	percent; percentage of families and people income below the poverty level
% commute	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and over - car truck or van -- drove alone
% carpooled	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and over - car truck or van -- carpooled
% comm transit	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and over - public transportation (excluding
comm time	estimate; commuting to work - mean travel time to work (minutes)



environmental indicators

	brief description	
NAS	Natural Amenity Scale	↙
pDens	Population Density (total)	
pDensP	Population Density (private)	
%PLand	public land; percent of total county area	↑
good	Number of days in the year having an AQI value 0 through 50 (average 2006-2015)	↙
nonHealthy	Aggregated number of days in the year having an AQI value 101 or higher (average 2006-2015)	↑
CO	number of days CO was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	↑
NO2	number of days NO2 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	
Oz	number of days Ozone was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	
SO2	number of days SO2 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	
pm2.5	number of days PM2.5 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	
pm10	number of days PM10 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	

environmental indicators cont.

	brief description
%PSGWpop	Public Supply: percent of total population served by groundwater [2010]
%PSSWpop	Public Supply: percent of total population served by surface water[2010]
%psWGW	Public Supply: percent of water from groundwater withdrawals [2010]
%psWSW	Public Supply: percent of water from surface-water withdrawals; total [2010]
domesticWtr	Domestic: total use (withdrawals + deliveries)[2010]
cropsWtr	Irrigation-Crop: total withdrawals fresh, per total acres irrigated [2010]
Power/Wtr	power generated by thermoelectric: total withdrawals; total (fresh+saline) [2010]
ThElectricPow	Thermoelectric: power generated by person [2010]

indicators description

variable	indicator description	minimum value	place	insert new value	maximum value	place	insert new value	units	data source
land_m2	Land area	282,784,643	Los Alamos*, NM		48,222,118,167	Coconino, AZ		m2	www.factfinder.census.gov
water_m2	Water area	229,865	Los Alamos*, NM		2,547,651,528	Box Elder, UT		m2	www.factfinder.census.gov
Lsqmi	Land area	109	Los Alamos*, NM		18,619	Coconino, AZ		sq.miles	www.census.gov/geo/reference/
Wsqmi	Water area	0	Los Alamos*, NM		984	Box Elder, UT		sq.miles	www.census.gov/geo/reference/
Tsqmi	Total area	109	Los Alamos*, NM		18,661	Coconino, AZ		sq.miles	www.census.gov/geo/reference/
Tacres	total area in acres	69,934	Los Alamos*, NM		11,943,148	Coconino, AZ		acres	www.census.gov/geo/reference/
pop10	Population Estimate (as of July 1) - 2010	704	Mineral, CO		1,953,378	Clark, NV		count	www.factfinder.census.gov
pop15	Population Estimate (as of July 1) - 2015	701	San Juan, CO		2,114,801	Clark, NV		count	www.factfinder.census.gov
nonPLand	Non public land [total area minus public land]	29,991	San Juan, CO		7,202,758	Coconino, AZ		sq.miles	calculated from nbc.gov/pilt/counties.cfm
households	estimate; households by type - total households [HC01_VC03]	263	Daggett, UT		724,446	Clark, NV		count	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
families	estimate; households by type - total households - family households (families) [HC01_VC03]	138	San Juan, CO		465,442	Clark, NV		count	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
UIC13	2013 Urban Influence CodesMetropolitan Counties: In large metro area of 1+ million people	1	Clear Creek, CO		12	Plumas, CA		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/urban-influence-codes/documentation.aspx#.UYKQ2kpZRvY
metro	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateClassification of counties by metro or non-metro	o Apache, AZ			1	Coconino, AZ		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
economic	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateNon-overlapping economic-dependence classification	o Shasta, CA			5	Mohave, AZ		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
farming	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateFarm-dependent county indicator=o=no1=yes	o Apache, AZ			1	Conejos, CO		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
manufct	2015 ERS County Typology Codes Update: Manufacturing-dependent county indicator=o=no1=yes	o Apache, AZ			1	Caribou, ID		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
recreation	2015 ERS County Typology Codes Update: Recreation county indicator=o=no1=yes. S	o Apache, AZ			1	Coconino, AZ		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
pop loss	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdatePopulation loss county indicator.o=no1=yes	o Apache, AZ			1	Jackson, CO		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
ret dest	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateRetirement destination county indicator.o=no1=yes	o Apache, AZ			1	Mohave, AZ		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
perst pov	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateClassification of counties by level of poverty	o Coconino, AZ			1	Apache, AZ		code	ers.usda.gov/data-products/county-typology-codes/documentation.aspx
perschild pov	2015 ERS County Typology Codes UpdateClassification of counties by level of poverty	o Greenlee, AZ			8.52	Lake, CO		score	ers.usda.gov/data-products/natural-amenities-scale/
NAS	Natural Amenity Scale	-1.34	Adams, WA		4,404.49	Denver City and Coun	20.00	p/sqm	calculated from factfinder.census.gov
pDens	Population Density (total)	0.23	Esmeralda, NV		4,001.29	Clark, NV	40.00	p/sqm	calculated from factfinder.census.gov
pDensP	Population Density (private)	0.81	De Baca, NM		98.10%	Esmeralda, NV		percent	calculated from nbc.gov/pilt/counties.cfm
%PLand	public land; percent of total county area	0.08%	Costilla, CO		352.3	Taos, NM		days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
good	Number of days in the year having an AQI value o through 50 (average 2006-2015)	1	Gem, ID		37.9	Clark, NV	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
nonHealthy	Aggregated number of days in the year having an AQI value 101 or higher (average 2006-2015)	o Fremont, CO			170.5	Douglas, NV	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
CO	number of days CO was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Apache, AZ			134.8	Davis, UT	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
NO2	number of days NO2 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Apache, AZ			365.2	Jefferson, CO	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
Oz	number of days Ozone was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Apache, AZ			343.8	Caribou, ID	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
SO2	number of days SO2 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Apache, AZ			364.5	Whitman, WA	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
pm2.5	number of days PM2.5 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Chaffee, CO			362.9	Nye, NV	7	days	epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/air-quality-index-report
pm10	number of days PM10 was the main pollutant (average 2006-2015)	o Alpine, CA			95.87%	Mohave, AZ	50.00%	percent	from waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
%PSGWpop	Public Supply: percent of total population served by groundwater [2010]	0.00%	Denver City and County*, CO		100.00%	Denver City and Count	50.00%	percent	from waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
%PSSWpop	Public Supply: percent of total population served by surface water[2010]	0.00%	Apache, AZ		100.00%	Apache, AZ		percent	from waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
%psWGW	Public Supply: percent of water from groundwater withdrawals [2010]	0.00%	Denver City and County*, CO		100.00%	Denver City and County*, CO		percent	from waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
%psWSW	Public Supply: percent of water from surface-water withdrawals; total [2010]	0.00%	Apache, AZ		0.592	Rich, UT		kGal/day/person	waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
domesticWtr	Domestic: total use (withdrawals + deliveries) [2010]	0.033	Larimer, CO		17.603	Gem, ID		kGal/day/acre	waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
cropsWtr	Irrigation-Crop: total withdrawals fresh, per total acres irrigated [2010]	0.278	Shoshone, ID		36,261	Juab, UT	7,000	gWhr/mG/day	waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
Power/Wtr	power generated by thermoelectric: total withdrawals; total (fresh+saline) [2010]	19	Beaver, UT		1,439	Platte, WY	10	gWhr/person	waterdata.usgs.gov/ut/nwis/wu
ThElectricPow	Thermoelectric: power generated by person [2010]	o Greenlee, AZ			23.4%	Wasatch, UT		percent	calculated from factfinder.census.gov
pGrowth	Population Growth	-14.0%	Butte, ID		36.6%	Mineral, CO		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%age>64	percent sex and age 65 years and older	6.1%	Madison, ID		35.8%	Morgan, UT		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%age<18	percent less than 18 years	8.0%	Mineral, CO		3.97	McKinley, NM		pers/hh	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
Hsize	estimate; households by type - average household size [HC01_VC21]	1.91	Mineral, CO		12.3	Pershing, NV		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%maleHh	percent; households by type - total households - family households (families) - male	o Daggett, UT			24.7	McKinley, NM		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates [ACS_15_5YR_D]
%femaleHh	percent; households by type - total households - family households (families) - female	0.5	Hinsdale, CO		30.4	McKinley, NM		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates [ACS_15_5YR_D]
%singlePHh	percent family households (families) single male or single female householder no p	2.2	Mineral, CO		99.7	Mora, NM		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%Native	percent; place of birth - total population - native [HC03_VC31]	72.3	Clark, ID		27.7	Clark, ID		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%Foreign	percent; place of birth - total population - foreign born [HC03_VC36]	0.3	Mora, NM		100	Rich, UT		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates
%notUScit	percent; U.S. citizenship status - foreign-born population - not a U.S. citizen [HC03_	o Hinsdale, CO			2.79%	Wasatch, UT		percent	factfinder.census.gov
%migr	percent of total population from migration (negative value: loss of population) 5-ye	-3.44%	Butte, ID		0.82%	Whitman, WA		percent	factfinder.census.gov
%IntlMigr	percent of total population from international migration (negative value: loss of po	-0.09%	Butte, ID		43.20	Esmeralda, NV		percent	U.S. Census Bureau: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates [ACS_15_5YR_D]
%highschool	percent; educational attainment - population 25 years and over - high school gradu	10.80	Los Alamos*, NM						

indicators values

survey indicators 5.29 - Excel

Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Tell me what you want to do... Sign in

select an indicator to display data distribution...		indicator values			
N17	domesticWtr	...and score range	orig.value	value used	score
		lowest value Larimer, CO	0.03	0.03	0.0
		selection Lincoln, NV	0.18	0.18	10.8
		highest value Rich, UT	0.59	0.59	100.0
					1-score 100.0 89.2 0.0

Domestic: total use (withdrawals + deliveries) [2010]

	number of cases	accumulated cases	points to assign	value ranges
0%			0	0.033
0-20%	120	120	20	0.145
20-40%	104	224	40	0.257
40-60%	17	241	60	0.368
60-80%	3	244	80	0.480
80-100%	2	246	100	0.592

number of cases accumulated cases points to assign value ranges

This graph shows the distribution of cases by quintile. Range values are listed in the table above. This distribution helps to determine performance scores.

The line in this graph shows the score distribution for the indicator selected. The red circle identifies the score assigned to the selected indicator in the table above [if most of the values]

When indicator value is considered inverse (negative impact), the score assigned is displayed in this graph

cover data input variables var info soc env soc econ env econ env soc econ env graph summary rankings scores graphs ... +

economic indicators affecting society

select some variables to build a relationship between economic variables affecting the social condition

should we consider this an economic variable...

