Alicia Quero de la Rosa

joint work with V. Kadets, M. Martín, J. Merí and A. Pérez

V Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores de la RSME Castellón, 28th January 2020



UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA

Contents

1 Classic numerical index

2 Extending the concept of numerical range

3 Numerical index with respect to an operator

Classic numerical index

Classic numerical index

Numerical range for Hilbert spaces (Toeplitz, 1918)

H Hilbert space, $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ inner product, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$

$$W(T) = \{ (Tx \mid x) : x \in H, (x \mid x) = 1 \}$$

Numerical range for Hilbert spaces (Toeplitz, 1918)

$$H$$
 Hilbert space, $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ inner product, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$
$$W(T) = \{(Tx \mid x) \colon x \in H, (x \mid x) = 1\}$$

Numerical range and numerical radius (Bauer, Lumer, early 60's)

$$X$$
 Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}$$

$$v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T)\}$$

= \sup\{|x^*(Tx)| : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}

Numerical range for Hilbert spaces (Toeplitz, 1918)

H Hilbert space, $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ inner product, $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ $W(T) = \{(Tx \mid x) \colon x \in H, (x \mid x) = 1\}$

Numerical range and numerical radius (Bauer, Lumer, early 60's)

$$X$$
 Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}$$

$$v(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| \colon \lambda \in V(T)\}\$$

= \sup\{|x^*(Tx)| \cdot x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}

Obviously one has $v(T) \leqslant ||T||$

Numerical index (Lumer, 1968)

X Banach space

$$n(X) \, = \inf\{v(T)\colon T\in S_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\} = \max\{k\geqslant 0\colon k\|T\|\leqslant v(T)\}$$

Numerical index (Lumer, 1968)

X Banach space

$$n(X) = \inf\{v(T) \colon T \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\} = \max\{k \geqslant 0 \colon k\|T\| \leqslant v(T)\}$$

- $0 \leqslant n(X) \leqslant 1$
- lacksquare v and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms iff n(X)>0

Numerical index (Lumer, 1968)

X Banach space

$$n(X) \, = \inf\{v(T)\colon T\in S_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\} = \max\{k\geqslant 0\colon k\|T\|\leqslant v(T)\}$$

- $0 \leqslant n(X) \leqslant 1$
- lacksquare v and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms iff n(X)>0

Set of values

$$\{n(X)\colon X \text{ complex Banach space }\} = [\mathrm{e}^{-1},1]$$

$$\{n(X)\colon X \text{ real Banach space }\} = [0,1]$$

lacksquare H Hilbert space, n(H)=0 in real case and n(H)=1/2 in complex case.

- H Hilbert space, n(H) = 0 in real case and n(H) = 1/2 in complex case.
- $lacksquare n(C(K)) = n(L_1(\mu)) = 1$ (Duncan-McGregor-Pryce-White, 1970)

- H Hilbert space, n(H) = 0 in real case and n(H) = 1/2 in complex case.

- H Hilbert space, n(H) = 0 in real case and n(H) = 1/2 in complex case.

- Let $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be an arbitrary family of Banach spaces. Then

$$n(\left[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_{\lambda}\right]_{c_{0}}) = n(\left[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_{\lambda}\right]_{\ell_{1}}) = n(\left[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_{\lambda}\right]_{\ell_{\infty}}) = \inf_{\lambda\in\Lambda}n(X_{\lambda})$$
$$n(\left[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_{\lambda}\right]_{\ell_{p}}) \leqslant \inf_{\lambda\in\Lambda}n(X_{\lambda})$$

