
Índice general

1. Introduction	V
1.1. Motivation	VI
1.2. Learning Enviroment	VII
1.3. Intelligent Tutoring Sistems	VIII
2. Theory and Background	X
2.1. Learning environment	X
2.2. Interactive learning environment	XII
2.3. Intelligent Tutoring System	XIII
2.4. Intelligent learning environment	XIV
2.5. Fuzzy Logic	XV
2.5.1. Fuzzy sets	XV
2.5.2. Fuzzy logic controller	XV
2.6. Learning object	XVII

2.7. NoSQL	XVIII
2.7.1. Key-Value example	XIX
2.7.2. Advantages of NoSQL systems	XIX
2.7.3. Main differences with SQL databases	XX
2.7.4. Types of NoSQL databases	XXI
2.7.5. Examples of NoSQL databases	XXII
 3. The proposed framework	 XXIV
3.1. ELO	XXV
3.2. Fuzzy simple sequencing	XXVI
3.3. Devices	XXVIII
3.4. Master / User	XXVIII
 4. Experiments and Results	 XXIX
4.1. Experiments	XXIX
4.2. Results	XXXII
 5. Conclutions	 XXXIII
 Bibliografía	 XXXV

Índice de figuras

1.1. Kid interacting with an Electronic Whiteboard	VIII
--	------

Índice de cuadros

Capítulo 1

Introduction

The purpose of this research is to contribute in the diverse forms of use of the interactive learning environments by proposing a learning environment, we based the content management with an adaptive hypermedia approach and with the development of a new type of learning object to be adapted to the learning environment. Esto ayudara a seleccionar y presentar informacion a los usuarios de estos ambientes de aprendizaje, This environment use various devices that were capable of running a web browser, non-relational data bases for information exchange and some sensors like cameras and Kinect 2 were used. In addition we implemented a way to predict the level of user attention which it was compared against information obtained by a video taken from the user doing the activity.

1.1. Motivation

A museum is a public or private institution at the service of the society and its development. These exhibit sets of objects and information that reflect some aspect of human existence or its environment. The museum dates back to the Greco-Roman period, since museums have undergone many changes in terms of how to present the information thanks to technological advances that have emerged, this change has been most noticeable in the last century to date. In addition to technology there are new techniques and methods to improve the user experience in these museums as interaction, user preferences, virtual and mixed realities among others. Since its beginnings the main objective of museums has been to preserve the cultural heritage, but also make information shown attractive to public in general, this part is a big challenge because each person thinks and assimilates information differently.

Nowadays most interactive museums work stations or exhibits where users come to the station to interact with or receive information by reversing some time on it until it passes to the next station, where the display will probably showed relevant information for the person but if this information is not shown to digestible way (processed so that it is attractive to the user) the user will probably spend less time or not time at all at the station. This expose the lack of adaptation of the exhibits in some interactive museums or standard museums. in order to ensure that

the information and how is presented to the user is broadly engaging. Intelligent learning environments can be used as exhibitors in museums they use embedded systems, sensors, information and communication technologies that are becoming invisible to the user as they are being integrated into physical objects, infrastructure, the environment in which we live, work and many other environments. This idea provides a good way of bridging the gap between human users and computing systems, and this motivates related research into Computing. Some of these systems use learning resources called learning objects. For the ex-change of learning objects between systems standardization initiatives have been developed and there are some implementations and repositories that manage the content using these standards.

1.2. Learning Enviroment

According to Phillips[6] a learning environment is a place where resources, time and reasons are available for a group of people to nurture, support and value the learning of a limited set of information. The LE are social places even when only one person is found there. One of the challenges facing the design of learning environments is human complexity, because each person thinks and assimilate information in different ways making it difficult to identify which resources are adequate for everyone. Intelligent learning environments (ILE) are a new type of intelligent



Figura 1.1: Kid interacting with an Electronic Whiteboard

educational system, which combines characteristics of traditional intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) [5] and learning environments. According to Self [7] ITS are learning systems based on computers that try to adapt to the needs of the learner.

