

# Solved selected problems of Real Analysis

## - Carothers

Franco Zacco

### Chapter 5 - Continuous Functions

*Proof.* 7

- (a) Let  $(a, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is an open set, then we see that

$$f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : x \in M \text{ and } f(x) > a\}$$

is also an open set because  $f$  is continuous and Theorem 5.1 part (iv).

In the same way, let  $(-\infty, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$  which is an open set, then we see that

$$f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : x \in M \text{ and } f(x) > a\}$$

is also an open set because  $f$  is continuous and Theorem 5.1 part (iv).

- (b) We proved the more general result in part (c) which also applies in this case.
- (c) Let  $V$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}$  then since the collection of open intervals with rational endpoints is a base for  $\mathbb{R}$  we can write  $V$  as

$$V = \bigcup_{\alpha} (p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})$$

where  $p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}$  so we have that

$$f^{-1}[V] = \bigcup_{\alpha} f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})]$$

then we can write that

$$f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})] = f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, \infty)] \cap f^{-1}[(a, \infty)]$$

Also, we know that

$$f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, \infty)] = \{x : f(x) > p_{\alpha}\} \text{ and } f^{-1}[(a, \infty)] = \{x : f(x) > a\}$$

and we know both of them are open sets so  $f^{-1}[(p_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha})]$  is the intersection of a finite number of open sets then it is also an open set. Finally, since  $f^{-1}[V]$  is the union of open sets it's also an open set. Therefore  $f$  is continuous.

□

*Proof. 10* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let us take  $\delta = 1$  no matter the value of  $\epsilon$  then

$$B_\delta(2) = \{x \in A : d(2, x) < 1\} = \{2\}$$

So we have that  $f(B_\delta(2)) = \{f(2)\}$  and certainly it must happen that  $\{f(2)\} \subset B_\epsilon(f(2))$  because  $f(2) \in B_\epsilon(f(2))$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuous at 2. □

*Proof. 11*

- (a) Let  $x \in A \cup B$ , then  $x \in A$ ,  $x \in B$  or both of them, also let  $\epsilon > 0$  then we know there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(B_\delta(x)) \subset B_\epsilon(f(x))$  because  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  by the definition.
- (b) Let  $A = (0, 1)$  and  $B = [1, 2)$  also let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f(x) = x$  and  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x) = x + 1$  then we see that  $f : A \cup B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not continuous at  $x = 1$ . Therefore the statement is false.

□

*Proof. 14* Given that a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  is completely determined by its values on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have that  $f(q)$  has a cardinality of  $\mathfrak{c}$  since for each real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we can find an  $f$  such that  $x = f(q)$ . So the set of continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a cardinality of  $\mathfrak{c}^{|\mathbb{Q}|}$  and doing some cardinality algebra we get that

$$\mathfrak{c}^{|\mathbb{Q}|} = (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$$

Therefore there are  $\mathfrak{c}$  continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . □

*Proof. 17* Let  $x \in M$ , and let us also define  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  which we know it exists because  $D$  is dense. Then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$  also we know that  $f(x_n) = g(x_n)$  for every  $x_n \in (x_n)$ . Finally, since sequences have unique limits it must happen that  $f(x) = g(x)$  as we wanted to show.

In the same way, suppose we define  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  where  $x \in M$ . Then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  also  $(f(x_n)) \subset f(D)$ . So we have a sequence  $(f(x_n))$  for any  $f(x)$  and we know that every  $y \in N$  has the form  $y = f(x)$  because  $f$  is onto. Therefore  $f(D)$  is dense in  $N$ . □

*Proof. 22* Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  we want to show that  $d(E(n), E(m)) = d(n, m)$  this means that  $\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = |n - m|$ . Let us suppose that  $n > m$  then  $n = m + b$  where  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $|n - m| = b$ . Then we have that

$$\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |E_i(n) - E_i(m)|$$

where  $E_i(n)$  is the value of the  $i$ th element in the sequence, the same for  $E_i(m)$ . If  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  we have that  $|E_i(n) - E_i(m)| = |1 - 1| = 0$  and for  $i \in \{n + 1, n + 2, \dots\}$  we have that  $|E_i(n) - E_i(m)| = |0 - 0| = 0$  so we can write the following

$$\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = \sum_{i=m}^n |E_i(n) - 0| = \sum_{i=m}^n 1 = n - m = b$$