...with influence on the SOCIETY?			if so...		when indicator value increases...			...the social condition improves?		
code	variable	description	yes	no	little...	...largely	NO	max points	%perf	new score
S20	me.unhealth	Poor mental health days (average number of days per month)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S21	uninsured	Uninsured (percentage of population under age 65)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S22	physicians	Primary care physicians (ratio of population to physician)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S23	obesity [adj]	Obesity prevalence; age-adjusted percent [2010]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S24	%ownerOcc	percent of owner-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S25	%renterOcc	percent of renter-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S26	YrHome	Estimate; Median year structure built	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S27	housing.probs	Severe housing problems (percentage of households)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S28	crime	Violent crime (number of reported violent crimes)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S29	ch.poverty	Children in poverty (percentage of children under age 18)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
S30	DepPop	percent of population under 18 and 65 and over	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when DepPop increases, SOCIETY improves? 50 31.93 15.97
E1	unemployment	percent; employment status - civilian labor force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when unemployment increases, SOCIETY improves? 200 62.85 125.70
E2	1jobs	primary sector: percent employed in agriculture, forestry	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
E3	2jobs	secondary sector: percent employed in construction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
E4	3jobs[a+b]	tertiary sector: percent employed in all services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
E5	income.ineq.	Income inequality (ratio of household income to the median)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when income.ineq. increases, SOCIETY improves? 125 64.79 80.98
E6	medHHi	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation adjusted)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when medHHi increases, SOCIETY improves? 75 37.99 28.49
E7	Income	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation adjusted)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when Income increases, SOCIETY improves? 75 34.84 26.13
E8	incomeGap	men's factor of women's income; income and benefits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when incomeGap increases, SOCIETY improves? 75 68.83 51.62
E9	%popUnins	estimate; health insurance coverage - civilian non-institutional population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when %popUnins increases, SOCIETY improves? 100 43.80 43.80
E10	DepR	percent dependents per percent employed population	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nu nu
E11	%work home	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when %work home increases, SOCIETY improves? 75 77.71 58.28
E12	%povP	percent; percentage of families and people who live in poverty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when %povP increases, SOCIETY improves? 150 76.00 113.99
E13	% commute	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when % commute increases, SOCIETY improves? 100 28.18 28.18
E14	% carpooled	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when % carpooled increases, SOCIETY improves? 100 47.01 47.01
E15	% comm transit	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when % comm transit increases, SOCIETY improves? 100 0.00 0.00
E16	comm time	estimate; commuting to work - mean travel time to work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	when comm time increases, SOCIETY improves? 50 58.41 29.21
v88			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nd nd
v89			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	0 nd nd

data for: Lincoln, NV 66.6%

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Tell me what you want to do...

survey indicators 5.29 - Excel

cover data input variables var.info soc.env soc.econ env.econ env.soc econ.soc econ.env graph summary rankings scores graphs

Ready

social indicators with influence on the economy

select some variables to build a relationship between social variables affecting the economy

should we consider this a social variable...

... with influence on the economy?			if so...		when indicator value increases...		... the economy improves?			
code	variable	description	yes	no	little...	...largely	NO	max points	%perf	new score
N19	Power/Wtr	power generated by thermoelectric: total wtr [raw]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nd	nd
N20	ThElectricPow	Thermoelectric: power generated by person [10]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nu	nu
S1	pGrowth	Population Growth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	125	27.45	34.31
S2	% age>64	percent sex and age 65 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	68.01	51.01
S3	% age<18	percent less than 18 years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	55.96	27.98
S4	HHsize	estimate; households by type - average household size	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	23.47	17.60
S5	%maleHh	percent; households by type - total household	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nu	nu
S6	%femaleHh	percent; households by type - total household	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nu	nu
S7	%singlePHh	percent family households (families) single male or female	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100	68.13	68.13
S8	%Native	percent; place of birth - total population - native born	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nu	nu
S9	%Foreign	percent; place of birth - total population - foreign born	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	2.29	1.72
S10	%notUScit	percent; U.S. citizenship status - foreign-born	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	81.55	40.78
S11	%migr	percent of total population from migration (international)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	49.69	24.85
S12	%intlMigr	percent of total population from international migration	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	2.13	1.06
S13	%highschool	percent; educational attainment - population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	68.03	51.02
S14	%bach	percent; educational attainment - population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100	17.70	17.70
S15	%grad	percent; educational attainment - population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	125	4.39	5.48
S16	undereducated	percent of population 16 and over that has finished less than a high school degree	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	81.39	40.69
S17	years lost	Premature death (years of potential life lost)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	76.56	38.28
S18	poor health	Poor or fair health (percentage of adults reporting poor or fair health)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	150	71.83	107.75
S19	ph.unhealth	Poor physical health days (average number of days per month)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100	55.35	55.35
S20	me.unhealth	Poor mental health days (average number of days per month)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	100	70.68	70.68
S21	uninsured	Uninsured (percentage of population under age 65)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	125	30.86	38.57
S22	physicians	Primary care physicians (ratio of population to physician)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	15.81	7.91
S23	obesity [adj]	Obesity prevalence; age-adjusted percent [2010]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	125	32.41	40.51
S24	%ownerOcc	percent of owner-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	53.31	39.98
S25	%renterOcc	percent of renter-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	0	nu	nu
S26	YrHome	Estimate; Median year structure built	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	75	69.97	52.48
S27	housing.probs	Severe housing problems (percentage of households)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	50	82.53	41.26

data for:
Lincoln, NV 49.7%

cover data input variables var info soc env soc econ env econ env soc econ graph summary rankings scores graphs ...

economic indicators affecting the environment

survey indicators 5.29 - Excel

select some variables to build a relationship between economic variables affecting the environmental quality

should we consider this an economic variable...


... with influence on the environment?			if so...		when indicator value increases...		... the environment improves?	
code	variable	description	yes	no	little...	... largely	no	yes
S20	me.unhealth	Poor mental health days (average number of days per month)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S21	uninsured	Uninsured (percentage of population under 65)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S22	physicians	Primary care physicians (ratio of population to physician)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S23	obesity [adj]	Obesity prevalence; age-adjusted percent [2010]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S24	%ownerOcc	percent of owner-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S25	%renterOcc	percent of renter-occupied housing units; Estimate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S26	YrHome	Estimate; Median year structure built	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S27	housing.probs	Severe housing problems (percentage of households)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S28	crime	Violent crime (number of reported violent crimes)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S29	ch.poverty	Children in poverty (percentage of children under age 18)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
S30	DepPop	percent of population under 18 and 65 and over	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
E1	unemployment	percent; employment status - civilian labor force - unemployed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when unemployment increases, the environment improves?			
E2	1jobs	primary sector: percent employed in agriculture	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
E3	2jobs	secondary sector: percent employed in construction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
E4	3jobs[a+b]	tertiary sector: percent employed in all services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when 3jobs[a+b] increases, the environment improves?			
E5	income ineq.	Income inequality (ratio of household income to median)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when income ineq. increases, the environment improves?			
E6	medHHI	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when medHHI increases, the environment improves?			
E7	Income	estimate; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when Income increases, the environment improves?			
E8	incomeGap	men's factor of women's income; income and benefits (in 2015 inflation-adjusted dollars)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when incomeGap increases, the environment improves?			
E9	%popUnins	estimate; health insurance coverage - civilian non-institutionalized population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when %popUnins increases, the environment improves?			
E10	DepR	percent dependents per percent employed population	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
E11	%work home	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when %work home increases, the environment improves?			
E12	%povP	percent; percentage of families and people who live in poverty	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when %povP increases, the environment improves?			
E13	% commute	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when % commute increases, the environment improves?			
E14	% carpooled	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when % carpooled increases, the environment improves?			
E15	% comm transit	percent; commuting to work - workers 16 years and older	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when % comm transit increases, the environment improves?			
E16	comm time	estimate; commuting to work - mean travel time to work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	when comm time increases, the environment improves?			
v88			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
v89			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				

data for:
Lincoln, NV 55.8%

max points	%perf	new score
0	nu	nu
125	62.85	78.56
0	nu	nu
0	nu	nu
50	74.73	37.36
125	64.79	80.98
100	37.99	37.99
100	34.84	34.84
75	68.83	51.62
75	43.80	32.85
0	nu	nu
100	22.29	22.29
125	76.00	94.99
125	28.18	35.22
150	47.01	70.52
200	0.00	0.00
125	58.41	73.02
0	nd	nd
0	nd	nd

cover data input variables var info soc env soc econ env econ env soc econ env econ graph summary rankings scores graphs ... + : Ready

