Stat 203 HW 4 Solution

1. Some students may treat any number > 0 as 1, but this will cause a lost of information. So they can get at most 8 points.

A sample of R code is attached in the end.

(a) The fitted result is:

```
Call:
glm(formula = Y ~ T, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median
                           3Q
                                    Max
-0.95227 -0.78299 -0.54117 -0.04379 2.65152
Coefficients:
         Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 5.08498 3.05247 1.666 0.0957.
   -0.11560 0.04702 -2.458 0.0140 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 24.230 on 22 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 18.086 on 21 degrees of freedom
AIC: 35.647
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

We can see that the temperature has a coefficient of -0.1156, meaning what when temperature decreases by 1, the log odds ratio of O-ring failure will increase by 0.1156 (or the odds ratio of O-ring failure will increase to exp(0.1156) times).

(b) The fitted result is:

```
Call:
glm(formula = Y[-18, ] ~ T[-18], family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
```

```
Min
          1Q Median
                          3Q
                                 Max
-0.7608 -0.5742 -0.3320 -0.1861 1.5204
Coefficients:
         Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 8.66157 3.63441 2.383 0.01716 *
T[-18]
         -0.17680 0.05869 -3.013 0.00259 **
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 20.0667 on 21 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 9.4096 on 20 degrees of freedom
AIC: 24.748
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

We can see that the temperature has a coefficient of -0.1768, meaning what when temperature decreases by 1, the log odds ratio of O-ring failure will increase by 0.1768 (or the odds ratio of O-ring failure will increase to exp(0.1768) times).

- (c) The probability is 0.96.
- (d) The probability that at least one out of six O-rings fails is $1-(1-0.96)^6\approx 100\%$. The probability that all six O-rings fail is $0.96^6\approx 78\%$. Our judgment should be based on one of the above two percentage, which are both too high. So I would not advise that; launching at so low temperature was obviously unwise. (Students will get full credit if only they make right judgment. Any reason is OK.)

A sample of source code:

```
orings <- read.table("Orings.txt", header = T)
T <- orings$Temp
S <- orings$Damaged
F <- 6 - S
Y <- cbind(S, F)
######## Part (a)
orings.glm <- glm(Y ~ T, family = binomial)</pre>
```

```
print(summary(orings.glm))

######## Part (b)
orings.glm.d <- glm(Y[-18,] ~ T[-18], family = binomial)
print(summary(orings.glm.d))

######## Part (c)
z <- exp(31 * coef(orings.glm.d)[2] + coef(orings.glm.d)[1])
t31 <- z / (1 + z)
print(t31)</pre>
```

```
2. A sample of R code is attached in the end.
(a) The fitted result for NFL is:
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Success, Attempts - Success) ~ Distance +
   I(Distance^2), family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = nfl[Z ==
   0, ])
Deviance Residuals:
       1
                           3
0.1162755 \quad -0.0004784 \quad -0.4017326 \quad 0.6420910 \quad -0.9146466
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 2.490203 1.018620 2.445 0.0145 *
            -0.013167 0.065990 -0.200 0.8419
Distance
I(Distance^2) -0.001513  0.001008 -1.500  0.1335
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 147.7816 on 4 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1.4238 on 2 degrees of freedom
AIC: 28.890
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
The fitted result for AFL is:
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Success, Attempts - Success) ~ Distance +
   I(Distance^2), family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = nfl[Z ==
   1, ])
Deviance Residuals:
            7 8
     6
                            9 10
0.3187 -0.6829 0.7721 -0.5231 0.2853
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
```

