2042 MLM Mini Project (Spring 2020) Group 1

May 13 2020

Team members and division of work

Frank Jiang, Lisa Song, Yuyue Hua, Seeun Jang, Tong Jin

```
set.seed(2042001)
```

Question 1

You will generate simulated data for a single school with 100 classrooms, each of which has 200 students.

- a. Outcome for student i in classroom j: Y_{ij} .
- b. There is a single predictor, $X_{ij} \sim U(0,1)$ (uniform on [0,1])
- c. There is a classroom random effect, $\eta_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\eta^2)$, where $\sigma_\eta^2 = 2$.
- d. Subject level error, $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$, where $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 = 2$.
- e. set.seed(2042001) once at the beginning of your code.
- f. Generate the random quantities in this order to ensure the same solution for everyone: X, η_i , ε_{ij}
- g. The outcome has the following form (DGP, given the modeling parameters above):

```
$$ Y_{ij} = 0 + 1X_{ij} + j + {ij}, \
j N(0, ^2{}), \{ij\} N(0, ^2{}), indep.$$
```

- h. Generate a single simulated dataset (you will need a "classid" variable to track classrooms); you can optionally assign a "studentid")
- i. Important: construct classid such that classrooms appear consecutively within the dataframe. As per: $rep(1:J,each=n_j)$

```
# Compute variables related to the equation
N_j <- 100 # Total number of classrooms
n_i <- 200 # Number of students in each classroom
N_i <- N_j * 200 # Total number of students

X_ij <- runif( # Single predictor: Uniform on [0, 1]
    N_i,
    min = 0,
    max = 1</pre>
```

```
eta_j <- rnorm( # Classroom random effect: Normal on (0, 2)
  N_j,
 mean = 0,
  sd = sqrt(2)
epsilon_ij <- rnorm( # Subject level error: Normal on (0, 2)
 N_i,
 mean = 0,
  sd = sqrt(2)
# Create equation elements
eta_j <- rep( # Assign classroom random effect to students in each classroom
  eta_j,
  each = n_i
Y_ij <- 0 + 1 * X_ij + eta_j + epsilon_ij
classid <- rep(</pre>
 1:N_j,
  each = n_i
studentid <- seq(
  1:N i
)
# Create a dataframe to store all elements
classroom_sim <- data.frame(</pre>
  outcome = Y_{ij},
  predictor = X_ij,
  cls_raneff = eta_j,
  subject_error = epsilon_ij,
  classid = classid,
  studentid = studentid,
  row.names = studentid
```

Question 2

Fit the model corresponding to the DGP on your simulated data.

```
# Fit the model in Q1
fit_q2 <- lmer(
  outcome ~ predictor + (1 | classid),
  data = classroom_sim
)
# Report the model fit
# summary(fit_q2)

# Calculate the coefficient estimate of slope on X
slope_X_q2 <- round(
  summary(fit_q2)$coefficients['predictor', 'Estimate'],</pre>
```

```
digits = 4
)
```

a. Report coefficient estimate for slope on X.

Response: 0.9864.

b. Does a 95% confidence band for this coefficient estimate cover the "truth" that you used to generate the data?

```
CI_Q2_b <- confint(
   fit_q2,
   parm = "predictor",
   level = 0.95
)
lower <- round(
   CI_Q2_b[1],
   digits = 4
)
upper <- round(
   CI_Q2_b[2],
   digits = 4
)</pre>
```

Response: Yes. The confidence band (95% of confidence) for this coefficient estimate is between 0.9179 and 1.0549. This confirms that a 95% confidence band covers the "truth" of the slope, which is 1.