graphic output



graphic output

Sustainable Development Possibilities			Individual scores report																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
			Cache, UT																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
indicators used to build restriction indices																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
the score is the performance value of each indicator (highest score=100)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
allows to identify which indicators have more impact in the relationships between environment, society and economy																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>social indicators affecting the environment:</th><th>importance:</th><th>relationship:</th><th>score</th><th>social indicators affecting the economy:</th><th>importance:</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1 pGrowth</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>56.8</td><td>1 pGrowth</td><td>normal</td></tr> <tr><td>2 % age>64</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>6.5</td><td>2 % age>64</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>3 % age<18</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>76.1</td><td>3 % age<18</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>4 HHsize</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>62.9</td><td>4 HHsize</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>5 %singlePHh</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>71.2</td><td>5 %singlePHh</td><td>important</td></tr> <tr><td>6 %notUScit</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>31.0</td><td>6 %notUScit</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>7 %migr</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>47.3</td><td>7 %migr</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>8 %highschool</td><td>normal</td><td>direct</td><td>50.2</td><td>8 %highschool</td><td>normal</td></tr> <tr><td>9 %bach</td><td>very important</td><td>direct</td><td>27.8</td><td>9 %bach</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>10 %grad</td><td>very important</td><td>direct</td><td>18.6</td><td>10 %grad</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>11 undereducated</td><td>important</td><td>inverse</td><td>86.8</td><td>11 undereducated</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>12 poor health</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>74.1</td><td>12 poor health</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>13 ph.unhealth</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>66.6</td><td>13 ph.unhealth</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>14 me.unhealth</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>71.6</td><td>14 me.unhealth</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>15 uninsured</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>49.7</td><td>15 uninsured</td><td>important</td></tr> <tr><td>16 physicians</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>18.1</td><td>16 physicians</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>17 obesity [adj]</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>42.4</td><td>17 obesity [adj]</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>18 %ownerOcc</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>51.2</td><td>18 %ownerOcc</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>19 %renterOcc</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>51.2</td><td>19 %renterOcc</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>20 YrHome</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>25.3</td><td>20 YrHome</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>21 housing.probs</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>77.8</td><td>21 housing.probs</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>22 crime</td><td>important</td><td>inverse</td><td>97.4</td><td>22 crime</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>23 ch.poverty</td><td>important</td><td>inverse</td><td>73.3</td><td>23 ch.poverty</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>25</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>26</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>26</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>28</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>31</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>32</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>32</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>33</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>33</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>34</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>35</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>35</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>36</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>						social indicators affecting the environment:	importance:	relationship:	score	social indicators affecting the economy:	importance:	1 pGrowth	normal	inverse	56.8	1 pGrowth	normal	2 % age>64	limited	direct	6.5	2 % age>64	inverse	3 % age<18	limited	direct	76.1	3 % age<18	less than normal	4 HHsize	limited	inverse	62.9	4 HHsize	direct	5 %singlePHh	normal	inverse	71.2	5 %singlePHh	important	6 %notUScit	less than normal	inverse	31.0	6 %notUScit	less than normal	7 %migr	limited	inverse	47.3	7 %migr	inverse	8 %highschool	normal	direct	50.2	8 %highschool	normal	9 %bach	very important	direct	27.8	9 %bach	very important	10 %grad	very important	direct	18.6	10 %grad	direct	11 undereducated	important	inverse	86.8	11 undereducated	very important	12 poor health	normal	inverse	74.1	12 poor health	very important	13 ph.unhealth	less than normal	inverse	66.6	13 ph.unhealth	inverse	14 me.unhealth	normal	inverse	71.6	14 me.unhealth	inverse	15 uninsured	less than normal	inverse	49.7	15 uninsured	important	16 physicians	limited	direct	18.1	16 physicians	inverse	17 obesity [adj]	normal	inverse	42.4	17 obesity [adj]	very important	18 %ownerOcc	less than normal	direct	51.2	18 %ownerOcc	less than normal	19 %renterOcc	limited	inverse	51.2	19 %renterOcc	direct	20 YrHome	limited	inverse	25.3	20 YrHome	inverse	21 housing.probs	limited	inverse	77.8	21 housing.probs	inverse	22 crime	important	inverse	97.4	22 crime	very important	23 ch.poverty	important	inverse	73.3	23 ch.poverty	inverse	24				24		25				25		26				26		27				27		28				28		29				29		30				30		31				31		32				32		33				33		34				34		35				35		36				36	
social indicators affecting the environment:	importance:	relationship:	score	social indicators affecting the economy:	importance:																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
1 pGrowth	normal	inverse	56.8	1 pGrowth	normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
2 % age>64	limited	direct	6.5	2 % age>64	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
3 % age<18	limited	direct	76.1	3 % age<18	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
4 HHsize	limited	inverse	62.9	4 HHsize	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
5 %singlePHh	normal	inverse	71.2	5 %singlePHh	important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
6 %notUScit	less than normal	inverse	31.0	6 %notUScit	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
7 %migr	limited	inverse	47.3	7 %migr	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
8 %highschool	normal	direct	50.2	8 %highschool	normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
9 %bach	very important	direct	27.8	9 %bach	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
10 %grad	very important	direct	18.6	10 %grad	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
11 undereducated	important	inverse	86.8	11 undereducated	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
12 poor health	normal	inverse	74.1	12 poor health	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
13 ph.unhealth	less than normal	inverse	66.6	13 ph.unhealth	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
14 me.unhealth	normal	inverse	71.6	14 me.unhealth	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
15 uninsured	less than normal	inverse	49.7	15 uninsured	important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
16 physicians	limited	direct	18.1	16 physicians	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
17 obesity [adj]	normal	inverse	42.4	17 obesity [adj]	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
18 %ownerOcc	less than normal	direct	51.2	18 %ownerOcc	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
19 %renterOcc	limited	inverse	51.2	19 %renterOcc	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
20 YrHome	limited	inverse	25.3	20 YrHome	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
21 housing.probs	limited	inverse	77.8	21 housing.probs	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
22 crime	important	inverse	97.4	22 crime	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
23 ch.poverty	important	inverse	73.3	23 ch.poverty	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
24				24																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
25				25																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
26				26																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
27				27																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
28				28																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
29				29																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
30				30																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
31				31																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
32				32																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
33				33																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
34				34																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
35				35																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
36				36																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
printed 10/22/2019, 4:47 PM																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
page 2 of 4																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			

graphic output

Sustainable Development Possibilities			Individual scores report																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
			Cache, UT																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
indicators used to build restriction indices																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
the score is the performance value of each indicator (highest score=100)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
allows to identify which indicators have more impact in the relationships between environment, society and economy																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>environmental indicators affecting the economy:</th><th>importance:</th><th>relationship:</th><th>score</th><th>environmental indicators affecting society:</th><th>importance:</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1 NAS</td><td>very important</td><td>direct</td><td>43.9</td><td>1 NAS</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>2 pDensP</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>100.0</td><td>2 pDensP</td><td>limited</td></tr> <tr><td>3 %PLand</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>57.9</td><td>3 %PLand</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>4 good</td><td>important</td><td>direct</td><td>72.3</td><td>4 good</td><td>very important</td></tr> <tr><td>5 nonHealthy</td><td>very important</td><td>inverse</td><td>0.0</td><td>5 nonHealthy</td><td>important</td></tr> <tr><td>6 CO</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>100.0</td><td>6 CO</td><td>normal</td></tr> <tr><td>7 pm2.5</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>0.0</td><td>7 pm2.5</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>8 pm10</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>98.3</td><td>8 pm10</td><td>normal</td></tr> <tr><td>9 %PSGWpop</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>100.0</td><td>9 %PSGWpop</td><td>limited</td></tr> <tr><td>10 %PSSWpop</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>100.0</td><td>10 %PSSWpop</td><td>limited</td></tr> <tr><td>11 %psWGW</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>0.4</td><td>11 %psWGW</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>12 %psWSW</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>99.6</td><td>12 %psWSW</td><td>limited</td></tr> <tr><td>13 domesticWtr</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>88.5</td><td>13 domesticWtr</td><td>less than normal</td></tr> <tr><td>14 cropsWtr</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>96.9</td><td>14 cropsWtr</td><td>limited</td></tr> <tr><td>15 ThElectricPow</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>0.0</td><td>15 ThElectricPow</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>16</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>22</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>23</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>25</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>26</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>26</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>27</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>28</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>31</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>32</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>32</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>33</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>33</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>34</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>35</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>35</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>36</td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>						environmental indicators affecting the economy :	importance:	relationship:	score	environmental indicators affecting society :	importance:	1 NAS	very important	direct	43.9	1 NAS	very important	2 pDensP	limited	direct	100.0	2 pDensP	limited	3 %PLand	less than normal	inverse	57.9	3 %PLand	less than normal	4 good	important	direct	72.3	4 good	very important	5 nonHealthy	very important	inverse	0.0	5 nonHealthy	important	6 CO	normal	inverse	100.0	6 CO	normal	7 pm2.5	less than normal	inverse	0.0	7 pm2.5	less than normal	8 pm10	normal	inverse	98.3	8 pm10	normal	9 %PSGWpop	limited	inverse	100.0	9 %PSGWpop	limited	10 %PSSWpop	limited	inverse	100.0	10 %PSSWpop	limited	11 %psWGW	less than normal	inverse	0.4	11 %psWGW	less than normal	12 %psWSW	limited	inverse	99.6	12 %psWSW	limited	13 domesticWtr	less than normal	inverse	88.5	13 domesticWtr	less than normal	14 cropsWtr	limited	inverse	96.9	14 cropsWtr	limited	15 ThElectricPow	limited	direct	0.0	15 ThElectricPow	direct	16				16		17				17		18				18		19				19		20				20		21				21		22				22		23				23		24				24		25				25		26				26		27				27		28				28		29				29		30				30		31				31		32				32		33				33		34				34		35				35		36				36	
environmental indicators affecting the economy :	importance:	relationship:	score	environmental indicators affecting society :	importance:																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
1 NAS	very important	direct	43.9	1 NAS	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
2 pDensP	limited	direct	100.0	2 pDensP	limited																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
3 %PLand	less than normal	inverse	57.9	3 %PLand	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
4 good	important	direct	72.3	4 good	very important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
5 nonHealthy	very important	inverse	0.0	5 nonHealthy	important																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
6 CO	normal	inverse	100.0	6 CO	normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
7 pm2.5	less than normal	inverse	0.0	7 pm2.5	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
8 pm10	normal	inverse	98.3	8 pm10	normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
9 %PSGWpop	limited	inverse	100.0	9 %PSGWpop	limited																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
10 %PSSWpop	limited	inverse	100.0	10 %PSSWpop	limited																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
11 %psWGW	less than normal	inverse	0.4	11 %psWGW	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
12 %psWSW	limited	inverse	99.6	12 %psWSW	limited																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
13 domesticWtr	less than normal	inverse	88.5	13 domesticWtr	less than normal																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
14 cropsWtr	limited	inverse	96.9	14 cropsWtr	limited																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
15 ThElectricPow	limited	direct	0.0	15 ThElectricPow	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
16				16																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
17				17																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
18				18																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
19				19																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
20				20																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
21				21																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
22				22																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
23				23																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
24				24																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
25				25																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
26				26																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
27				27																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
28				28																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
29				29																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
30				30																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
31				31																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
32				32																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
33				33																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
34				34																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
35				35																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
36				36																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
printed 10/22/2019, 4:47 PM																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
page 3 of 4																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			

