Law And Economics

Contract Law I

Francisco Poggi University of Mannheim - Fall 2021

Introduction

- ${\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Contracts: legal agreement to a transaction.
 - Explicit or implicit.
 - Enforced by the state.
- Contracts are incomplete.
 - ${\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Unforeseeable contingencies.
 - ${}^{\centerdot}$ Transaction costs.

Introduction

- · Contract law: what sort of promises should be legally enforceable.
- ullet Also: How can a party legally break the contract, what should be the penalty for doing so.

Introduction

- Information is at the center of the question:
 - An used car buyer realizes, after a week, that the car needs a break job. This was not disclosed by the seller, who should have known about it.
 - An specialist in antiques goes 'treasure hunting' to thrift shops. He does not disclose that is a specialist and buys things with high value without reporting it to the sellers.

Elements of a Valid Contract

· Contract entails a mutual promise.

· Elements:

- ullet Offer: what the promisor will provide.
- ${}^{\bullet}$ Acceptance: whether the promisee accepted the offer.
- · Consideration: the return promise.

· Example:

- ${}^{\bullet}$ An uncle promises to pay his nephew 5000 EUR on the 21st birthday.
- An uncle promises to pay his nephew 5000 EUR on the 21st birthday, provided that the nephew refrains from drinking or smoking until that time.

Reasons for Invalidating Contracts

- ${\bf \cdot} \ \ {\bf Mental\ Incapacity/Incompetence}.$
 - ${}^{\raisebox{3.5pt}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}$ Those who are mentally impaired.
 - Those too young.
- ${\bf \cdot}\ {\rm Coercion/Duress}.$

${\bf Coercion/Duress}$

Example: Alaska Packers.

The Hold-Up Problem

- Classical Problem in Economics: Hart and Moore (1988)
- · Model:
 - · Two parties: Buyer and Seller.
 - They can trade a quantity $q \in 0, 1$ at price P.
 - Buyer values v.
 - * Cost of production is uncertain c either c_H or c_L .
 - Probability of low cost p depends on investment $\phi(p)$.

Timing

· Payoffs:

Buyer:
$$vq - P$$

Seller:
$$P - cq - \phi(p)$$

- · Timing
 - Seller chooses investment p.
 - Cost c is realized.
 - Parties negotiate quantity q and price P.
 - Contract is executed.

First-Best

Assume that $c_H > v > c_L$.

$$q = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad c = c_H \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad c = c_L \end{cases}$$

Investment:

$$\max_{p} \quad p(v - c_L) - \phi(p)$$

$$\phi'(p) = (v - c_L)$$

Equilibrium

The Buyer and Seller have something to gain if $c = c_L$.

Assumption: equal bargaining power. $P = \frac{1}{2}(v + c_L)$.

Problem of the Seller:

$$\max_{p} \quad p\left[\frac{1}{2}(v+c_L)-c_L\right]-\phi(p)$$

$$\phi'(p) = \frac{1}{2}(v - c_L)$$
. Inefficient!

Solutions

- What if they can negotiate before the investment?
- · Timing:
 - Buyer and seller contract: quantity q and price P (incomplete!).
 - Seller chooses investment p.
 - Cost c is realized.
 - Contract is executed.

Incomplete Contract

Suppose that they contract q=1. Then seller minimizes cost of production:

$$\min_{p} \quad p \cdot c_L + (1-p) \cdot c_H - \phi(p)$$

$$\phi'(p) = (c_H - c_L)$$

Also, sometimes the good is produced when $c = c_H$.

Renegotiation

But this is all fixed if we add renegotiation.

• When $c = c_H$ the seller offers to pay v to the buyer to not produce the good.