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**Meta-analysis for the raw VMAC effect**

Here, we present the graphical result for the meta-analysis presented in the *Meta-analysis* section of the main text, using the raw differences in reaction times for the high- vs. low-value distractor, and the sampling variance formula described in the same section.
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*Note*. To illustrate the conceptual similarities between manipulating instructions and selective attention, blue indicates that participants received instructions about the feature-reward contingency or selectively attended to color (in the present study). In contrast, orange means that they did not receive instructions or selectively attended to the location (in the present study). Squares indicate the raw VMAC effect for individual studies, with size indicating precision and error bars indicating the 95% CI.