graphic output

Sustainable Development Possibilities			Individual scores report																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
			Cache, UT																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
indicators used to build restriction indices																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
the score is the performance value of each indicator (highest score=100)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
allows to identify which indicators have more impact in the relationships between environment, society and economy																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">economic indicators affecting society:</th> <th colspan="3">economic indicators affecting the environment:</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>importance:</th> <th>relationship:</th> <th></th> <th>importance:</th> <th>relationship:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1 unemployment</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>1 unemployment</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>2 1jobs</td><td>very important</td><td>direct</td><td>2 1jobs</td><td>important</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>3 2jobs</td><td>important</td><td>direct</td><td>3 2jobs</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>4 3jobs[a+b]</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>4 3jobs[a+b]</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>5 income ineq.</td><td>important</td><td>inverse</td><td>5 income ineq.</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>6 medHHi</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>6 medHHi</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>7 Income</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>7 Income</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>8 incomeGap</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>8 incomeGap</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>9 %popUnins</td><td>very important</td><td>inverse</td><td>9 %popUnins</td><td>normal</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>10 DepR</td><td>limited</td><td>direct</td><td>10 DepR</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>11 %work home</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>11 %work home</td><td>normal</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>12 %povP</td><td>very important</td><td>inverse</td><td>12 %povP</td><td>very important</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>13 % commute</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td><td>13 % commute</td><td>important</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>14 % carpooled</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>14 % carpooled</td><td>normal</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>15 % comm transit</td><td>less than normal</td><td>direct</td><td>15 % comm transit</td><td>normal</td><td>direct</td></tr> <tr><td>16 comm time</td><td>limited</td><td>inverse</td><td>16 comm time</td><td>less than normal</td><td>inverse</td></tr> <tr><td>17</td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>18</td><td></td><td></td><td>18</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>19</td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td></td><td></td><td>22</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td></td><td></td><td>23</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>25</td><td></td><td></td><td>25</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>26</td><td></td><td></td><td>26</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>27</td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>28</td><td></td><td></td><td>28</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>29</td><td></td><td></td><td>29</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>31</td><td></td><td></td><td>31</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>32</td><td></td><td></td><td>32</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>33</td><td></td><td></td><td>33</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>34</td><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>35</td><td></td><td></td><td>35</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>36</td><td></td><td></td><td>36</td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>						economic indicators affecting society :			economic indicators affecting the environment :				importance:	relationship:		importance:	relationship:	1 unemployment	normal	inverse	1 unemployment	normal	inverse	2 1jobs	very important	direct	2 1jobs	important	direct	3 2jobs	important	direct	3 2jobs	less than normal	direct	4 3jobs[a+b]	less than normal	direct	4 3jobs[a+b]	less than normal	direct	5 income ineq.	important	inverse	5 income ineq.	normal	inverse	6 medHHi	limited	direct	6 medHHi	limited	direct	7 Income	less than normal	direct	7 Income	limited	direct	8 incomeGap	normal	inverse	8 incomeGap	less than normal	inverse	9 %popUnins	very important	inverse	9 %popUnins	normal	inverse	10 DepR	limited	direct	10 DepR	limited	inverse	11 %work home	less than normal	direct	11 %work home	normal	direct	12 %povP	very important	inverse	12 %povP	very important	inverse	13 % commute	less than normal	inverse	13 % commute	important	inverse	14 % carpooled	less than normal	direct	14 % carpooled	normal	direct	15 % comm transit	less than normal	direct	15 % comm transit	normal	direct	16 comm time	limited	inverse	16 comm time	less than normal	inverse	17			17			18			18			19			19			20			20			21			21			22			22			23			23			24			24			25			25			26			26			27			27			28			28			29			29			30			30			31			31			32			32			33			33			34			34			35			35			36			36		
economic indicators affecting society :			economic indicators affecting the environment :																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
	importance:	relationship:		importance:	relationship:																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
1 unemployment	normal	inverse	1 unemployment	normal	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
2 1jobs	very important	direct	2 1jobs	important	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
3 2jobs	important	direct	3 2jobs	less than normal	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
4 3jobs[a+b]	less than normal	direct	4 3jobs[a+b]	less than normal	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
5 income ineq.	important	inverse	5 income ineq.	normal	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
6 medHHi	limited	direct	6 medHHi	limited	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
7 Income	less than normal	direct	7 Income	limited	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
8 incomeGap	normal	inverse	8 incomeGap	less than normal	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
9 %popUnins	very important	inverse	9 %popUnins	normal	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
10 DepR	limited	direct	10 DepR	limited	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
11 %work home	less than normal	direct	11 %work home	normal	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
12 %povP	very important	inverse	12 %povP	very important	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
13 % commute	less than normal	inverse	13 % commute	important	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
14 % carpooled	less than normal	direct	14 % carpooled	normal	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
15 % comm transit	less than normal	direct	15 % comm transit	normal	direct																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
16 comm time	limited	inverse	16 comm time	less than normal	inverse																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
17			17																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
18			18																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
19			19																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
20			20																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
21			21																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
22			22																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
23			23																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
24			24																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
25			25																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
26			26																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
27			27																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
28			28																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
29			29																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
30			30																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
31			31																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
32			32																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
33			33																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
34			34																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
35			35																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
36			36																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
printed 10/22/2019, 4:47 PM																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
page 4 of 4																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									

rankings

rankings																						
	restrictions (ranked from low to high)						areas (high to low)			overlaps (high to low)			conflicts (high to low)									
	soc	econ	econ	soc	env	soc	econ	env	econ	econo	soc	amb	econ	soc	env	env	econ	SUST	econ	soc	env	env
51 Routt, CO	6	19	14	7	10	16				1	5	6	1	2	2			1	242	242	244	
149 Los Alamos*, NM	1	7	95	1	8	33				2	25	1	2	3	1			2	246	237	239	
71 Caribou, ID	53	11	2	42	33	5				4	1	26	3	1	4			3	228	246	246	
244 Teton, WY	5	8	137	8	3	82				14	39	4	4	10	3			4	244	197	233	
43 Moffat, CO	90	103	4	79	78	4				9	7	77	9	5	10			5	150	244	241	
229 Whitman, WA	35	94	11	65	36	10				6	15	39	6	11	6			6	190	238	242	
203 Summit, UT	7	20	138	11	21	52				11	56	9	7	19	7			7	241	180	223	
186 Daggett, UT	78	26	9	55	61	20				20	3	53	8	6	18			8	213	240	230	
185 Carbon, UT	154	161	3	155	151	1				8	12	154	13	22	19			9	89	241	243	
36 Jefferson, CO	17	27	109	19	30	41				19	49	20	14	28	9			10	231	178	224	
17 Boulder, CO	4	16	206	3	13	137				29	114	5	15	30	8			11	243	156	197	
55 Summit, CO	11	73	74	18	24	29				7	55	17	11	31	5			12	225	209	238	
63 Blaine, ID	26	38	90	30	27	39				26	45	23	20	32	11			13	221	190	225	
35 Jackson, CO	109	18	27	69	25	49				57	8	27	18	9	25			14	216	226	220	
49 Rio Blanco, CO	45	6	81	41	14	116				76	17	15	23	12	26			15	233	196	202	
54 San Miguel, CO	27	5	135	43	1	141				85	29	7	22	25	12			16	237	138	227	
26 Douglas, CO	3	15	207	4	28	154				31	110	8	10	38	21			17	245	155	164	
105 Gallatin, MT	13	115	65	21	96	23				5	70	51	16	39	13			18	214	215	219	
187 Davis, UT	14	24	129	29	26	76				25	62	22	12	40	17			19	235	152	211	
238 Lincoln, WY	25	54	37	35	69	42				27	24	50	17	21	30			20	215	229	203	
196 Morgan, UT	2	83	54	6	132	68				3	41	36	5	23	31			21	239	232	169	
220 Grant, WA	218	12	42	231	15	12				46	10	83	24	43	15			22	175	162	245	
18 Chaffee, CO	34	105	68	24	85	24				15	64	49	28	37	16			23	182	212	222	
222 Klickitat, WA	134	101	7	136	134	11				18	13	137	21	26	35			24	135	239	234	
72 Cassia, ID	136	77	12	168	93	15				23	14	128	19	33	36			25	148	230	235	
120 Churchill, NV	157	203	1	157	211	2				10	9	189	25	20	37			26	66	245	240	
245 Uinta, WY	51	36	87	66	34	74				53	40	30	29	42	32			27	210	174	206	
75 Custer, ID	37	17	105	13	16	133				82	32	10	32	15	33			28	229	195	196	
125 Eureka, NV	113	3	30	75	4	129				113	2	11	33	4	28			29	230	224	212	
66 Bonneville, ID	63	56	70	102	42	26				22	42	56	27	50	20			30	187	177	231	
215 Columbia, WA	156	63	10	101	55	25				40	11	71	30	13	39			31	144	236	226	
126 Humboldt, NV	130	10	16	164	11	85				92	4	32	31	8	38			32	218	221	214	
158 Santa Fe, NM	64	191	34	67	155	9				12	66	116	38	57	24			33	123	222	232	
85 Latah, ID	33	169	53	40	128	19				13	81	84	35	58	23			34	162	213	221	
179 Wallowa, OR	66	59	33	26	70	53				45	23	45	34	16	42			35	184	231	199	
47 Park, CO	39	86	52	31	83	71				41	35	52	37	29	43			36	192	220	190	
19 Clear Creek, CO	12	40	177	10	50	107				37	120	21	36	62	29			37	232	154	174	
138 Catron, NM	65	50	21	14	99	43				38	19	46	26	14	44			38	191	235	198	
127 Lander, NV	212	1	51	217	2	92				147	6	31	44	24	40			39	208	159	228	
209 Wayne, UT	21	90	80	16	67	104				55	68	41	47	35	46			40	205	207	163	
38 La Plata, CO	32	66	125	49	40	56				34	95	37	41	71	27			41	206	144	205	
180 Wasco, OR	133	104	38	145	87	37				52	33	104	49	51	50			42	134	208	201	
32 Gunnison, CO	9	78	152	12	32	146				54	129	14	42	63	34			43	227	165	168	
123 Elko, NV	152	2	102	196	7	131				139	20	29	40	46	48			44	223	115	210	
48 Pitkin, CO</																						

rankings

Sustainable Development Possibilities

last printed: 10/22/2019, 4:54 PM

	rankings						areas (high to low)			overlaps (high to low)			conflicts (high to low)			
	restrictions (ranked from low to high)			econo			econo	soc	amb	econ soc	soc env	env econ	SUST	econ soc	soc env	env econ
	soc	econ	soc	env	soc	env	econo	soc	amb	econ	soc	env	env	econ	soc	env
79 Gem, ID	180	224	198	182	227	106	137	226	219	205	226	181	207	26	40	83
162 Torrance, NM	222	243	24	215	244	83	145	119	241	202	174	211	208	10	194	69
176 Sherman, OR	191	119	166	143	123	223	224	160	130	215	151	212	209	79	82	30
12 Shasta, CA	213	214	194	212	217	142	181	217	224	210	231	198	210	23	28	64
159 Sierra, NM	242	206	19	228	216	94	206	61	230	208	123	214	211	8	189	103
132 Pershing, NV	246	42	77	244	59	173	240	37	221	209	158	216	212	35	77	99
181 Wheeler, OR	244	61	96	214	76	176	236	67	155	212	112	217	213	30	110	87
236 Hot Springs, WY	92	89	232	44	74	243	237	223	58	222	182	219	214	159	39	7
7 Inyo, CA	176	122	212	158	110	234	231	203	131	223	198	218	215	88	38	22
150 Luna, NM	238	231	71	241	230	105	202	142	240	219	209	220	216	6	102	81
69 Camas, ID	208	162	100	193	195	194	216	123	198	211	160	221	217	49	118	33
124 Esmeralda, NV	224	152	124	203	147	204	225	140	184	221	165	222	218	34	88	38
20 Conejos, CO	171	158	193	172	174	221	220	199	177	217	206	223	219	75	44	18
13 Sierra, CA	177	154	167	112	184	219	219	172	151	216	169	225	220	73	91	20
246 Washakie, WY	68	82	237	51	73	245	241	227	59	224	196	226	221	177	27	5
137 Bernalillo, NM	158	192	235	179	161	210	210	237	175	228	236	204	222	69	9	29
41 Mesa, CO	104	173	240	100	168	225	207	243	142	227	235	200	223	110	10	16
3 Greenlee, AZ	225	52	209	239	84	216	233	163	206	199	225	227	224	98	8	46
24 Denver City and Count	102	80	244	166	44	242	238	238	82	218	237	215	225	164	4	19
174 Malheur, OR	187	144	204	202	158	228	229	202	192	226	219	229	226	72	26	14
88 Lincoln, ID	189	141	200	209	159	224	226	198	201	220	223	230	227	74	24	21
93 Owyhee, ID	229	155	121	226	164	209	230	141	222	231	197	231	228	28	63	28
4 Mohave, AZ	230	221	196	223	228	135	193	225	231	229	234	213	229	15	21	54
140 Cibola, NM	237	238	61	242	232	127	211	151	243	234	210	233	230	3	107	53
5 Navajo, AZ	228	244	104	235	243	121	177	205	244	230	232	234	231	5	75	44
6 Alpine, CA	205	225	208	198	204	212	221	231	213	236	228	232	232	21	29	17
113 Mineral, MT	168	222	186	150	229	217	217	222	203	232	217	235	233	38	52	8
156 San Juan, NM	223	232	202	224	233	148	201	234	234	233	238	224	234	13	19	40
52 Saguache, CO	200	201	169	207	169	229	232	196	204	237	207	236	235	31	45	12
230 Yakima, WA	231	167	223	236	160	192	223	233	227	235	240	228	236	25	6	39
96 Shoshone, ID	203	149	222	175	149	239	242	230	165	238	227	239	237	56	15	10
110 Lincoln, MT	167	202	228	122	201	238	235	236	164	239	230	240	238	57	20	4
151 McKinley, NM	243	246	6	246	245	8	79	162	246	225	239	241	239	1	199	189
114 Missoula, MT	52	139	246	62	92	246	244	245	72	242	242	238	240	154	3	2
121 Clark, NV	220	165	241	234	111	230	239	241	202	240	243	237	241	32	1	25
11 Plumass, CA	195	153	242	156	182	241	245	242	170	241	241	244	242	62	7	3
143 Doña Ana, NM	204	239	236	225	237	220	228	244	237	243	245	242	243	14	5	6
73 Clark, ID	240	230	160	240	193	232	246	208	233	245	233	245	244	4	30	9
119 Silver Bow*, MT	135	219	245	123	203	244	243	246	171	246	246	243	245	61	2	1
1 Apache, AZ	245	245	49	245	246	57	182	218	245	244	244	246	246	2	101	43