1.3. Intelligent Tutoring Systems

ITS research is the only one of the IT's in general which has as its scientific objective create forms of psychological and social educational knowledge. In some ILEs to motivate the learner, he is placed in realistic contexts and authentic representations of tasks in the real world. Resources are given to the user which will help him do these tasks. The resources in the LE are represented in 2 ways, the first is physically (books, printed images, toys etc.), the other is digitally where devices are used to display images, texts, videos, audios helping to contextualize the activity or

the environment itself. Currently there are other devices besides computers that can be used in the LE as Smartphone's, Tablet's, projector's, video game consoles etc. The challenge for LE (as we mentioned before) is to select appropriate resources but must also be a learning sequence that satisfies the user needs and make sense of what is being learned. There are many techniques for establishing learning sequences as [2],[14] and [16]. In this case we focus on the simple sequence [1] which has the task of generating a sequence of activities of the subject to be learned by the user. In each of these activities there are precondition rules [1] which serve to make decisions about what to do with activities like hide, show, skip or repeat the activity. The rules require certain values to make these decisions for example when there is an activity that displays information that the user may already know and do not need to see it this activity is skipped or if an activity requires the user to have some age to view it. Fuzzy logic has been previously used in learning environments work as [4],[3] the advantage offered by fuzzy logic is that it can work with linguistic variables which take values such as you are young.^and turn it into something measurable as 18 years. We propose to use fuzzy logic on the precondition rules.

Capítulo 2

Theory and Background

This chapter overviews the background and main definitions and basic concepts, useful to the development of this investigation work.

2.1. Learning environment

Learning environment refers to the diverse physical locations, contexts, and cultures in which students learn. Since students may learn in a wide variety of settings, such as outside-of-school locations and outdoor environments, the term is often used as a more accurate or preferred alternative to classroom, which has more limited and traditional connotations a room with rows of desks and a chalkboard, for example. The term also encompasses the culture of a school or class its presiding ethos

and characteristics, including how individuals interact with and treat one another as well as the ways in which teachers may organize an educational setting to facilitate learning e.g., by conducting classes in relevant natural ecosystems, grouping desks in specific ways, decorating the walls with learning materials, or utilizing audio, visual, and digital technologies. And because the qualities and characteristics of a learning environment are determined by a wide variety of factors, school policies, governance structures, and other features may also be considered elements of a learning environment. Educators may also argue that learning environments have both a direct and indirect influence on student learning, including their engagement in what is being taught, their motivation to learn, and their sense of well-being, belonging, and personal safety. For example, learning environments filled with sunlight and stimulating educational materials would likely be considered more conducive to learning than drab spaces without windows or decoration, as would schools with fewer incidences of misbehavior, disorder, bullying, and illegal activity. How adults interact with students and how students interact with one another may also be considered aspects of a learning environment, and phrases such as positive learning environment or negative learning environment are commonly used in reference to the social and emotional dimensions of a school or class.

2.2. Interactive learning environment

Interactive learning is a pedagogical approach that incorporates social networking and urban computing into course design and delivery. Interactive Learning has evolved out of the hyper-growth in the use of digital technology and virtual communication, particularly by students. The use of interactive technology in learning for these students is as natural as using a pencil and paper were to past generations. The Net Generation or Generation Y is the first generation to grow up in constant contact with digital media. Also known as digital natives, their techno-social, community bonds to their naturalized use of technology in every aspect of learning, to their ability to learn in new ways outside the classroom, this generation of students is pushing the boundaries of education. The use of digital media in education has led to an increase in the use of and reliance on interactive learning, which in turn has led to a revolution in the fundamental process of education. Increasingly, students and teachers rely on each other to access sources of knowledge and share their information, expanding the general scope of the educational process to include not just instruction, but the expansion of knowledge. The role change from keeper of knowledge to facilitator of learning presents a challenge and an opportunity for educators to dramatically change the way their students learn. The boundaries between teacher and student have less meaning with interactive learning.

