#### Averaged perceptron tagger

# Frankie Robertson frrobert@student.jyu.fi

University of Jyväskylä

https://www.github.com/frankier/perceptron-tagger-slides/

18th of July, 2016





#### Outline

- ► Averaged structured perceptron with beam search
- ► A DSL for features
- Results for Kazakh


## Averaged structured perceptron with beam search 1/2

- ▶ Structured prediction is breaking down prediction of a large structure into subproblems and using results from earlier stages in later stages (like dynamic programming). For POS tagging the simplest approach is to tag left to right.
- ▶ The perceptron stores a sparse linear vector of (feature, weight) pairs. Observations are scored by taking the dot product of their feature vector with the perceptron. Training is done trying a prediction, and updating incorrect weights by reenforcing or penalising them depending on whether they correspond with the correct observation.
- ▶ With the **structured perceptron** the score from each update is accumulated to get the score of the (partial) output.

## Averaged structured perceptron with beam search 2/2

- ▶ The perceptron only converges for linearly separable inputs, otherwise its weights oscilate. To get the best weights the perceptron is trained for several iterations on reshuffled observations and the averaged weights across the whole training period are saved as the final weights.
- ▶ With beam search, the n-best candidates are considered and updated at each stage as opposed to a pure-greedy strategy which would only keep one intermediate result.

## Eurovision ән конкурсы 2010



#### Eurovision<np><al><nom>

.<sent>



#### A DSL for features 1/3

spectie: How's it going?

frankier: Been coding like a maniac

frankier: I've ended up creating a sort of lisp in XML

based on a stack VM

spectie: 0\\_\\_\\_0

## A DSL for features 2/3



## A DSL 3/3

- Greenspun's tenth rule of programming: Any sufficiently complicated C or Fortran program contains an ad hoc, informally-specified, bug-ridden, slow implementation of half of Common Lisp.
- ▶ So why try to avoid it?
- ▶ Neither easy (for me) nor desirable to try and define every possible useful feature up front.
- ▶ Bytecode interpreter design is fasterand more modular than a tree walking interpreter and is usually the same or less code. Also it's easy to serialise.
- ▶ Hopefully empowers language authors more than it confuses them.

## Example of DSL 1/2

```
<def-global as='major_tag_0''>
  <subscript idx="'0">
    <ex-tags>
      <ex-wordoid><wrdaddr /></ex-wordoid>
    </ex-tags>
  </subscript>
</def-global>
<def-global as="is_dmorph">
  <streq val="'+">
    <slice end="'1">
      <ex-lemma>
        <ex-wordoid><wrdaddr /></ex-wordoid>
      </ex-lemma>
    </slice>
  </streq>
</def-global>
```

## Example of DSL 2/2

#### Results

Results!

#### Future work

- ► Extend globals to macros/templates.
- Constructor for scanning/selecting a previous or subsequent wordoid or surface form or wordoid based on a predicate, eg to get the previous verb.
- ► Allow easy generation of multiple prefix/postfix features with special construct

#### References

- ► Chinese segmentation. STAR Most helpful and similar to this implementation.
- Original Collins perceptron paper.
- ▶ A Good Part-of-Speech Tagger in about 200 Lines of Python, Matthew Honnibal, Blog Post. Plus: Easily understandable reference implementation. Minus: Formulation varies from rest of the literature.