(Martín-Payá, 2000)

lacktriangle Let X be a Banach space, K compact Hausdorff and μ positive measure. Then

$$n\big(C(K,X)\big) = n\big(L_1(\mu,X)\big) = n(X) \qquad \qquad \text{(Martín-Payá, 2000)}$$

$$n\big(L_\infty(\mu,X)\big) = n(X) \qquad \qquad \text{(Martín-Villena, 2003)}$$

$$n\big(C_w(K,X)\big) = n(X) \qquad \qquad \text{(López-Martín-Merí, 2007)}$$

■ Let X be a Banach space, K compact Hausdorff and μ positive measure. Then

$$nig(C(K,X)ig) = nig(L_1(\mu,X)ig) = n(X)$$
 (Martín-Payá, 2000) $nig(L_\infty(\mu,X)ig) = n(X)$ (Martín-Villena, 2003) $nig(C_w(K,X)ig) = n(X)$ (López-Martín-Merí, 2007)

■
$$n(L_p(\mu)) = n(\ell_p)$$
 if dim $L_p(\mu) = \infty$ (EdDari-Khamsi, 2006)

lacktriangle Let X be a Banach space, K compact Hausdorff and μ positive measure. Then

$$n(C(K,X)) = n(L_1(\mu,X)) = n(X)$$

$$n(L_{\infty}(\mu,X)) = n(X)$$

$$n(C_w(K,X)) = n(X)$$

(Martín-Payá, 2000)

(Martín-Villena, 2003)

(López-Martín-Merí, 2007)

$$n(L_p(\mu)) = n(\ell_p) \text{ if } \dim L_p(\mu) = \infty$$

(EdDari-Khamsi, 2006)

 $\blacksquare n(L_p(\mu)) > 0 \text{ for } p \neq 2$

(Martín-Merí-Popov, 2011)

■ Let X be a Banach space, K compact Hausdorff and μ positive measure. Then

$$nig(C(K,X)ig) = nig(L_1(\mu,X)ig) = n(X)$$
 (Martín-Payá, 2000) $nig(L_\infty(\mu,X)ig) = n(X)$ (Martín-Villena, 2003) $nig(C_w(K,X)ig) = n(X)$ (López-Martín-Merí, 2007)

$$n(L_p(\mu)) = n(\ell_p) \text{ if } \dim L_p(\mu) = \infty$$

(EdDari-Khamsi, 2006)

$$n(L_p(\mu)) > 0 \text{ for } p \neq 2$$

(Martín-Merí-Popov, 2011)

■
$$n(X^*) \le n(X)$$

and the inequality can be strict

(Boyko-Kadets-Martín-Werner, 2007)

Extending the concept of numerical range

Extending the concept of numerical range

Intrinsic numerical range

(Bonsall-Duncan, 1971)

Let X be a Banach space. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}} V(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\operatorname{Id}) = 1 \}.$$

Consequently, $v(T) = \max\{|\Phi(T)| \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\mathrm{Id}) = 1\}.$

Intrinsic numerical range

(Bonsall-Duncan, 1971)

Let X be a Banach space. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}} V(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\operatorname{Id}) = 1 \}.$$

Consequently, $v(T) = \max\{|\Phi(T)| : \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\mathrm{Id}) = 1\}.$

Intrinsic numerical range

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\widetilde{V}(T) = \{\Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\mathrm{Id}) = 1\}$$

Intrinsic numerical range

(Bonsall-Duncan, 1971)

Let X be a Banach space. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\overline{\operatorname{conv}} V(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\operatorname{Id}) = 1 \}.$$

Consequently, $v(T) = \max\{|\Phi(T)| : \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\mathrm{Id}) = 1\}.$

Intrinsic numerical range

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$\widetilde{V}(T) = \{\Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(\mathrm{Id}) = 1\}$$

Intrinsic numerical range with respect to G

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \{ \Phi(T) : \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(G) = 1 \}$$

Spatial numerical range, Bauer-Lumer

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \ x^* \in S_{X^*}, \ x^*(\operatorname{Id} x) = 1\}$$

Spatial numerical range, Bauer-Lumer

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \, x^* \in S_{X^*}, \, x^*(\operatorname{Id} x) = 1\}$$

 \star $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, how do we define $V_G(T)$?