Therefore  $\|E(n) - E(m)\|_1 = |n - m|$  as we wanted, in the case of  $m \geq n$  the proof is analogous because we are taking the absolute value inside the sum.  $\square$

*Proof. 23* Let  $S : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$  be defined as  $S(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, x_0, x_1, \dots)$  such that  $S$  shifts the entries forward and puts 0 in the empty slot. We want to prove that  $d(S(x), S(y)) = d(x, y)$  where  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots)$  then

$$\|x - y\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\}$$

And  $\sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} \geq 0$  because both  $x$  and  $y$  are sequences that tend to 0. Let us suppose that  $\sup_n |x_n - y_n| = 0$  then we see that

$$\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|0 - 0|, |x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} = 0$$

Now let us suppose that  $\|x - y\|_{\infty} > 0$  then we have that

$$\sup\{|x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\} = \sup\{|0 - 0|, |x_0 - y_0|, |x_1 - y_1|, \dots\}$$

because  $\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty}$  cannot be 0. Therefore we have that

$$\|S(x) - S(y)\|_{\infty} = \|x - y\|_{\infty}$$

as we wanted.  $\square$

*Proof. 24* Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$  such that for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we map it to  $\alpha y \in V$  where  $y \in V$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  we want to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|f(\alpha) - f(\beta)\| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|\alpha - \beta| < \delta$ . So let us define  $\delta = \epsilon/\|y\|$  if  $\|y\| \neq 0$  then we have that when  $|\alpha - \beta| < \delta$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha - \beta| &< \frac{\epsilon}{\|y\|} \\ |\alpha - \beta|\|y\| &< \epsilon \\ \|\alpha y - \beta y\| &< \epsilon \\ \|f(\alpha) - f(\beta)\| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f$  is continuous.

Let now  $f : V \rightarrow V$  such that for each  $x \in V$  we map it to  $x + y \in V$  where  $y \in V$ . Let  $x, x' \in V$  we want to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|f(x) - f(x')\| < \epsilon$  whenever  $\|x - x'\| < \delta$ . So let us define  $\delta = \epsilon$  then we have that when  $\|x - x'\| < \delta$  we get that

$$\|x - x'\| = \|(x + y) - (x' + y)\| = \|f(x) - f(x')\| < \epsilon$$

Therefore  $f$  is continuous. □

*Proof. 25* Let  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, \rho)$  be a Lipschitz mapping so there is  $K < \infty$  such that  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq Kd(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in M$  also let's observe that  $K \geq 0$  since both  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \geq 0$  and  $d(x, y) \geq 0$

Let now  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we want to prove that if  $d(x, y) < \delta$  then  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$  i.e.  $f$  is continuous. So let  $\delta = \epsilon/K$  with  $K > 0$  then we have that if  $d(x, y) < \epsilon/K$  then  $Kd(x, y) < \epsilon$  and since  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq Kd(x, y)$  we get that  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$  as we wanted. If  $K = 0$  then it must happen that  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) = 0$  and then  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) = 0 < \epsilon$  which by definition is true. Therefore  $f$  is continuous. □

*Proof. 27* Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $f : l_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $f(x) = x_k$  we want to prove that  $f$  is continuous but we will prove that  $f$  is Lipschitz which implies that  $f$  is continuous.

Let  $x, y \in l_\infty$  then we have that for some fixed  $k \geq 1$  the following is always true because of the definition of supremum

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x_k - y_k| \leq \sup_n |x_n - y_n| = \|x - y\|_\infty$$

then for  $K = 1$  we see that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K\|x - y\|_\infty$$

Therefore  $f$  is Lipschitz and hence continuous. □

*Proof.* **31**

- (a) Let  $x \in M$  since  $M = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  then  $x$  is in some  $U_n$  we want to show that for every sequence  $(x_n) \subset M$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  we have that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . For every  $(x_n) \subset U_n$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  since  $f$  is continuous in  $U_n$  then we have that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . But if  $(x_n)$  is not completely in  $U_n$  then since  $U_n$  is an open set it must happen that eventually for some  $n$  onwards  $x_n \in U_n$  and since  $f$  is continuous in  $U_n$  we have that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Therefore  $f$  is also continuous in  $M$ .

- (b) Let  $F \in N$  be a closed set then we have that

$$f^{-1}(F) \cap M = f^{-1}(F) \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N f^{-1}(F) \cap E_n$$

We know that each  $f^{-1}(F) \cap E_n$  is closed in  $E_n$  because  $f$  is continuous in  $E_n$  and we know that the finite union of closed sets is closed then  $f^{-1}(F) \cap M$  is closed and therefore  $M$  is continuous.

- (c) Let  $E_n = [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$  we see that  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = (0, 1]$  which is not a closed set. Therefore even though  $f$  is continuous in every  $E_n$  we based part of our proof on the fact that the finite union of closed sets is closed which is not the case here.

□

*Proof.* **34** Let  $(M \times M, \rho)$  be a metric space where  $\rho$  is defined as

$$\rho((a, b), (c, d)) = d(a, c) + d(b, d)$$

and  $d$  is a metric on  $M$ . We want to prove that if  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$  then  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$  i.e. that  $d$  is continuous.

If  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$  then this means that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  then  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $d(y_n, y) \rightarrow 0$  which implies that

$$\rho((x_n, y_n), (x, y)) = d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) < \epsilon$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Also, we have that

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, y_n) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, y_n)$$

so joining these inequalities we get that

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) < \epsilon$$

On the other hand, we also have that

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x_n, y) \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_n, y) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y)$$

then

$$d(x, y) - d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) < \epsilon$$

hence  $|d(x, y) - d(x_n, y_n)| < \epsilon$ . Therefore  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$  as we wanted.

□

*Proof.* **43**

( $\rightarrow$ ) We want to prove that  $i : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, \rho)$  (the identity map) is a homeomorphism from  $(M, d)$  to  $(M, \rho)$ .

Let us check first that  $i$  is a one-to-one map. Let  $i(a), i(b) \in (M, \rho)$  such that  $i(a) = i(b)$  then by definition  $a = i(a) = i(b) = b$ . Then  $i$  is a one-to-one map.

Let us also check that  $i$  is an onto map too. Let us take  $a \in (M, \rho)$  then by definition we have  $a \in (M, d)$  such that  $i(a) = a \in (M, \rho)$ . Then  $i$  is an onto map.

Also, we want to prove that  $i$  is continuous. Let  $x \in (M, d)$  then if  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  (i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ) for any  $(x_n) \subset M$  we have that  $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  because  $d$  and  $\rho$  are equivalent metrics. Therefore since  $i$  maps both  $x_n$  and  $x$  to themselves this implies that  $i(x_n) \rightarrow i(x)$  where  $i(x_n), i(x) \in (M, \rho)$ .

Finally, we want to prove that also  $i^{-1}$  is continuous. In the same way let  $x \in (M, \rho)$  if  $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  (i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ) for any  $(x_n) \subset (M, \rho)$  we have that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  because  $d$  and  $\rho$  are equivalent metrics. Therefore since  $i^{-1}$  maps both  $x_n$  and  $x$  to themselves this implies that  $i^{-1}(x_n) \rightarrow i^{-1}(x)$  where  $i^{-1}(x_n), i^{-1}(x) \in (M, d)$ .

Therefore  $i$  is an homeomorphism from  $(M, d)$  to  $(M, \rho)$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) We want to prove that  $d$  and  $\rho$  are equivalent metrics on  $M$  knowing that  $i$  (the identity map) is a homeomorphism from  $(M, d)$  to  $(M, \rho)$ .

Let  $x \in (M, d)$  and  $(x_n) \subset (M, d)$  then if  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  we have that  $\rho(i(x_n), i(x)) \rightarrow 0$  because  $i$  is continuous, but by definition, this also implies that  $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ .

In the same way, let  $x \in (M, \rho)$  and  $(x_n) \subset (M, \rho)$  then if  $\rho(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  we have that  $d(i^{-1}(x_n), i^{-1}(x)) \rightarrow 0$  because  $i^{-1}$  is continuous, but by definition, this also implies that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore  $d$  and  $\rho$  are equivalent metrics.  $\square$

*Proof. 44* We want to prove that "is homeomorphic to" is an equivalence relation, so we will prove it is a reflexive, symmetric and transitive relation.

Reflexivity: Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space, we want to prove that  $(M, d)$  is homeomorphic to itself. Let  $i$  be the identity map, we saw in problem 43 that  $i$  is a homeomorphism from  $(M, d)$  to  $(M, d)$ , then  $(M, d)$  is homeomorphic to itself.

Symmetry: Let  $(M, d)$  be homeomorphic to  $(N, \rho)$  then there is a relation  $f : M \rightarrow N$  which is one-to-one and onto such that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous. Then we can define  $g : N \rightarrow M$  such that  $g = f^{-1}$  which is one-to-one and onto because  $f$  is bijective. Also,  $g$  is continuous because  $f^{-1}$  is continuous and  $g^{-1} = (f^{-1})^{-1} = f$  is continuous because  $f$  is continuous. Therefore  $(N, \rho)$  is homeomorphic to  $(M, d)$ .

Transitivity: Let  $(M, d)$  be homeomorphic to  $(N, \rho)$  and let  $(N, \rho)$  be homeomorphic to  $(L, \tau)$ . We can define  $h : M \rightarrow L$  as  $h = g \circ f$  where  $f : M \rightarrow N$  and  $g : N \rightarrow L$  and they are the respective homeomorphisms. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are one-to-one and onto then  $h$  is also one-to-one and onto. Also, we see that  $h^{-1} = (g \circ f)^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$  and by the properties of the composition of functions we see that if  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}$ ,  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  are continuous then both  $h = g \circ f$  and  $h^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$  are also continuous. Therefore  $(M, d)$  is homeomorphic to  $(L, \tau)$ .

Finally, since the relation "is homeomorphic to" is reflexive, symmetric and transitive then it is an equivalence relation between metric spaces.  $\square$

*Proof. 45* Let  $M = \{1/n : n \geq 1\}$  and  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$  such that  $f(n) = 1/n$ .

Let us prove first that  $f$  is one-to-one then let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n) = f(m)$  then  $1/n = 1/m$  i.e.  $n = m$  so  $f$  is one-to-one.

Let us prove now that  $f$  is an onto map. Let us take  $a \in M$  then  $a$  has the form of  $a = 1/b$  where  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  then there is always a  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(b) = 1/b = a$  i.e.  $f$  is an onto map.

Now we want to prove that  $f$  is continuous. Let  $f^{-1} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined as  $f^{-1}(1/n) = n$ . Since  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  are discrete then a subset  $V \subset M$  is open and because  $f^{-1}$  is bijective then  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \mathbb{N}$  is also open. Therefore  $f$  is continuous.

In the same way, we want to prove that  $f^{-1}$  defined as we said is also continuous. Since  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $M$  are discrete then a subset  $V' \subset \mathbb{N}$  is open and because  $f$  is bijective  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(V') = f(V') \subset M$  is also open. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

So taking into account all these results we conclude that  $\mathbb{N}$  is homeomorphic to  $M$ .  $\square$

*Proof. 48* We want to prove first that  $\mathbb{R}$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$  this can be accomplished if we define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  such that  $f(x) = \arctan(x)/\pi + 1/2$  since this map is bijective and continuous also  $f^{-1}(x) = \tan(\pi(x - 1/2))$  is continuous over  $(0, 1)$ .

Now we want to prove that  $(0, 1)$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, \infty)$  but we will prove first that  $\mathbb{R}$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, \infty)$  so we define  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $f(x) = e^x$  we see that  $f$  is bijective and continuous also  $f^{-1}(x) = \log(x)$  is continuous over  $(0, \infty)$ . So by composing these results, we have that a map  $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $g(x) = e^{\tan(\pi(x-1/2))}$  is an homeomorphism between  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, \infty)$  as we wanted.

Let  $x = 0$  and  $y = 2$  then  $|0 - 2| = 2$  but  $|f(0) - f(2)|$  is at most close to 1. Therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  is not isometric to  $(0, 1)$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an isometry from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $(0, \infty)$  we want to arrive at a contradiction. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $|x - 0| = |x| = |f(x) - f(0)|$  then we have that  $f(x) = f(0) + x$  or  $f(x) = f(0) - x$ . Let us take  $a \notin (0, \infty)$  then  $f(a - f(0)) = f(0) + a - f(0) = a$  or  $f(a - f(0)) = f(0) - a + f(0) = 2f(0) - a$  where we see that the first one cannot happen (otherwise  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ). Also, let us consider that  $f(f(0) - a) = 2f(0) - a$  or  $f(f(0) - a) = a$  must be true where again the last case cannot happen, but also since  $f$  is injective we have that  $f(0) - a = a - f(0)$  which implies that  $f(0) = a$  hence  $a \in (0, \infty)$  a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  is not isometric to  $(0, \infty)$ .  $\square$



*Proof. 49* Let  $y \in V$  and  $f : V \rightarrow V$  which is defined as  $f(x) = x + y$  we want to show that  $f$  is an isometry on  $V$ . Let  $x, z \in V$  since  $V$  is a normed vector space then we have that

$$\|f(x) - f(z)\| = \|x + y - (z + y)\| = \|x - z\|$$

Therefore  $f$  is an isometry on  $V$ .