2.3. Intelligent Tutoring System

An intelligent tutoring system (ITS) is a computer system that aims to provide immediate and customized instruction or feedback to its learners during a task, [Joseph P] without intervention from humans. ITSs have maintained the common goal of enabling learners to acquire information in a meaningful and effective manner by employing tools from a range of different technologies to direct the task at hand. There are numerous examples of ITSs being used in both education and professional settings since the mid-1920s. As a result, there is a strong relationship between ITSs, cognitive learning theories and instructional design. As with any mechanism for learning, ITSs have experienced its share of successes and limitations with continuous research investigating the best approach to addressing the dialogues and affective responses to learning. Research in ITS organizes the "problem" in [1] knowledge about a domain, [2] knowledge about the learner, and [3] pedagogy (knowledge of teaching strategies). The major components of a typical ITS are an expert (or domain) model, student model and tutoring model. The expert model should be able to solve the problems the tutoring module submits to the students. The tutor module controls the interaction with the student, based on its teaching knowledge and comparisons between the student model and the domain knowledge. The student model reflects what the machine can infer about the student's cognitive

state

2.4. Intelligent learning environment

An intelligent learning environment is a new kind of intelligent educational system, which combines the features of traditional Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and learning environments. An intelligent learning environment (ILE) includes special component to support student-driven learning, the environment module. The term environment is used to refer to that part of the system specifying or supporting the activities that the student does and the methods available to the student to do those activities [8]. Some recent ITS and ILE include also a special component called manual which provides an access to structured instructional material. The student can work with the manual via help requests or via special browsing tools exploring the instructional material on her own. An integrated ILE, which includes the environment and the manual components in addition to regular tutoring component, can support learning both procedural and declarative knowledge and provide both system-controlled and student-driven styles of learning.

2.5. Fuzzy Logic

Zadeh introduced the term fuzzy logic in his work fuzzy sets, where he described the mathematics of the fuzzy set theory in 1965. Fuzzy logic gives the opportunity to model conditions that are defined with imprecision. The tolerance of the fuzzy in the process of human rezoning suggests that most of the logic behind the human rezoning is not the traditional bi-valued logic, or even the multi-valued, but the logic with fuzzy values, with fuzzy connections and fuzzy rules or inferences.

2.5.1. Fuzzy sets

Fuzzy sets are an extension of the classic set theory and, as its name implies it, it is a set with boundaries not well defined, this means that the transition of belonging or not belonging to a certain set is gradual, and this smooth transition is characterized by grades of membership that gives the fuzzy sets flexibility in modeling linguistic expressions commonly used, such as the weather is cold or Gustavo is tall.

Figure 2.1. Key-Value example.

2.5.2. Fuzzy logic controller

Fuzzy control is a control method based on fuzzy logic. Just as fuzzy logic can be described simply as computing with words rather than numbers fuzzy control

can be described simply as control with sentences rather than equations. The collection of rules is called a rule base. The rules are in the familiar if-then format, and formally the if side is called the antecedent and the then side is called the consequent. Fuzzy controllers are being used in various control schemes; the most used is the direct control, where the fuzzy controller is in the forward path in a feedback control system. The process output is compared with a reference, and if there is a deviation, the controller takes action according to the control strategy. In a feed forward control a measurable disturbance is being compensated, it requires a good model, but if a mathematical model is difficult or expensive to obtain, a fuzzy model may be useful. Fuzzy rules are also used to correct tuning parameters. If a nonlinear plant changes operating point it may be possible to change the parameters of the controller according to each operating point. This is called gain scheduling since it was originally used to change process gains. A gain scheduling controller contains a linear controller whose parameters are changed as a function of the operating point in a preprogrammed way. It requires thorough knowledge of the plant, but it is often a good way to compensate for nonlinearities and parameter variations. Sensor measurements are used as scheduling variables that govern the change of the controller parameters, often by means of a table look-up.

2.6. Learning object

A learning object is .^a collection of content items, practice items, and assessment items that are combined based on a single learning objective”.[1] The term is credited to Wayne Hodgins when he created a working group in 1994 bearing the name[2] though the concept was first described by Gerard in 1967.[3] Learning objects go by many names, including content objects, chunks, educational objects, information objects, intelligent objects, knowledge bits, knowledge objects, learning components, media objects, reusable curriculum components, nuggets, reusable information objects, reusable learning objects, testable reusable units of cognition, training components, and units of learning. Learning objects offer a new conceptualization of the learning process: rather than the traditional ”several hour chunk”, they provide smaller, self-contained, re-usable units of learning. They will typically have a number of different components, which range from descriptive data to information about rights and educational level. At their core, however, will be instructional content, practice, and assessment. A key issue is the use of metadata. Learning object design raises issues of portability, and of the object’s relation to a broader learning management system.