Spatial numerical range, Bauer-Lumer

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \ x^* \in S_{X^*}, \ x^*(\operatorname{Id} x) = 1\}$$

★ $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, how do we define $V_G(T)$? First idea:

$$V_G(T) = \{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, y^*(Gx) = 1\}$$

Spatial numerical range, Bauer-Lumer

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \ x^* \in S_{X^*}, \ x^*(\operatorname{Id} x) = 1\}$$

 \bigstar $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, how do we define $V_G(T)$? First idea:

$$V_G(T) = \{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, y^*(Gx) = 1\}$$

Approximated spatial numerical range with respect to G (Ardalani, 2014)

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta>0} \overline{\{y^*(Tx): y^* \in S_{Y^*}, x \in S_X, \text{Re } y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta\}}$$

Spatial numerical range, Bauer-Lumer

X Banach space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,

$$V(T) = \{x^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \ x^* \in S_{X^*}, \ x^*(\operatorname{Id} x) = 1\}$$

 \bigstar $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, how do we define $V_G(T)$? First idea:

$$V_G(T) = \{ y^*(Tx) \colon x \in S_X, \ y^* \in S_{Y^*}, \ y^*(Gx) = 1 \}$$

Approximated spatial numerical range with respect to G (Ardalani, 2014)

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \{ y^*(Tx) \colon y^* \in S_{Y^*}, x \in S_X, \operatorname{Re} y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta \}$$

For $G = \mathrm{Id}$, by Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem

$$V_{\mathrm{Id}}(T) = \overline{V(T)}$$
 for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

Two possible numerical ranges

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta>0} \overline{\{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, \text{Re } y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta\}}$$

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(G) = 1 \}$$

Two possible numerical ranges

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \overline{\{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, \text{Re } y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta\}}$$

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(G) = 1 \}$$

Relationship (Martín, 2016)

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, then

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \operatorname{conv} V_G(T)$$
 for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

Two possible numerical ranges

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \overline{\{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, \text{Re } y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta\}}$$

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(G) = 1 \}$$

Relationship (Martín, 2016)

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, then

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \operatorname{conv} V_G(T)$$
 for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

Both concepts produce the same numerical radius!

Two possible numerical ranges

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$V_G(T) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \overline{\{y^*(Tx) : x \in S_X, y^* \in S_{Y^*}, \text{Re } y^*(Gx) > 1 - \delta\}}$$

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \{ \Phi(T) \colon \Phi \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^*, \|\Phi\| = \Phi(G) = 1 \}$$

Relationship (Martín, 2016)

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, then

$$\widetilde{V}_G(T) = \operatorname{conv} V_G(T)$$
 for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$

Both concepts produce the same numerical radius!

Numerical radius with respect to G

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$, $T\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

$$v_G(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V_G(T)\} = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \widetilde{V}_G(T)\}$$

Numerical index with respect to an operator

Numerical index with respect to G

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$
$$n_G(X,Y)=\inf\{v_G(T)\colon T\in S_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}\}=\max\{k\geqslant 0\colon k\|T\|\leqslant v_G(T)\}$$

Numerical index with respect to G

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$

$$n_G(X,Y) = \inf\{v_G(T) : T \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}\} = \max\{k \ge 0 : k||T|| \le v_G(T)\}$$

We recover the classic numerical index

$$n_{\mathrm{Id}}(X,X) = n(X).$$

Numerical index with respect to G

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$

$$n_G(X,Y) = \inf\{v_G(T) : T \in S_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}\} = \max\{k \ge 0 : k||T|| \le v_G(T)\}$$

We recover the classic numerical index

$$n_{\mathrm{Id}}(X,X)=n(X).$$

Characterization

For $k \in [0, 1]$, TFAE:

- $n_G(X,Y) \geqslant k$,
- $\inf_{\delta>0} \sup \{ |y^*(Tx)| \colon y^* \in S_{Y^*}, x \in S_X, \operatorname{Re} y^*(Gx) > 1 \delta \} \geqslant k \|T\| \, \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y),$
- $\max_{|A|=1} \|G + \theta T\| \geqslant 1 + k \|T\| \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y).$

Examples

Spear operators (Ardalani, 2014; Kadets, Martín, Merí, Pérez, 2018)

X, Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

 $G \text{ spear operator } \Longleftrightarrow n_G(X,Y) = 1 \iff \max_{|\theta| = 1} \|G + \theta \, T\| = 1 + \|T\| \,\, \forall \, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$

Examples

Spear operators (Ardalani, 2014; Kadets, Martín, Merí, Pérez, 2018)

X, Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

$$G \text{ spear operator } \Longleftrightarrow n_G(X,Y) = 1 \iff \max_{|\theta| = 1} \|G + \theta \, T\| = 1 + \|T\| \,\, \forall \, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$$

Examples of spear operators

Examples

Spear operators (Ardalani, 2014; Kadets, Martín, Merí, Pérez, 2018)

X, Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

$$G \text{ spear operator } \Longleftrightarrow n_G(X,Y) = 1 \iff \max_{|\theta| = 1} \|G + \theta \, T\| = 1 + \|T\| \,\, \forall \, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$$

Examples of spear operators

■ Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}: L_1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}))$,

Examples

Spear operators (Ardalani, 2014; Kadets, Martín, Merí, Pérez, 2018)

X, Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

$$G \text{ spear operator } \Longleftrightarrow n_G(X,Y) = 1 \iff \max_{|\theta| = 1} \|G + \theta \, T\| = 1 + \|T\| \,\, \forall \, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$$

Examples of spear operators

- Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}: L_1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}))$,
- Inclusion $A(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$.

Examples

Spear operators (Ardalani, 2014; Kadets, Martín, Merí, Pérez, 2018)

X, Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$.

$$G \text{ spear operator } \iff n_G(X,Y) = 1 \iff \max_{|\theta| = 1} \|G + \theta \, T\| = 1 + \|T\| \,\, \forall \, T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$$

Examples of spear operators

- Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F}: L_1(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}))$,
- Inclusion $A(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$.
- Identity operator on C(K), $L_1(\mu)$...

X,Y Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,Y)) = \{ n_G(X,Y) \colon G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), ||G|| = 1 \}$$

X,Y Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,Y)) = \{ n_G(X,Y) \colon G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), ||G|| = 1 \}$$

Example

There exist (real and complex) Banach spaces X such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X)) = [0,1]$.

X, Y Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,Y)) = \{ n_G(X,Y) \colon G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), ||G|| = 1 \}$$

Example

There exist (real and complex) Banach spaces X such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X)) = [0,1]$.

Hilbert spaces

H Hilbert space with $\dim(H) \geqslant 2$, X,Y Banach spaces:

- $\blacksquare \text{ Real case: } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,H)) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H,Y)) = \{0\}. \text{ In particular, } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H)) = \{0\}.$
- Complex case: $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,H)) \subset [0,1/2]$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(Y,H)) \subset [0,1/2]$.

X, Y Banach spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,Y)) = \{ n_G(X,Y) \colon G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), ||G|| = 1 \}$$

Example

There exist (real and complex) Banach spaces X such that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X)) = [0,1]$.

Hilbert spaces

H Hilbert space with $\dim(H) \ge 2$, X, Y Banach spaces:

- $\blacksquare \text{ Real case: } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,H)) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H,Y)) = \{0\}. \text{ In particular, } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H)) = \{0\}.$
- Complex case: $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,H)) \subset [0,1/2]$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(Y,H)) \subset [0,1/2]$.

 H_1, H_2 complex Hilbert spaces with dimension greater than one:

- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)) = \{0, 1/2\}$ if H_1 and H_2 are isometrically isomorphic.
- $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)) = \{0\}$ otherwise.

$$\ell_p$$
-spaces

For
$$1 , X,Y real Banach spaces, $M_p = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}$,$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_p)) \subset [0, M_p]$$
 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\ell_p, Y)) \subset [0, M_p].$

ℓ_p -spaces

For
$$1 , X, Y real Banach spaces, $M_p = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}$,$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X,\ell_p)) \subset [0,M_p]$$
 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\ell_p,Y)) \subset [0,M_p].$

C(K)-spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(C[0,1],C[0,1])) = \{0,1\} \quad \text{(real case)}$$