Question 3

- 3. Next, we simulate missing data in several ways. This is the first:
- a. Make a copy of the data, then modify the copy following these instructions:

```
classroom_miss <- classroom_sim
```

b. Generate $Z_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, with p = 0.5.

```
Z_ij <- rbinom(
    N_i,
    size = c(0, 1),
    prob = 0.5
)</pre>
```

c. Set Y_{ij} to NA when $Z_{ij} == 1$. This should look a lot like "MCAR" missingness.

```
classroom_miss$missing <- Z_ij
classroom_miss$outcome <- ifelse(
  classroom_miss$missing == 1,
  yes = NA,
  no = classroom_miss$outcome
)</pre>
```

d. Refit the model on the new data and report the coefficient estimate for slope on X. Look at the other parameter estimates as well.

```
fit_q3 <- lmer(</pre>
  outcome ~ predictor + (1 | classid),
  data = classroom_miss
summary(fit_q3)
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: outcome ~ predictor + (1 | classid)
##
      Data: classroom_miss
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 53333.6
##
## Scaled residuals:
       Min
               1Q Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -4.0171 -0.6742 0.0023 0.6631 3.7668
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name
                        Variance Std.Dev.
## classid (Intercept) 1.878
                                  1.371
## Residual
                         2.000
                                  1.414
## Number of obs: 14963, groups: classid, 100
##
## Fixed effects:
##
                                           df t value Pr(>|t|)
               Estimate Std. Error
## (Intercept) 1.270e-02 1.390e-01 1.033e+02 0.091
                                                         0.927
## predictor 9.612e-01 4.029e-02 1.486e+04 23.856
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
             (Intr)
## predictor -0.146
slope_X_q3 <- round(</pre>
  summary(fit_q3)$coefficients['predictor', 'Estimate'],
  digits = 4
# Calculate the conficience band of this model
CI_Q3_d <- confint(
  fit_q3,
 parm = "predictor",
 level = 0.95
)
```

Computing profile confidence intervals ...

```
lower <- round(
   CI_Q3_d[1],
   digits = 4
)
upper <- round(
   CI_Q3_d[2],
   digits = 4
)</pre>
```

e. Do you see any real change in the β_X estimate?

Response: No. The β_X estimate of the model with missing data values is 0.9612, which is very close to the original estimate, 0.9864.

i. Does a 95% confidence band for this coefficient estimate cover the "truth" that you used to generate the data?

Response: Yes. The confidence band (95% of confidence) for this coefficient estimate is between 0.8822 and 1.0402. This confirms that a 95% confidence band covers the "truth" of the slope, which is 1.

f. What is the total sample size N used in the model fit?

Response: The total sample size used in this model fit is 14963.

Question 4:

Missing Data II: Make another copy of the original data, then modify the copy as follows: a. Generate $Z_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(X_{ij})$, with X_{ij} your predictor generated previously. b. Set Y to NA when $Z_{ij} == 1$. This should look a lot like "MAR" missingness.

```
# Insert code the generate your data
```

c. Refit the model on the new data and report the coefficient estimate for slope on X. Look at the other parameter estimates as well. **comment**

```
# Insert code to fit model and compute confidence interval
```

Response:

- d. Do you see any real change in the β_X estimate?
 - i. Does a 95% confidence band for this coefficient estimate cover the "truth" that you used to generate the data? **comment**

Response:

e. What is the total sample size N used in the model fit? **comment** Response:

Question 5:

Missing Data III: Make another copy of the original data, then modify the copy as follows:

Insert code to make a copy of the original data

a. First, define the expit function: $expit \leftarrow function(x) exp(x)/(1+exp(x))$

Insert code to define expit function

- b. Generate $Z_{ij} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(expit(Y_{ij}))$, with Y_{ij} your outcome generated previously.
- c. Set Y to NA when $Z_{ij} == 1$. This should look like a violation of "MAR" missingness (missingness depedents on outcome and cannot be *simply* predicted with the predictor set Y should be correlated with X, though, so it might not be too bad a violation).

Insert code the generate your data

d. Refit the model on the new data and report the coefficient estimate for slope on X. Look at the other parameter estimates as well. **comment**

Insert code to fit model and compute confidence interval

Response:

- e. Do you see any real change in the β_X estimate? **comment**
 - i. Does a 95% confidence band for this coefficient estimate cover the "truth" that you used to generate the data? **comment**

Response:

f. What is the total sample size N used in the model fit? **comment** Response: