

## **Laboratory Report 2:RC Circuit Analysis**

Circuit Theory and Electronics Fundamentals

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Técnico, University of Lisbon April

5, 2021

Work by:

Beatriz Contente 95772

Francisco Fonseca 95789

Manuel Carvalho 95823

### **Contents**


<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theoretical Analysis</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Exercise 1 . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Simulation Analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Exercise 1 . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>8</b>

# 1 Introduction

The objective of this laboratory assignment is to analyze a RC circuit to find the natural and forced response as well as doing a frequency analysis. Furthermore, is it asked to run a simulation using NgSpice to detect small differences between the different approaches and understand why said differences happen. The circuit can be seen in Figure 3.

In Section 2, a theoretical analysis of the circuit is presented. In Section 3, the circuit is analysed by simulation and the results are compared to the theoretical results obtained in Section 2. The conclusions of this study are outlined in Section 4.

Figure 1: RC Circuit with alternate voltage source ( $V_s$ ), linear dependent sources ( $V_d$ -linear current controlled voltage source and  $I_b$ -linear voltage controlled current source) and capacitor  $C$



The values given for this report can be found in table 1.

Name	Values
R1	1.01949191994 K $\Omega$
R2	2.05054429461 K $\Omega$
R3	3.09286027724 K $\Omega$
R4	4.12838973576 K $\Omega$
R5	3.06635427647 K $\Omega$
R6	2.01254230153 K $\Omega$
R7	1.00502981701 K $\Omega$
Va	5.24204797361 V
C	1.01905568201 $\mu$ F
Kb	7.23185131759 mS
Kd	8.12820254987 K $\Omega$

Table 1: Values received by the Python program that can be found in folder *python*.

## 2 Theoretical Analysis

### 2.1 Exercise 1

Figure 2: Representation of mesh currents in the circuit.




Figure 2 shows the mesh currents considered for the circuit analysis, with the current  $I_a$  flowing clockwise and the rest of the currents ( $I_b$ ,  $I_c$  and  $I_d$ ) flowing counter-clockwise. In the meshes containing  $I_b$  and  $I_d$ , the currents were considered to be the same as the current sources in said meshes.

From this circuit, there can then be extracted 3 equations to figure out the value of the components necessary for the circuit analysis.

The first one, Equation 1, was obtained by using Ohm's Law, assuming it is known the value of the voltage and the resistance in resistor 3 and that the current flowing through it is  $(I_a + I_b)$ .

$$I_b = K_b(I_a + I_b)R_3, \quad (1)$$

Equation 2 was figured out by analysing the top left mesh, using Kirchoff's Voltage Law and Ohm's Law for the resistors. Since the current  $I_a$  is flowing clockwise, the voltage in  $V_a$  is negative and the currents in resistors 3 and 4 are, correspondingly,  $(I_a + I_b)$  and  $(I_a + I_c)$ , as these pairs of currents are flowing the same way in said resistors.

$$-V_a + I_a R_1 + (I_a + I_b)R_3 + (I_a + I_c)R_4 = 0, \quad (2)$$

Finally, from the bottom left mesh, there is Equation 3, in which was also used Kirchoff's Voltage Law and Ohm's Law. The voltage in  $V_c$  is negative due to the current flow.

$$-K_c I_c + I_c R_6 + I_c R_7 + (I_a + I_c)R_4 = 0, \quad (3)$$

By developing these 3 equations, the matrix below (4) is achieved as to simplify the calculations. This matrix was solved in Octave, getting the values of the currents  $I_a$ ,  $I_b$  and  $I_c$  that can be found in table ???. It was not necessary to solve for the value of the current in the bottom right mesh since it is already known (equivalent to  $I_d$ ).

$$\begin{bmatrix} -K_b R_3 & 1 - K_b R_3 & 0 \\ R_1 + R_3 + R_4 & R_3 & R_4 \\ R_4 & 0 & R_6 + R_7 - K_c + R_4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} I_a \\ I_b \\ I_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ V_a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

With these currents, it is possible to discover the values of the voltages in each node, using the equations 5 through 11 down below and knowing that  $I_b = K_b V_b$  and  $V_c = K_c I_c$ .

$$V_7 = V_c, \quad (5)$$

$$V_6 = V_7 + V_b, \quad (6)$$

$$V_5 = V_6 + R_2 I_b, \quad (7)$$

$$V_4 = V_7 + R_5 (I_d - I_b), \quad (8)$$

$$V_3 = V_0 + R_7 I_c, \quad (9)$$

$$V_2 = V_3 + R_6 I_c, \quad (10)$$

$$V_1 = V_2 + V_a, \quad (11)$$

Table 2 shows the nodes' voltages discovered by replacing the known variables in equations 5 to 11. The branch currents were obtained with the following equations 12 to 17 (resorting to figure 2).

