



## 4 | Topics in calculus

### 4.1 Transformations from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^m$

- **Transformation.** A transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , denoted as  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a generalization of a function: it is an operation that takes as an *input* a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and gives as an *output* a vector  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . By convention, functions are scalar valued,  $m = 1$ .
- **Gradient of a function.** Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , that is to say, a transformation taking as input a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and rendering a scalar  $y = f(\mathbf{x})$ . Upon differentiating,

$$dy = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} dx_2 + \dots + \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} dx_n.$$

The differential of  $y$  is the sum of the differentials of each argument,  $x_i$ , weighted by the partial derivatives  $\partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_i$ . This result can be expressed as the inner product of two vectors,

$$dy = \left[ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \quad \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \quad \dots \quad \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right]_{1 \times n} \begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \\ \vdots \\ dx_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \rightarrow dy = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where  $d\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector that collects the differentials of the entries of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$  is the *gradient* (by convention a row vector, of dimension  $1 \times n$ ), that collects the partial derivatives.

- **Directional derivative.** Suppose that the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is initially located at point  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}$ . Then, an infinitesimal change from  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{u}$  occurs, where  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrarily small number, and  $\mathbf{u}$  is the vector that gives the *direction* of the change. The change produced in  $f(\mathbf{x})$ , *in the direction of  $\mathbf{u}$* , is known as the *directional derivative*, denoted as

$$D_{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{a}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{u}.$$

The similarities with the definition of the differential are rather obvious. The directional derivative simply evaluates the change at  $d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u}$ . Typically, it is assumed that  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = 1$ , such that  $\mathbf{u}$  provides indeed the direction of the change, whereas  $\nabla f(\mathbf{a})$  gives its magnitude.

Suppose that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_i$ , a canonical vector. Then,  $D_{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{a}) = \partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_i$ . Thus, the directional derivative generalizes the notion of a partial derivative, in which the change is taken along one of the coordinate curves, all other coordinates held constant.

- **Derivative matrix (Jacobian matrix).** Consider a transformation  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Each element of vector  $\mathbf{y}$  is the result of evaluating a function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . In other words,  $y_i = f_i(\mathbf{x})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . The transformation  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$  simply collects the results of all  $m$  function involved. Thus,

$$dy_i = \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Upon stacking the  $m$  differentials  $dy_i$  in a vector  $d\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} dy_1 \\ dy_2 \\ \vdots \\ dy_m \end{bmatrix}_{m \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla f_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \\ \vdots \\ dx_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 1} \rightarrow d\mathbf{y} = Df(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}.$$

This expression generalizes the notion of the differential for transformations whose range is  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The matrix  $Df(\mathbf{x})$  links the changes in the  $n$  entries of  $\mathbf{x}$ , to the changes of the  $m$  entries of  $\mathbf{y}$ , and is therefore  $m \times n$ .

The  $i$ -th row of this matrix contains the gradient of function  $f_i(\mathbf{x})$ . Thus, explicitly

$$Df(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}.$$

This is the *Jacobian matrix*, and contains the partial derivatives of all functions  $f_i(\mathbf{x})$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  arrayed in rows), with respect to all the inputs  $x_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  arrayed in columns).

- **Jacobian.** The determinant of  $Df(\mathbf{x})$ , of course when  $m = n$ .
- **Composition of transformations.** Consider two transformations  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Transformation  $g(\cdot)$  takes a  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and renders a vector  $\mathbf{x} = g(\mathbf{u})$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . On the other hand, transformation  $f(\cdot)$  takes a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and gives as a result a vector  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

We could think of a transformation  $h : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  which is the result of the sequential application of the transformations  $g$  y  $f$ :  $\mathbf{y} = h(\mathbf{u})$  takes a vector  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and renders a  $\mathbf{y} = f(g(\mathbf{u}))$  de  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .  $h$  is a *composite transformation*. Often, the operation  $f(g(\mathbf{u}))$  is denoted as  $f \circ g(\mathbf{u})$ .

- **Jacobian matrix of a composition.** We note that  $d\mathbf{x} = Dg(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u}$ , where  $Dg(\mathbf{u})$  is the  $n \times p$  Jacobian matrix of  $g$ . Moreover, we have that  $d\mathbf{y} = Df(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ , where  $Df(\mathbf{x})$  is the  $m \times n$  Jacobian matrix of  $f$ . Then, for the composite function  $\mathbf{y} = f \circ g(\mathbf{u})$

$$d\mathbf{y} = Df(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = Df(\mathbf{x})[Dg(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u}] = Df(\mathbf{x})Dg(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u} \equiv Df \circ g(\mathbf{u})d\mathbf{u}.$$

The  $m \times p$  Jacobian matrix of the composite transformation is the product of the Jacobian matrices of each of the transformations composing  $f \circ g$ .