rankings

Sustainable Development Possibilities

last printed: 10/22/2019, 4:54 PM

restrictions (ranked from low to high)	areas (high to low)			overlaps (high to low)			conflicts (high to low)					
	econo	soc	amb	econ	soc	env	env econ	SUST	econ soc	soc env	env econ	
	soc econ	econ soc	env soc	soc env	econ env	env econ	econ	soc	amb	econ	soc	env
122 Douglas, NV	116	147	130	88	166	70	71	146	135	100	124	92
223 Lincoln, WA	108	68	83	60	94	149	134	58	73	95	68	117
141 Colfax, NM	170	178	26	126	173	69	100	57	152	116	72	119
153 Otero, NM	194	223	29	205	226	32	69	83	223	113	111	120
219 Garfield, WA	123	93	62	64	104	145	130	51	85	107	54	122
183 Box Elder, UT	56	46	132	84	88	167	126	92	87	84	94	123
233 Carbon, WY	111	70	133	103	64	170	159	107	79	121	91	124
178 Union, OR	98	134	148	95	129	144	117	155	112	122	121	126
243 Sweetwater, WY	117	9	219	153	12	226	212	131	28	118	113	118
30 Gilpin, CO	41	81	215	54	72	80	50	189	60	114	144	49
27 Eagle, CO	10	29	238	20	17	203	104	215	12	97	148	55
161 Taos, NM	175	215	91	180	187	45	80	138	186	128	140	109
171 Jefferson, OR	192	187	67	208	198	44	88	103	217	105	141	127
163 Valencia, NM	214	233	28	218	241	7	35	97	238	112	154	102
189 Emery, UT	79	91	107	74	102	175	146	96	90	123	86	131
101 Beaverhead, MT	74	65	108	50	54	205	173	79	48	132	65	132
106 Granite, MT	129	47	126	89	65	174	168	86	69	120	87	134
144 Eddy, NM	211	72	134	220	90	101	155	109	169	117	162	135
216 Douglas, WA	164	113	117	194	137	102	121	122	172	115	149	136
78 Fremont, ID	89	87	131	91	100	178	157	113	94	126	97	137
91 Nez Perce, ID	125	117	174	104	135	90	97	165	123	127	155	103
59 Bannock, ID	99	135	187	118	112	125	111	186	110	134	164	111
95 Power, ID	178	33	136	197	63	153	175	75	127	110	122	141
25 Dolores, CO	82	95	157	48	81	195	167	136	61	135	92	142
97 Teton, ID	58	112	180	124	131	93	73	171	129	106	166	101
33 Hinsdale, CO	44	57	179	9	49	231	196	139	19	144	64	143
207 Wasatch, UT	15	157	153	167	154	63	24	164	163	61	171	106
200 San Juan, UT	105	242	20	186	238	40	49	111	228	119	116	145
152 Mora, NM	100	198	15	58	242	77	72	46	194	93	77	146
82 Jefferson, ID	84	98	197	138	113	118	96	178	118	124	173	108
154 Rio Arriba, NM	219	240	17	222	235	31	78	102	236	140	139	149
87 Lewis, ID	149	164	73	121	165	128	133	99	147	136	93	150
227 Stevens, WA	153	177	110	151	202	108	114	147	179	133	152	152
208 Washington, UT	61	193	170	111	191	122	95	193	157	129	177	139
61 Benewah, ID	190	194	69	169	200	84	120	108	190	137	117	153
237 Laramie, WY	69	127	211	83	125	123	99	204	101	138	179	107
202 Sevier, UT	120	138	190	129	139	112	106	191	138	143	180	113
67 Boundary, ID	137	189	127	148	190	130	128	159	167	141	156	154
205 Uintah, UT	60	31	210	165	43	213	176	152	80	111	159	156
212 Asotin, WA	182	136	154	170	145	79	112	158	161	142	168	125
155 Sandoval, NM	72	205	163	99	221	89	75	194	173	130	183	128
104 Flathead, MT	81	181	195	87	177	136	108	207	139	145	184	133
234 Fremont, WY	119	151	162	113	124	172	166	168	121	155	143	155
170 Hood River, OR	85	71	227	98	58	132	109	210	67	150	188	83
58 Adams, ID	138	146	79	92	141	158	165	94	117	151	84	162
92 Oneida, ID	49	45	164	39	95	222	184	116	64	131	96	163
206 Utah, UT	18	96	224	81	77	201	122	216	76	125	190	144
142 De Baca, NM	207	168	32	206	171	100	150	53	205	148	95	164
130 Mineral, NV	233	217	41	210	197	58	136	84	220	162	108	165
108 Lake, MT	173	227	113	174	205	96	123	176	196	164	175	161
199 Salt Lake, UT	57	53	230	125	38	196	164	209	54	139	192	121
109 Lewis and Clark, MT	40	137	229	37	118	208	161	232	75	165	193	151
28 Fremont, CO	140	204	156	135	214	50	68	184	181	146	186	110

SCORES

Sustainable Development Possibilities														last printed:10/22/2019, 4:56 PM		
code country	scores restrictions						areas			overlaps			conflicts			
	soc econ	econ soc	env soc	soc env	econ env	env econ	econo	soc	amb	econ soc	soc env	env econ	SUST	econ soc	soc env	env econ
AVERAGES:	24.1%	25.4%	19.3%	24.0%	25.7%	20.4%	31.0%	30.7%	25.4%	8.9%	9.7%	9.0%	8.5%	12.3%	9.3%	10.5%
51 Routt, CO	18.6%	21.9%	13.7%	19.5%	20.6%	14.5%	44.8%	41.4%	35.8%	20.2%	20.1%	20.6%	19.4%	8.2%	5.4%	6.0%
149 Los Alamos*, NM	17.1%	21.1%	18.0%	16.7%	20.3%	16.3%	44.4%	37.0%	39.8%	19.2%	19.5%	21.5%	19.2%	7.2%	6.0%	6.6%
71 Caribou, ID	22.7%	21.3%	10.1%	22.3%	22.6%	12.8%	41.5%	47.1%	30.3%	18.6%	20.2%	17.5%	17.5%	9.7%	4.5%	5.8%
244 Teton, WY	18.5%	21.2%	19.8%	19.7%	19.4%	18.8%	39.3%	34.9%	37.1%	16.5%	15.5%	17.7%	15.5%	7.8%	7.8%	7.3%
43 Moffat, CO	23.5%	25.2%	11.0%	23.2%	25.0%	12.7%	40.7%	40.8%	26.8%	14.9%	16.5%	15.1%	14.9%	11.8%	5.1%	6.3%
229 Whitman, WA	22.0%	24.9%	13.1%	22.9%	23.0%	13.7%	41.3%	38.5%	29.3%	15.5%	15.3%	16.3%	14.8%	11.0%	6.0%	6.3%
203 Summit, UT	19.0%	21.9%	19.8%	20.3%	21.9%	17.6%	40.2%	34.0%	33.4%	15.4%	14.4%	16.1%	14.4%	8.3%	8.0%	7.7%
186 Daggett, UT	23.2%	22.5%	12.8%	22.6%	24.5%	15.0%	38.2%	41.9%	28.0%	15.4%	16.1%	13.9%	13.9%	10.4%	5.8%	7.4%
185 Carbon, UT	24.8%	26.4%	11.0%	24.5%	26.7%	11.3%	40.9%	39.3%	23.8%	14.1%	14.3%	13.9%	13.9%	13.1%	5.4%	6.0%
36 Jefferson, CO	21.0%	22.6%	18.8%	21.5%	22.4%	17.1%	38.3%	34.3%	31.5%	14.1%	13.8%	15.3%	13.8%	9.5%	8.1%	7.6%
17 Boulder, CO	18.2%	21.7%	22.6%	18.8%	21.0%	20.8%	37.3%	31.0%	36.2%	14.1%	13.6%	15.5%	13.6%	7.9%	8.5%	8.7%
55 Summit, CO	20.1%	24.4%	17.3%	21.4%	22.1%	15.7%	41.2%	34.0%	31.8%	14.6%	13.6%	16.6%	13.6%	9.8%	7.4%	7.0%
63 Blaine, ID	21.9%	23.3%	18.0%	22.0%	22.3%	17.0%	37.4%	34.6%	31.1%	13.6%	13.5%	15.0%	13.5%	10.2%	7.9%	7.6%
35 Jackson, CO	23.8%	21.8%	15.0%	23.0%	22.2%	17.5%	34.5%	40.0%	30.0%	13.7%	15.8%	13.3%	13.3%	10.3%	6.9%	7.8%
49 Rio Blanco, CO	22.4%	20.9%	17.5%	22.3%	21.1%	20.0%	33.2%	38.0%	32.0%	13.5%	15.3%	13.3%	13.3%	9.3%	7.8%	8.4%
54 San Miguel, CO	21.9%	20.4%	19.7%	22.4%	18.0%	20.9%	32.7%	35.9%	35.5%	13.6%	14.1%	15.0%	13.2%	8.9%	8.8%	7.5%
26 Douglas, CO	17.4%	21.5%	22.6%	18.9%	22.4%	21.6%	37.2%	31.2%	34.5%	14.8%	13.1%	13.8%	13.1%	7.5%	8.5%	9.7%
105 Gallatin, MT	20.3%	25.6%	16.8%	21.5%	25.4%	15.4%	41.3%	33.2%	28.2%	13.9%	13.1%	14.2%	13.1%	10.4%	7.2%	7.8%
187 Davis, UT	20.4%	22.4%	19.5%	22.0%	22.2%	18.4%	37.5%	33.7%	31.2%	14.2%	13.0%	14.0%	13.0%	9.2%	8.6%	8.2%
238 Lincoln, WY	21.8%	23.8%	15.3%	22.1%	24.7%	17.1%	37.4%	37.1%	28.3%	13.9%	14.4%	13.0%	13.0%	10.4%	6.8%	8.4%
196 Morgan, UT	17.3%	24.5%	16.5%	19.5%	26.2%	18.2%	41.6%	34.8%	29.5%	16.0%	14.3%	13.0%	13.0%	8.5%	6.4%	9.5%
220 Grant, WA	26.7%	21.3%	15.5%	27.2%	21.3%	14.0%	35.2%	40.0%	26.6%	13.4%	13.0%	14.1%	13.0%	11.4%	8.4%	5.9%
18 Chaffee, CO	22.0%	25.2%	16.9%	21.7%	25.1%	15.4%	39.2%	33.5%	28.3%	12.9%	13.1%	14.0%	12.9%	11.1%	7.3%	7.7%
222 Klickitat, WA	24.2%	25.1%	12.4%	24.1%	26.3%	13.8%	38.4%	39.0%	24.6%	13.6%	13.8%	12.8%	12.8%	12.2%	6.0%	7.2%
72 Cassia, ID	24.4%	24.4%	13.2%	24.8%	25.3%	14.2%	37.7%	38.8%	24.9%	13.6%	13.5%	12.7%	12.7%	11.9%	6.6%	7.2%
120 Churchill, NV	24.8%	27.2%	9.6%	24.5%	28.0%	11.5%	40.5%	40.0%	22.5%	13.3%	14.4%	12.7%	12.7%	13.5%	4.7%	6.4%
245 Uinta, WY	22.6%	23.2%	17.8%	22.9%	22.6%	18.4%	34.8%	34.8%	29.6%	12.8%	13.0%	13.0%	12.6%	10.5%	8.2%	8.3%
75 Custer, ID	22.1%	21.8%	18.7%	20.9%	21.4%	20.7%	32.8%	35.5%	33.3%	12.6%	14.9%	12.9%	12.6%	9.6%	7.8%	8.8%
125 Eureka, NV	23.8%	20.1%	15.0%	23.2%	19.4%	20.6%	30.9%	42.1%	33.0%	12.6%	17.4%	13.1%	12.6%	9.6%	6.9%	8.0%
66 Bonneville, ID	23.0%	23.9%	17.1%	23.6%	23.6%	15.6%	37.8%	34.8%	27.9%	12.9%	12.5%	13.9%	12.5%	11.0%	8.1%	7.3%
215 Columbia, WA	24.8%	24.1%	13.0%	23.6%	24.4%	15.5%	35.6%	39.5%	27.0%	12.7%	15.2%	12.5%	12.5%	12.0%	6.1%	7.6%
126 Humboldt, NV	24.2%	21.3%	14.2%	24.7%	20.9%	19.0%	32.3%	41.6%	29.6%	12.6%	15.8%	12.5%	12.3%	10.3%	7.0%	7.9%
158 Santa Fe, NM	23.0%	26.9%	15.2%	23.0%	26.8%	13.7%	40.1%	33.5%	25.3%	12.2%	13.4%	12.2%	12.4%	7.0%	7.3%	
85 Latah, ID	21.9%	26.5%	16.4%	22.3%	26.2%	14.8%	40.0%	32.6%	26.6%	12.4%	12.1%	13.5%	12.1%	11.6%	7.3%	7.8%
179 Wallowa, OR	23.0%	24.0%	15.1%	21.7%	24.7%	17.6%	35.3%	37.1%	28.7%	12.5%	14.8%	12.0%	12.0%	11.0%	6.6%	8.7%
47 Park, CO	22.2%	24.6%	16.0%	22.0%	25.0%	18.3%	35.5%	35.3%	28.0%	12.2%	13.7%	11.9%	11.9%	10.9%	7.0%	9.2%
19 Clear Creek, CO	20.2%	23.3%	21.4%	20.0%	23.9%	19.7%	36.0%	30.6%	31.4%	12.3%	12.0%	13.0%	11.9%	9.4%	8.6%	9.4%
138 Catron, NM	23.0%	23.8%	14.7%	21.0%	25.4%	17.2%	35.8%	37.9%	28.7%	13.0%	15.1%	11.8%	11.8%	10.9%	6.2%	8.7%
127 Lander, NV	26.4%	20.0%	16.0%	26.4%	19.2%	19.3%	29.5%	41.0%	29.6%	11.7%	14.2%	12.3%	11.7%	10.6%	8.4%	7.4%
209 Wayne, UT	21.5%	24.8%	17.5%	21.4%	24.7%	19.7%	34.6%	33.3%	29.1%	11.6%	13.2%	11.7%	11.6%	10.6%	7.5%	9.7%
38 La Plata, CO	21.9%	24.2%	19.4%	22.5%	23.3%	17.8%	36.3%	31.9%	29.4%	11.9%	11.5%	13.2%	11.5%	10.6%	8.7%	8.3%
180 Wasco, OR	24.2%	25.2%	15.3%	24.2%	25.2%	16.8%	34.9%	35.4%	25.6%	11.5%	12.4%	11.5%	11.5%	12.2%	7.4%	8.4%
32 Gunnison, CO	19.8%															