ℓ_p -spaces

For
$$1 , X,Y real Banach spaces, $M_p = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}$,$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_p)) \subset [0, M_p]$$
 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\ell_p, Y)) \subset [0, M_p].$

C(K)-spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(C[0,1],C[0,1])) = \{0,1\}$$
 (real case)

L_{∞} -spaces

 μ_1,μ_2 σ -finite measures. If at least one of the spaces $L_\infty(\mu_i)$, i=1,2, has dimension at least two, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(L_\infty(\mu_1),L_\infty(\mu_2))=\{0,1\}$ (real and complex case).

ℓ_p -spaces

For
$$1 , X,Y real Banach spaces, $M_p = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{|t^{p-1} - t|}{1 + t^p}$,$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(X, \ell_p)) \subset [0, M_p]$$
 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\ell_p, Y)) \subset [0, M_p].$

C(K)-spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(C[0,1],C[0,1])) = \{0,1\}$$
 (real case)

L_{∞} -spaces

 μ_1,μ_2 σ -finite measures. If at least one of the spaces $L_\infty(\mu_i)$, i=1,2, has dimension at least two, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(L_\infty(\mu_1),L_\infty(\mu_2))=\{0,1\}$ (real and complex case).

L_1 -spaces

 μ_1, μ_2 σ -finite measures, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(L_1(\mu_1), L_1(\mu_2)) \subset \{0, 1\}$ (real and complex case).

$$\star \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}(\ell_1, L_1[0, 1])) = \{0\}.$$

Sums of Banach spaces

Proposition

Let $\{X_\lambda\colon\lambda\in\Lambda\}$, $\{Y_\lambda\colon\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ be two families of Banach spaces and let $G_\lambda\in\mathcal{L}(X_\lambda,Y_\lambda)$ with $\|G_\lambda\|=1$ for every $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Let E be one of the Banach spaces $c_0,\ \ell_\infty$ or ℓ_1 , let $X=[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_\lambda]_E$ and $Y=[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}Y_\lambda]_E$ and define the operator $G\colon X\longrightarrow Y$ by

$$G[(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}] = (G_{\lambda}x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

for every $(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in [\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}]_{E}$. Then

$$n_G(X,Y) = \inf_{\lambda} n_{G_{\lambda}}(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}).$$

Sums of Banach spaces

Proposition

Let $\{X_\lambda\colon\lambda\in\Lambda\}$, $\{Y_\lambda\colon\lambda\in\Lambda\}$ be two families of Banach spaces and let $G_\lambda\in\mathcal{L}(X_\lambda,Y_\lambda)$ with $\|G_\lambda\|=1$ for every $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Let E be one of the Banach spaces c_0 , ℓ_∞ or ℓ_1 , let $X=[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_\lambda]_E$ and $Y=[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}Y_\lambda]_E$ and define the operator $G\colon X\longrightarrow Y$ by

$$G[(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}] = (G_{\lambda}x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

for every $(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \in [\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}]_{E}$. Then

$$n_G(X,Y) = \inf_{\lambda} n_{G_{\lambda}}(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}).$$

Moreover, for 1

$$n_G\left(\left[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}X_\lambda\right]_{\ell_p},\left[\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}Y_\lambda\right]_{\ell_p}\right)\leqslant\inf_{\lambda}\,n_{G_\lambda}(X_\lambda,Y_\lambda).$$

Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1.

Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$.

lacksquare K compact, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon C(K,X)\longrightarrow C(K,Y),\ \widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(C(K,X),C(K,Y)) = n_G(X,Y).$$

Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$.

 \blacksquare K compact, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon C(K,X)\longrightarrow C(K,Y),\ \widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(C(K,X),C(K,Y)) = n_G(X,Y).$$

lacksquare measure, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon L_1(\mu,X)\longrightarrow L_1(\mu,Y),\ \widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_1(\mu, X), L_1(\mu, Y)) = n_G(X, Y).$$

Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with ||G|| = 1.