Now let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : V \rightarrow V$  which is defined as  $g(x) = \alpha x$  we want to prove that  $g$  is a homeomorphism on  $V$ .

Let  $x, z \in V$  and suppose  $g(x) = g(z)$  then  $x = z$  hence  $g$  is a one-to-one map. Also, let  $v \in V$  such that  $v$  is in the image of  $g$  then by definition there must be an  $x \in V$  such that  $v = \alpha x$  so  $g$  is an onto map too.

Let us show now that  $g$  is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x, z \in V$  then let us take  $\delta = \epsilon/|\alpha|$  so when  $\|x - z\| < \delta$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\| &< \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|} \\ |\alpha|\|x - z\| &< \epsilon \\ \|\alpha x - \alpha z\| &< \epsilon \\ \|g(x) - g(z)\| &< \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g$  is continuous.

Finally, we want to show that  $g^{-1}(x) = x/\alpha$  (which we can define this way because  $\alpha$  is nonzero) is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $x, z \in V$  (the image) then let us take  $\delta = \epsilon|\alpha|$  so when  $\|x - z\| < \delta$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\| &< \epsilon|\alpha| \\ \left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\right|\|x - z\| &< \epsilon \\ \left\|\frac{x}{\alpha} - \frac{z}{\alpha}\right\| &< \epsilon \\ \|g^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(z)\| &< \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g^{-1}$  is continuous.

Joining all these results we see that  $g$  is a homeomorphism on  $V$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* **50** Let us define a map  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, \rho)$  such that

$$f(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 1 \\ 1/n & \text{if } m = 1/n \text{ where } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Let  $a, b \in (M, d)$  then

(i) If  $a = b = 0$  we have that

$$d(a, b) = |a - b| = 0 = \rho(1, 1) = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

(ii) If  $a = b = 1$  we have that

$$d(a, b) = |a - b| = 0 = \rho(0, 0) = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

(iii) If  $a = 1/n$  and  $b = 1/n'$  where  $n, n' \geq 2$  we have that

$$d(a, b) = |1/n - 1/n'| = \rho(1/n, 1/n') = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

(iv) If  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$  (or  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ ) we have that

$$d(a, b) = |a - b| = 1 = \rho(1, 0) = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

(v) If  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1/n$  (or  $a = 1/n$  and  $b = 0$ ) where  $n \geq 2$  we have that

$$d(a, b) = |0 - 1/n| = 1/n = \rho(1, 1/n) = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

(vi) If  $a = 1$  and  $b = 1/n$  (or  $a = 1/n$  and  $b = 1$ ) where  $n \geq 2$  we have that

$$d(a, b) = |1 - 1/n| = 1 - 1/n = \rho(0, 1/n) = \rho(f(a), f(b))$$

Therefore  $f$  is an isometry which implies it's also a homeomorphism.

Finally, we want to prove that  $i : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, \rho)$  the identity map is not continuous. We see that  $\{0\} \subset (M, d)$  is not an open set since there is no  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon^d(0) \subset (M, d)$ . But  $\{0\} \subset (M, \rho)$  is an open set since  $\rho(0, 1/n) = 1 - 1/n \geq 1/2$  and  $\rho(0, 1) = 1$  then there is  $\epsilon = 1/2$  such that  $B_\epsilon^\rho(0) = \{0\} \subset (M, \rho)$ . So if we take  $V = \{0\}$  an open set in  $(M, \rho)$  we have that  $i^{-1}(V) = i^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\} \subset (M, d)$  is not open and therefore  $i$  is not continuous.  $\square$

*Proof. 52* We want to prove Theorem 55. Let  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, \rho)$  be one-to-one and onto.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $f$  is a homeomorphism and there is  $(x_n) \subset (M, d)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then since  $f$  is continuous we have that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Now let us suppose that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  then since  $f$  is a homeomorphism there is  $f^{-1}$  which is also continuous then we have that  $f^{-1}(f(x_n)) \rightarrow f^{-1}(f(x))$  hence  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $G$  be an open set in  $M$  and let a sequence  $(x_n) \subset M$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  where  $x \in G$  then we have that  $x_n \in G$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , but also we have that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  so since  $f(x) \in f(G)$  and  $f$  is bijective it must happen that all but finitely many  $f(x_n) \in f(G)$  therefore  $f(G) \subset N$  is an open set.