2.7. NoSQL

In computing, NoSQL (sometimes called "not only SQL") is a broad class of systems management databases that differ from the classical model of relational database management system (RDBMS) in important aspects, the most prominent is that they are not using SQL as the primary query language. The stored data do not require fixed structures such as tables, typically do not support JOIN operations, not fully guarantee ACID (atomicity, consistency, isolation and durability), and usually scale well horizontally. The NoSQL systems are sometimes called "not only SQL" to underline the fact that they can also support query languages like SQL. The NoSQL databases Systems grew with major Internet companies like Google, Amazon, Twitter and Facebook. These had to face challenges with data processing than traditional RDBMS not solved. With the growth of the web in real time there was a need to provide processed information from large volumes of data that had more or less similar horizontal structures. These companies realized that the performance and real-time properties were more important than consistency, in which the traditional relational data bases devoted a lot of processing time. In that sense, often, NoSQL databases are highly optimized for operations retrieve and add, and usually do not offer much more than the functionality of store records (eg key-value storage) that we can see on figure 2.1 . The loss of flexibility at run time compared to conventional

systems SQL, is offset by significant gains in scalability and performance when it comes to certain data models.

2.7.1. Key-Value example

Typically the modern relational data bases have shown little efficiency in certain applications that use data intensively, including indexing of a large number of documents, presentation pages sites with high traffic, and sites audiovisual streaming. Typical implementations of RDBMS have tuned either for a small but frequent reads and writes or a large set of transactions that have few write accesses amount. On the other hand it can serve NoSQL lot of load of reads and writes.

2.7.2. Advantages of NoSQL systems

This way of storing information provides certain advantages over relational models. Between the most significant advantages can include: They are executed in machines with limited resources: These systems, unlike based systems SQL, not just require computer, so they can be mounted on machines of a lower cost. Horizontal Scalability: To improve the performance of these systems is achieved simply adding more nodes, with the only operation of the system indicate which nodes are available. Can handle large amounts of data: This is because it uses a distributed structure, Hash many cases using tables. Do not generate bottlenecks: The main problem with

SQL systems is that they need to transcribe each sentence to be executed, and each complex sentence also requires a level even more complex implementation, which is an entry point in common, that before many requests can slow down the system.

2.7.3. Main differences with SQL databases

Some of the most remarkable differences we can find between NoSQL systems and SQL systems are: Do not use SQL as a query language. Most NoSQL databases avoid using this kind of language or use it as a language support. To give some examples, Cassandra uses CQL language, MongoDB uses JSON or BigTable uses GQL. Not use fixed structures as tables for storing data. Let you use other types of storage models as key-value systems, objects or graphs. Tend not allow JOIN operations. By having a data volume so extremely large is often desirable to avoid the JOIN. This is because, when the operation is not the search for a key, the overhead can become very costly. The most straightforward solutions consist of denormalising data or perform the JOIN by software in the layer application. Distributed architecture. The relational databases are typically centralized in a single machine or in a master-slave structure, however in cases NoSQL information it can be shared across multiple machines through mechanisms of distributed hash tables.

2.7.4. Types of NoSQL databases

Depending on how you store the information, we can find various types other than NoSQL databases. Consider the most commonly used types. Key-Value Database: They are the base model popular NoSQL data, besides being the simplest in terms of functionality. In this type of system, each element is identified by a unique key, allowing the retrieval of information very quickly, which is usually stored information as a binary large object (BLOB). They are characterized by being very efficient for both readings and for scriptures. Examples of this type are Cassandra, HBase or BigTable.

Figure 2.2.Key-Value.

Document databases: They are the base model popular NoSQL data, besides being the simplest in terms of functionality. In this type of system, each element is identified by a unique key, allowing the retrieval of information very quickly, which is usually stored information as a binary large object (BLOB). They are characterized by being very efficient for both readings and for scriptures we can see an example of this kind of data base on the figure 2.3. Examples of this type are Cassandra, HBase or BigTable.

Figure 2.3.Document Database . Graph databases: In this type of databases, information is represented as nodes of a graph and its relations with the edges thereof

(Figure 2.4), so that you can make use of graph theory to cover it. To remove the most of this type of database, its structure must be fully normalized, of so that each table has a single column and each relationship both. This type of database provides a more efficient navigation between relationships vs a relational model. Examples of this type are Neo4j, InfoGrid or Virtuoso.