■ K compact, consider $\widetilde{G} \colon C(K,X) \longrightarrow C(K,Y)$, $\widetilde{G}(f) = G \circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(C(K,X),C(K,Y)) = n_G(X,Y).$$

lacksquare measure, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon L_1(\mu,X)\longrightarrow L_1(\mu,Y),\ \widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_1(\mu, X), L_1(\mu, Y)) = n_G(X, Y).$$

lacksquare μ σ -finite, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon L_\infty(\mu,X)\longrightarrow L_\infty(\mu,Y)$, $\widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_{\infty}(\mu, X), L_{\infty}(\mu, Y)) = n_{G}(X, Y).$$

Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$.

■ K compact, consider \widetilde{G} : $C(K,X) \longrightarrow C(K,Y)$, $\widetilde{G}(f) = G \circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(C(K,X),C(K,Y)) = n_G(X,Y).$$

lacksquare measure, consider $\widetilde{G}\colon L_1(\mu,X)\longrightarrow L_1(\mu,Y)$, $\widetilde{G}(f)=G\circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_1(\mu, X), L_1(\mu, Y)) = n_G(X, Y).$$

 $\blacksquare \mu \ \sigma$ -finite, consider $\widetilde{G} \colon L_{\infty}(\mu, X) \longrightarrow L_{\infty}(\mu, Y)$, $\widetilde{G}(f) = G \circ f$, then:

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_{\infty}(\mu, X), L_{\infty}(\mu, Y)) = n_G(X, Y).$$

Moreover, for vector-valued L_p -spaces

$$n_{\widetilde{G}}(L_p(\mu, X), L_p(\mu, Y)) \leq n_G(X, Y)$$

for $1 , with <math>\widetilde{G}$ analogously defined.

Numerical index with respect to adjoint operators

$$X,Y$$
 Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) \leqslant n_G(X, Y)$$

and this inequality can be strict.

Numerical index with respect to adjoint operators

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) \leqslant n_G(X, Y)$$

and this inequality can be strict.

L-embedded space

A Banach space Y is L-embedded if $Y^{**}=J_Y(Y)\oplus_1 Y_s$ for suitable closed subspace Y_s of Y^{**} (J_Y is the natural isometric inclusion of Y into its bidual).

Numerical index with respect to adjoint operators

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) \leqslant n_G(X, Y)$$

and this inequality can be strict.

L-embedded space

A Banach space Y is L-embedded if $Y^{**} = J_Y(Y) \oplus_1 Y_s$ for suitable closed subspace Y_s of Y^{**} (J_Y is the natural isometric inclusion of Y into its bidual).

Proposition

X Banach space, Y L-embedded space, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$. Then,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) = n_G(X, Y).$$

Numerical index with respect to adjoint operators

X,Y Banach spaces, $G\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\|=1$,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) \leqslant n_G(X, Y)$$

and this inequality can be strict.

L-embedded space

A Banach space Y is L-embedded if $Y^{**}=J_Y(Y)\oplus_1 Y_s$ for suitable closed subspace Y_s of Y^{**} (J_Y is the natural isometric inclusion of Y into its bidual).

Proposition

X Banach space, Y L-embedded space, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|G\| = 1$. Then,

$$n_{G^*}(Y^*, X^*) = n_G(X, Y).$$

Proposition

X,Y Banach spaces, $G \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ rank-one operator of norm 1. Then $n_{G^*}(Y^*,X^*) = n_G(X,Y)$ and so, same happens to all the successive adjoints of G.

References



M. A. ARDALANI, Numerical index with respect to an operator, Studia Math. 225 (2014), 165-171.



M. MARTÍN, On different definitions of numerical range, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 433 (2016), 877–886.



🔪 V. Kadets, M. Martín, J. Merí and A. Pérez, Spear operators between Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2205, Springer, 2018.



V. KADETS, M. MARTÍN, J. MERÍ, A. PÉREZ AND A. QUERO, On the numerical index with respect to an operator, Dissertationes Mathematicae (to appear, available in arxiv.org with code 1905.12257).