Now let us suppose  $f(G) \subset N$  is open, also, let  $f(x) \in f(G)$  such that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  since  $f(G)$  is open then we have that  $f(x_n) \in f(G)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . But also we know that  $f$  is bijective then  $x \in G$  and if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  it must happen that  $x_n \in G$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Therefore  $G \subset M$  is an open set.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) Let  $E \subset M$  be a closed set then  $M \setminus E$  is open in  $M$  then  $f(M \setminus E)$  is an open set in  $N$  therefore  $N \setminus f(M \setminus E)$  is a closed set in  $N$  and since  $f$  is bijective it must happen that  $f(E) = N \setminus f(M \setminus E)$ .

Let now  $f(E)$  be a closed set in  $N$  then  $N \setminus f(E)$  is an open set in  $N$  then  $f^{-1}(N \setminus f(E))$  is an open set in  $M$  therefore  $M \setminus f^{-1}(N \setminus f(E))$  is closed and since  $f^{-1}$  is bijective (as well as  $f$ ) we have that  $E = M \setminus f^{-1}(N \setminus f(E))$ .

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism and  $(x_n) \subset (M, d)$  be a sequence that tends to  $x \in M$ . Since  $f$  is continuous if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  then  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\hat{d}(x_n, x) = \rho(f(x_n), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$  hence  $d$  is equivalent to  $\hat{d}$ .

Let  $\hat{d}(x, y) = \rho(f(x), f(y))$  be equivalent to the metric  $d(x, y)$  on  $M$ . We want to prove that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous, i.e.  $f$  is a homeomorphism (we already know  $f$  is bijective). Let  $(x_n) \subset M$  be a sequence that tends to  $x \in M$ , then  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  but since  $\hat{d}$  is also equivalent to  $d$  this implies that  $\rho(f(x_n), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$  hence  $f$  is continuous. Now let  $(f(x_n)) \subset N$  be a sequence that tends to  $f(x) \in N$  then  $\hat{d}(x_n, x) = \rho(f(x_n), f(x)) \rightarrow 0$  but since  $\hat{d}$  is equivalent to  $d$  this implies that  $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Therefore  $f$  is a homeomorphism.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) We want to prove that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous (we already know that  $f$  is bijective). Let  $E$  be closed in  $N$ , then because of (iv) we have that  $f^{-1}(E)$  is closed in  $M$  hence  $f$  is continuous. In the same way, if  $E$  is closed in  $M$  then  $f(E)$  is closed in  $N$  so  $f^{-1}$  is also continuous. Therefore  $f$  is a homeomorphism.

□

*Proof. 55*

( $\rightarrow$ ) Let  $M$  be separable and  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, \rho)$  a homeomorphism. Also, let  $A \subset M$  be a countable dense set in  $M$  then  $f(A)$  is countable since  $f$  is bijective but also we know that for every  $x \in M$  there is a sequence  $(x_n) \subset A$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $f$  is continuous we have  $(f(x_n)) \subset f(A)$  such that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  where  $f(x) \in N$ . Hence  $f(A)$  is a countable dense subset of  $N$  and therefore  $N$  is separable.

( $\leftarrow$ ) In the same way, if  $N$  is separable let us define a countable dense subset  $B \subset N$  then  $f^{-1}(B) \subset M$  is countable since  $f^{-1}$  is bijective (as well as  $f$ ). But also we know that for every  $y \in N$  there is a sequence  $(y_n) \subset B$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Since  $f^{-1}$  is continuous we have  $(f^{-1}(y_n)) \subset f^{-1}(B)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y_n) \rightarrow f^{-1}(y)$  where  $f^{-1}(y) \in M$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a countable dense subset of  $M$  and therefore  $M$  is separable. □

*Proof. 57* Let  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, \rho)$  be one-to-one and onto.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $f$  is open, so if  $U \subset M$  is open then  $f(U) \subset N$  is open. From Theorem 5.5. we know that " $U$  is open if and only if  $f(U)$  is open" is equivalent to " $E$  is closed if and only if  $f(E)$  is closed" when  $f$  is bijective (like in this case). Therefore  $f$  is closed

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) We want to prove that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. We know that if  $E$  is closed in  $M$  then  $f(E)$  is closed in  $N$  therefore  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) From Theorem 5.1. we know that if  $f^{-1}$  is continuous and if  $U$  is open in  $M$  then  $f(U)$  is open in  $N$ . Therefore  $f$  is open.