Figure 2.4. Graph Database.

2.7.5. Examples of NoSQL databases

A continuacin se muestran algunos ejemplos de las bases de datos nosql mas utilizadas actualmente. Cassandra: This is a database created by Apache key-value type. It has its own language for CQL (Cassandra Query Language) queries. Cassandra is a Java application so it can run on any platform that has the Java Virtual Machine.

Figure 2.5. Cassandra logo.

MongoDB: This is a database created by 10gen document-oriented type, Free scheme is meaning that each input can have a different data schema that has nothing to do with the rest stored records. It's pretty quick to run its operations as it is written in C++ language. For information storage, uses a proprietary system known document with the BSON name, which is an evolution of the popular JSON but with the peculiarity that can store binary data. Soon, MongoDB has become

one of the bases of popular NoSQL data by developers.

Figure 2.6. MongoDB logo.

CouchDB: It is a system created by Apache and written in Erlang language that works in most POSIX systems, including GNU / Linux and OSX, but not in Windows systems. The most important features include the use of Restfull HTTP API as an interface and JavaScript as the main language of interaction. For storage of data files used JSON. Allows creation of views, which are the mechanism that allows the combination of documents return values of several documents, ie, CouchDB allows performing operations JOIN Typical SQL.

Capítulo 3

The proposed framework

In this chapter, an architecture for developing interactive learning environments is proposed. The architecture allows the use of techniques of adaptive hypermedia systems and use in interactive environments. This architecture has as resources environmental learning objects, which are presented to users through the various devices that can be part of this environment and are organized by a sequence based on Simple Sequencing. In Figure X you can see the components of this architecture. As this environment has multiple configurations of use, sometimes it can help a fuzzy inference system to adapt the information presented by the environment to users. The components of the architecture are described in detail.

3.1. ELO

A standard learning object is defined in [2]. The teacher prepares teaching materials using content from various sources; select different pieces of information that subsequently assembled to form the course or class to teach. Learning objects are based on this methodology, seeing the didactic content as a component that is designed to combine with others and to be used in different contexts; learning objects usually have the following characteristics: They are self-contained. Each learning object can be used independently. Are reusable. A learning object can be used in different contexts, for multiple purposes. Can be added. Learning objects can be grouped into collections, after being presented with a traditional course structure. Are labeled with metadata. Each learning object has associated certain information that describes it. This facilitates reuse by automatic means. We propose a learning environment that uses a new type of learning object which we call “environmental learning object” (ELO) these objects include additional metadata that can be used to identify and manage content for their use in ubiquitous devices: we considered input and output devices e.g. interactive tables, wall projections, monitors, tablets, cameras, microphones and speakers. By tagging through the metadata we can make a selection of learning objects to create and manage different contexts when applied to a learning environment as we can note in Figure. Where each learning object is

selected and routed to a device that operates its utility like a sound file that cant be used on a monitor maybe on a Smartphone or a tablet pc, these are the types of problems that can occur when using these learning objects. Also this ELO will give contextual information for feedback. Then the learning object is attached to the sequence as we can see on the figure 3.1.

3.2. Fuzzy simple sequencing

Simple sequencing standard[18] [19], which allows sequencing of this type of learning objects. First an activity tree with n number of activities is determined by the teacher, selecting the learning objects to be used per activity and adding fuzzy precondition rules that consider the context and user model to determine the sequence, for example: IF Context.Temperature is HIGH and Session.Activity.Place is OUTSIDE THEN this.Precondition. = SKIP. Then context information is obtained from users using the sensors mentioned above, each activity will have 1 or more environmental learning objects, thanks to the metadata included in the learning objects will be displayed in the appropriate device for example if we have a web page can be displayed on a monitor, a questionnaire on a tablet, a video projected on a wall, sound on speakers, an interactive game on the table as we can see in Figure 3. The main components of the Simple Sequencing standard are the Learning Activities