- **Chain rule.** The  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $Df \circ g(\mathbf{u})$  is the inner product between the  $i$ -th row of  $Df(\mathbf{x})$  and the  $j$ -th column of  $Dg(\mathbf{u})$ . Hence,

$$[Df \circ g(\mathbf{u})]_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{u})}{\partial u_j} + \frac{\partial f_i(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{u})}{\partial u_j} + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial g_n(\mathbf{u})}{\partial u_j},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

Recall that  $y_i = f_i(\mathbf{x})$  and  $x_s = g_s(\mathbf{u})$ . Then, we can write

$$[Df \circ g(\mathbf{u})]_{ij} = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_j} + \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u_j} + \dots + \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_n} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_j} \equiv \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial u_j},$$

which reveals a generalization of the *chain rule*: how  $y_i$  varies with a change in  $u_j$ , after taking into account the composition process  $f \circ g$ .

## 4.2 Implicit functions

- Consider two vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a transformation  $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that, for a given point  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})$ , we have that

$$F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

This equality defines an *implicit function*. In particular, the goal is to verify if there exists a transformation  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , such that  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$  and

$$F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Implicit function theorem.** Upon differentiating the equality  $F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{0}$  we obtain, using partitions,

$$\begin{bmatrix} DF_x(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}) & DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d\mathbf{x} \\ d\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \quad \rightarrow \quad DF_x(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})d\mathbf{x} + DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})d\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $DF_x(\cdot)$  is the  $m \times n$  Jacobian matrix that collects the partial derivatives of  $F(\cdot)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ , whereas  $DF_y(\cdot)$  is the  $m \times m$  Jacobian matrix that collects the partial derivatives of  $F(\cdot)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$ . Solving for  $d\mathbf{y}$ ,

$$d\mathbf{y} = -DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})^{-1} DF_x(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})d\mathbf{x},$$

which established a relationship between  $d\mathbf{y}$  and  $d\mathbf{x}$ .

$d\mathbf{y}$  measures the change in  $\mathbf{y}$  for a change in  $\mathbf{x}$  in order to keep the equality  $F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{0}$  and, in doing so, defines  $\mathbf{y}$  as a function of  $\mathbf{x}$ , around  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})$ .

- Explicit functions.** Consider the “explicit function” approach  $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{x})$ . Upon differentiating, by the chain rule,

$$dF(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) = DF_x(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x} + DF_y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})Df(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}.$$

Provided that  $dF(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, f(\bar{\mathbf{x}})) = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$Df(\bar{\mathbf{x}})d\mathbf{x} = -DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})^{-1} DF_x(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})d\mathbf{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad Df(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = -DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})^{-1} DF_x(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}).$$

- Explicit functions, again.** In the case  $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y}$ , we observe that  $DF_y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -I_m$  and therefore  $Df(\mathbf{x}) = DF_x(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .
- Existence.** From our previous analysis, we can conclude that an implicit function exists around point  $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})$  if  $DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})$  is a nonsingular matrix. Hence, if the *Jacobian* satisfies

$$|DF_y(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}})| \neq 0.$$

## 4.3 Taylor polynomials and Taylor series

- Basics.** The purpose is to derive polynomial approximations of a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , around (i.e., in the neighborhood of) a point  $a$ .

To this end, consider the polynomial

$$p_n(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2 + c_3(x - a)^3 + \cdots + c_n(x - a)^n,$$

in  $x$  of degree  $n$ .

Also, denote the  $i$ -th derivative of  $f(x)$  with respect to  $x$  as

$$f^{(i)}(x) = \frac{d^i f(x)}{dx^i} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(i)}(a) = \left. \frac{d^i f(x)}{dx^i} \right|_{x=a}.$$

- **Linear approximation.** From the definition of the derivative, we know that the linear function (i.e., a polynomial of degree 1)  $p_1(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a)$  that best approximates function  $f(x)$  around  $x = a$ , is the tangent curve that passes through  $f(a)$ . At the tangency point,  $p_1(a) = f(a)$  and  $p_1^{(1)}(a) = f^{(1)}(a)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) &\rightarrow p_1(a) = c_0 = f(a) &\rightarrow c_0 = f(a) \\ p_1^{(1)}(x) = c_1 &\rightarrow p_1^{(1)}(a) = c_1 = f^{(1)}(a) &\rightarrow c_1 = f^{(1)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Upon replacing these findings on the definition of  $p_1(x)$ , we obtain the equation of the tangent curve