□

*Proof.* **58**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $f$  be a homeomorphism and  $A$  a subset of  $M$ . Also let  $x \in \overline{A}$  then there is  $(x_n) \in A$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism we have that also  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  where  $f(x_n) \in f(A)$  and  $f(x) \in \overline{f(A)}$  because  $f$  is bijective. But also  $f(x) \in \overline{f(A)}$  because of Corollary 4.11. Then this implies that  $\overline{f(A)} \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ .

In the same way, let  $f(x) \in \overline{f(A)}$  then there is  $(f(x_n)) \subset f(A)$  such that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism we also have that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  where  $x_n \in A$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$  because  $f$  is bijective. But also again since  $f$  is bijective we have that  $f(x) \in \overline{f(A)}$ . Then this implies that  $\overline{f(A)} \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ . Therefore  $\overline{f(A)} = \overline{f(A)}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $E$  be a closed set in  $M$  so  $E = \overline{E}$  then  $f(E) = f(\overline{E}) = \overline{f(E)}$  therefore  $f$  is closed.

On the other hand, let  $B$  be closed set in  $N$  since  $f$  is bijective there must be  $A$  such that  $f(A) = B$  but also since  $B$  is closed we have that  $B = \overline{B} = \overline{f(A)}$ . We also have that  $\overline{f(A)} = \overline{f(A)}$  so  $B = \overline{f(A)}$  then  $f^{-1}(B) = \overline{A}$  which is closed. Therefore  $f^{-1}$  is closed.

Finally, since  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both closed then  $f$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

*Proof.* **63**

- (i) We want to show that  $\sigma(t) = a + t(b - a)$  is a homeomorphism. We will assume  $b \neq a$ .

Let us prove first it is a one-to-one function. Suppose  $\sigma(t) = \sigma(t')$  then  $a + t(b - a) = a + t'(b - a)$  which implies that  $t = t'$  since  $b \neq a$ .

Now we want to prove it is an onto function. Let  $c \in [a, b]$  then there is  $t_c = \frac{c-a}{b-a}$  such that  $\sigma(t_c) = c$  i.e.  $\sigma$  is onto.

Next, we want to prove that  $\sigma$  is continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  we want to show that  $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Let  $\delta = \epsilon/|b - a|$  then if  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| &< \frac{\epsilon}{|b - a|} \\ |x - y||b - a| &< \epsilon \\ |a + x(b - a) - a - y(b - a)| &< \epsilon \\ |\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we want to prove that  $\sigma^{-1}(t) = \frac{t-a}{b-a}$  is also continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  we want to show that  $|\sigma^{-1}(x) - \sigma^{-1}(y)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x - y| < \delta$ . Let  $\delta = \epsilon|b - a|$  then if  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| &< \epsilon|b - a| \\ \frac{|x - y|}{|b - a|} &< \epsilon \\ \frac{|(x - a) - (y - a)|}{|b - a|} &< \epsilon \\ \left| \frac{x - a}{b - a} - \frac{y - a}{b - a} \right| &< \epsilon \\ |\sigma^{-1}(x) - \sigma^{-1}(y)| &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $f \in C[a, b]$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a homeomorphism it is also continuous and because of the Lemma 5.7 we have that  $f \circ \sigma$  is also continuous therefore  $f \circ \sigma$  is a continuous map from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  i.e.  $f \circ \sigma \in C[0, 1]$ .  
 ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $f \circ \sigma \in C[0, 1]$ , since  $\sigma$  is a homeomorphism then  $\sigma^{-1}$  is continuous and because of the Lemma 5.7 we have that  $(f \circ \sigma) \circ \sigma^{-1}$  is also continuous but  $(f \circ \sigma) \circ \sigma^{-1} = f$  therefore  $f \in C[a, b]$ .

