|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Well executed | Close enough | Less well executed | Not addressed |
| Clear statement regarding what the evidence provided amounts to, i.e., what overall answer do they provide to the lead question |  | Great work, with one caveat:  Your identified the question as “do information treatments work?” when the key element defining these paper is the fact that they use these experiments to try to learn something about the relationship (or the lack of it) between rising inequality and demand for redistribution |  |  |
| All the sub-points listed in the “introduction” section (see online document) are addressed |  | The last point is missing from the intro:   * what is the question investigated in these papers? * why is this question important? Provide some context * **very brief** overview of the papers, based on these papers what is the most likely answer to this question? [keep in mind that answering a question can mean reformulating it] |  |  |
| Good understanding of the studies, emphasizing the key points when explaining them | Excellent |  |  |  |
| Does not get bogged down into too many irrelevant details when discussing the design and empirics | Great |  |  |  |
| The substantive discussion of the two papers makes at least 3 important points, each bringing the studies in discussion with each other | Nice work, though see my comments on the third point. |  |  |  |
| Amount of parroting left to a minimum | great |  |  |  |
| Writing style | excellent |  |  |  |

Grade: A

Well done!