and the Activity Tree. A Learning Activity is defined as a pedagogically neutral unit of instruction, knowledge, evaluation, etc. Learning Activities can have nested sub-activities arbitrary depth. There is an implicit hierarchy of containers in the tree. Depending on the application concept labels can be applied to learning activities. Only leaf nodes can be associated with Resources Activity (the equivalent of Learning Objects). An example of the configuration obtained by sequencing can be observed in Figure 3.2. The tree is traversed as follows from the root there is the General activity (can be any subject in particular) with 2 nodes in it, we see that has the attribute "forwardOnly." on true, this means they have to be traveled sequentially by users, the first activity is a pre-evaluation, which is associated with a learning object in this case a test that contains a rule that makes the activity is carried out or otherwise will not advance to the next activity, as specified in the Precondition rule. When a learning sequence is generated learning activities are established and they not change visual content. With fuzzy logic generate inputs for use in the precondition rules for example : fuzzy rule if user.age is low and user.academic_level is low then user.level is beginner. On the precondition beginner then precondition = show. Thus a set of resources is obtained for a novice user and these are shown

3.3. Devices

3.4. Master / User

Capítulo 4

Experiments and Results

4.1. Experiments

This study is divided into 2 experiments, the rst part was conducted with visitors to the museums spin Tijuana where 21 users divided into 2 groups participated, the rst has 10 users between 6 and 11 years 50For this study is required to show images, videos and somehow observe the user, that is why many devices that met these needs as a computer to server, 2 laptops, one 7-inch tablet, headphones, 3 projectors, 2 monitors, cameras, sensors (Kinect 2.0) etc. were used. In the rst part of the study users immersed in an exhibitor that gave them visual and aural information as shown in Figure 1 on a topic selected based on various criteria, such as the location of the study on this occasion was a interactive museum and the festival of childrens day

approaching we try to use a simple theme and it will bring awareness so we decided to use the theme of water where information was displayed as the uses of the same, its cycle, forms of energy that could generate, health, and the importance of it for the planet. Each of users are generating an account on the system to register their activity, after that we were made a brief explanation of how it worked the exhibitor others based on observation no longer required this explanation. The user took a tablet that was the how the user interacted with the exhibitor, with which had control of the flow of information, as the information was a sequence at the end of the last activity was a questionnaire on the information received besides a survey. The second part of the study was very similar to the first one that had some small modifications and additions, now the user no longer had control flow only observe and also to observe the user now will take video and sensor, the theme of the exhibition were video games and film as the age of the users would go for almost 17 years and older could be topics of their interest. In the same way as in the first part each user had an account to record the activity only this occasion the data produced by the sensor and videos captured by the camera would be recorded, and in the same way as in the first part at the end we surveyed the users. For the first part of the study we get data from the survey conducted at the end, in the second they are collected and used data from sensors, this data were a bit more complex, first we need an observer to evaluate the user manually, where the observer determined whether the user was

putting attention to what he saw or distracted and based on that assigned a level of attention on a scale of 1 to 3 where 1 is little attention 2 average attention and 3 very attentive. The other way to obtain user information was by Kinect Sensor and is a bit more complex because the sensor provides enough information the sensor detects a user can give: engage looking away happy left eye closed right eye closed mouth open mouth moved wearing glasses yaw pitch and roll of the face Each of these data the sensor assigned one of the following Yes, No, Maybe and Unknown values; unknown data were discarded since in the sensor documentation was saying that this data could be not sensing and therefore not considered valid data. Only in the face position was a numerical data, the sensor generated an average of 14 records per second of these values sometimes less for a short time when the sensor lost sight of the user but was usually between 1 to 10 seconds. Full activity was carried out in 4 minutes 10 seconds so if the sensor not loses sight of the user throughout the activity it generates about 3500 records. From the above list of data we decided to use only engage, looking away happy and others are captured but used as they are not relevant to what we are measuring. To get textual results perform a normalization by a count of each event every 10 seconds since the data generated by the sensor are textual normalized by assigning numbers to strings for example Yes = 2, Maybe = 1 and No = 0 then for every 10 seconds of activity the Yes, No and Maybe was counted. As the activity lasted 4:10 we decided to count the sensor event

every 10 seconds, at the end we gained an average of 140 records for each period of 10 seconds. Here I was a problem, as the number of records varied by user we had to think about how to normalize this data, as records were recorded and distributed yes, maybe, no the solution was to take a percentage of each of the records obtained by which thus we could handle and sensor data.