- (iii) Let  $f, g \in C[a, b]$  we want to prove that the map  $f \rightarrow f \circ \sigma$  is an isometry from  $C[a, b]$  to  $C[0, 1]$  then we have on one hand that

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_\infty = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |f(t) - g(t)|$$

And on the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f \circ \sigma, g \circ \sigma) &= \|f \circ \sigma - g \circ \sigma\|_\infty \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |(f \circ \sigma)(t) - (g \circ \sigma)(t)| \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(a + t(b - a)) - g(a + t(b - a))| \\ &= \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |f(t) - g(t)| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the map  $f \rightarrow f \circ \sigma$  is an isometry.

- (iv) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T((\alpha f + \beta g)(t)) &= ((\alpha f + \beta g) \circ \sigma)(t) \\ &= (\alpha f + \beta g)(a + t(b - a)) \\ &= \alpha f(a + t(b - a)) + \beta g(a + t(b - a)) \\ &= \alpha(f \circ \sigma)(t) + \beta(g \circ \sigma)(t) \\ &= \alpha T(f(t)) + \beta T(g(t)) \end{aligned}$$

- (v) In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T((fg)(t)) &= ((fg) \circ \sigma)(t) \\ &= (fg)(a + t(b - a)) \\ &= f(a + t(b - a))g(a + t(b - a)) \\ &= (f \circ \sigma)(t)(g \circ \sigma)(t) \\ &= T(f(t))T(g(t)) \end{aligned}$$

- (vi)  $(\Rightarrow)$  If  $T(f(t)) \leq T(g(t))$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \sigma(t) &\leq g \circ \sigma(t) \\ f(a + t(b - a)) &\leq g(a + t(b - a)) \\ f(t') &\leq g(t') \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f \leq g$  for any  $t' \in [a, b]$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  If  $f(t) \leq g(t)$  for any  $t \in [a, b]$  then we have that  $f(a + t'(b - a)) \leq g(a + t'(b - a))$  for any  $t' \in [0, 1]$  so  $f \circ \sigma(t') \leq g \circ \sigma(t')$ . Therefore  $T(f(t)) \leq T(g(t))$ .

□

*Proof.* **64** Given  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ , we want to prove that

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{(1 + d_n(f, g))}$$

defines a metric on  $C(\mathbb{R})$  then

- (i) Since  $d_n(f, g) \geq 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$  then  $d(f, g) \geq 0$ . Also, given that  $d_n(f, g) < 1 + d_n(f, g)$  we have that

$$0 \leq \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{(1 + d_n(f, g))} \leq 2^{-n}$$

and since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}$  converges we have that  $d(f, g)$  also converges i.e.  $d(f, g) < \infty$ .

- (ii) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $d(f, g) = 0$  then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{(1 + d_n(f, g))} = 0$$

So it must happen that  $d_n(f, g) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  this implies that  $\max_{|t| \leq n} |f(t) - g(t)| = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  hence it must happen that  $f(t) = g(t)$  for all  $|t| \leq n$ . Since this is true for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $f = g$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $f = g$  then it must happen that  $d_n(f, g) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  since it is a pseudometric. Therefore  $d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{(1 + d_n(f, g))} = 0$ .

- (iii) Let  $f, g \in C(\mathbb{R})$  then

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{(1 + d_n(f, g))} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(g, f)}{(1 + d_n(g, f))} = d(g, f)$$

Where we used that  $d_n(f, g) = d_n(g, f)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  since it is a pseudometric and it has the symmetry property.

- (iv) Let  $f, g, h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $d_n$  is a pseudometric we have that

$$d_n(f, g) \leq d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)$$

Also, from problem 5 (of Chapter 3) we know that the function  $F(t) = t/(1 + t)$  is increasing so we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(d_n(f, g)) &\leq F(d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)) \\ \frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)} &\leq \frac{d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)}{1 + d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)} \end{aligned}$$



But also  $F$  satisfies  $F(s+t) \leq F(s) + F(t)$  for  $s, t \geq 0$  then joining these inequalities we have that

$$\frac{d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)} \leq \frac{d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)}{1 + d_n(f, h) + d_n(h, g)} \leq \frac{d_n(f, h)}{1 + d_n(f, h)} + \frac{d_n(h, g)}{1 + d_n(h, g)}$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, g)}{1 + d_n(f, g)} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(f, h)}{1 + d_n(f, h)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n} d_n(h, g)}{1 + d_n(h, g)}$$

Therefore  $d(f, g) \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g)$  as we wanted.

Finally, since the metric  $d$  satisfies (i) to (iv) it defines a metric on  $C(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$