

gosignify – reimplementing OpenBSD's signify a case study in “C vs. Go”

Frank Braun

March 25, 2015

about me

- computer science background
- 10+ years experience in C
- 2+ years experience in Go
- IT security consulting
- freelancing (coding & secure servers)
- will work for Bitcoin
- main interest: “freedom technology”
- main project: Mute secure messaging system

problems in file distribution

- authentication (proven source)
- integrity (file was not tampered with)

Solution:

- public-key cryptography / digital signatures
 - distribute public keys
 - distribute signatures
- ⇒ user can verify authenticity and integrity of files

GnuPG

GnuPG is a common common solution for digital signatures:

- Debian
- git

Some problems:

- large code base (in C)
- does much more than digital signatures
- keys are large

-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
Version: GnuPG v1

mQINBE3fpicBEACg43JhMrYwb8pp0b4 / SP / q2 / nBZWAVzAQylxvnoSaDzCcnNZLg
quXaBuyehqsUILNCu0Ysx / yV0Ha8aP652IzVmsaXiMwE+PtJgxb32EXKcBzRMTVw
gq1pgumyIizbFJV3ve7MM8U3s91I82znqKwt / WLINA8CJs0IbSQuasP3YCSPN3DH
Gu7eeufImU3Ij7dbKe8TdFd9UV8RIWJ0AALyHE4S8wHZpwpYP8ACaJo18KbNn0rz
kgYVtL8+2uu4HIIZQYPQ3myLmaXefrsO / OZFjaaWQYPNFTAZTYlUKQwVD56CrVUK
sQPfAUS34isnGDfj1EtcIksm / 2PFXY / 9IZUB5IE2f7KRKpyz7Ifl8LGenPgEEq / XV
VSPuXvpM2zJm7eJqhOorqDGalv2DeRCXphnE5RhBvET0fPaEdVCTI3K4KVHwzbrM
C6htV5QEOH8fIF7z6NvgFIBnDtGoYekQtn / I / SpYNzJe4JxxjvI5Pb7momnIcFqZ
6m3Fw9KIF0P5AOhLY1L4I5Ik18pQpSPF2Ia2kKigGkgFvqjOPIfML0ZOVX0J5Y6Y
iEW2mpGbsu6I9580h2FQUxWi5M7ZT0evwN6zsuVIAhtEo4S9Cs6scLLD1yS6aH9B
FyZPe3yMvP5myfCIR1qK31VjfFohbXsrD9IBDNx760aoenUwjHCArv0CewARAQAB
tCNGcmFuayBCcmF1biA8ZnJhbmtAY3J5CHRvZ3JvdXAubmV0PokCQAQTAQgAKglb
AwULCQyHAWUVCgkICwUWAgMBAAIeAQIXgAlZAQUUCU4XNjwUJC0nB5QAQACRA1rryC
zsAOINnBD / 9Pu2vMeCSHbNbbov9cE8bK9qSBisEEIfK7UCCR5KV19udPm4AnVFkh
UW2Lo1woGm+MJhsTYo21DhJ1z5TST63dmxslas / eui1zIEhX9Jm8re+NG / O9 / bmu
oHWgxNKzipIkDjmexzGg5O0Wlde7AGQNW25vb8UoLneVTEItuatI54L8sMOZNDhS
vnC85IPmx+Lbignw2iHy+ZUfOp+KN54IjxWUYbWPyINxZuHLH0I+PtfYETodnd9B
1AAZ0GwqzwHdz6ZbBjem0LYdo7XsZFf1HMeKsvuXwA+jIzD3hMELqNpLUwJmH8
bxRTif / UZk7tTgQhDxqVu3QRUy6B56PvTqjZ6NV73xXbtKEPb4nKV8Lkq7B4jgU9
Jbg9DBudmiYqdgI73Nu8MxSYe0gzeJrMpYOx+AFLzery0b0JV5p08m1rfMDhZ4V5
35dFNTT7T9e3ASaqYIUngtc3PvYEZlieXjhyLuBbESF1fe9K6eFDpi / BgrJEk++/
jCHQVic7jmAh70gCam / jKDQoGZwm0X3ihhv+BzTbzmMkd3N2cJEq10 / eDnzMPAy
3JQhkHlryhAUE08MD855mIS / LbjyrUrR8g0sZA5yuTP71dK6o6ra8iIU1rBjsJFe
/ nd76 / HyN32MU89h4ZiIPFHqpUUVWKEOyxScmL3U9Em3Iul3EoyJX7QHnJhbmmsg
QnJhdW4gPGZYyW5rQHNoYWRvd2xpZmUuY2M+iQI9BBMBCAAnAhsDBQsJCAcDBRUK
CQgLBRYCAwEAAh4BAheABQJThc2VBQkLSchIAAoJEDWvKsLowA6UDUQQAAl5tyAMF
oQkMsuKTjUhqQPP5Zs+Ff6nfir8eEwYaYQGvcjl / LatHctmvWdwfbH1SW4HC3zrY
x15NZB5L5SBI+790IyU / bAt6Gay7dAdIfLloZQ30qM0Hr8a4J6IG0c0nH6HHa0TD
TWM4I4VC7LH1FmFYHtcbv5qwanetAaAqMDPcy4dd+0atq0Rq / 2xS28bYNxt4TGxE
O0kFXf8L6dYmLrFYHdcsGzKrh3 / PV2ZH / aGkak2vp / t2oHib9p1e+Saom0w28s0en
1b+vWKyMu3lgGTUUm / q96dBz / 8egricAleIUa3s30FTiRRoZEIsD0WrVq8z0dDad
U7Mh5E0ZrRg10PrB5Dp5gNmVgkAeRfHhFSMBECCpsIiz0OzJMTIZ5ek8m6F