SCORES

Sustainable Development Possibilities

last printed:10/22/2019, 4:56 PM

code country	scores						areas			overlaps			conflicts			
	restrictions						econo	soc	amb	econ soc	soc env	env econ	SUST	econ soc	soc env	env econ
191 Grand, UT	23.8%	29.0%	19.2%	24.0%	27.6%	23.4%	27.9%	26.8%	23.3%	5.7%	7.7%	6.2%	5.6%	13.8%	9.2%	12.9%
79 Gem, ID	25.6%	28.0%	22.2%	25.1%	28.7%	19.7%	30.0%	24.8%	21.4%	5.9%	5.7%	6.8%	5.5%	14.3%	11.2%	11.3%
162 Torrance, NM	26.7%	29.8%	14.9%	26.3%	30.9%	18.9%	29.6%	30.7%	18.3%	6.1%	7.8%	5.5%	5.5%	15.9%	7.8%	11.7%
176 Sherman, OR	25.7%	25.6%	20.8%	24.2%	26.0%	24.9%	24.4%	28.7%	24.8%	5.7%	8.4%	5.5%	5.5%	13.2%	10.1%	12.9%
12 Shasta, CA	26.4%	27.5%	22.1%	26.3%	28.1%	20.9%	27.7%	25.4%	20.8%	5.8%	5.5%	6.0%	5.5%	14.5%	11.6%	11.8%
159 Sierra, NM	29.2%	27.3%	14.6%	27.1%	28.1%	19.4%	26.4%	33.8%	20.1%	5.8%	9.1%	5.4%	5.4%	16.0%	7.9%	10.9%
132 Pershing, NV	30.0%	23.5%	17.4%	29.4%	24.4%	22.5%	22.6%	34.9%	21.3%	5.8%	8.3%	5.3%	5.3%	14.1%	10.2%	11.0%
181 Wheeler, OR	29.5%	24.1%	18.1%	26.3%	24.9%	22.5%	23.1%	33.5%	23.8%	5.7%	9.4%	5.3%	5.3%	14.2%	9.5%	11.2%
236 Hot Springs, WY	23.5%	24.8%	25.3%	22.4%	24.9%	28.6%	22.9%	25.0%	27.8%	5.3%	7.5%	5.3%	5.3%	11.7%	11.3%	14.2%
7 Inyo, CA	25.5%	25.6%	23.0%	24.6%	25.6%	25.9%	23.7%	26.3%	24.8%	5.3%	7.2%	5.3%	5.3%	13.1%	11.3%	13.2%
150 Luna, NM	28.5%	28.4%	17.1%	28.4%	28.8%	19.7%	26.8%	29.7%	18.3%	5.5%	6.6%	5.3%	5.3%	16.2%	9.7%	11.3%
69 Camas, ID	26.2%	26.4%	18.3%	25.4%	27.5%	23.4%	25.4%	30.6%	22.2%	5.8%	8.3%	5.3%	5.3%	13.8%	9.3%	12.9%
124 Esmeralda, NV	26.9%	26.2%	19.4%	25.8%	26.5%	23.8%	24.3%	29.7%	22.8%	5.4%	8.0%	5.2%	5.2%	14.1%	10.0%	12.6%
20 Conejos, CO	25.4%	26.3%	22.1%	25.0%	27.1%	24.8%	24.8%	26.6%	23.0%	5.5%	6.7%	5.2%	5.2%	13.4%	11.0%	13.5%
13 Sierra, CA	25.5%	26.2%	20.9%	23.8%	27.2%	24.7%	24.8%	28.0%	23.9%	5.6%	7.9%	5.1%	5.1%	13.4%	9.9%	13.4%
246 Washakie, WY	23.0%	24.5%	25.8%	22.5%	24.9%	29.5%	22.5%	24.6%	27.7%	5.3%	7.2%	5.1%	5.1%	11.3%	11.6%	14.7%
137 Bernalillo, NM	24.8%	26.9%	25.6%	25.1%	26.9%	24.1%	26.1%	22.6%	23.1%	5.1%	5.0%	5.7%	5.0%	13.4%	12.8%	12.9%
41 Mesa, CO	23.7%	26.6%	26.9%	23.6%	27.0%	25.0%	26.3%	21.6%	24.4%	5.2%	5.1%	5.9%	5.0%	12.6%	12.7%	13.5%
3 Greenlee, AZ	26.9%	23.8%	22.9%	28.0%	25.1%	24.6%	23.5%	28.5%	22.0%	6.1%	5.8%	5.0%	5.0%	12.8%	12.8%	12.3%
24 Denver City and County	23.6%	24.5%	28.3%	24.8%	23.6%	28.5%	22.9%	22.3%	26.6%	5.5%	5.0%	5.4%	4.9%	11.6%	14.0%	13.4%
174 Malheur, OR	25.7%	26.1%	22.6%	25.8%	26.8%	25.4%	24.0%	26.4%	22.5%	5.2%	6.2%	4.9%	4.9%	13.4%	11.6%	13.6%
88 Lincoln, ID	25.7%	26.0%	22.4%	26.1%	26.9%	25.0%	24.3%	26.6%	22.1%	5.4%	6.1%	4.8%	4.8%	13.4%	11.7%	13.4%
93 Owyhee, ID	27.1%	26.2%	19.3%	27.0%	26.9%	24.1%	23.8%	29.7%	21.2%	5.1%	7.2%	4.8%	4.8%	14.2%	10.4%	13.0%
4 Mohave, AZ	27.2%	27.9%	22.2%	26.5%	28.7%	20.7%	27.1%	24.8%	20.0%	5.1%	5.1%	5.5%	4.8%	15.2%	11.8%	11.9%
140 Cibola, NM	28.4%	29.1%	16.7%	28.6%	29.1%	20.5%	26.1%	29.4%	17.9%	4.8%	6.6%	4.7%	4.7%	16.6%	9.5%	11.9%
5 Navajo, AZ	27.0%	30.2%	18.6%	27.5%	30.7%	20.1%	27.9%	26.2%	17.5%	5.1%	5.4%	4.7%	4.7%	16.3%	10.3%	12.4%
6 Alpine, CA	26.2%	28.0%	22.7%	25.6%	27.9%	24.2%	24.6%	24.3%	21.7%	4.7%	5.6%	4.7%	4.7%	14.7%	11.6%	13.5%
113 Mineral, MT	25.1%	28.0%	21.8%	24.3%	28.7%	24.6%	25.3%	25.2%	22.0%	5.0%	6.3%	4.6%	4.6%	14.1%	10.6%	14.1%
156 San Juan, NM	26.9%	28.6%	22.5%	26.5%	29.2%	21.3%	26.8%	24.0%	19.6%	4.9%	4.7%	5.1%	4.6%	15.3%	11.9%	12.5%
52 Saguache, CO	26.1%	27.2%	21.0%	26.0%	27.0%	25.4%	23.5%	26.9%	22.0%	4.5%	6.7%	4.6%	4.5%	14.2%	10.9%	13.7%
230 Yakima, WA	27.2%	26.5%	24.5%	27.6%	26.9%	23.4%	24.4%	24.0%	20.7%	4.7%	4.4%	4.9%	4.4%	14.4%	13.5%	12.6%
96 Shoshone, ID	26.1%	26.1%	24.4%	25.0%	26.6%	26.5%	22.5%	24.4%	23.4%	4.5%	5.7%	4.3%	4.3%	13.7%	12.2%	14.1%
110 Lincoln, MT	25.1%	27.2%	24.8%	23.9%	27.7%	26.5%	23.4%	23.0%	23.4%	4.5%	5.5%	4.3%	4.3%	13.6%	11.9%	14.7%
151 McKinley, NM	29.2%	34.4%	12.2%	31.9%	34.2%	13.4%	32.9%	28.5%	11.5%	5.3%	4.6%	4.2%	4.1%	20.1%	7.8%	9.2%
114 Missoula, MT	22.7%	26.0%	31.8%	22.8%	25.3%	30.7%	21.8%	17.9%	26.9%	3.9%	3.8%	4.5%	3.8%	11.8%	14.5%	15.5%
121 Clark, NV	26.7%	26.5%	27.0%	27.4%	25.6%	25.5%	22.8%	21.6%	22.1%	3.9%	3.7%	4.6%	3.7%	14.1%	14.8%	13.1%
11 Plumas, CA	25.9%	26.2%	27.4%	24.5%	27.2%	28.2%	21.1%	21.6%	23.3%	3.9%	4.4%	3.5%	3.5%	13.6%	13.4%	15.4%
143 Doña Ana, NM	26.2%	29.2%	25.7%	26.5%	29.6%	24.8%	24.1%	20.3%	19.3%	3.6%	3.3%	3.6%	3.3%	15.3%	13.7%	14.7%
73 Clark, ID	29.0%	28.4%	20.6%	28.1%	27.5%	25.7%	20.5%	26.0%	19.7%	2.9%	5.2%	3.2%	2.9%	16.5%	11.6%	14.1%
119 Silver Bow*, MT	24.3%	27.9%	30.9%	23.9%	27.8%	28.9%	21.9%	17.0%	23.3%	2.9%	3.0%	3.6%	2.9%	13.6%	14.8%	16.1%
1 Apache, AZ	29.5%	33.7%	15.9%	30.8%	34.6%	17.9%	27.7%	25.4%	12.0%	3.6%	3.5%	2.8%	2.8%	19.9%	9.8%	12.4%