4.2. Results

Capítulo 5

Conclutions

En este trabajo de investigacin, se desarrollo un ambiente de aprendizaje interactivo con mltiples usos como exhibidor de museo, entretenimiento, publicidad, etc. La principal caracterstica de este ambiente de aprendizaje es que cuenta con un objeto de aprendizaje especial que al combinarse con el ambiente le permite ser lo que se mencionaba antes. El desempeo de este ambiente lo medimos en varias pruebas con usuarios reales, el ambiente en sus primeras versiones fue probado con usuarios locales (Usuarios al alcance del laboratorio) para medir la aceptacin de este tipo de tecnologa y que tan fcil era de utilizar el ambiente en la cual tuvimos buenos resultados. En la segunda versin nos trasladamos al museo interactivo de Tijuana El trompo donde el objetivo de la prueba fue usarlo con usuarios de diversas edades y observar las reacciones de estos mismos desde esta versin utilizamos

el sensor de Kinect 2 para observar el usuario pero la informacin obtenida no fue lo suficientemente buena para utilizarla, en esta ocasion el ambiente con informacin dada por el usuario era capaz de modificar la informacin mostrada en un intento por adaptar la informacin y ser ms atractiva para el usuario. Al no poder utilizar la informacin dada por el sensor optamos por conservar las encuestas que les aplicamos a los usuarios las cuales mostraron muy buena aceptacin en su mayora, los pocos que no estuvieron de acuerdo fueron nios menores de 6 aos los cuales mostraron poco inters y un nivel de distraccin un poco alto esto lo atribuimos a que los nios eran extranjeros y no dominaban muy poco idioma espaol que era el idioma que manejaba el ambiente. En la ltima versin del ambiente fue utilizado en el instituto tecnologico de Tijuana utilizando un grupo de usuarios ms homogeneo los cuales eran alumnos y algunos maestros de la escuela, en esta prueba los usuarios adems de ser observados por el sensor tambien se les tomo video para analizarlo y tener una referencia para los resultados de la clasificacin de datos dada por el sensor adems se le aplico una encuesta a los usuarios para identificar los gustos en cuanto a informacin y los dispositivos que utilizan los usuarios. cada una de las pruebas el ambiente evolucionaba gracias a la informacin proporcionada por los usuarios de ir de algo muy simple como solo mostrar imgenes a mostrar videos, reproducir audios. Luego de ciertas modificaciones pudimos manipular contenido y secuenciarlo.

Bibliografía

- [1] Ims simple sequencing best practice and implementation guide version 1.0 *nal specification*.
- [2] Peter Brusilovsky, Albert T. Corbett, y Fiorella de Rosis, eds. *User Modeling 2003, 9th International Conference, UM 2003, Johnstown, PA, USA, June 22-26, 2003, Proceedings*, tomo 2702 de *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2003. ISBN 3-540-40381-7.
- [3] Oscar Castillo, Patricia Melin, Oscar Montiel, Roberto Sepúlveda, Witold Pedrycz, y Janusz Kacprzyk, eds. *Theoretical Advances and Applications of Fuzzy Logic and Soft Computing, Selection of Papers from IFSA 2007*, tomo 42 de *Advances in Soft Computing*. Springer, 2007. ISBN 978-3-540-72433-9.
- [4] A. Dattolo y V. Loia. A fuzzy approach to adaptive hypermedia. En *Knowledge-Based Intelligent Engineering Systems and Allied Technologies, 2000. Proceedings*.

Fourth International Conference on, tomo 2, págs. 700–703 vol.2. 2000. doi:10.1109/KES.2000.884142.

- [5] W. Lewis Johnson. Intelligent tutoring systems: Lessons learned. *Artif. Intell.*, 48(1):125–134, 1991.
- [6] Rob Phillips, Carmel McNaught, y Gregor Kennedy. Towards a generalised conceptual framework for learning: the learning environment, learning processes and learning outcomes (lepo) framework. En Jan Herrington y Craig Montgomerie, eds., *Proceedings of EdMedia: World Conference on Educational Media and Technology 2010*, págs. 2495–2504. Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), Toronto, Canada, 2010. URL <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/34989>.
- [7] John Self. The defining characteristics of intelligent tutoring systems research: Itss care, precisely. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education (IJAIED)*, 10:350–364, 1998. URL <https://telearn.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00197346>. The following papers are extended versions of some of the invited papers presented at ITS 98.