OpenBSD's signify

- small tool for key-pair generation, signing, and verification
- uses Ed25519 public-key signature system
- elliptic-curve signatures \Rightarrow small keys!
- base64 encoded keys
- SHA-512 hashing
- `bcrypt_pbkdf`

signify examples

Generate key-pair:

```
$ signify -G -p key.pub -s key.sec
```

```
$ cat key.pub
```

```
untrusted comment: signify public key
```

```
RWRms08WDt5hdFc8RNgJBhwxfBfS6dA/9JVwPnYPPTj8Pa0GlHg78/BM
```

Sign message:

```
$ signify -S -s key.sec -m msg.txt
```

```
$ cat msg.txt.sig
```

```
untrusted comment: verify with key.pub
```

```
RWRms08WDt5hdIuAyFcjdMd0bY9+hQKeK8vvgNmjGEeE5VJKuVcKDhLn  
qXHSYYRK4urvHitZ9qdYIjOFsYpkS7+jgi/HUiHzwgE=
```

Verify message:

```
$ signify -V -p key.pub -m msg.txt
```

```
Signature Verified
```

reimplementation in Go: why?

- small scope, seems easy enough for a pet project
- personal interest
- good case study in “C vs. Go”
- I want a Gopher!

arc4random in C

```
arc4random_buf( keynum , sizeof( keynum ) );
```

```
...
```

```
arc4random_buf( enckey . salt , sizeof( enckey . salt ) );
```

Problem: arc4random is not portable

⇒ own portability layer is needed

arc4random in Go

```
err := io.ReadFull(rand.Reader, keynum[:])
```

```
...
```

```
err := io.ReadFull(rand.Reader, enckey.Salt[:])
```