SCORES

Sustainable Development Possibilities

last printed:10/22/2019, 4:56 PM

code country	scores						areas			overlaps			conflicts			
	restrictions						econo	soc	amb	econ soc	soc env	env econ	SUST	econ soc	soc env	env econ
211 Adams, WA	28.3%	24.0%	14.8%	29.1%	24.7%	16.6%	30.3%	37.4%	21.4%	9.7%	9.9%	8.8%	8.8%	13.6%	8.6%	8.2%
122 Douglas, NV	23.9%	26.1%	19.5%	23.3%	26.9%	18.3%	33.4%	29.5%	24.7%	9.3%	9.1%	9.5%	8.8%	12.5%	9.1%	9.8%
223 Lincoln, WA	23.8%	24.2%	17.6%	22.8%	25.3%	21.4%	30.1%	33.9%	26.9%	9.4%	11.8%	8.7%	8.7%	11.5%	8.0%	10.8%
141 Colfax, NM	25.2%	26.8%	15.0%	24.0%	27.1%	18.2%	31.9%	33.9%	23.9%	8.9%	11.5%	8.7%	8.7%	13.5%	7.2%	9.9%
153 Otero, NM	25.8%	28.0%	15.0%	25.9%	28.4%	16.2%	33.6%	32.5%	20.9%	9.0%	9.4%	8.7%	8.7%	14.5%	7.8%	9.2%
219 Garfield, WA	24.1%	24.8%	16.7%	22.9%	25.6%	21.0%	30.2%	34.2%	26.6%	9.1%	12.2%	8.6%	8.6%	12.0%	7.6%	10.7%
183 Box Elder, UT	22.8%	23.7%	19.6%	23.3%	25.2%	22.2%	30.3%	32.1%	26.5%	9.8%	10.1%	8.6%	8.6%	10.8%	9.2%	11.2%
233 Carbon, WY	23.8%	24.3%	19.7%	23.7%	24.6%	22.3%	29.0%	31.4%	26.8%	8.8%	10.3%	8.6%	8.6%	11.6%	9.3%	11.0%
178 Union, OR	23.6%	25.9%	20.2%	23.4%	26.2%	21.0%	30.7%	29.1%	25.4%	8.7%	9.1%	8.5%	8.5%	12.2%	9.5%	11.0%
243 Sweetwater, WY	23.9%	21.3%	23.8%	24.4%	21.0%	25.1%	26.0%	30.2%	29.8%	8.8%	9.3%	8.7%	8.5%	10.2%	11.6%	10.6%
30 Gilpin, CO	22.2%	24.5%	23.4%	22.6%	24.8%	18.7%	35.0%	27.1%	27.6%	9.0%	8.5%	11.5%	8.5%	10.9%	10.6%	9.3%
27 Eagle, CO	20.0%	22.8%	26.6%	21.5%	21.4%	23.7%	31.7%	25.6%	32.6%	9.4%	8.5%	11.2%	8.5%	9.1%	11.4%	10.2%
161 Taos, NM	25.4%	27.5%	18.0%	25.1%	27.4%	17.2%	32.9%	29.7%	22.6%	8.5%	8.7%	9.0%	8.5%	14.0%	9.0%	9.4%
171 Jefferson, OR	25.8%	26.9%	16.9%	26.1%	27.6%	17.2%	32.5%	31.6%	21.4%	9.1%	8.6%	8.5%	8.5%	13.8%	8.8%	9.5%
163 Valencia, NM	26.4%	28.6%	15.0%	26.4%	29.7%	13.4%	36.2%	31.8%	19.2%	9.0%	8.3%	9.3%	8.3%	15.1%	7.9%	7.9%
189 Emery, UT	23.2%	24.8%	18.7%	23.2%	25.5%	22.5%	29.5%	31.9%	26.4%	8.7%	10.6%	8.3%	8.3%	11.5%	8.7%	11.5%
101 Beaverhead, MT	23.1%	24.1%	18.7%	22.5%	24.2%	23.9%	28.1%	32.7%	28.4%	8.3%	11.9%	8.3%	8.3%	11.1%	8.4%	11.6%
106 Granite, MT	24.2%	23.7%	19.4%	23.3%	24.6%	22.5%	28.4%	32.3%	27.0%	8.8%	10.6%	8.2%	8.2%	11.5%	9.1%	11.1%
144 Eddy, NM	26.3%	24.3%	19.7%	26.5%	25.2%	19.6%	29.3%	31.3%	23.3%	8.8%	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%	12.8%	10.4%	9.9%
216 Douglas, WA	25.1%	25.5%	19.2%	25.5%	26.3%	19.6%	30.6%	30.3%	23.3%	8.9%	8.4%	8.2%	8.2%	12.8%	9.8%	10.3%
78 Fremont, ID	23.5%	24.7%	19.6%	23.3%	25.5%	22.5%	29.2%	31.0%	26.2%	8.6%	10.0%	8.1%	8.1%	11.6%	9.2%	11.5%
91 Nez Perce, ID	24.1%	25.6%	21.2%	23.7%	26.3%	19.3%	32.1%	28.3%	25.1%	8.5%	8.3%	9.2%	8.1%	12.3%	10.0%	10.1%
59 Bannock, ID	23.6%	25.9%	21.9%	23.9%	25.7%	20.5%	31.2%	27.3%	25.4%	8.2%	8.0%	9.0%	8.0%	12.2%	10.4%	10.5%
95 Power, ID	25.5%	22.9%	19.7%	25.6%	24.5%	21.6%	28.0%	32.9%	24.9%	9.0%	9.1%	8.0%	8.0%	11.7%	10.1%	10.6%
25 Dolores, CO	23.2%	24.9%	20.5%	22.5%	25.0%	23.5%	28.5%	29.8%	27.6%	8.1%	10.2%	8.0%	8.0%	11.6%	9.2%	11.7%
97 Teton, ID	22.9%	25.5%	21.5%	23.9%	26.2%	19.3%	33.4%	28.1%	24.8%	9.1%	8.0%	9.3%	8.0%	11.7%	10.3%	10.1%
33 Hinsdale, CO	22.4%	23.9%	21.5%	20.0%	23.9%	25.7%	27.0%	29.7%	31.5%	7.9%	11.9%	7.9%	7.9%	10.7%	8.6%	12.2%
207 Wasatch, UT	20.6%	26.3%	20.4%	24.8%	26.7%	18.1%	37.6%	28.4%	23.5%	10.7%	7.9%	9.2%	7.9%	10.8%	10.1%	9.7%
200 San Juan, UT	23.7%	29.6%	14.6%	25.3%	29.6%	17.0%	35.1%	31.1%	20.3%	8.8%	9.3%	7.9%	7.9%	14.0%	7.4%	10.1%
152 Mora, NM	23.6%	27.1%	14.1%	22.8%	29.8%	18.5%	33.4%	34.5%	22.5%	9.4%	11.1%	7.9%	7.9%	12.8%	6.4%	11.1%
82 Jefferson, ID	23.3%	25.0%	22.2%	24.1%	25.7%	20.0%	32.1%	27.8%	25.1%	8.7%	7.8%	9.1%	7.8%	11.6%	10.7%	10.3%
154 Rio Arriba, NM	26.7%	29.2%	14.5%	26.5%	29.5%	16.0%	32.9%	31.7%	19.4%	7.9%	8.7%	7.8%	7.8%	15.6%	7.7%	9.4%
87 Lewis, ID	24.6%	26.4%	17.3%	23.9%	26.9%	20.6%	30.1%	31.7%	24.2%	8.1%	10.2%	7.8%	7.8%	13.0%	8.2%	11.1%
227 Stevens, WA	24.7%	26.8%	18.9%	24.3%	27.8%	19.7%	30.9%	29.5%	22.9%	8.3%	8.4%	7.7%	7.7%	13.2%	9.2%	11.0%
208 Washington, UT	22.9%	27.0%	21.0%	23.8%	27.5%	20.3%	32.2%	27.1%	23.7%	8.5%	7.7%	8.1%	7.7%	12.4%	10.0%	11.2%
61 Benewah, ID	25.7%	27.0%	17.0%	24.8%	27.7%	19.0%	30.6%	31.3%	22.5%	8.0%	9.3%	7.7%	7.7%	13.9%	8.5%	10.5%
237 Laramie, WY	23.0%	25.8%	23.0%	23.3%	26.1%	20.4%	32.0%	26.3%	25.6%	8.0%	7.6%	9.1%	7.6%	11.9%	10.7%	10.6%
202 Sevier, UT	24.0%	26.0%	22.0%	24.0%	26.4%	19.8%	31.5%	27.1%	24.6%	7.9%	7.6%	8.8%	7.6%	12.5%	10.6%	10.5%
67 Boundary, ID	24.4%	26.9%	19.5%	24.2%	27.4%	20.6%	30.2%	28.8%	23.3%	7.9%	8.3%	7.6%	7.6%	13.1%	9.4%	11.3%
205 Uintah, UT	22.9%	22.9%	23.0%	24.7%	23.6%	24.2%	28.0%	29.3%	26.7%	9.0%	8.3%	7.6%	7.6%	10.5%	11.3%	11.4%
212 Asotin, WA	25.6%	25.9%	20.4%	24.9%	26.5%	18.6%	31.1%	28.8%	23.6%	7.9%	7.9%	8.6%	7.6%	13.3%	10.2%	9.9%
155 Sandoval, NM	23.1%	27.3%	20.7%	23.6%	28.2%	19.2%	33.3%	27.0%	23.2%	8.4%	7.5%	8.4%	7.5%	12.6%	9.8%	10.9%
104 Flathead, MT	23.2%	26.8%	22.1%	23.3%	27.1%	20.8%	31.4%	26.1%	24.6%	7.8%	7.5%	8.3%	7.5%	12.4%	10.3%	11.3%
234 Fremont, WY	24.0%	26.2%	20.7%	23.8%	26.0%	22.5%	28.7%	28.2%	25.1%	7.5%	8.6%	7.6%	7.5%	12.6%	9.9%	11.7%
170 Hood River, OR	23.3%	24.3%	24.8%	23.5%	24.4%	20.6%	31.4%</td									