$$p_1(x) = f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a).$$

- **Quadratic approximation.** Let us find now the quadratic function  $p_2(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2$  that best approximates  $f(x)$  around  $x = a$ . At  $a$  we have that  $p_1(a) = f(a)$ ,  $p_1^{(1)}(a) = f^{(1)}(a)$  and  $p_1^{(2)}(a) = f^{(2)}(a)$ . That is, the value, slope and curvature of both  $f(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$  coincide at  $x = a$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2 &\rightarrow p_2(a) = c_0 = f(a) &\rightarrow c_0 = f(a) \\ p_2^{(1)}(x) = c_1 + 2c_2(x - a) &\rightarrow p_2^{(1)}(a) = c_1 = f^{(1)}(a) &\rightarrow c_1 = f^{(1)}(a) \\ p_2^{(2)}(x) = 2c_2 &\rightarrow p_2^{(2)}(a) = 2c_2 = f^{(2)}(a) &\rightarrow c_2 = \frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Upon replacing these findings on the definition of  $p_2(x)$ , we obtain

$$p_2(x) = f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) + \frac{f^{(2)}(a)}{2}(x - a)^2.$$

Note that  $p_2(x)$  equals the linear approximation  $p_1(x)$  plus the quadratic term  $\frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(a)(x - a)^2$ .

- **Cubic approximation.** Now we get the cubic polynomial  $p_3(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2 + c_3(x - a)^3$  that best approximates  $f(x)$  around  $x = a$ . We now require that at point  $a$  the value of  $p_3(x)$  and its first three derivatives to be the same as those of  $f(x)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2 + c_3(x - a)^3 &\rightarrow p_3(a) = c_0 = f(a) &\rightarrow c_0 = f(a) \\ p_3^{(1)}(x) = c_1 + 2c_2(x - a) + 3c_3(x - a)^2 &\rightarrow p_3^{(1)}(a) = c_1 = f^{(1)}(a) &\rightarrow c_1 = f^{(1)}(a) \\ p_3^{(2)}(x) = 2c_2 + (3 \cdot 2)c_3(x - a) &\rightarrow p_3^{(2)}(a) = 2c_2 = f^{(2)}(a) &\rightarrow c_2 = \frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(a) \\ p_3^{(3)}(x) = (3 \cdot 2)c_3 &\rightarrow p_3^{(3)}(a) = 3!c_3 = f^{(3)}(a) &\rightarrow c_3 = \frac{1}{3!}f^{(3)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Upon replacing these findings on the definition of  $p_3(x)$ , we obtain

$$p_3(x) = f(a) + f^{(1)}(a)(x - a) + \frac{f^{(2)}(a)}{2!}(x - a)^2 + \frac{f^{(3)}(a)}{3!}(x - a)^3.$$

Note that  $p_3(x)$  equals the quadratic approximation  $p_2(x)$  plus the cubic term  $\frac{1}{3!}f^{(3)}(a)(x - a)^3$ .

- **General case.** Consider now a polynomial of degree  $n$ . To determine its  $n + 1$  coefficients, we impose the  $n + 1$  restrictions  $p_n^{(k)}(a) = f^{(k)}(a)$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . That is to say, at point  $a$  the value of  $f(x)$  and  $p_n(x)$  coincides, as well as their first  $n$  derivatives. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) = c_0 + c_1(x - a) + c_2(x - a)^2 + \dots + c_n(x - a)^n &\rightarrow p_n(a) = c_0 \\ p_n^{(1)}(x) = c_1 + 2c_2(x - a) + 3c_3(x - a)^2 + \dots + nc_n(x - a)^{n-1} &\rightarrow p_n^{(1)}(a) = c_1 \\ p_n^{(2)}(x) = 2c_2 + (3 \cdot 2)c_3(x - a) + (4 \cdot 3)c_4(x - a)^2 + \dots + n(n-1)c_n(x - a)^{n-2} &\rightarrow p_n^{(2)}(a) = 2c_2 \\ p_n^{(3)}(x) = (3 \cdot 2)c_3 + (4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2)c_4(x - a) + \dots + n(n-1)(n-2)c_n(x - a)^{n-3} &\rightarrow p_n^{(3)}(a) = 3!c_3 \\ \vdots & \\ p_n^{(n)}(x) = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \dots 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2c_n &\rightarrow p_n^{(n)}(a) = n!c_n \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce  $p_n^{(k)}(a) = k!c_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  (recall that  $0! = 1$ ).