No portability problem: `io.ReadFull` is in `stdlib`

bzero: simple case in C

```
uint8_t digest[SHA512_DIGEST_LENGTH];
```

```
...
```

```
SHA512Init(&ctx);
```

```
SHA512Update(&ctx, enckey.seckey, sizeof(enckey.seckey))
```

```
SHA512Final(digest, &ctx);
```

```
...
```

```
explicit_bzero(digest, sizeof(digest));
```

bzero: simple case in Go

```
digest := hash.SHA512(privateKey[:])
```

```
...
```

```
bzero.Bytes(digest)
```

bzero: simple implementation in Go

```
package bzero

func Bytes(buf []byte) {
    for i := 0; i < len(buf); i++ {
        buf[i] = 0
    }
}
```

bzero: complex case in C

```
struct enckey {  
    uint8_t  pkalg[2];  
    uint8_t  kdfalg[2];  
    uint32_t  kdfrounds;  
    uint8_t  salt[16];  
    uint8_t  checksum[8];  
    uint8_t  keynum[KEYNUMLEN];  
    uint8_t  seckey[SECRETBYTES];  
};
```

...

```
struct enckey enckey;
```

...

```
explicit_bzero(&enckey, sizeof(enckey));
```

bzero: complex case in Go

```
type enckey struct {  
    Pkalg      [2] byte  
    Kdfalg     [2] byte  
    Kdfrounds  [4] byte  
    Salt       [16] byte  
    Checksum   [8] byte  
    Keynum     [KEYNUMLEN] byte  
    Seckey     [SECRETBYTES] byte  
}
```

...

```
var enckey enckey
```

...

```
bzero.Struct(&enckey)
```

bzero: complex implementation in Go

```
package bzero

func Struct(strct interface{}) {
    s := reflect.ValueOf(strct).Elem()
    for i := 0; i < s.NumField(); i++ {
        f := s.Field(i)
        switch k := f.Kind(); k {
        case reflect.Array:
            Bytes(f.Slice(0, f.Len()).Bytes())
        case reflect.Slice:
            Bytes(f.Bytes())
        default:
            panic(fmt.Sprintf("bzero: cannot zero %s", k))
        }
    }
}
```


testing in OpenBSD: solution

Shell script in regress/usr.bin/signify:

```
#!/bin/sh
srcdir=$1
pubkey="$srcdir/regresskey.pub"
seckey="$srcdir/regresskey.sec"
orders="$srcdir/orders.txt"
forgery="$srcdir/forgery.txt"
set -e
cat $seckey | signify -S -s - -x test.sig -m $orders
diff -u "$orders.sig" test.sig
signify -V -q -p $pubkey -m $orders
signify -V -q -p $pubkey -m $forgery 2> /dev/null && exit 1
signify -S -s $seckey -x confirmorders.sig -e -m $orders
signify -V -q -p $pubkey -e -m confirmorders
diff -u $orders confirmorders
sha256 $pubkey $seckey > HASH
sha512 $orders $forgery >> HASH
signify -S -e -s $seckey -m HASH
rm HASH
signify -C -q -p $pubkey -x HASH.sig
true
```

testing in OpenBSD: problems

- framework not integrated into C, roll your own
- different language for test
- no coverage analysis!

gosignify testing

```
package signify
func Main(args ...string) error {
    ...
}
```

```
package main
func main() {
    if err := signify.Main(os.Args...); err != nil {
        if err != flag.ErrHelp {
            fmt.Fprintf(os.Stderr, "%s: %s\n", os.Args[0], err)
        }
        os.Exit(1)
    }
}
```

- ⇒ tests in same language, fully integrated
- ⇒ coverage analysis!

comparison

| | C | G |
|--------------|----------------|----|
| speed | ++ | + |
| binary size | ++ | -- |
| portability | + ¹ | ++ |
| testing | - | ++ |
| stdlib | -- | ++ |
| productivity | - | ++ |

¹a lot of work!

conclusion

take away:

“Only prefer C over Go if you have extreme resource limitations (e.g., embedded systems), otherwise the productivity and portability advantages of Go are well worth the sacrifices in speed and binary size.”

projects:

- Gosignify: <https://github.com/frankbraun/gosignify>
- Mute: <http://mute.berlin> (register for beta invitation)

contacts:

- frank@cryptogroup.net (please use PGP, key on key server)
- 94CC ADA6 E814 FFD5 89D0 48D7 35AF 2AC2 CEC0 0E94