Spokane County score: 9.1%

Cache County score: 10.7%

Routt County score: 19.4%

Clark County score: 3.7%

Summit County score: 14.4%




Spokane County score: 32.1%

Cache County score: 33.8%

Routt County score: 44.8%

Clark County score: 22.8%

Summit County score: 40.2%




Spokane County score: 29.5%

Cache County score: 30.5%

Routt County score: 41.4%

Clark County score: 21.6%

Summit County score: 34.0%




Spokane County score: 27.1%


Cache County score: 29.5%

Routt County score: 35.8%

Clark County score: 22.1%


Summit County score: 33.4%








graphic analysis



Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Routt, CO



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	1
economy-society OVERLAP	1
economy-society CONFLICT	242
SOCIETY	5
society-environment OVERLAP	2
society-environment CONFLICT	242
ENVIRONMENT	6
environment-economy OVERLAP	2
environment-economy CONFLICT	244
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	1

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank
13.7%
21.9%
18.6%
14.5%
19.5%
20.6%

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Los Alamos*, NM



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	44.4%
economy-society OVERLAP	2
economy-society CONFLICT	19.2%
environment	246
SOCIETY	37.0%
society-environment OVERLAP	25
society-environment CONFLICT	19.5%
environment	3
ENVIRONMENT	6.0%
environment-economy OVERLAP	237
environment-economy CONFLICT	39.8%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	1
percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap	21.5%
	1
	6.6%
	239
	19.2%

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank	
environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	18.0%
social restrictions to ECONOMY	21.1%
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	17.1%
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	16.3%
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	16.7%
	20.3%

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Caribou, ID



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

ECONOMY	41.5%	rank 4
economy-society OVERLAP	18.6%	3
economy-society CONFLICT	9.7%	228
SOCIETY	47.1%	1
society-environment OVERLAP	20.2%	1
society-environment CONFLICT	4.5%	246
ENVIRONMENT	30.3%	26
environment-economy OVERLAP	17.5%	4
environment-economy CONFLICT	5.8%	246
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	17.5%	3

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	10.1%	rank 2
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	21.3%	11
social restrictions to ECONOMY	22.7%	53
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	12.8%	5
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	22.3%	42
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	22.6%	33

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Madison, MT



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

ECONOMY	29.7%	140
economy-society OVERLAP	10.5%	66
economy-society CONFLICT	9.8%	224
SOCIETY	35.6%	31
society-environment OVERLAP	14.4%	17
society-environment CONFLICT	7.9%	191
ENVIRONMENT	31.6%	18
environment-economy OVERLAP	10.5%	68
environment-economy CONFLICT	10.3%	131
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	10.5%	60

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	18.2%	98
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	22.1%	21
social restrictions to ECONOMY	22.2%	42
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	23.3%	188
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	21.6%	23
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	22.1%	23

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Adams, WA



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	30.3%
economy-society OVERLAP	9.7%
economy-society CONFLICT	13.6%
SOCIETY	37.4%
society-environment OVERLAP	9.9%
society-environment CONFLICT	8.6%
ENVIRONMENT	21.4%
environment-economy OVERLAP	8.8%
environment-economy CONFLICT	8.2%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	8.8%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank
14.8%
24.0%
28.3%
16.6%
29.1%
24.7%

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

San Miguel, NM



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	29.4%
economy-society OVERLAP	6.2%
economy-society CONFLICT	16.1%
SOCIETY	30.6%
society-environment OVERLAP	7.9%
society-environment CONFLICT	8.4%
ENVIRONMENT	18.9%
environment-economy OVERLAP	6.1%
environment-economy CONFLICT	10.8%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	6.1%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


	rank
environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	15.5%
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	29.3%
social restrictions to ECONOMY	27.5%
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	18.3%
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	27.1%
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	29.4%

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Mesa, CO



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	26.3%
economy-society OVERLAP	207
economy-society CONFLICT	5.2%
society	227
SOCIETY	12.6%
society-environment OVERLAP	110
society-environment CONFLICT	21.6%
ENVIRONMENT	5.1%
environment-economy OVERLAP	243
environment-economy CONFLICT	12.7%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	10
percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap	142
	200
	16
	223

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank	
environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	26.9%
social restrictions to ECONOMY	26.6%
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	23.7%
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	25.0%
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	23.6%
	240
	173
	104
	225
	100
	168

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Clark, ID



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	20.5%
economy-society OVERLAP	2.9%
economy-society CONFLICT	16.5%
SOCIETY	26.0%
society-environment OVERLAP	5.2%
society-environment CONFLICT	11.6%
ENVIRONMENT	19.7%
environment-economy OVERLAP	3.2%
environment-economy CONFLICT	14.1%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	2.9%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank
160
230
240
232
240
193

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Silver Bow*, MT



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	21.9%
economy-society OVERLAP	243
economy-society CONFLICT	2.9%
	246
	61
SOCIETY	17.0%
society-environment OVERLAP	246
society-environment CONFLICT	3.0%
	246
	2
ENVIRONMENT	14.8%
environment-economy OVERLAP	171
environment-economy CONFLICT	3.6%
	243
	1
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	2.9%
percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap	

restrictions defining triangles are:

this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.


rank
30.9%
245
27.9%
219
24.3%
135
28.9%
244
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT
23.9%
123
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT
27.8%
203

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Individual scores report

Apache, AZ



inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	27.7%
economy-society OVERLAP	3.6%
economy-society CONFLICT	19.9%
SOCIETY	25.4%
society-environment OVERLAP	3.5%
society-environment CONFLICT	9.8%
ENVIRONMENT	12.0%
environment-economy OVERLAP	2.8%
environment-economy CONFLICT	12.4%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	2.8%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

restrictions defining triangles are:


this restrictions are plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.

	rank
environmental restrictions to SOCIETY	15.9%
economic restrictions to SOCIETY	33.7%
social restrictions to ECONOMY	29.5%
environmental restrictions to ECONOMY	17.9%
social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	30.8%
economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT	34.6%

dark shade means value out of range

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Routt, CO

**inner triangles area [percent of total]:**

	rank
ECONOMY	44.0%
economy-society OVERLAP	20.2%
economy-society CONFLICT	8.2%
SOCIETY	41.4%
society-environment OVERLAP	20.1%
society-environment CONFLICT	5.4%
ENVIRONMENT	35.8%
environment-economy OVERLAP	20.6%
environment-economy CONFLICT	6.0%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	19.4%


percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

Individual scores report

page 1 of 4

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Adams, WA

**restrictions defining triangles are:**

This restriction is plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.
ECONOMY environmental restrictions to SOCIETY
economy-society OVERLAP economic restrictions to SOCIETY
economy-society CONFLICT social restrictions to ECONOMY
SOCIETY society-environment OVERLAP environmental restrictions to ECONOMY
society-environment CONFLICT social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT
ENVIRONMENT economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT

dark shade means value out of range

inner triangles area [percent of total]:

	rank
ECONOMY	30.3%
economy-society OVERLAP	9.7%
economy-society CONFLICT	13.6%
SOCIETY	37.4%
society-environment OVERLAP	9.9%
society-environment CONFLICT	8.6%
ENVIRONMENT	21.4%
environment-economy OVERLAP	8.5%
environment-economy CONFLICT	8.2%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	8.8%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap


page 1 of 4

Individual scores report

page 1 of 4

Sustainable Development Possibilities

Apache, AZ

**inner triangles area [percent of total]:**

	rank
ECONOMY	27.7%
economy-society OVERLAP	3.6%
economy-society CONFLICT	19.9%
SOCIETY	25.4%
society-environment OVERLAP	3.5%
society-environment CONFLICT	9.8%
ENVIRONMENT	12.0%
environment-economy OVERLAP	2.6%
environment-economy CONFLICT	12.4%
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT POSSIBILITIES	2.8%

percentages do not add 100 due to multiple area overlap

Individual scores report

page 1 of 4

This restriction is plotted as the "separation" of triangles from the large triangle edges.
ECONOMY environmental restrictions to SOCIETY
economy-society OVERLAP economic restrictions to SOCIETY
economy-society CONFLICT social restrictions to ECONOMY
SOCIETY society-environment OVERLAP environmental restrictions to ECONOMY
society-environment CONFLICT social restrictions to ENVIRONMENT
ENVIRONMENT economic restrictions to ENVIRONMENT

dark shade means value out of range

rank
15.9% 49
33.7% 245
29.5% 245
17.9% 57
30.8% 245
34.6% 246

printed 10/17/2019, 1:48 AM

printed 10/17/2019, 2:01 AM

printed 10/17/2019, 1:51 AM

page 1 of 4

Final thoughts

- This study provides a deeper understanding of which specific indicators drive sustainability, according to planning decision makers.
- First step in understanding differences between urban and rural sustainability in the Intermountain West
- The model's visual outputs allow communities to easily understand the impacts of regionally-specific criteria on the broader context, empowering courses of action that maximize sustainability within a regional context.