$$R_1[i] = I_a, \quad (12)$$

$$R_2[i] = I_b, \quad (13)$$

$$R_3[i] = I_a + I_b, \quad (14)$$

$$R_4[i] = I_a + I_c, \quad (15)$$


$$R_5[i] = I_d - I_b, \quad (16)$$

$$R_6[i] = R_7[i] = I_c, \quad (17)$$

Node	Voltage[V]	Branch	Current[A]
$V_b$	-3.39424400e-02	$I_b$	-2.45466679e-04
$V_d$	8.01098169e+00	$I_c$	0.00000000e+00
$V_1$	5.24204797e+00	$I_d$	9.85578501e-04
$V_2$	5.00298504e+00		
$V_3$	4.49964474e+00		
$V_5$	5.03692748e+00		
$V_6$	5.78961528e+00		
$V_6$	-1.98351843e+00		
$V_8$	-2.97405421e+00		

Table 2: Voltage and Current values(Exercise 1)

Figure 3: Final solution of  $v_6(t)$  and  $v_s(t)$  in the interval  $[-5, 20]ms$



### 3 Simulation Analysis

#### 3.1 Exercise 1

In this section we proceed to do the analysis of the circuit through the use of the Ngspice simulation program. In figure 4 we have the circuit that was inputted into Ngspice (and also the considered current flows and nodes). The file can be found at the *sim* folder inside the *T2* folder.

$V_9$  refers to an extra fictitious node created specifically for the Ngspice simulation, and it is below 0(GND) and above resistor R6 as it can be seen above in figure 4. The reason this node is necessary is because when creating a current controlled voltage source, Ngspice gets the current value by referring to a voltage source from where the current goes through. Since  $I_d$  does not go through any voltage source in the circuit (does not go through  $V_s$ ) we used this extra node to create a voltage source of 0V (Which can be confirmed since  $V_9 = \text{GND} = 0$ ) from which we are certain  $I_d$  is passing by.

Table 3 shows the simulated operating point results for the circuit under analysis given the values found on Table 3. The variables representation and format are automatically determined by Ngspice.




Figure 4: Considered circuit for Ngspice simulation

Name	Value [A or V]
@c1[i]	0.000000e+00
@gib[i]	-2.45467e-04
@r1[i]	-2.34492e-04
@r2[i]	-2.45467e-04
@r3[i]	-1.09744e-05
@r4[i]	-1.22007e-03
@r5[i]	2.454667e-04
@r6[i]	9.855785e-04
@r7[i]	9.855785e-04
v1	5.242048e+00
v2	5.002985e+00
v3	4.499645e+00
v5	5.036927e+00
v6	5.789615e+00
v7	-1.98352e+00
v8	-2.97405e+00
v9	0.000000e+00

Table 3: Operating point. A variable preceded by @ is of type *current* and expressed in Ampere; other variables are of type *voltage* and expressed in Volt. (the g in "gib" refers to the Ngspice notation of a voltage controlled current source)

We can get all the missing values given the equations showed in section 2.

$$V_c = V_7 \quad (18)$$

$$V_b = V_6 - V_7 \Rightarrow V_b = -3.3943 * 10^{-2} V \quad (19)$$

From Table 3 we can directly get the value of  $I_d$ :

$$I_d = @r6[i] \quad (20)$$

With this we have finalized the circuit anlysis through simulation with Ngspice.

## 4 Conclusion

By analysing the circuit theoretically, with both the mesh and the node methods, and then simulating the circuit using Ngspice, we can verify that the values of the unknown components match almost perfectly and all approaches agree on the final currents' directions across the circuit's branches (which can be seen below in figure 5).




Figure 5: Representation of the final circuit with all the correct directions for the currents.

Some small discrepancies like the value of  $V_b$  obtained in section 3 differing from the ones found on sections ?? and ?? are due to the small number of decimal places considered by Ngspice leading to slight inaccuracies. However, considering that the circuit complexity is still not considered, the differences are negligible.

In any case, the node analysis uses the Kirchhoff Current Law while the Mesh Analysis uses the Kirchhoff Voltage Law. This means that if a circuit contains more voltage sources than current sources, the mesh method is going to be more exact, as it is the other way around.

In this circuit, both types of sources have the same number of components; a fact that might help justify the accuracy of the results. Even more, it only includes linear components, making it so that the theoretical values are expected to be almost the same as the ones obtained in the simulation. Furthermore, as already mentioned, the low complexity of the circuit makes it that both methods are still extremely reliable.

All of this leads to the conclusion that the making of this laboratory assignment was coherent and that the main goal was attained: to achieve the circuit analysis through 3 different methods (mesh, nodal and simulated analysis).