

# Solved selected problems of Real Analysis

## - Carothers

Franco Zacco

### Chapter 4 - Continuous Functions

*Proof.* 7

- (a) Let  $(a, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is an open set, then we see that

$$f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : x \in M \text{ and } f(x) > a\}$$

is also an open set because  $f$  is continuous and Theorem 5.1 part (iv).

In the same way, let  $(-\infty, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is an open set, then we see that

$$f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : x \in M \text{ and } f(x) > a\}$$

is also an open set because  $f$  is continuous and Theorem 5.1 part (iv).

- (b) We proved the more general result in part (c) which also applies in this case.
- (c) Let  $V$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}$  then since the collection of open intervals with rational endpoints is a base for  $\mathbb{R}$  we can write  $V$  as

$$V = \bigcup_{\alpha} (p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})$$

where  $p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}$  so we have that

$$f^{-1}[V] = \bigcup_{\alpha} f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})]$$

then we can write that

$$f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})] = f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, \infty)] \cap f^{-1}[(a, \infty)]$$

Also, we know that

$$f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, \infty)] = \{x : f(x) > p_{\alpha}\} \text{ and } f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : f(x) > a\}$$

and we know both of them are open sets so  $f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})]$  is the intersection of a finite number of open sets then it is also an open set. Finally, since  $f^{-1}[V]$  is the union of open sets it's also an open set. Therefore  $f$  is continuous.

□

*Proof. 10* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let us take  $\delta = 1$  no matter the value of  $\epsilon$  then

$$B_\delta(2) = \{x \in A : d(2, x) < 1\} = \{2\}$$

So we have that  $f(B_\delta(2)) = \{f(2)\}$  and certainly it must happen that  $\{f(2)\} \subset B_\epsilon(f(2))$  because  $f(2) \in B_\epsilon(f(2))$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuous at 2. □

*Proof. 11*

- (a) Let  $x \in A \cup B$ , then  $x \in A$ ,  $x \in B$  or both of them, also let  $\epsilon > 0$  then we know there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B_\delta(x)) \subset B_\epsilon(f(x))$  because  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  by the definition.
- (b) Let  $A = (0, 1)$  and  $B = [1, 2)$  also let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f(x) = x$  and  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x) = x + 1$  then we see that  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at  $x = 1$ . Therefore the statement is false.

□

*Proof. 14* Given that a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  is completely determined by its values on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have that  $f(q)$  has a cardinality of  $\mathfrak{c}$  since for each real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we can find an  $f$  such that  $x = f(q)$ . So the set of continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a cardinality of  $\mathfrak{c}^{|\mathbb{Q}|}$  and doing some cardinality algebra we get that

$$\mathfrak{c}^{|\mathbb{Q}|} = (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$$

Therefore there are  $\mathfrak{c}$  continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . □

*Proof. 17* Let  $x \in M$ , and let us also define  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  which we know it exists because  $D$  is dense. Then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$  also we know that  $f(x_n) = g(x_n)$  for every  $x_n \in (x_n)$ . Finally, since sequences have unique limits it must happen that  $f(x) = g(x)$  as we wanted to show.

In the same way, suppose we define  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  where  $x \in M$ . Then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  also  $(f(x_n)) \subset f(D)$ . So we have a sequence  $(f(x_n))$  for any  $f(x)$  and we know that every  $y \in N$  has the form  $y = f(x)$  because  $f$  is onto. Therefore  $f(D)$  is dense in  $N$ . □

*Proof. 22* Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  we want to show that  $d(E(n), E(m)) = d(n, m)$  this means that  $\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = |n - m|$ . Let us suppose that  $n > m$  then  $n = m + b$  where  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $|n - m| = b$ . Then we have that

$$\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |E_i(n) - E_i(m)|$$

where  $E_i(n)$  is the value of the  $i$ th element in the sequence, the same for  $E_i(m)$ . If  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  we have that  $|E_i(n) - E_i(m)| = |1 - 1| = 0$  and for  $i \in \{n + 1, n + 2, \dots\}$  we have that  $|E_i(n) - E_i(m)| = |0 - 0| = 0$  so we can write the following

$$\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = \sum_{i=m}^n |E_i(n) - 0| = \sum_{i=m}^n 1 = n - m = b$$

Therefore  $\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = |n - m|$  as we wanted, in the case of  $m \geq n$  the proof is analogous because we are taking the absolute value inside the sum.  $\square$

*Proof. 23* Let  $S : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$  be defined as  $S(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, x_0, x_1, \dots)$  such that  $S$  shifts the entries forward and puts 0 in the empty slot. We want to prove that  $d(S(x), S(y)) = d(x, y)$  where  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots)$  then

$$\|x - y\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\}$$

And  $\sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} \geq 0$  because both  $x$  and  $y$  are sequences that tend to 0. Let us suppose that  $\sup_n |x_n - y_n| = 0$  then we see that

$$\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|0 - 0|, |x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} = 0$$

Now let us suppose that  $\|x - y\|_{\infty} > 0$  then we have that

$$\sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} = \sup\{|0 - 0|, |x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\}$$

because  $\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty}$  cannot be 0. Therefore we have that

$$\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty} = \|x - y\|_{\infty}$$

as we wanted.  $\square$