(Intercept) 4.892466 1.189274 4.114 3.89e-05 ***

Distance

-0.197046 0.074348 -2.650 0.00804 **

```
I(Distance^2) 0.001604 0.001098 1.461 0.14395
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 78.7794 on 4 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1.5192 on 2 degrees of freedom
AIC: 28.443
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
(b) The fitted model is:
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Success, Attempts - Success) ~ Distance +
   I(Distance^2) + Z, family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = nfl)
Deviance Residuals:
    Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                      Max
-1.86350 -0.20086 0.03301 0.55505 1.60112
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 3.5241844 0.7747832 4.549 5.4e-06 ***
           -0.0958710 0.0490210 -1.956 0.0505 .
Distance
I(Distance^2) -0.0001086 0.0007365 -0.147 0.8828
            0.1037533 0.1698311 0.611 0.5413
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 228.5180 on 9 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 8.9776 on 6 degrees of freedom
AIC: 59.367
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

(c) From the above output, we can see that the quadratic term is not significant, with p-value=0.88. (Students may use other kind of tests, like likelihood ratio test and F-test, and they are all right if

they get similar p-value.)

(d) As (c) suggested, we first delete the quadratic term and then test whether Z is significant. The p-value = 0.54 from the summary table, so we accept that the probabilities are the same for each league. (Students may use other kind of tests, like F-test, and they are all right if they get similar p-value.)

A sample of source code:

```
#2a
nfl=read.table("http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~nzhang/203_web/Data/NFL.txt",
header=T)
attach(nfl)
nfl.glm=glm(cbind(Success,Attempts-Success)~Distance+I(Distance^2),
family=binomial(link='logit'),data=nfl[Z==0,])
summary(nfl.glm)
afl.glm=glm(cbind(Success,Attempts-Success)~Distance+I(Distance^2),
family=binomial(link='logit'),data=nfl[Z==1,])
summary(afl.glm)
#2b
all.glm=glm(cbind(Success,Attempts-Success)~Distance+I(Distance^2)+Z,
family=binomial(link='logit'),data=nfl)
summary(all.glm)
#2d
glm.nq <- glm(cbind(Success,Attempts-Success)~Distance+Z, family = binomial)
print(summary(glm.nq))</pre>
```

- 3. A sample of source code is attached in the end.
- (a) The result of the regression is:

So the coefficients and their estimated standard deviations are in the above. The so the estimated response function is:

```
\vec{Y} = \exp(0.489 - 1.069X_1 - 0.0466X_2 + 0.00947X_3 + 0.00857X_4)
```

- (b) The null hypothesis is: all coefficients of X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 are zero. The alternative hypothesis is: not all coefficients of X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 are zero. Decision rule: likelihood ratio test. We get that the likelihood ratio statistic ($\sim \chi^2_{(4)}$) = 90.4, with p-value almost zero ($< 1 \times 10^{-5}$), so we reject the null hypothesis. (Students may use other kind of tests, like F-test, ANOVA table, and they are all right if they get similar p-value.)
- (c) The null hypothesis is: the coefficient of X_2 is zero. The alternative hypothesis is: the coefficient of X_2 is not zero. Decision rule: likelihood ratio test. We get that the likelihood ratio statistic $(\sim \chi^2_{(1)}) = 0.151$, with p-value = 0.70, so we accept the null hypothesis. (Students may use other kind of tests, like F-test, or ANOVA, and they are all right if they get similar p-value.)

Source code:

```
ger <- read.table('Geriatrics.txt', header = T)
print(ger)
attach(ger)

########## Part (a)
ger.glm <- glm(Falls ~ Intervention + Gender + Balance + Strength, family = poisson())
print(summary(ger.glm))</pre>
```

```
########## Part (b)
lr.n <- ger.glm$null.deviance - ger.glm$deviance
p.val.n <- 1 - pchisq(lr.n, ger.glm$df.null - ger.glm$df.residual)
cat("The likelihood ratio statistics is:", lr.n, ", p-value is: ", p.val.n, "\n")

########### Part (c)
ger.glm.ng <- glm(Falls ~ Intervention + Balance + Strength, family = poisson())
print(summary(ger.glm.ng))
lr.ng <- ger.glm.ng$deviance - ger.glm$deviance
p.val.ng <- 1 - pchisq(lr.ng, ger.glm.ng$df.residual - ger.glm$df.residual)
cat("The likelihood ratio statistics is:", lr.ng, ", p-value is: ", p.val.ng, "\n")</pre>
```