Then, from the equality  $p_n^{(k)}(a) = f^{(k)}(a)$  we obtain that  $c_k = f^{(k)}(a)/k!$ , rendering the so-called *Taylor polynomial of degree  $n$*

$$p_n(x) = \frac{f(a)}{0!} + \frac{f^{(1)}(a)}{1!}(x-a) + \frac{f^{(2)}(a)}{2!}(x-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!}(x-a)^k + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(x-a)^n.$$

Note that

$$p_n(x) = p_{n-1}(x) + \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}(x-a)^n.$$

Thus, Taylor polynomials are formed by sequentially adding terms to the approximation in order to match higher-order derivatives of the original function  $f(x)$ . For this reason, we can expect the degree of accuracy of the approximation (*in the neighborhood of  $a$* ) to be increasing in  $n$ .

- **Remainder (Optional).** When approximating a function by a Taylor polynomial of degree  $n$ , we generate an approximation error, a *remainder*, which is equal to

$$R_n(x) = f(x) - p_n(x).$$

An explicit, useful form for  $R_n(x)$  can be found through a simple application of the *Mean Value Theorem*. This important theorem says that if we have a function  $g(x)$  that is continuous on the interval  $[a, b]$ , then there exists a value (the mean value)  $c \in [a, b]$  such that

$$g(b) = g(a) + g'(c)(b-a).$$

Note that the theorem *does not refer to an approximation*, it involves an *equality* (with no error). The theorem states that  $c$  exists, it does not tell us how much it is, only that it belongs to  $[a, b]$ .

Back to the remainder of the Taylor approximation, we then have that

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(z)}{(n+1)!}(x-a)^{n+1},$$

where  $z$  lies between  $x$  and  $a$ . This looks quite similar to the extra term we would add when we move from  $p_n(x)$  to  $p_{n+1}(x)$ . The important difference is that in the definition of  $R_n(x)$ ,  $f^{(n+1)}(\cdot)$  is evaluated at  $z$ , whereas it is evaluated at  $a$  in  $p_{n+1}(x) - p_n(x)$ .

This expression for  $R_n(x)$  is known as the **Lagrange remainder**.

- **Bounding the approximation error (Optional).** We can use the Lagrange remainder to compute the magnitude of the maximum error (in absolute value) that the Taylor polynomial  $p_n(x)$ , centered at  $a$ , produces when approximating  $f(x)$ .

Let  $M_n = \max_{z \in [x, a]} |f^{(n+1)}(z)|$ . That is,  $M_n$  is the maximum absolute value of  $f^{(n+1)}(\cdot)$  on the interval between  $x$  and  $a$ . Then,

$$|R_n(x)| = \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(z)}{(n+1)!}(x-a)^{n+1} \right| \leq M_n \frac{|x-a|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = \text{Error bound}.$$

- **Taylor's theorem.** Suppose that for all  $n$ ,  $M_n \leq M$  which is a finite number. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_n(x)| \leq M \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x-a|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} = 0.$$

This happens because the factorial function grows faster than the power function.

The implication of Taylor's theorem is that since  $R_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(x) - R_n(x)] = f(x) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n(x) = f(x).$$

As  $n$  increases, our approximation becomes progressively more accurate to the extent that the Taylor polynomial *converges* to  $f(x)$ .

- **Taylor series.** Also known as the *power series representation* of  $f(x)$ :

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k.$$

This is a Taylor polynomial of infinite degree.

- **Ratio test.** Consider an infinite series

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k.$$

The ratio tests says that this infinite sum converges to a finite value (that we actually do not always know) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} \right| = L < 1.$$

- **Range of convergence.** For a Taylor series,  $s_k = f^{(k)}(a)(x-a)^k/k!$ . Then, taking the limit of the ratio test,

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(a)}{f^{(n)}(a)} \cdot \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(x-a)^n} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \right| = |x-a| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(a)}{f^{(n)}(a)} \cdot \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(x-a)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \right|.$$

This will be less than one if

$$|x-a| < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{f^{(n+1)}(a)} (n+1) \right| \equiv \text{RC}.$$

The quantity RC is the *range of convergence*. This means that Taylor's theorem holds (so  $p_n(x)$  converges to  $f(x)$  as  $n$  increases) for all values of  $x$  such that  $|x-a| < \text{RC}$ .

The interval  $\text{IC}_a = (\text{RC} - a, \text{RC} + a)$  is the *interval of convergence*. Taylor's theorem holds if  $x \in \text{IC}_a$ .

- **McLaurin polynomials and series.** Taylor polynomials and series for  $a = 0$ .
- **Multivariate functions (Optional).** If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the second order Taylor polynomial of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  around point  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$p_2(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{a}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a})' \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}),$$

where  $\nabla f(\mathbf{a})$  is the gradient of  $f$  evaluated at point  $\mathbf{a}$ , and  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{a})$  is the *Hessian* (i.e., the matrix of second order partial derivatives) also evaluated at point  $\mathbf{a}$ .

This is a straightforward generalization of the scalar case. The linear term comes from the inner product of two vectors, whereas the quadratic term comes from a quadratic form.